# Report on how to solve a regression problem using different regression algorithms.

#### Introduction

#### 1.1 Project Overview

This project focuses on solving a regression problem using various regression algorithms. The aim is to predict student performance based on several features and to compare the effectiveness of different regression techniques.

#### 1.2 Objectives

- To understand the fundamentals of regression analysis.
- To implement and evaluate different regression algorithms.
- To compare the performance of these algorithms and determine the most effective one.

#### 1.3 Importance of Regression Analysis

Regression analysis is a powerful statistical method that allows us to examine the relationship between two or more variables. It is essential for predicting outcomes and making informed decisions based on data.

#### 1.4 Overview of Regression Algorithms

The project explores a variety of regression algorithms, including:

#### 1.4.1 Linear Regression

Linear regression is the simplest form of regression analysis that models the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables using a linear equation.

#### 1.4.2 Polynomial Regression

Polynomial regression is a type of regression analysis that models the relationship between the dependent and independent variables as an nth degree polynomial.

#### 1.4.3 Ridge Regression

Ridge regression addresses multicollinearity issues by adding a degree of bias to the regression estimates, which helps in improving the model's prediction accuracy.

#### 1.4.4 Lasso Regression

Lasso regression performs both variable selection and regularization to enhance the prediction accuracy and interpretability of the model it produces.

#### 1.4.5 ElasticNet Regression

ElasticNet regression combines the properties of both Ridge and Lasso regression, aiming to retain the benefits of both techniques.

#### 1.4.6 Decision Tree Regression

Decision tree regression models predict the target variable by learning decision rules inferred from the data features.

#### 1.4.7 Random Forest Regression

Random forest regression is an ensemble method that uses multiple decision trees to improve the model's predictive accuracy and control overfitting.

#### 1.4.8 Support Vector Regression (SVR)

Support vector regression uses support vector machines to perform regression tasks, maintaining all the main features that characterize the algorithm.

#### 1.4.9 Gradient Boosting Regression

Gradient boosting regression builds an additive model in a forward stage-wise manner, allowing for the optimization of arbitrary differentiable loss functions.

## 1.5 Exploring Regression Analysis: Predictive Modeling Using Student Performance

**Student Performance Dataset** 

**Description:** The Student Performance Dataset is designed to examine factors influencing academic student performance. It comprises 10,000 student records, each containing predictors and a performance index.

#### Variables:

- **Hours Studied**: Total hours spent studying by each student.
- Previous Scores: Scores from previous tests.
- Extracurricular Activities: Whether the student participates in extracurricular activities (Yes or No).
- Sleep Hours: Average hours of sleep per day for each student.
- Sample Question Papers Practiced: Number of sample question papers the student has practiced.

#### **Target Variable:**

• **Performance Index**: Rounded measure of each student's overall academic performance, ranging from 10 to 100. Higher values indicate better performance.

**Dataset Purpose:** The dataset aims to provide insights into how variables such as studying hours, previous scores, extracurricular activities, sleep patterns, and practice with sample question papers relate to student performance. It is a synthetic dataset created for illustrative purposes, offering a platform for researchers and data analysts to explore educational factors and their impacts.

**Note:** This dataset is synthetic, meaning it was generated artificially for illustrative purposes. Thus, while it facilitates analysis of educational influences, the relationships observed may not fully reflect real-world scenarios.

The data can be accessed from <u>Student Performance Data-set (https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/nikhil7280/student-performance-multiple-linear-regression)</u>, which is a public resource.

The objective of using this dataset is to predict the Performance of Students based on the extracted features provided in Student Performance.csv.

