# Statistical Language Models Advanced Smoothing and Evaluation

Tanmoy Chakraborty
Associate Professor, IIT Delhi
<a href="https://tanmoychak.com/">https://tanmoychak.com/</a>





#### Advanced Smoothing Algorithms

• Naïve smoothing algorithms have limited usage and are not very effective. Not frequently used for N-grams.

However, they can be used in domains where the number of zeros isn't so huge.





#### Advanced Smoothing Algorithms

• Naïve smoothing algorithms have limited usage and are not very effective. Not frequently used for N-grams.

• However, they can be used in domains where the number of zeros isn't so huge.

- Popular Algorithms:
  - Good-Turing
  - Kneser-Ney





#### Advanced Smoothing Algorithms

• Naïve smoothing algorithms have limited usage and are not very effective. Not frequently used for N-grams.

However, they can be used in domains where the number of zeros isn't so huge.

- Popular Algorithms:
  - Good-Turing
  - Kneser-Ney

Use the count of things we've seen once

to help estimate the count of things we've **never seen** 





• N<sub>C</sub> = Frequency of frequency of c







- N<sub>C</sub> = Frequency of frequency of c
- Rohan I am I am Rohan I like to play





- N<sub>C</sub> = Frequency of frequency of c
- Rohan I am I am Rohan I like to play

```
I 3
```

Rohan 2

Am 2

like 1

to 1

play 1







- N<sub>C</sub> = Frequency of frequency of c
- Rohan I am I am Rohan I like to play

I 3

Rohan 2

Am 2

like 1

to 1

play 1

$$N_1 = 3$$
,  $N_2 = 2$ ,  $N_3 = 1$ 







- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?





- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?
  - 1/18





- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?
  - 1/18
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a new species -- Purple Heron or Painted Stork?





- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?
  - 1/18
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a new species -- Purple Heron or Painted Stork?
  - We will use our estimate of things we saw once to estimate the new things.





- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?
  - 1/18
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a new species -- Purple Heron or Painted Stork?
  - We will use our estimate of things we saw once to estimate the new things.
  - 3/18 (because  $N_1 = 3$ )





- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?
  - 1/18
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a new species -- Purple Heron or Painted Stork?
  - We will use our estimate of things we saw once to estimate the new things.
  - 3/18 (because  $N_1 = 3$ )
- Assuming so, how likely it is that the new species is Woodpecker?





- You are birdwatching in the Jim Corbett National Park and you have observed the following birds: 10 Flamingos, 3 Kingfishers, 2 Indian Rollers, 1 Woodpecker, 1 Peacock, 1 Crane = 18 birds
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a woodpecker?
  - 1/18
- How likely is it that the next bird you see is a new species -- Purple Heron or Painted Stork?
  - We will use our estimate of things we saw once to estimate the new things.
  - 3/18 (because  $N_1 = 3$ )
- Assuming so, how likely it is that the new species is Woodpecker?
  - Must be less than 1/18





•  $P_{GT}^*$ (things with zero frequency) =  $\frac{N_1}{N}$ 







- $P_{GT}^*$ (things with zero frequency) =  $\frac{N_1}{N}$
- Unseen (Purple Heron or Painted Stork)
  - C = 0
  - MLE p = 0/18 = 0
  - $P_{GT}^*$  (unseen) =  $N_1/N = 3/18$







•  $P_{GT}^*$ (things with zero frequency) =  $\frac{N_1}{N}$ 

$$c^* = \frac{(c+1)N_{c+1}}{N_c}$$

- Unseen (Purple Heron or Painted Stork)
  - C = 0
  - MLE p = 0/18 = 0
  - $P_{GT}^*$  (unseen) =  $N_1/N = 3/18$







