

Lab 2

Rajdeep Gill (7934493) & Daniyal Hasnain (7942244)

ECE 4830 B03

February 10, 2025

Contents

1	Problem 1	3
2	Problem 2	4
3	Problem 3	4
4	Problem 4	5

1 Problem 1

Given system, we can find the individual impulse responses and then sum them to find the impulse response of the entire system. We only wish to find the first three samples in time, that is $h(0)$, $h(1)$, and $h(2)$.

We have that $y_1(n) - \frac{1}{2}y_1(n-1) = 2x(n)$, and $h_2(n) = \frac{1}{4^n}u(n)$.

The impulse response of the first system can be found by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(n) - \frac{1}{2}h_1(n-1) &= 2\delta(n) \\ h(n) &= A_0\delta(n) + y_c(n)u(n) \end{aligned}$$

We know that $A_0 = \frac{0}{1/2} = 0$, and $y_c(n)$ is the characteristic equation. That is:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - \frac{1}{2} &= 0 \\ \implies \lambda &= \frac{1}{2} \\ y_c(n) &= A \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

We can find A by first finding $h_1(0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} n = 0 : h_1(0) - \frac{1}{2}h_1(-1) &= 2\delta(0) \\ h_1(0) &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this in, we get A , and have our characteristic equation:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(0) &= A \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^0 = 2 \\ A &= 2 \\ y_c(n) &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u(n) \end{aligned}$$

We are already given the impulse response of the second system, so we can sum the two to get the impulse response of the entire system:

$$\begin{aligned} h(n) &= h_1(n) + h_2(n) \\ &= \left[2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + \frac{1}{4^n} \right] u(n) \end{aligned}$$

The first three samples are then:

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^0 + \frac{1}{4^0} = 2 + 1 = 3 \\ h(1) &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^1 + \frac{1}{4^1} = 1 + 0.25 = 1.25 \\ h(2) &= 2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4^2} = 0.5 + 0.0625 = 0.5625 \end{aligned}$$

We can double check our solution with the following Matlab code:

```

1  x = [1, zeros(1, 9)];
2
3  % First system
4  b1 = [2];
5  a1 = [1, -0.5];
6  h1 = filter(b1, a1, x);
7
8  % Second system
9  n = 0:N-1;
10 h2 = (1./(4.^n));
11
12 % Total impulse response
13 h = h1 + h2;
14
15 fprintf('h(0) = %.4f\n', h(1));
16 fprintf('h(1) = %.4f\n', h(2));
17 fprintf('h(2) = %.4f\n', h(3));

```

We get the following output, and can see that it is the same as we calculated:

```

h(0) = 3.0000
h(1) = 1.2500
h(2) = 0.5625

```

2 Problem 2

- (a) If initial conditions are zero, the zero-input response would yield the impulse response

No, because the zero-input response means, by definition, that the input is zero. The impulse response is the response of the system to $\delta(n)$, which is not zero.

- (b) If initial conditions are non-zero, then I can use these initial condition values to compute the impulse response

No, because the impulse response is the response of the system to $\delta(n)$, and the initial conditions are not used to compute the impulse response.

- (c) I can compute the output of a system $y(n)$ for an input $x(n)$ using a convolution if I know the impulse response of the system

Yes, as long as the system is an LTI system and the input is known, the output can be computed using convolution.

- (d) I can compute the impulse response of a system using $h(n) = \frac{b_M}{a_N} \delta(n) + y_c(n)u(n)$ if the order associated to the input is greater than the output, that is $M > N$

No, because if $M > N$, then we would have $a_N = 0$, and we would be dividing by zero. We require $M \leq N$.

3 Problem 3

- (a) Using the width property, we know that the convolution, $c(n)$, will range from $4 + (-2) = 2$ to $N + 2$. From the following options, a to i, we can see that $c(n)$ is non zero for $n \geq 2$ and $n \leq N + 2$. The

option that satisfies this is **g**.

(b) The final length of the convolution is $N + 2 - 2 + 1 = N + 1$. The option that satisfies this is **i**.

