A more detailed explanation is provided in the EDA section of the code.

Feature selection:

- 1. The features like Is_Active, Credit_Product, Age, Vintage, Avg_Account_Balance seemed like some of the important features after EDA.
- 2. People with Credit_Product value NaN look to have a better chance of conversion, therefore ignoring NaN wasn't an option so I added that as a new category.
- 3. Distribution of Avg_Account_Balance was almost a power-law distribution so changing that to normal seemed like a good strategy.
- 4. People having lower value of Age and Vintage have smaller chances of turning to lead so creating a new feature to manifest this property made sense. We created a new feature Age * (Vintage//12)^2 and is shown to have a good value of feature importance.
- 5. There were so many values for the categorical feature Region_Code so it seemed like a better way to encode them by imputing their respective mean of ls_Lead.
- Gender alone is not that important feature but might become a valuable feature if used with other features like Channel_Code.
- 7. I also tried using different scaling methods like Min-Max and Standard scaler to see how our models respond to that.
- 8. For Categorical features, I tried Label encoding (for binary features) and one-hot encoding.

Model Selection:

I have tried multiple different models such as Logistic Regression, Random Forest, XgBoost, LightGBM, CatBoost, Deep Learning. All performed well but XgBoost seemed to have outperformed all of them. Even though I was really torn between CatBoost (Because of its unique way of handling categorical features) and XgBoost but CatBoost tended to overfit a little probably needed some better featurization which could have been possible had time permitted.