

Formal Specification, Part III

Srinivas Pinisetty¹

March 27, 2024

¹Based on material from Wolfgang Aherndt,...

Last Lecture

- ▶ Introduced Dafny: An object oriented language with formal specification
- ▶ Pre- and postconditions: `requires/ensures`
- ▶ modifies clauses: What fields may method change
- ▶ `assert` statements

Outside method body Dafny only “remembers” annotations (pre- and postconditions).

Methods, Functions and Predicates

- ▶ Methods cannot be used in annotations (may change memory).

Methods, Functions and Predicates

- ▶ Methods cannot be used in annotations (may change memory).
- ▶ `functions` and `predicates`
 - ▶ Cannot write to memory
 - ▶ Single statement
 - ▶ reads keyword states what location functions looks up.

Dafny Functions

- ▶ Mathematical functions.
- ▶ **Cannot write to memory** (unlike methods). Safe to use in spec.
- ▶ **Can only be used in annotations.**
- ▶ Single unnamed return value, body is single statement (no semicolon).

A Function

```
function abs(x : int) : int
{ if x < 0 then -x else x }
```

- ▶ Now, can write e.g. **assert** abs(3) == 3;.
- ▶ Or, **ensures** r == abs(x).

Dafny Functions

A function method

```
function method abs(x : int) : int {  
  if x < 0 then -x else x  
}
```

- ▶ Functions are only used for verification.
- ▶ Not present in compiled code.
- ▶ Functions which does exactly same as a method can be declared `function methods`.

Recall: Predicates

Functions returning a boolean are called **predicates**.

A predicate

```
predicate ready()  
  reads this; {  
    insertedCard == null && wrongPINCounter == 0 &&  
    auth == false }
```

Recall: Predicates

Functions returning a boolean are called **predicates**.

A predicate

```
predicate ready()  
  reads this; {  
    insertedCard == null && wrongPINCounter == 0 &&  
    auth == false }
```

Predicates are useful for "naming" common properties used in many annotations:

Example

```
method spitCardOut() returns (card : BankCard)  
  modifies this;  
  requires insertedCard != null;  
  ensures card == old(insertedCard);  
  ensures ready()
```


A few words on Framing

Reading Frame: memory region allowed to be read by function or predicate (all fields of `this` object in the example below)

```
predicate ready()  
  reads this;  
  {insertedCard == null && wrongPINCounter == 0 &&  
    auth == false}
```

Why?

Efficiency.

Framing: Modifies clauses

Recall

```
method insertCard(c : BankCard)
  modifies `insertedCard;
```

- ▶ Methods may read any part of memory
- ▶ Must declare what they change
- ▶ reads and modifies crucial for efficiency and feasibility of automated proofs.

Framing: Modifies clauses

Recall

```
method insertCard(c : BankCard)
  modifies `insertedCard;
```

- ▶ Methods may read any part of memory
- ▶ Must declare what they change
- ▶ `reads` and `modifies` crucial for efficiency and feasibility of automated proofs.

Dafny requires you to state which variables are:

Read (for functions)

Modified (for methods)

More built in Data-structures: Sets

- ▶ Dafny also support **Sets**.
- ▶ **Set**: Collection of elements, no duplication.
- ▶ **Immutable**, allowed in annotations.
 - ▶ Cannot be modified once created.
 - ▶ "Modification" by creating a new Set.
 - ▶ c.f. strings in Java.

Examples: See Dafny online tutorial
(<https://rise4fun.com/Dafny/tutorial/Sets>).

Examples: Sets

Basics

```
var s1 := {}; // the empty set
var s2 := {1, 2, 3}; // set contains exactly 1, 2,
    and 3
assert s2 == {1,1,2,3,3,3,3}; // true, no duplicates.
```

Examples: Sets

Basics

```
var s1 := {}; // the empty set
var s2 := {1, 2, 3}; // set contains exactly 1, 2,
    and 3
assert s2 == {1,1,2,3,3,3,3}; // true, no duplicates.
```

Union, intersection and set difference

```
var s3, s4 := {1,2}, {1,4};
assert s2 + s4 == {1,2,3,4}; // set union
assert s2 * s3 == {1,2} && s2 * s4 == {1}; // set
    intersection
assert s2 - s3 == {3}; // set difference
```

Examples: Sets

Subset operators

```
assert {1} <= {1, 2} && {1, 2} <= {1, 2}; // subset
assert {} < {1, 2} && !({1} < {1}); // strict, or
proper, subset
assert {1, 2} == {1, 2} && {1, 3} != {1, 2}; //
equality and non-equality
```

Examples: Sets

Subset operators

```
assert {1} <= {1, 2} && {1, 2} <= {1, 2}; // subset
assert {} < {1, 2} && !({1} < {1}); // strict, or
proper, subset
assert {1, 2} == {1, 2} && {1, 3} != {1, 2}; //
equality and non-equality
```