## 1.6 Setup

#### 1.6.1 Installation

Ensure you have the necessary Python libraries installed. You can install them using pip:

pip install numpy pandas opendatasets matplotlib seaborn scikit-learn xgboost

## 1. Importing the Libraries

```
In [2]: import numpy as np
        import pandas as pd
        import os
        import opendatasets as od
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import matplotlib
        %matplotlib inline
        import seaborn as sns
        from sklearn.model selection import train test split
        from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression,RidgeCV,LassoCV,ElasticNetCV
        from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, OneHotEncoder, MinMaxScaler
        from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
        from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
        from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
        from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsRegressor
        from sklearn.svm import SVR
        from xgboost import XGBRegressor
        from sklearn.metrics import root mean squared error, r2 score, mean squared error
In [3]: # Customization for Visualisation of Graphs
        sns.set_style('darkgrid')
        matplotlib.rcParams['font.size'] = 14
        matplotlib.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10,6)
        matplotlib.rcParams['figure.facecolor'] = '#00000000'
```

# 2. Data Collection and Preprocessing

```
In [6]: df = pd.read csv('student-performance-multiple-linear-regression/Student Performance.csv')
        df.head(5)
Out[6]:
           Hours Studied Previous Scores Extracurricular Activities Sleep Hours Sample Question Papers Practiced Performance Index
         0
                      7
                                   99
                                                       Yes
                                                                   9
                                                                                                             91.0
                                                                                               1
         1
                      4
                                   82
                                                       No
                                                                   4
                                                                                               2
                                                                                                             65.0
                                                                   7
         2
                      8
                                   51
                                                       Yes
                                                                                               2
                                                                                                             45.0
                      5
                                   52
                                                                   5
                                                                                               2
                                                                                                             36.0
         3
                                                       Yes
                     7
                                   75
                                                       No
                                                                   8
                                                                                               5
                                                                                                             66.0
In [7]: df.info()
        <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
        RangeIndex: 10000 entries, 0 to 9999
        Data columns (total 6 columns):
            Column
                                                Non-Null Count Dtype
         --- -----
             Hours Studied
                                                10000 non-null int64
                                                10000 non-null int64
         1 Previous Scores
         2 Extracurricular Activities
                                                10000 non-null object
         3 Sleep Hours
                                                10000 non-null int64
         4 Sample Question Papers Practiced 10000 non-null int64
             Performance Index
                                                10000 non-null float64
        dtypes: float64(1), int64(4), object(1)
        memory usage: 468.9+ KB
In [8]: # Check for null value
        df.isna().sum()
Out[8]: Hours Studied
                                             0
        Previous Scores
                                             0
        Extracurricular Activities
                                             0
        Sleep Hours
```

Sample Question Papers Practiced

Performance Index

dtype: int64

0

```
In [9]: df.describe()
```

#### Out[9]:

	Hours Studied	Previous Scores	Sleep Hours	Sample Question Papers Practiced	Performance Index
count	10000.000000	10000.000000	10000.000000	10000.000000	10000.000000
mean	4.992900	69.445700	6.530600	4.583300	55.224800
std	2.589309	17.343152	1.695863	2.867348	19.212558
min	1.000000	40.000000	4.000000	0.000000	10.000000
25%	3.000000	54.000000	5.000000	2.000000	40.000000
50%	5.000000	69.000000	7.000000	5.000000	55.000000
75%	7.000000	85.000000	8.000000	7.000000	71.000000
max	9.000000	99.000000	9.000000	9.000000	100.000000

#### Out[10]:

	Hours Studied	<b>Previous Scores</b>	Extracurricular Activities	Sleep Hours	Sample Question Papers Practiced	Performance Index
0	7	99	1	9	1	91.0
1	4	82	0	4	2	65.0
2	8	51	1	7	2	45.0
3	5	52	1	5	2	36.0
4	7	75	0	8	5	66.0

# 2.1 Data Exploration and Visualization



# 2.2 Data Splitting

```
In [12]: # Input column is X and Target column is y
X = df[['Hours Studied','Previous Scores']]
y = df['Performance Index']

In [13]: # Splitting the dataset into the Training set and Test set
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.2, random_state = 42)

In [14]: # using StandardScaler
scaler = StandardScaler()
X_train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test = scaler.transform(X_test)
```

