

Create an account or log in to Facebook to connect with friends and share photos.

Join

Log In



Dhirendra Nath Misra edited a **doc** in the group: **Krishnamurti Padhdhati ASTROLOGY**.

3 March 2011 at 14:35 ·

Respected Dr.L.S.Rath Ji ,

This is my humble submission that at least all the KP followers accept that
We follow placidus system.

Further we follow that -

"Planet is the source, constellation indicates nature of the result and the SUB is a deciding factor whether the matter is favourable or not." This can be interpreted in any manner by the KP astrologers.

So far I could follow that in short your opinion is that planet does not signify the houses signified by its sub-lord until and unless the planet signifies through its positional and stellar status. At the least the planet has to aspect the cusp in question. The sub-lord is always a qualifier.

This is my humble submission if I may that I myself do not limit to Sub to decide favorable or unfavorable results, but also taking Sub's nature into consideration regarding which I had submitted a chart mentioning that even star lord of sub lord decides the real picture. I had also mentioned that without following it the status of the native(my cousin brother) can't be judged whereas concerned native is software Engineer ,earns handsome salary and from all angles life is satisfactory(DOB- October 14,1962,TOB-01:09AM,27N09;81E57).

Majority of examples in KP readers use two steps only i.e. a planet will
offer result of its own as well as results of its nakshatra lord.

Chandrakant Bhatt in his famous book Nakshatra Chintamani used third step consistently. To keep it consistent with KP readers, he uses term "connected with" for the third step.

JC Luthara and his followers also use these three steps only similar to
Chandrakant Bhatt. Though they use term "Nadi Astrology" for "KP System"

Punit ji has revealed and made easy to understand for students like me that –

4-step theory by Sunil Gondhalekar ji goes one step further. He has mentioned two places in KP readers where fourth step is used. Taking clue from those two examples, he has started consistently using fourth step. By fourth step we mean nakshatra lord of the sub lord is also considered for signification.

Adith ji also coined one theory by the name of "Backward theory" and if I understand correctly, he also uses sub lord of the sub lord in this theory as well as nakshatra of sub lord. We can call Backward theory a "six step theory". I guess this is similar to six-fold signification table used by Shri KSK at some places in 1966 edition of his book
(<http://kpastrology.astrosage.com/Home/differences-in-readers>)

Planets aspected by Significator are also considered significator. It seems there is no dispute in this as far as understanding of KP readers are concerned, but not all astrologers use it. Majority of KP astrologers who use it, use Indian astrology aspects only and not Western astrology aspects. We can call it another step.

It is up to the astrologer on the number of steps he wants to use based on his experience and preference. This fight will always go on as KP readers at different places use different number of steps.

I may rely upon the example suggested by respected Tw ji –Please Judge Mercury for the chart under discussion (http://groups.yahoo.com/group/k_p_system/message/36724) as follows -

Me(10,4) in star Su(10,6) & sub Ra Conj Ve(9,2-3-7) within 5deg orb, signifies 2,7.

Here Mercury owns 4th and occupies 10th. 10th being 12th to 11th is not considered conducive for marriage. The same is proved by the study of Dr. Rath. Mercury is in the star of Sun in 10th and owning 6th. Both are strongly detrimental houses for marriage. So Mercury doesn't signify any of the conducive house for marriage and hence there is no question of Sub coming into the picture. Tw ji still considered Mercury because though Mercury doesn't signify either of 2, 7 or 11, still Mercury is connected with 2nd and 7th at Sub level. **This is one interpretation approach now-a-days consistently seen by KP astrologers where they consider houses connected at sub level for result itself rather than just qualification (favorable/ unfavorable) of signification.**

Further discussion went on which is as following which supports what I had been thinking though the above chart was not taken up (DOB- October 14,1962,TOB-01:09AM,27N09;81E57)-

////////////////////////////////////

STAR LORD OF SUB LORD:-[18.02.2011]

Re: [k_p_system] Re: whether kp went wrong? To Anand - - No Sub's Star in KP

From:

adith kasinath.g.k

To:

k_p_system@yahoogroups.com

Dear TW ji,

I have got some literature or books in which the star lord of the Sublord is used for the deciding factor. Even the Sublord speaks books is based on this only.

Constellation lord is the source. and Sublord is the Deciding factor. Yes.

For example. Jup is in the star of Moon and in the sub of Venus.

Jup will signify what Moon signifies. What Moon will signify ? Moon by its position, lordship and also its starlord. If the starlord of the stralord is a weak significator, it will dilute the signification.