Set Membership

```
assert 5 in {1,3,4,5};
assert 1 in {1,3,4,5};
assert 2 !in {1,3,4,5};
assert forall x :: x !in {};
```


Recap: Using Quantified Dafny expressions

How to express:

- ▶ An array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

Recap: Using Quantified Dafny expressions

How to express:

- ▶ An array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

```
forall i :: 0 <= i < arr.Length ==> arr[i] <= 2
```

Recap: Using Quantified Dafny expressions

How to express:

- ▶ The variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

Recap: Using Quantified Dafny expressions

How to express:

- The variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

```
forall i :: 0 <= i < arr.Length ==> m >= arr[i]
```

Is this enough?

Recap: Using Quantified Dafny expressions

How to express:

- The variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

```
forall i :: 0 <= i < arr.Length ==> m >= arr[i]
```

Is this enough?

```
arr.Length > 0 ==>
```

```
exists i :: 0 <= i < arr.Length && m == arr[i]
```

Example: Specifying LimitedIntegerSet

```
class LimitedIntegerSet {  
  
    var limit : int;  
    var arr : array<int>;  
    var size : int;  
  
    method Init(lim : int)  
    {  
        limit := lim;  
        arr := new int[lim];  
        size := 0;  
    }  
    method Contains(elem : int) returns (res : bool){/*...*/  
}  
    method Find(elem : int) returns (index : int) {/*...*/}  
    method Add(elem : int) returns (res : bool) {/*...*/}  
  
}
```

Specifying Init: A validity predicate

What are the allowed values for the fields of a `LimitedInSet`?

```
class LimitedIntegerSet {
```

```
    var limit : int;
```

```
    var arr : array<int>;
```

```
    var size : int;
```

```
predicate Valid()
```

```
    reads this, this.arr;
```

```
    {arr != null &&
```

```
    0 <= size <= limit &&
```

```
    limit == arr.Length}
```

Specifying Init

```
method Init(lim : int)
  modifies this;
  requires lim > 0;
  ensures Valid();
  ensures limit == lim && size == 0;
  ensures fresh(arr);
{. . .}
```

- ▶ New objects are indeed valid.
- ▶ Parameters set correctly.
- ▶ Array is freshly allocated.
- ▶ The **fresh** keyword: for the verifier to know that some given object has been freshly allocated in a given method

Specifying contains

```
method contains (elem : int) . . .
```

- ▶ Has no effect on the state.
- ▶ Returns a boolean.
- ▶ Might be useful in specifications.
- ▶ Let's make it a **function method**!

Specifying contains

method contains (elem : int) . . .

- ▶ Has no effect on the state.
- ▶ Returns a boolean.
- ▶ Might be useful in specifications.
- ▶ Let's make it a **function method**!

```
function method contains (elem : int) : bool
reads this, this.arr;
requires this.Valid();
{exists i :: 0 <= i < size && arr[i] == elem}
```

Specifying add

```
method Add(elem : int) returns (res :bool)
modifies this.arr, this`size;
requires Valid();
ensures Valid();
ensures (!old(contains(elem)) && old(size) < limit) ==>
    res && contains(elem) && size == old(size)+1
    &&
        (forall e :: e!=elem && old(contains(e)) ==>
            contains(e));
ensures (old(contains(elem)) || old(size) >= limit) ==>
    !res && size == old(size) &&
    forall i :: 0 <= i < size ==> arr[i] == old(
arr[i]);

{/*...*/}
```

Details of Specification

- ▶ How much detail needed in formal specification?
- ▶ Depends (to some extent) on what we want to prove about code.
- ▶ Recall: Dafny only "remembers" spec of method outside method body.

Specifying Find

```
method Find(elem : int) returns (index : int)
  requires Valid();
  ensures 0 <= index ==> index < size && arr[index] == elem
;
  ensures index < 0 ==> forall k :: 0 <= k < size ==>
    arr[k] != elem;
```

- ▶ Implemented using linear search (**while loop**).
- ▶ Dafny cannot prove post-condition!
 - ▶ How many times do we go through the loop?
 - ▶ Will it cover all elements?
- ▶ **Solution: Loop invariants**

Loops in Dafny

```
method m(i : nat) returns (z : nat)
{
    z := 0;
    while z < i { z := z + 1; }
}
```

Introduction to Loop Invariants

In general, checking whether when the precondition holds then the postcondition must hold is undecidable in a method with loops (without extra information in the form of loop invariants)

Dafny cannot prove anything about loops without extra info

- ▶ No way of knowing how many times we go through the loop.
- ▶ Need to prove for all paths of program.