- $P_{GT}^*$ (things with zero frequency) =  $\frac{N_1}{N}$
- Unseen (Purple Heron or Painted Stork)
  - C = 0
  - MLE p = 0/18 = 0
  - $P_{GT}^*$  (unseen) =  $N_1/N = 3/18$

$$c^* = \frac{(c+1)N_{c+1}}{N_c}$$

- Seen once
  - C = 1
  - MLE p = 1/18
  - c\* (Woodpecker) =  $2 * N_2/N_1$ = 2 \* 1/3 = 2/3
  - $P_{GT}^*$  (Woodpecker) =  $\frac{\frac{2}{3}}{18}$  = 1/27







## **Good Turing Estimation**

- Numbers from Church and Gale (1991)
- 22 million words of AP Newswire

Count c	Good Turing c*
0	.0000270
1	0.446
2	1.26
3	2.24
4	3.24
5	4.22
6	5.19
7	6.21
8	7.24
9	8.25

Example from Speech and Language Processing book by Daniel Jurafsky and James H. Martin







## **Good Turing Estimation**

- Numbers from Church and Gale (1991)
- 22 million words of AP Newswire

It looks like  $c^* = (c - 0.75)$ 

Count c	Good Turing c*
0	.0000270
1	0.446
2	1.26
3	2.24
4	3.24
5	4.22
6	5.19
7	6.21
8	7.24
9	8.25

Example from Speech and Language Processing book by Daniel Jurafsky and James H. Martin







#### Absolute Discounting Interpolation

 Adjusts the probability estimates for n-grams by discounting each count by a fixed amount (usually a small constant) before computing probabilities

$$P_{\text{AbsoluteDiscounting}}(w_i \mid w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i) - d}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P(w_i)$$



#### Absolute Discounting Interpolation

 Adjusts the probability estimates for n-grams by discounting each count by a fixed amount (usually a small constant) before computing probabilities

$$P_{\text{AbsoluteDiscounting}}(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{c(w_{i-1}, w_i) - d}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P(w_i)$$
unigram

• But considering the regular unigram probability has some limitations, as we will see in the upcoming slides.



- Intuition: Shannon game
  - My breakfast is incomplete without a cup of ...: coffee/ Angeles?
  - Say, in the corpus "Angeles" more prevalent than "coffee"
  - However, it is important to note that "Angeles" mostly comes after "Los"
- Instead of regular unigram probability, use continuation probability.





- Intuition: Shannon game
  - My breakfast is incomplete without a cup of ...: coffee/ Angeles?
  - Say, in the corpus "Angeles" more prevalent than "coffee"
  - However, it is important to note that "Angeles" mostly comes after "Los"
- Instead of regular unigram probability, use continuation probability.
  - Regular Unigram probability: P(w): "How likely is w?"
  - P<sub>continuation</sub>(w): "How likely is w to appear as a novel continuation?"





- Intuition: Shannon game
  - My breakfast is incomplete without a cup of ...: coffee/ Angeles?
  - Say, in the corpus "Angeles" more prevalent than "coffee"
  - However, it is important to note that "Angeles" mostly comes after "Los"
- Instead of regular unigram probability, use continuation probability.
  - Regular Unigram probability: P(w): "How likely is w?"
  - P<sub>continuation</sub>(w): "How likely is w to appear as a novel continuation?"
- How to compute continuation probability?





- Intuition: Shannon game
  - My breakfast is incomplete without a cup of ...: coffee/ Angeles?
  - Say, in the corpus "Angeles" more prevalent than "coffee"
  - However, it is important to note that "Angeles" mostly comes after "Los"
- Instead of regular unigram probability, use continuation probability.
  - Regular Unigram probability: P(w): "How likely is w?"
  - P<sub>continuation</sub>(w): "How likely is w to appear as a novel continuation?"
- How to compute continuation probability?
  - Count how many different bigram types each word completes => Normalize by the total number of word bigram types

$$P_{\text{continuation}}(w) = \frac{|\{w_{j-1}; c(w_{j-1}, w) > 0\}|}{|\{(w_{j-1}, w_j) : c(w_{j-1}, w_j) > 0\}|}$$