What the sublord Venus will signify?

Venus will signify its position, lordship and also its starlord significations.If the star lord of the sublord is a weak significator the matter in question, it will definteley dilute the signification and affect the result.

Only if all these planets are strong enough , the matter is enjoyed in full.

If we do not go in depth in this way, we are having more rooms to end up in wrong predictions in practical cases. Because, in the thoery, most of teh examples are simple which go with the rules. But the practical cases are complicated most of the time. Not exactly as per teh rules. We have to look into the roots of the each planet by its strength.

This is my humble opinion.

With Regards

Adith //

Stalwart Tin win Ji refers KP Rules which are as following:

KP is the Sub lord theory only. It is not KP at all without Sub.

Ref. 1

Krishnamurti Padhdhati, Vol. 2, 1966, Sagar Publications, p 41/

KP Reader III, p 136 (Original Edition, Theory Part p 129)/

KP Reader V p 126 (Original Edition p 129)/

KP Reader VI p 91

But according to the Krishnamurti system, the planet which is considered to be a benefic by lordship offers the results signified by it, through the planet deposited in its constellation.

"Beneficial planets offer their results through the depositors in their constellation, only when the sub is also governed by a benefic. If the sub is ruled by a malefic, the depositor cannot bring out favourable results."

For example, to a Scorpio-born, Jupiter is the lord of 2 and 5. Jupiter is not only the chief governor for children but also by owning the houses 2 and 5 it signifies the birth of children to that person. Jupiter rules the stars Punarvasu, Visaka and Poorvapathrapada. If planets occupy any one of these stars, then the planet tenanted in the constellation has to offer the results indicated by Jupiter. If the sub is governed by lord of 11, then the person gets a child. But if the sub is ruled by the lord of 12, no child will be born during the period of the planet in the sub governed by lord of 12. Therefore a planet occupying Punarvasu Nakshatra and Mercury sub will give a child. So one is to question that the planet which either occupies a constellation or transits in a constellation is the source by which one has his desire fulfilled. The lord of the constellation indicates the nature of the result, "the sub shows whether it is desirable or undesirable."

"Hence Planet is the source, constellation indicates nature of the result and the SUB is a deciding factor whether the matter is favourable or not."

Ref. 2

KP Reader III pp 143-145 (Original Edition, Theory Part pp 137-139)

KP Reader V pp 143-145 (Original Edition pp 137-139)

KP Reader VI pp 80-82

A planet 'A' offers the results of the house occupied by that planet 'B' which is the lord of the star wherein this planet 'A' is deposited.

Again trouble arose when 2,3 or 4 planets are found in the same constellation, as all the planets in the same star, though referring the same matters, yet gave diametrically opposite results, i.e if planets A,B,C and D are in one constellation, in the same house, A and C gave pleasant results whereas B and D gave just opposite results. This made me think further. .. Then I started doing research and in all cases I found that planets, though they are in the same constellation, yet a few are beneficial and a few unfavourable. This caused me to further carry on research. I found that every constellation must be divided in the

manner I have said into 9 subs and each sub having that area in a constellation in the proportion in which they are allotted the numbers of years in Vimshodhari dasa.

I proved that planets occupying any one constellation has connection with the Bhava occupied by the lord of constellation. These planets in that constellation are termed as the "Significators" of the Bhava occupied by the lord of the constellation. Thus if one works out, for all the planets then each planet is found to signify the matters of a few houses.

Then judge each planet. The planet signifies a matter. Whether it votes for the progress and prosperity or due to the "sub lord" it is not capable doing good, further it does harm to that bhava signified by the planet, is to be studied.

"This discovery has crowned me with success."

I say "If a planet is the significator of lagna bhava, it gives long life, success in attempts; it helps to maintain good health, if the sub lord is not the significator of 6 or 8 or 12. If the sub lord of the planet signifies 6th house and if a planet shows lagna results and occupy such a sub ruled by the significator of 6, he falls ill. The constellation refers to his health, sub signifying 6 gives disease. If a planet signifies lagna bhava and it is in the "sub of the significator of 8", he meets with danger.

If the "sub lord signifies 12th house" and the significator of lagna is in such a sub, one runs away, or one is imprisoned, or hospitalized.

Therefore constellation lord shows whom or which matter it refers. But "the deciding factor, good or bad, success or failure is shown by the sub occupied by the significator."

Suppose a planet is the significator of second bhava and it is in the "sub of 6", one borrows but if it is in the sub of 8 or 12, he lends to others or repays loan.

