# **Al Notes**

# **Logical Representation**

### **Description:**

- Uses formal logic to represent facts and relationships.
- Provides a declarative and precise way to encode knowledge.
- Knowledge is expressed as propositions, rules, and relationships.
- Involves inference mechanisms for deducing new information.

### Types:

- **Propositional Logic**: Represents simple true/false statements.
  - $\circ$  Example: Rain  $\rightarrow$  Wet(Grass) (If it rains, the grass will be wet).
- First-Order Logic (Predicate Logic): Includes objects, relations, and quantifiers.
  - $\circ$  Example:  $\forall x$  (Bird(x)  $\rightarrow$  CanFly(x)) (All birds can fly).

#### Strengths:

- Rigor and clarity.
- Well-suited for reasoning and problem-solving.

#### Weaknesses:

- Computationally expensive for large-scale systems.
- · Difficult to handle uncertainty.

## **Semantic Networks**

#### **Description:**

- Represents knowledge as a graph of nodes (concepts) and edges (relationships).
- Nodes represent objects, concepts, or classes, while edges define their relationships.
- Often used for representing hierarchical knowledge.

#### **Example:**

- Animal → Bird → Penguin
- Bird → CanFly
- Penguin → CannotFly

#### Strengths:

- Intuitive and visually interpretable.
- Efficient for representing hierarchical relationships.

#### Weaknesses:

- Limited in representing procedural knowledge.
- · Lacks precision for complex relationships.

## **Frame-Based Representation**

### **Description:**

- Represents knowledge as structures (frames) that describe objects, events, or situations.
- Frames contain **slots** (attributes or properties) and **values** (data or references to other frames).
- Similar to object-oriented programming.

## **Example:**

Frame: Dog

- Slots:

- Type: Mammal

- Legs: 4

- Sound: Bark

## Strengths:

Supports default values and inheritance.

• Organizes knowledge in a modular way.

#### Weaknesses:

- Limited reasoning capabilities.
- Inefficient for highly dynamic systems.

# **Ontological Representation**

## **Description:**

- Uses ontologies to define concepts, their properties, and relationships formally.
- Ontologies are structured frameworks for domain knowledge.
- Often used in semantic web and natural language processing.

#### **Example:**

Ontology: Library

- Classes: Books, Authors, Members

- Relationships: Writes(Book, Author), Borrows(Book, Member)

#### Strengths:

- Provides a shared vocabulary and precise definitions.
- Facilitates interoperability and reasoning.

#### Weaknesses:

- Time-consuming to design.
- Complex for dynamic knowledge.

# **Procedural Representation**

### **Description:**

- Represents knowledge as a set of procedures or instructions.
- Encodes "how-to" knowledge for performing tasks.

# Example:

- Procedure to bake a cake:
  - 1. Preheat oven.
  - 2. Mix ingredients.
  - 3. Pour into a pan and bake

## Strengths:

- Directly executable.
- Useful for encoding actions and dynamic processes.

#### Weaknesses:

- Lacks generality.
- Cannot easily represent declarative knowledge.

# **Knowledge Reasoning (Inference)**

**Reasoning** is the process of thinking about things in a logical way to make decisions, solve problems, or understand something better

Al systems use reasoning techniques to draw new conclusions from known knowledge. This includes:

- Deductive reasoning: Drawing specific conclusions from general rules.
- Inductive reasoning: Generalizing from specific instances or data.
- Abductive reasoning: Inferring the most likely explanation for a set of observations.
- Probabilistic reasoning: Managing uncertainty through statistical models (e.g., Bayesian inference).

# 1. Deductive Reasoning:

## **Description:**

- Derives specific conclusions from general facts or rules.
- Based on logic, where if the premises are true, the conclusion must also be true.

## Example:

- Rule: All birds can fly.
- Fact: A sparrow is a bird.
- Conclusion: A sparrow can fly.