Introduction to Loop Invariants

A **loop invariant** is a property of a program loop that is true after any number of iterations (including 0)

Introduction to Loop Invariants

A **loop invariant** is a property of a program loop that is true after any number of iterations (including 0)

invariant == does not change

Loop invariant is expression which holds:

- ▶ First time entering loop
- ▶ At each iteration of loop
- ▶ When exiting the loop

Loop Invariant Example 1

```
method m (i : nat) returns (z : nat)
ensures z == i
{
    z := 0;
    while z < i
    invariant 0 <= z
    { z := z + 1; }
}
```

Dafny proves:

- Invariant holds when entering the loop.
- Invariant **preserved** by the loop.

Loop Invariant Example 2

```
method m (i : nat) returns (z : nat)
ensures z == i
{
    z := 0;
    while z < i
    invariant 0 <= i && i > z
    { z := z + 1; }
}
```

Invariant is not preserved!

- Dafny tries to prove that $0 \leq i \ \&\& \ i > z$ holds after each iteration.
- Holds for every execution except last one.

Picking a loop invariant

To be useful, a loop invariant must not only hold after any number of iterations, but also must allow Dafny to prove the postcondition.

```
method m (i : nat) returns (z : nat)
  ensures z == i
{
    z := 0;
    while z < i
      invariant z != i+1
    { z := z + 1; }
}
```

After a loop exits, Dafny knows:

- ▶ The loop invariant holds
- ▶ The loop guard does not hold
- ▶ Invariant considered in this example $z \neq i + 1$ not useful to prove post-condition.

Example: Revise invariant

```
method m (i : nat) returns (z : nat)
ensures z == i
{
    z := 0;
    while z < i
    invariant z <= i
    { z := z + 1; }
}
```

Dafny proves:

- ▶ This invariant allows Dafny to prove the postcondition:
After the loop, the loop guard ($z < i$) failed. so $\neg(z < i)$ holds.
Also, we know that the loop invariant $z \leq i$ holds.
 $(\neg(z < i) \ \&\& \ z \leq i) \implies (z == i)$
- ▶ Finding the correct invariant can be challenging.

Loop Invariants for Find method

```
method Find(elem : int) returns (index : int)
  requires Valid();
  ensures index < 0 ==> forall k :: 0 <= k < size ==> arr[k] !=
elem;
  ensures 0 <= index ==> index < size && arr[index] == elem
;
{
  index := 0;
  while (index < size)
    invariant ?
    {
      if(arr[index] == elem) {return;}
      index := index + 1;
    }
  index := -1;
```

- ▶ Dafny needs to know loop covers all elements.
- ▶ Everything before current index has been looked at and is not elem.

Loop Invariants for Find method

```
index := 0;
while (index < size)
  invariant forall k :: 0 <= k < index ==> a[k] != elem
  {
    if(arr[index] == elem) {return;}
    index := index + 1;
  }
index := -1;
```

- ▶ Everything before, but excluding index is not elem.
- ▶ **Holds on entry, Invariant is preserved**: tests value before extending range of non-elem range.
- ▶ Dafny complains: index may be out of range of array. Need invariant on index too.

Loop Invariants for Find method

```
index := 0;
while (index < size)
  invariant forall k :: 0 <= k < index ==> a[k] != elem
  invariant 0 <= index <= size
  {
    if(arr[index] == elem) {return;}
    index := index + 1;
  }
index := -1;
```

- ▶ Holds on entry: as index is 0, quantification over empty set. Implication trivially true.
- ▶ Invariant is preserved: tests value before extending range of non-elem range.
- ▶ No array-out-of bound as $k < \text{index}$.

Termination

- ▶ We know is **if we exit** the loop, we can assume invariants and negation of loop guard.
- ▶ Invariant says **nothing about whether loop actually ever exits**.
- ▶ Dafny needs to ensure that each loop terminates.
- ▶ **decreases** clause:
 - ▶ Expression gets smaller at each iteration
 - ▶ Is bounded
 - ▶ Integer value (often)
- ▶ Dafny can often guess this itself

Example

```
while (0 < i)
  invariant 0 <= i;
  decreases i;
  {
    i := i - 1;
  }
```

Termination: Common pattern for decreases

Often count up, not down:

Example

```
while (i < n)
  invariant 0 <= i <= n;
  decreases (n - i);
  {
    i := i + 1;
  }
```

- ▶ Difference between n and i decrease.
- ▶ Bounded from below by zero: $0 \leq (n - i)$.
- ▶ Very common pattern, Dafny's guess in most situations.

Summary

- ▶ Framing: reads and modifies clauses. Important for efficiency.
- ▶ Sets.
- ▶ Using quantifiers in specifications.
- ▶ Loops and loop invariant (more in coming lectures).
- ▶ Loop termination and decreases clauses.