Suppose a planet is the significator of 7th house and if it is in the "sub of 2 or 11" one gains, there is reunion, one gets married, etc. But if it is in the significator of 12, separation or ill health to wife. If it is in the "sub of 1 and 2", danger to partner's life. There can be 3 or 4 or any number of significators for the 7th. Therefore during the period or sub period of a planet in such a sub whose lord signifies 12th house, wife falls ill or the native goes away and separation is caused. If the sub lord signifies 6th house, the wife goes away, thereby there is separation.

Ref. 3

Krishnamurti Padhdhati or Guruji's self written biography dated June 30, 1969 in R. Eshwara Manu's "Astrological Tables for All

The planets deposited in a particular constellation can indicate only the nature of the event i.e. which house matter the planets indicate due to the lord of constellation by its occupation. It does not mean that a planet deposited in a constellation should necessarily be favourable and contribute for the benefit of the matters signified by a bhava occupied by the lord of constellation. For example, Guru and Sani were in the same constellation. Guru is in the "beneficial sub", whereas Sani is in an "adverse sub". So during Guru

period one enjoys the results of the bhava occupied by the lord of constellation whereas during the period of Sani he faces just diametrically opposite results in the same matter. If in the Guru sub period, a child is born, during Sani the same child dies. It means Guru and Sani are in one constellation and the lord of constellation is in 5. Guru was in a favourable sub and Sani was in an unfavourable one.

Ref. 4

KP Reader III p 362 (Original Edition, Practical Part p 194)

Further, one is to note the dasa period which one runs. Because in one period and subperiod, one may be in service; in another sub subperiod in the same major period keep idle; in the third subperiod do business; in the next subperiod, have gains, in another loose and so on.

Hence one is to note what each planet can contribute always consider its "sub lord".

(note: The cuspal sub lord of a house is also a planet.)

Ref. 5

Krishnamurti Padhdhati, Vol. 2, 1966, Sagar Publications, p 314

It is necessary to judge a planet by the lord of constellation and "sub" when you can have the "correct results".

Further for timing of Events

Once it is decided whether the event is promised in the chart or not, next important step is to find out when the event will take place.

The matter will fructify in the dasa, bhukti and antara of the significators of houses group for the matter under consideration. Significators of main and supportive houses needs to be found out according to established KP rules as stated above or the method given in the section "Finding House Significators." Punit Ji Says that Now-a-days all KP software like <http://www.MyKundali.com> gives a list of significator, so one need not to worry about significator selection process. The event will happen in the conjoined period of the significators of the house group for the matter under consideration. Among the significators, one need out possible dasa lord, bhukti lord and antara lord. If the selected number of significators are more than three (we require one for dasa, one for bhukti and one for antara), we need to find out powerful significators among them according to the rules given in "selection of significator" section.

Note: In KP System, only Vimshottari Dasa is used. Other dasas like Yogini, Kalchakra, Ashottari, Chara Dasa etc. are not used.

Generally dasa is for some longer duration. To pin point exact time of happening of an event, we need to see the transit as follows -

1. Dasa, Bhukti and Antara lord should transit through the significators of the houses under consideration to fructify the event.
2. For even finer timing, we need to check transit of Sun and Moon. Sun and Moon should transit through the significators.

Note: Sun transit is considered when the event is likely within a year. Moon transit should be considered for pin-pointing events up to a month. Lagan transit should be considered for predicting the event upto hour and minutes.

The above is my humble submission. I may correct myself further if there is something mentioned wrongly.

With thanks & regards,

Dhirendra Nath Misra

Like

Share



Shyamal Chaudhury

J share the articles in my time line and help 24/7 group

Like · 3Hide · 7 October 2014



Dhirendra Nath Misra

On Ruling Planets - http://issuu.com/dhirendranathmisra/docs/on_ruling_planets_f3e02306c70067/0



On ruling planets

On Ruling Planets: Ruling planets are the real phenomenon that makes every astrologer proud and ...
[issuu.com](http://issuu.com/dhirendranathmisra/docs/on_ruling_planets_f3e02306c70067/0)

Like · 1Hide · 3 November 2014



Dhirendra Nath Misra

http://issuu.com/dhirendranathmisra/docs/on_ruling_planets_f3e02306c70067?e=14230854%2F9989684#search



On ruling planets

On Ruling Planets: Ruling planets are the real phenomenon that makes every astrologer proud and ...
[issuu.com](http://issuu.com/dhirendranathmisra/docs/on_ruling_planets_f3e02306c70067?e=14230854%2F9989684#search)

Like · 2Hide · 3 November 2014