

License	Short Description
No License	Code is <i>fully copyrighted</i> ; others cannot legally use, modify, or distribute it without permission.
Apache License 2.0	Permissive license allowing commercial use, modification, and distribution; includes <b>explicit patent protection</b> .
GNU General Public License v3.0 (GPL-3.0)	Strong copyleft license; derivatives <b>must also be GPL-licensed</b> and source code must be shared.
MIT License	Very permissive and simple; allows reuse with minimal restrictions, only requiring attribution.
BSD 2-Clause "Simplified" License	Permissive license similar to MIT; allows reuse with attribution and disclaimer.
BSD 3-Clause "New" or "Revised" License	Like BSD 2-Clause, but <b>adds a clause preventing endorsement</b> using the author's name.
Boost Software License 1.0	Permissive license designed for libraries; allows commercial and closed-source use with attribution.
Creative Commons Zero v1.0 (CC0)	Public-domain equivalent; <b>no restrictions</b> , users can do anything without attribution.
Eclipse Public License 2.0 (EPL-2.0)	Weak copyleft; modifications to EPL-licensed code must be shared, but can be used in proprietary software.
GNU Affero General Public License v3.0 (AGPL-3.0)	Like GPL-3.0, but <b>requires source disclosure for network/server use</b> .
GNU General Public License v2.0 (GPL-2.0)	Strong copyleft license; source code must be shared, but <b>no explicit patent protection</b> .
GNU Lesser General Public License v2.1 (LGPL-2.1)	Weak copyleft; allows linking with proprietary software while protecting library modifications.
Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0)	File-level copyleft; only modified files must be open-sourced, allowing proprietary combinations.
The Unlicense	Explicitly places code into the <b>public domain</b> , with no conditions or attribution required.

License	Type	Commercial Use	Modification	Distribution	Source Disclosure Required	Patent Grant	Copyleft Strength
No License	Proprietary	✗ No	✗ No	✗ No	✗ Yes (fully restricted)	✗ No	N/A
MIT License	Permissive	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
Apache License 2.0	Permissive	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✓ Yes	None
BSD 2-Clause	Permissive	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
BSD 3-Clause	Permissive	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
Boost Software License 1.0	Permissive	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
Creative Commons Zero (CC0)	Public Domain	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
The Unlicense	Public Domain	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	✗ No	None
Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0)	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	⚠ Partial (modified files only)	✓ Yes	Weak
Eclipse Public License 2.0 (EPL-2.0)	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	⚠ Partial (module-level)	✓ Yes	Weak
GNU LGPL v2.1	Weak Copyleft	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	⚠ Partial (library changes)	✗ No	Weak
GNU GPL v2.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited*	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✗ No	Strong
GNU GPL v3.0	Strong Copyleft	✗ Limited*	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	Strong
GNU AGPL v3.0	Network Copyleft	✗ Limited*	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes (even over network)	✓ Yes	Very Strong

### Popular GitHub Alternatives

- **GitLab** – All-in-one DevOps platform (code + CI/CD)
- **Bitbucket** – Good for teams using Jira
- **Gitea** – Lightweight, self-hosted
- **Gogs** – Very minimal, self-hosted
- **SourceForge** – Old but still used for downloads
- **Azure DevOps** – Strong Microsoft ecosystem integration
- **Codeberg** – Open-source friendly, non-profit