
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Foundations of Computing Science (CS60005)

Autumn Semester, 2021-2022

Test - 1 [Marks: 30]

Date: 08-Sep-2021 (Wednesday), 8:15am – 9:30am

Venue: Online

[**Instructions:** *There are FOUR questions. Answer ALL questions. Be brief and precise.*]

Q1. You are about to leave for university classes in the morning and discover you do not have your glasses. You know that the following *six* statements are true:

F_1 : If my glasses are on the kitchen table, then I saw them at breakfast.

F_2 : I was reading the newspaper in the living room or I was reading the newspaper in the kitchen.

F_3 : If I was reading the newspaper in the living room, then my glasses are on the coffee table.

F_4 : I did not see my glasses at breakfast.

F_5 : If I was reading my book in bed, then my glasses are on the bed table.

F_6 : If I was reading the newspaper in the kitchen, then my glasses are on the kitchen table.

Your task is to derive the answer to the following question logically – “Where are the glasses?”

Please frame logical arguments to formally deduce (applying logical inferencing) the answer to the above question. Present your solution as indicated in the following parts.

- (a) Write all the propositions (that you have used) with English statements (meaning). (1)
- (b) Build suitable propositional logic formula to encode each of the *six* statements $F_1 - F_6$ given above. (3)
- (c) Use logical inferencing rules (or resolution-refutation principle) to completely derive the answer and conclude where do you find the glasses. (3)

Solution:

(a) We may use the following propositions.

p : My glasses are on the kitchen table.

q : I saw my glasses at breakfast.

r : I was reading the newspaper in the living room.

s : I was reading the newspaper in the kitchen.

t : My glasses are on the coffee table.

u : I was reading my book in bed.

v : My glasses are on the bed table.

(b) The proposition logic encodings are as follows.

F_1 : $p \rightarrow q$

F_2 : $r \vee s$

F_3 : $r \rightarrow t$

F_4 : $\neg q$

F_5 : $u \rightarrow v$

F_6 : $s \rightarrow p$

(c) The logical deduction procedure is given in the following.

F_1 : $p \rightarrow q$

F_4 : $\neg q$

$\therefore G_1$: $\neg p$

(Modus Tollens)

F_6 : $s \rightarrow p$

G_1 : $\neg p$

$\therefore G_2$: $\neg s$

(Modus Tollens)

F_2 : $r \vee s$

G_2 : $\neg s$

$\therefore G_3$: r

(Disjunctive Syllogism)

F_3 : $r \rightarrow t$

G_3 : r

$\therefore G$: t

(Modus Ponens)

Conclusion: *The glasses are on the coffee table.*

Q2. Consider the following statements.

F_1 : Tony and Mike are members of the Alpine club.

F_2 : Every member of the Alpine club is either a skier, or a mountain climber, or both.

F_3 : No mountain climber likes rain.

F_4 : All skier likes snow.

F_5 : Mike dislikes whatever Tony likes and likes whatever Tony dislikes.

F_6 : Tony likes rain and snow.

Your tasks are to do the following:

(a) Write all the predicates (that you have used) with English statements (meaning). (1)

(b) Encode the above six statements $F_1 - F_6$ in predicate (first-order) logic. (3)

(c) Use resolution-refutation principle (logical deduction procedure) to prove that,
 G : "There is a member in the Alpine club who is a mountain climber, but not skier." (4)

Solution:

(a) We may use the following predicates.

$member(x)$: x is a member of the Alpine club.

$skier(x)$: x is a skier.

$climber(x)$: x is a mountain climber.

$likes(x, y)$: x likes y .

(b) The predicate (first-order) logic encodings are as follows.

F_1 : $member(Tony) \wedge member(Mike)$

F_2 : $\forall x [member(x) \rightarrow (skier(x) \vee climber(x))]$

F_3 : $\forall x [climber(x) \rightarrow \neg like(x, Rain)]$

F_4 : $\forall x [skier(x) \rightarrow likes(x, Snow)]$

F_5 : $\forall x [(likes(Tony, x) \rightarrow \neg likes(Mike, x)) \wedge (\neg likes(Tony, x) \rightarrow likes(Mike, x))]$

F_6 : $likes(Tony, Rain) \wedge likes(Tony, Snow)$

(c) The goal statement can be encoded as follows.

$G : \exists x [member(x) \wedge climber(x) \wedge \neg skier(x)] \implies \neg G : \forall x [\neg member(x) \vee \neg climber(x) \vee skier(x)]$

Now, $(F_1 \wedge F_2 \wedge F_3 \wedge F_4 \wedge F_5 \wedge F_6 \rightarrow G)$ is valid $\implies (F_1 \wedge F_2 \wedge F_3 \wedge F_4 \wedge F_5 \wedge F_6 \wedge \neg G)$ is unsatisfiable.

All the clauses formed from the above formula by eliminating \forall -quantifiers and *implications* are as follows.

C_{11} : $member(Tony)$

C_{12} : $member(Mike)$

C_2 : $\neg member(x) \vee skier(x) \vee climber(x)$

C_3 : $\neg climber(x) \vee \neg like(x, Rain)$

C_4 : $\neg skier(x) \vee likes(x, Snow)$

C_{51} : $\neg likes(Tony, x) \vee \neg likes(Mike, x)$

C_{52} : $likes(Tony, x) \vee likes(Mike, x)$

C_{61} : $likes(Tony, Rain)$

C_{62} : $likes(Tony, Snow)$

$C_{\neg G}$: $\neg member(x) \vee \neg climber(x) \vee skier(x)$

The resolution-refutation based deduction procedure is given in the following.