- **Intuition: Shannon game** 
  - My breakfast is incomplete without a cup of ...: coffee/ Angeles?
  - Say, in the corpus "Angeles" more prevalent than "coffee"
  - However, it is important to note that "Angeles" mostly comes after "Los"
- Instead of regular unigram probability, use **continuation probability**.
  - Regular Unigram probability: P(w): "How likely is w?"
  - P<sub>continuation</sub>(w): "How likely is w to appear as a novel continuation?"
- How to compute continuation probability?
  - Count how many different bigram types each word completes => Normalize by the total number of word bigram types

$$P_{\text{continuation}}(w) = \frac{|\{w_{i-1}, c(w_{i-1}, w) > 0\}|}{|\{(w_{i-1}, w_i) : c(w_{i-1}, w_i) > 0\}|}$$

A common word (Angeles) appearing in only one context (Los) is likely to have a low continuation probability.





### **Kneser-Ney Smoothing**

$$P_{KN}(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{\max(c(w_{i-1}, w_i) - d, 0)}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P_{continuation}(w_i)$$

where,  $\lambda$  is a normalizing constant (How to define this?)



### **Kneser-Ney Smoothing**

$$P_{KN}(w_i | w_{i-1}) = \frac{\max(c(w_{i-1}, w_i) - d, 0)}{c(w_{i-1})} + \lambda(w_{i-1})P_{continuation}(w_i)$$

where,  $\lambda$  is a normalizing constant

$$\lambda(w_{i-1}) = \frac{d}{c(w_{i-1})} | \{w : c(w_{i-1}, w) > 0\} |$$



## **Evaluation of Language Models**





#### Evaluation of a Language Model

• Does our language model prefer good sentences over bad ones?





#### Evaluation of a Language Model

- Does our language model prefer good sentences over bad ones?
  - Assign higher probability to "real" or "frequently observed" sentences than "ungrammatical" or "rarely observed" sentences

- Terminologies:
  - We optimize the parameters of our model based on data from a training set.
  - We assess the model's performance on unseen **test data** that is disjoint from the training data.
  - An evaluation metric provides a measure of the performance of our model on the test set.





#### **Extrinsic Evaluation**

 Measure the effectiveness of a language model by testing their performance on different downstream NLP tasks, such as machine translation, text classification, speech recognition.



#### Extrinsic Evaluation

 Measure the effectiveness of a language model by testing their performance on different downstream NLP tasks, such as machine translation, text classification, speech recognition.

- Let us consider two different language models: A and B
  - Select a suitable evaluation metric to assess the performance of the language models based on the chosen task.
  - Obtain the evaluation scores for A and B
  - Compare the evaluation scores for A and B





## Intrinsic Evaluation: Perplexity

#### **Intuition: The Shannon Game**

- How well can we predict the next word?
  - I always order pizza with cheese and ...
  - The president of India is ...
  - I wrote a ...





## Intrinsic Evaluation: Perplexity

**Intuition: The Shannon Game** 

- How well can we predict the next word?
  - I always order pizza with cheese and ...
  - The president of India is ...
  - I wrote a ...
- Observation: The more context we consider, the better the prediction.





## Intrinsic Evaluation: Perplexity

#### **Intuition: The Shannon Game**

- How well can we predict the next word?
  - I always order pizza with cheese and ...
  - The president of India is ...
  - I wrote a ...
- Observation: The more context we consider, the better the prediction.

A better text model is characterized by its ability to assign a higher probability to the correct word in a given context.





The best language model is one that best predicts an unseen test set.