# 3. Modelling

```
In [15]: def evaluate_model(model, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test):
             # Train the model
             model.fit(X train, y train)
             # Predict on training and testing data
             y train pred = model.predict(X train)
             y_test_pred = model.predict(X_test)
             # Calculate R^2 scores
             train r2 = r2 score(y train, y train pred)*100
             test_r2 = r2_score(y_test, y_test_pred)*100
             # Calculate RMSE
             train_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_train_pred))
             test_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_test_pred))
             # Print the scores
             print(f"Training Score (R^2): {train r2}")
             print(f"Testing Score (R^2): {test_r2}")
             print(f"Training RMSE: {train rmse}")
             print(f"Testing RMSE: {test rmse}")
             return test_r2, test_rmse
         # Initialize a list to store the results
         results = []
```

## 3.1 Linear Regression

Training RMSE: 2.2824274304787613 Testing RMSE: 2.289524227116088

```
In [16]: linear_model = LinearRegression()
    print("LinearRegression")
    test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(linear_model, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
    results.append(['Linear Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])

LinearRegression
    Training Score (R^2): 98.58696583934669
    Testing Score (R^2): 98.5855014245765
```

## 3.2 Polynomial Regression

```
In [17]: # Create a polynomial features object of degree 2
poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree=2)
X_train_poly = poly.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test_poly = poly.transform(X_test)

# Create a Linear regression model
model = LinearRegression()

# Evaluate the model
print("Polynomial Regression:")
test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(model, X_train_poly, y_train, X_test_poly, y_test)
results.append(['Polynomial Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])

Polynomial Regression:
Training Score (R^2): 98.58760527867469
Testing Score (R^2): 98.58563492759195
Training RMSE: 2.281910939422129
Testing RMSE: 2.289416179781838
```

## 3.3 Ridge Regression

```
In [18]: alphas = [0.005, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 30, 80]

# Initialize RidgeCV model with specified alphas and cross-validation folds
    ridgeCV = RidgeCV(alphas=alphas, cv=4)
    print("Ridge Model:")
    test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(ridgeCV, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
    results.append(['Ridge Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])
    print(f"Best alpha: {ridgeCV.alpha_}")

Ridge Model:
    Training Score (R^2): 98.5869658237001
    Testing Score (R^2): 98.5854836257077
    Training RMSE: 2.2824274431154676
    Testing RMSE: 2.2895386318012028
    Best alpha: 0.1
```

## 3.4 Lasso Regression

```
In [19]: alphas2 = np.array([1e-5, 5e-5, 0.0001, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1])

# Initialize LassoCV model with specified alphas, maximum iterations, and cross-validation folds
lassoCV = LassoCV(alphas=alphas2, max_iter=50000, cv=3)
print("Lasso Model:")
test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(lassoCV, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
results.append(['Lasso Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])
print(f"Best alpha: {lassoCV.alpha_}")

Lasso Model:
Training Score (R^2): 98.58696583929184
Testing Score (R^2): 98.58550064139607
Training RMSE: 2.282427430523067
Testing RMSE: 2.2895248609485868
Best alpha: 1e-05
```

#### 3.5 ElasticNet

ElasticNet Model: Training Score (R^2): 98.58696581252097 Testing Score (R^2): 98.58548012328893 Training RMSE: 2.282427452144111 Testing RMSE: 2.2895414663099527 Best alpha: 0.0001, Best l1\_ratio: 0.9

## 3.6 Decision Tree Regressor

```
In [21]: # Initialize Decision Tree Regressor with best parameters
    dt_model = DecisionTreeRegressor(max_depth=30, min_samples_leaf=10, random_state=42)

    print("Decision Tree:")
    test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(dt_model, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
    results.append(['Decision Tree Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])

Decision Tree:
    Training Score (R^2): 98.66587276390435
    Testing Score (R^2): 98.5134820738645
    Training RMSE: 2.2177841362213067
    Testing RMSE: 2.347086317315597
```

## 3.7 Random Forest Regression

```
In [22]: rf_model = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=500, max_depth=8, min_samples_leaf=5, n_jobs=-1, random_state=42)
    print("Random Forest:")
    test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(rf_model, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
    results.append(['Random Forest Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])
```

Random Forest:

Training Score (R^2): 98.65789930384949
Testing Score (R^2): 98.52494368757101

Training RMSE: 2.224401597439517 Testing RMSE: 2.3380203477472805

## 3.8 Support Vector Regression (SVR)

```
In [23]: svr_model = SVR(C=15, epsilon=0.02, kernel='linear')
    print("Support Vector Regressor:")
    test_r2, test_rmse = evaluate_model(svr_model, X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
    results.append(['Support Vector Regression', test_r2, test_rmse])

Support Vector Regressor:
    Training Score (R^2): 98.58651770152879
    Testing Score (R^2): 98.58780127282756
    Training RMSE: 2.282789332898403
    Testing RMSE: 2.2876621890573148
```

## 3.9 Gradient Boosting Regression

Testing Score (R^2): 98.58065440528469 Training RMSE: 2.274773541659338 Testing RMSE: 2.2934435939470137

# 4. Comparison of Models

```
In [25]: # Create a DataFrame from the results
    results_df = pd.DataFrame(results, columns=['Regressor', 'Test Score R2', 'Test RMSE'])
    print(results_df) # Print the results
```

```
Regressor Test Score R<sup>2</sup> Test RMSE
              Linear Regression
                                      98.585501
                                                  2.289524
0
          Polynomial Regression
                                      98.585635
                                                  2.289416
1
               Ridge Regression
2
                                      98.585484
                                                  2.289539
               Lasso Regression
3
                                      98.585501
                                                  2.289525
4
          ElasticNet Regression
                                      98.585480
                                                  2.289541
       Decision Tree Regression
5
                                      98.513482
                                                  2.347086
6
       Random Forest Regression
                                      98.524944
                                                  2.338020
      Support Vector Regression
7
                                      98.587801
                                                  2.287662
8 Gradient Boosting Regression
                                                  2.293444
                                      98.580654
```

## 5. Actual vs Predicted

#### Out[26]:

	Actual	Predicted
6252	51.0	55.0
4684	20.0	23.0
1731	46.0	47.0
4742	28.0	30.0
4521	41.0	44.0
6412	45.0	47.0
8285	66.0	62.0
7853	16.0	17.0
1095	65.0	63.0
<b>6929</b> 47.0		46.0

2000 rows × 2 columns

# 7. Model Performance Comparison

• Linear Regression

■ Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.585%

- Test RMSE: 2.289

• Polynomial Regression

• Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.585%

- Test RMSE: 2.289

• Ridge Regression

■ Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.585%

- Test RMSE: 2.289

• Lasso Regression

■ Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.585%

• **Test RMSE**: 2.289

ElasticNet Regression

Test R² Score: 98.585%
 Test RMSE: 2.289

• Decision Tree Regression

Test R² Score: 98.513%
 Test RMSE: 2.347

• Random Forest Regression

■ Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.525%

Test RMSE: 2.338

• Support Vector Regression (SVR)

■ Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.588%

- Test RMSE: 2.287

• Gradient Boosting Regression

■ Test R<sup>2</sup> Score: 98.581%

• **Test RMSE**: 2.293

## **Overall Conclusion**

- **SVR Performance:** SVR demonstrates high accuracy with a Test Score R<sup>2</sup> of **98.588**% and low RMSE of **2.287**. This indicates that SVR fits the data well and generalizes effectively, similar to other linear regression-based models such as Ridge, Lasso, and ElasticNet.
- Tree-Based Models: Decision Tree and Random Forest perform well but show slightly lower R<sup>2</sup> scores and marginally higher RMSE compared to linear models. This could be attributed to their tendency to overfit.
- **Gradient Boosting Regression:** Stands out by achieving comparable performance to linear models, indicating effective ensemble learning and boosting.

# Recommendation

- For Predictive Tasks:
  - Interpretability and Simplicity: Linear regression-based models (including Ridge, Lasso, ElasticNet, and SVR) are suitable choices due to their high accuracy and ease of interpretation.
  - **Complex Relationships:** If capturing more complex relationships or benefiting from ensemble learning is necessary, Gradient Boosting Regression is recommended for its robust performance.

In [ ]:			