4 Problem 4

Find the expression of the zero-impulse response of the the system:

$$y(n) + \frac{1}{2}y(n-1) - \frac{3}{4}y(n-2) = -\frac{3}{2}x(n) + \frac{3}{4}x(n-2)$$

$$y(-1) = 2, \quad y(-2) = 4$$

We were unsure what the zero-impulse response was, so after asking the TA, and fellow students, we were told it was a typo and should be zero-input response. We will solve for the zero-input response instead.

$$y(n) + \frac{1}{2}y(n-1) - \frac{3}{4}y(n-2) = 0$$

$$\text{Characteristic equation: } \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{3}{4} = 0$$

$$\lambda = \frac{-1/2 \pm \sqrt{13/4}}{2} = \frac{-1}{4} \pm \frac{\sqrt{13}}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow y_c(n) &= C_1 \left(\frac{-1}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{13}}{4} \right)^n + C_2 \left(\frac{-1}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{13}}{4} \right)^n \\ &= C_1 (0.6514)^n + C_2 (-1.1514)^n \end{aligned}$$

Solving for A and B :

$$y(-1) = 2 = C_1 (0.6514)^{-1} + C_2 (-1.1514)^{-1}$$

$$2 = 1.5352C_1 - 0.8685C_2$$

$$y(-2) = 4 = C_1 (0.6514)^{-2} + C_2 (-1.1514)^{-2}$$

$$4 = 2.3568C_1 + 0.7543C_2$$

We have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.5352 & -0.8685 \\ 2.3568 & 0.7543 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$$

Using Cramer's rule, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathbf{A}) &= (1.5353 \times 0.7543) - (-0.8685 \times 2.3568) = 3.2049 \\ \det(\mathbf{A}_{C_1}) &= \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -0.8685 \\ 4 & 0.7543 \end{vmatrix} = (2 \times 0.7543) - (4 \times -0.8682) = 4.9814 \\ \det(\mathbf{A}_{C_2}) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1.5352 & 2 \\ 2.3568 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = (1.5352 \times 4) - (2.3568 \times 2) = 1.4272 \\ C_1 &= \frac{\det(\mathbf{A}_{C_1})}{\det(\mathbf{A})} = \frac{4.9814}{3.2049} = 1.5536 \\ C_2 &= \frac{\det(\mathbf{A}_{C_2})}{\det(\mathbf{A})} = \frac{1.4272}{3.2049} = 0.4453\end{aligned}$$

The zero-input response is then:

$$y_{zi}(n) = 1.5536 (0.6514)^n + 0.4453 (-1.1514)^n$$

Using the following Matlab code, we can verify closed form zero input response to the recurrence relation:

```

1  n_vals = -2:10;
2
3  % Initialize y
4  y = zeros(1, length(n_vals));
5  y(1) = 4; % y(-2)
6  y(2) = 2; % y(-1)
7  % Compute y(n) using difference equation
8  for n = 3:length(n_vals)
9      y(n) = -0.5 * y(n-1) + 0.75 * y(n-2);
10 end
11
12 % Compute analytical zero-input response
13 y_zi = 1.5536 * (0.6514.^(n_vals)) + 0.4453 * ((-1.1514).^(n_vals));
14
15 disp(' n      Recurrence Analytical Difference');
16 disp([n_vals' y' y_zi' abs(y - y_zi)']);

```

We get the following output, with a very small difference between the two results at each index. This difference is due to floating point errors and rounded values.

n	Recurrence	Analytical	Difference
-2	4.0000	3.9973	0.0027
-1	2.0000	1.9983	0.0017
0	2.0000	1.9989	0.0011
1	0.5000	0.4993	0.0007
2	1.2500	1.2496	0.0004
3	-0.250	-0.250	0.0003
4	1.0625	1.0624	0.0001
5	-0.719	-0.719	0.0002
6	1.1562	1.1562	0.0000
7	-1.117	-1.117	0.0001
8	1.4258	1.4259	0.0001
9	-1.551	-1.551	0.0002
10	1.8447	1.8449	0.0002