**Perplexity** is the inverse probability of the test data, normalized by the number of words.

Given a sentence W consisting of *n* words, the perplexity is calculated as follows:

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ... w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$



Thus, for the sentence W, perplexity is:

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ...wn)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$





Thus, for the sentence W, perplexity is:

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ...wn)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

Applying Chain Rule:

$$PP(W) = \left( \prod \frac{1}{P(W_i | W_1 W_2 ... W_{i-1})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$



Thus, for the sentence W, perplexity is:

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ...wn)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

Applying Chain Rule:

$$PP(W) = \left( \prod \frac{1}{P(W_i | W_1 W_2 ... W_{i-1})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Applying Markov Assumption (n = 2), i.e. for bigram LM:

$$PP(W) = \left(\prod \frac{1}{P(W_i | W_{i-1})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$



Thus, for the sentence W, perplexity is:

$$PP(W) = P(w_1 w_2 ...wn)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

Applying Chain Rule:

$$PP(W) = \left( \prod \frac{1}{P(W_i | W_1 W_2 ... W_{i-1})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

Applying Markov Assumption (n = 2), i.e. for bigram LM:

Minimizing perplexity is the same as maximizing probability.

$$PP(W) = \left(\prod \frac{1}{P(W_i | W_{i-1})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$





# Perplexity and Entropy





- N-gram LMs suffer from data sparsity and limited context.
  - Predicting the next word using a fixed window of previous words.
  - Fixed Context Size: Limited to a fixed window of previous words.





- N-gram LMs suffer from data sparsity and limited context.
  - Predicting the next word using a fixed window of previous words.
  - Fixed Context Size: Limited to a fixed window of previous words.
- Smoothing techniques address data sparsity.





- N-gram LMs suffer from data sparsity and limited context.
  - Predicting the next word using a fixed window of previous words.
  - Fixed Context Size: Limited to a fixed window of previous words.
- Smoothing techniques address data sparsity.
  - But even with smoothing, rare n-grams are hard to predict.





- N-gram LMs suffer from data sparsity and limited context.
  - Predicting the next word using a fixed window of previous words.
  - Fixed Context Size: Limited to a fixed window of previous words.
- Smoothing techniques address data sparsity.
  - But even with smoothing, rare n-grams are hard to predict.
- Large vocabulary leads to high memory requirements.





- N-gram LMs suffer from data sparsity and limited context.
  - Predicting the next word using a fixed window of previous words.
  - Fixed Context Size: Limited to a fixed window of previous words.
- Smoothing techniques address data sparsity.
  - But even with smoothing, rare n-grams are hard to predict.
- Large vocabulary leads to high memory requirements.
- High computational cost for large n-grams.





- N-gram LMs suffer from data sparsity and limited context.
  - Predicting the next word using a fixed window of previous words.
  - Fixed Context Size: Limited to a fixed window of previous words.
- Smoothing techniques address data sparsity.
  - But even with smoothing, rare n-grams are hard to predict.
- Large vocabulary leads to high memory requirements.
- High computational cost for large n-grams.
- Lack of generalization to unseen word combinations.





# The Need for Richer Representations

#### Requirements:

- Contextual Understanding: Need for models that understand context beyond fixed windows.
- Semantic Similarity: Ability to capture relationships between words (e.g., synonyms).
- Scalability: Models that can scale to large datasets and handle vast vocabularies efficiently.





# Moving to Word Embeddings & Neural LM

In the successive lectures, we will see how representing words (actually, tokens) as vectors and transition to neural LMs solve many of those problems.





# Moving to Word Embeddings & Neural LM

In the successive lectures, we will see how representing words (actually, tokens) as vectors and transition to neural LMs solve many of those problems.

- Move from discrete to continuous representations.
- Capture richer semantic information.
- Enable generalization to unseen data.
- Scale to large datasets.





### Timeline in Language Modelling

#### **Distributional Hypothesis:**

A word is characterized by the company it keeps

#### Word2Vec:

Distributed word representation in NLP models

Transformers: Uses attention and positional encoding to learn context-aware representations

1948

1954

1986

2013

2014

2017

#### N-gram Model:

Predict the next word based on the previous N-1 words

**Recurrent Neural Networks:** 

Processes sequential data by using the output from previous steps as inputs for the current step

Attention: At each time step, the model selectively focuses on relevant words in the sequence