C_1 : $\neg member(x) \vee skier(x) \vee climber(x)$

$C_{\neg G}$: $\neg member(x) \vee \neg climber(x) \vee skier(x)$

C_{51} : $\neg likes(Tony, x) \vee \neg likes(Mike, x)$

C_{62} : $likes(Tony, Snow)$

$\therefore D_1$: $\neg member(x) \vee skier(x)$

$\therefore D_2$: $\neg likes(Mike, Snow)$

C_4 : $\neg skier(x) \vee likes(x, Snow)$

D_2 : $\neg likes(Mike, Snow)$

D_1 : $\neg member(x) \vee skier(x)$

D_3 : $\neg skier(Mike)$

C_{12} : $member(Mike)$

D_4 : $\neg member(Mike)$

$\therefore D_3$: $\neg skier(Mike)$

$\therefore D_4$: $\neg member(Mike)$

$\therefore \perp$ (contradiction)

Q3. A partial order ρ on a set A is called a total order (or a linear order) if for any two different $a, b \in A$ either $a \rho b$ or $b \rho a$. Which of the following relations ρ, σ, τ on \mathbb{N} (the set of natural numbers) are partial orders and/or total orders? – *Provide proper reasoning / justification.*

[Hint: For each of the relations, ρ, σ, τ on \mathbb{N} , first determine whether the relation is a partial order, and if so, then determine whether it is a total order.]

(a) $a \rho b$ if and only if $a \leq b + 1701$. (2)

(b) $a \sigma b$ if and only if a divides b , i.e. $b = ax$, for some $x \in \mathbb{N}$. (3)

(c) $a \tau b$ if and only if either $u < v$, or $u = v$ and $x \leq y$, where $a = 2^u x$ and $b = 2^v y$ with x and y odd. (3)

Solution:

(a) Note that, $1 \rho 2$ and $2 \rho 1$, but $1 \neq 2$, i.e., ρ is not antisymmetric.

Hence, ρ is not a partial order on \mathbb{N} . So, obviously it can never be a total order.

(b) We have $a \sigma a$ (obvious as $a \in \mathbb{N}$ divides itself), indicating σ is reflexive.

Let $a \sigma b$ and $b \sigma a$. This implies that $b = ax$ (for some $x \in \mathbb{N}$) and $a = by$ (for some $y \in \mathbb{N}$). This is only possible when $x = y = 1$, implying $a = b$, i.e., σ is antisymmetric.

If $a \sigma b$ and $b \sigma c$, we have $b = ax$ and $c = by$ (for some $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$). So, we get, $c = by = (ax)y = az$, where $z = xy \in \mathbb{N}$, implying $a \sigma c$, i.e., σ is transitive too. Therefore, σ is a partial order on \mathbb{N} .

But σ is not a total order on \mathbb{N} , since neither $(2, 3)$ nor $(3, 2)$ belongs to σ .

(c) We have $a \tau a$ (obvious), indicating τ is reflexive.

Let $a = 2^u x$ and $b = 2^v y$ (with x, y odd) satisfy $a \tau b$ and $b \tau a$. We cannot have $u < v$ and $v \leq u$ simultaneously. So $u = v$. But then $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, implying $x = y$, i.e., $a = b$. So τ is anti-symmetric.

Now suppose $a \tau b$ and $b \tau c$, where $a = 2^u x$, $b = 2^v y$ and $c = 2^w z$ with x, y, z odd. We have $u \leq v$ and $v \leq w$, i.e., $u \leq w$. If $u < w$, then $a \tau c$. On the other hand, $u = w$ implies $u = v = w$. But then $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, so that $x \leq z$, i.e., $a \tau c$. So τ is a partial order on \mathbb{N} .

Finally, let $a = 2^u x$ and $b = 2^v y$ be two different integers. We then have either $u \neq v$ or $x \neq y$ (or both). If $u < v$, then $a \tau b$. If $u > v$, then $b \tau a$. If $u = v$, then $a \tau b$ or $b \tau a$ according as whether $x < y$ or $x > y$. Thus, τ is a total order on \mathbb{N} .

Q4. Prove or disprove the following *with proper reasoning / justification*.

- (a) Let G be a multiplicative group in which $(ab)^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1}$ for all $a, b \in G$.
Then, prove or disprove that G is Abelian. (2)
- (b) Let R be a ring. Two elements $a, b \in R$ are called associates, denoted $a \sim b$, if $a = ub$ for some unit u of R .
Then, prove or disprove that \sim is an equivalence relation on R . (3)
- (c) Prove or disprove that the set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} (the set of natural numbers) is countable. (2)

Solution:

- (a) Let $a, b \in G$. By the given property $(a^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1})^{-1}(b^{-1})^{-1} = ab$.
Moreover, in any group $(a^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1} = (b^{-1})^{-1}(a^{-1})^{-1} = ba$.
Thus $ab = ba$. Therefore, G is Abelian. [Proved]

- (b) [**Reflexive**] $a = 1 \times a$ for all $a \in R$.
[**Symmetric**] Let $a = ub$ for some unit u . Let $v \in R$ be the element with $uv = vu = 1$ in R . Then v is also a unit of R , and $b = va$.
[**Transitive**] Let $a = ub$ and $b = vc$ for some units u, v (i.e., $u^{-1}, v^{-1} \in R$). Then $a = (uv)c$. Moreover, $(v^{-1}u^{-1})(uv) = v^{-1}(u^{-1}u)v = v^{-1}v = e$, i.e., uv is also a unit in R .
Therefore, \sim is an equivalence relation on R . [Proved]

Con

- (c) Let A denote the set of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . We write A as the disjoint union $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} A_n$, where A_n comprises subsets of \mathbb{N} of size n . $|A_0| = 1$. For $n \geq 1$ the set A_n can be identified with an (infinite) subset of \mathbb{N}^n and so is countable. Since A is the union of countably many finite or countable sets, it is countable. [Proved]