# 2.Inductive Reasoning

### **Description:**

- Draws generalized conclusions from specific observations.
- The conclusion is probable but not guaranteed.

### Example:

- Observation: Every swan I have seen is white.
- Conclusion: All swans are white.

# 3. Abductive Reasoning

This reasoning involves making the best guess based on the information available, often used when we don't have complete information.

### **Example:**

- Observation: The ground is wet.
- Possible Explanation: It might have rained.

## 4. Probabilistic reasoning

## **Description:**

- Deals with uncertainty by assigning probabilities to conclusions.
- Instead of providing definite conclusions, it evaluates the likelihood of different outcomes based on available evidence and prior knowledge

#### **Example:**

- Observation: The patient has a fever and cough.
- Conclusion: There is a 70% chance they have the flu.

# 5. Analogical Reasoning

Analogical reasoning solves new problems by drawing analogies from similar, previously solved problems.

## **Example:**

- Known: A plane flies because it has wings like a bird.
- Inference: A new drone with wings can also fly.

# 6.Non-monotonic Reasoning

Non-monotonic reasoning allows for conclusions to be revised or withdrawn when new information becomes available, unlike traditional logic where conclusions remain fixed.

### **Example in Human Thinking:**

- You believe that all the stores are closed because it's late.
- But then you see one store's lights on.
- You revise your belief to say that one store might still be open.

# **Propositional logic**

**Propositional logic** (also called propositional calculus) is a branch of logic that deals with **propositions** and their relationships using logical connectives.

#### **Key Components:**

- **Propositions**: Statements that are either true (T) or false (F).
- Logical Connectives:
  - $\circ$  **AND** ( $\wedge$ ): True only if both propositions are true.
  - $\circ$  OR (V): True if at least one proposition is true.
  - o **NOT (¬)**: Negates the truth value of the proposition.
  - o IMPLIES (→): True except when the first is true and the second is false.
  - BICONDITIONAL ( $\leftrightarrow$ ): True if both propositions have the same truth value.

# **Tautology**

A **tautology** is a compound statement that is always **true** regardless of the truth values of its components.

## **Example:**

• Proposition: P V ¬P

P	¬P	P∨¬P
Т	F	Т
F	Т	T

# **Contradiction**

A **contradiction** is a compound statement that is always **false** regardless of the truth values of its components.

## Example:

Proposition: P ∧ ¬P

Р	¬P	Р∧¬Р
Т	F	F
F	Т	F

# **Contingency**

A **contingency** is a compound statement that is **neither always true nor always false**. Its truth value depends on the truth values of its components.

# Example:

• Proposition: P V Q

Р	Q	PVQ
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	Т
F	Т	Т
F	F	F

# **Predicate Logic**

**Predicate logic**, also called **first-order logic (FOL)**, extends propositional logic by including:

- 1. Quantifiers (universal and existential).
- 2. **Predicates** (functions or relations applied to arguments).

It allows reasoning about objects and their properties, as well as the relationships between objects, making it more expressive than propositional logic.

# **Key Components of Predicate Logic**

#### 1.Constants:

- Represent specific objects or entities.
- Example: a,b,c (e.g., John, Earth).

#### 2.Variables:

- Represent arbitrary objects in the domain.
- Example: x,y,z

#### 3.Predicates:

- Represent properties or relations.
- Example: Likes(John,IceCream), Greater(x,y)

#### 4.Quantifiers:

- Universal Quantifier (∀): "For all."
  - $\circ$  Example:  $\forall x(P(x))$  "For all x, P(x) is true."
- Existential Quantifier (3): "There exists."
  - $_{\circ}$  Example:  $\exists x(P(x))$ : There exists at least one x for which P(x) is true."

#### **5.Logical Connectives:**

• AND ( $\Lambda$ ), OR (V), NOT ( $\neg$ ), IMPLIES ( $\rightarrow$ )(then), EQUIVALENT ( $\leftrightarrow$ ).