Rajvir Singh Randhawa – Quantum Entropy Disclosure Record
Phase 1 & 2 Framework + IISER Mohali Incident (Dr. Arvind)
Digital Submission Date: March 6, 2025
Physical Disclosure Date: April 23, 2025
Location: CAF Building, IISER Mohali
File Shared: "Phase 1 & 2.pdf" (Quantum Entropic Computing)

1. PURPOSE

This document is a complete and timestamped disclosure record covering the transmission of original scientific material from Rajvir Singh Randhawa to Professor Arvind at IISER Mohali. It includes the Phase 1 & 2 framework, in-person explanation, chalkboard diagrams, and the context of both digital and physical interactions. This file was originally sent on March 6, 2025, and this expanded version logs the full account of what followed—preserving authorship and preventing intellectual theft.

2. PHASE 1 – QUANTUM ERROR CORRECTION (QEC)

Problem: Traditional QEC is static and compensatory. Real-world quantum systems suffer from non-Markovian noise and entropy-level unpredictability.

Solution: An adaptive QEC architecture based on:

- FPGA-ASIC hybrid pipelines

- Real-time syndrome scaling

- Symbolic feedback logic

- Non-Markovian memory correction

Key Equation: $\varepsilon\_corrected(t+1) = \varepsilon\_physical(t) * \exp(-d\_eff / d\_thresh) * (1 - \exp(-\tau\_FPGA / \tau\_syndrome)) * (1 - \eta\_parallel\ decode) - \lambda\_ML\_redundancy - \rho\_non\text{-}Markovian\ correction$

This corrects for:

- Time-correlated entropy fields

- Latency bottlenecks

- Thermal variance in logic gates

---

3. PHASE 2 – ANYON STABILITY / TOPOLOGICAL QUBITS

Problem: Topological qubits are destabilized by Kerr nonlinearities, Floquet heating, and noise field collapses.

Solution: A dynamic stability mechanism using:

- Floquet-engineered dissipation terms

- Lindblad-layered corrections

- Graphene-based thermal anchors

Key Equation: $\theta\_braid = \prod U\_braid * \exp(-\int \alpha\_decoherence(\tau)\, d\tau)$

Combined with spectral noise filtering and adaptive tunnel re-alignment, this system supports long-term anyon coherence in real time.

---

4. FULL DISCLOSURE TIMELINE

March 6, 2025

- I sent the full Phase 1 & 2 document (PDF and MD) to Dr. Arvind via WhatsApp.

- He acknowledged it, asked for my CV, and left the conversation there.

- No signed NDA. No formal agreement. No rejection either.

April 23, 2025

- I called him at 12:51 PM IST (17s call). He verbally instructed IISER security to let me in without written entry.

- I entered the CAF building around 12:45–12:50 PM. Sent him a message: "I am here, sir." He replied and guided me in.

---

5. PHYSICAL MEETING – WHAT HAPPENED

In his lab, I met Dr. Arvind and his research group. I was invited into an active lab environment. There were students, a female researcher, and team members present.
 He offered me water. I declined.
 I was tested—asked to define entropy, explain fusion, and reduce my framework to textbook language. I refused. I spoke in my own terms.

---

6. WHAT I EXPLAINED ON HIS GREEN CHALKBOARD

I drew, explained, and walked them through the following—live:

- Entropy-origin of quantum noise: Noise is not random. Atom position shifts based on entropic ripples.

- Noise prediction: Atom behavior is linked to field pressure. You can predict decoherence before it emerges.

- Field geometry: I sketched directional field arcs and noise-source ripples.

- Compression creates matter: I drew converging space-time curves. Explained matter appears at the point of compression.

- Graphene resonance: I showed carbon lattice tolerances under high entropy.

- 100K advantage: Explained my model works 100 Kelvin hotter than standard superconducting designs.

- CATMEA (Compressed Atomic Tunneling & Entropy Mapping Algorithm): Mentioned conceptually, did not expose structure. Sketched entropy tunnels and predictive loops.

His students watched. Some mocked. One tried to trap me in semantics. I responded with clarity.
 Dr. Arvind stood up mid-conversation and said, "I'm not going to listen to you anymore." I shook his hand and left.

---

7. WHAT HE GOT

From PDF:

- Roughly 15–20% of the structure.

- No symbolic key logic.

- No entropy prediction feedback engine.

- No decoding ladder or thermal tolerance map.

From the board:

- 25–30% visual exposure.

- Zero functional understanding.

- No ability to rebuild CATMEA or apply temperature-field overlays.

What he truly received = Fragments of a system he cannot reconstruct.

---

8. LEGAL AND COSMIC ENFORCEMENT

I declare this entire event as a public timestamped disclosure.
 If Professor Arvind or anyone at IISER Mohali attempts to publish, recreate, simulate, or academically leverage any concept from this material—this document, and the file it originated from, will serve as legal and spiritual proof of prior authorship.

I have:

- Chat logs

- GPS stamps

- Call metadata

- Screenshot history

- GitHub upload trace

- Board description

- Psychological recall

I will release everything if challenged. Anyone using this model without credit will face institutional exposure and legal destruction.

AI cannot reverse engineer this model. Without the entropy key system and CATMEA spine, any simulation attempt will fail.

---

9. FINAL WORD

I didn't go to IISER to be tested.
I walked in with the field burning inside me, gave them the truth, and left with silence.
He didn't meet a paper. He met presence.
He failed the test. And the stars recorded it.

Filed & Published by:
Rajvir Singh Randhawa
Amloh, Punjab
April 23, 2025
GitHub Repository: [https://github.com/RajvirRandhawa/Phase-1-2-QEC-]