

Four Wheel Steering (4WS) Control

Name: Rakshith Vishwanatha
 ASU ID: 1217756241
 Mobile: 480-781-6116

I. FOUR WHEEL STEER (4WS) DYNAMICS

- A. Overview
- B. Derivation for Bike

II. PID CONTROLLER

- A. Overview
- B. Derivation for Bike

III. CONTROL BARRIER FUNCTION

- A. Overview
- B. Derivation for Bike

IV. MISC.

- A. Bike Dynamics Equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I_{bz} + I_{fz})\ddot{\phi}_b = & (I_{fx} - I_{fy})\{\Omega^2 \cos(\phi_w)^2 \sin(\phi_b) \cos(\phi_b) \\
 & - \Omega \cos(\phi_w)(\cos(2\phi_b) + I_{fz})\dot{\phi}_w\} \\
 & + (m_b h_b + m_f h_f)g \sin(\phi_b)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi_b \\ \dot{\phi}_b \\ \phi_w \end{bmatrix} \\
 u &= \dot{\phi}_w
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{X} = f(X) + g(X)u \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}_b \\ \ddot{\phi}_b \\ \dot{\phi}_w \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}_b \\ \frac{(I_{fx}-I_{fy})}{(I_{bz}+I_{fz})}\{\Omega^2 \cos(\phi_w)^2 \sin(\phi_b) \cos(\phi_b)\} + \frac{1}{(I_{bz}+I_{fz})}(m_b h_b + m_f h_f)g \sin(\phi_b) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \\
 &\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \dot{\phi}_w
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

B. Control Barrier Equations

Requirement is:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_b| &\leq \phi_{bMax} \\ |\dot{\phi}_b| &\leq \dot{\phi}_{bMax} \\ |\phi_w| &\leq \phi_{wMax} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

So CBFs are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_b| &\leq \phi_{bMax} \\ |\dot{\phi}_b| &\leq \dot{\phi}_{bMax} \\ |\phi_w| &\leq \phi_{wMax} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This leads to six CBF equations:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(X) : \quad & 0 \leq \phi_{bMax} - \phi_b \\ h_2(X) : \quad & 0 \leq \phi_{bMax} + \phi_b \\ h_3(X) : \quad & 0 \leq \dot{\phi}_{bMax} - \dot{\phi}_b \\ h_4(X) : \quad & 0 \leq \dot{\phi}_{bMax} + \dot{\phi}_b \\ h_5(X) : \quad & 0 \leq \phi_{wMax} - \phi_w \\ h_6(X) : \quad & 0 \leq \phi_{wMax} + \phi_w \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

C. QP Solution

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &= \arg \min_{u \in U} \frac{1}{2} \|u_{des} - u_{asif}\|^2 \\ s.t. \quad & L_f h_i + L_g h_i u + \alpha h_i \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The Lie derivative of a scalar function such as h (as opposed to a vector function) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} L_f h &= \nabla h f(X) \\ &= \left\langle \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x_1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x_2}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x_3} \right], h \right\rangle f(X) \\ &= \left\langle \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_b}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \dot{\phi}_b}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_w} \right], h \right\rangle f(X) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Thus, the Lie derivative inequalities provided above in the squared error optimizer are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_f h_1 + L_g h_1 u + \alpha h_1 &\geq 0 \\ \left\langle [-1, 0, 0], h_1(X) \right\rangle f(X) + \left\langle [-1, 0, 0], h_1(X) \right\rangle g(X) u + \alpha h_1 &\geq 0 \\ -f_1(X) + 0 + \alpha h_6 &\geq 0 \\ -\dot{\phi}_b + 0 + \alpha(\phi_{bMax} - \phi_b) &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_f h_2 + L_g h_2 u + \alpha h_2 &\geq 0 \\ \left\langle [+1, 0, 0], h_2(X) \right\rangle f(X) + \left\langle [+1, 0, 0], h_2(X) \right\rangle g(X) u + \alpha h_2 &\geq 0 \\ +f_1(X) + 0 + \alpha h_6 &\geq 0 \\ +\dot{\phi}_b + 0 + \alpha(\phi_{bMax} + \phi_b) &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_f h_3 + L_g h_3 u + \alpha h_3 \geq 0 \\
& \left\langle [0, -1, 0], h_3(X) \right\rangle f(X) + \left\langle [0, -1, 0], h_3(X) \right\rangle g(X)u + \alpha h_3 \geq 0 \\
& -f_2(X) - g_2(X)u + \alpha h_3 \geq 0 \\
& - \left[\frac{(I_{fx} - I_{fy})}{(I_{bz} + I_{fz})} \{ \Omega^2 \cos(\phi_w)^2 \sin(\phi_b) \cos(\phi_b) \} + \right. \\
& \left. \frac{1}{(I_{bz} + I_{fz})} (m_b h_b + m_f h_f) g \sin(\phi_b) \right] \\
& - \left[\frac{(I_{fx} - I_{fy})}{(I_{bz} + I_{fz})} \{ -\Omega \cos(\phi_w) (\cos(2\phi_b) + I_{fz}) \dot{\phi}_w \} \right] \\
& + \alpha (\dot{\phi}_{bMax} - \dot{\phi}_b) \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_f h_3 + L_g h_3 u + \alpha h_3 \geq 0 \\
& \left\langle [0, -1, 0], h_3(X) \right\rangle f(X) + \left\langle [0, -1, 0], h_3(X) \right\rangle g(X)u + \alpha h_3 \geq 0 \\
& -f_2(X) - g_2(X)u + \alpha h_3 \geq 0 \\
& + \left[\frac{(I_{fx} - I_{fy})}{(I_{bz} + I_{fz})} \{ \Omega^2 \cos(\phi_w)^2 \sin(\phi_b) \cos(\phi_b) \} + \right. \\
& \left. \frac{1}{(I_{bz} + I_{fz})} (m_b h_b + m_f h_f) g \sin(\phi_b) \right] \\
& + \left[\frac{(I_{fx} - I_{fy})}{(I_{bz} + I_{fz})} \{ -\Omega \cos(\phi_w) (\cos(2\phi_b) + I_{fz}) \dot{\phi}_w \} \right] \\
& + \alpha (\dot{\phi}_{bMax} + \dot{\phi}_b) \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_f h_5 + L_g h_5 u + \alpha h_5 \geq 0 \\
& \left\langle [0, 0, -1], h_5(X) \right\rangle f(X) + \left\langle [0, 0, -1], h_5(X) \right\rangle g(X)u + \alpha h_5 \geq 0 \\
& 0 - g_3(X)u + \alpha h_6 \geq 0 \\
& 0 - \dot{\phi}_w + \alpha (\phi_{wMax} - \phi_w) \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_f h_6 + L_g h_6 u + \alpha h_6 \geq 0 \\
& \left\langle [0, 0, -1], h_6(X) \right\rangle f(X) + \left\langle [0, 0, -1], h_6(X) \right\rangle g(X)u + \alpha h_6 \geq 0 \\
& 0 + g_3(X)u + \alpha h_6 \geq 0 \\
& 0 + \dot{\phi}_w + \alpha (\phi_{wMax} + \phi_w) \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

D. Calculation of α in QP Equations

α is a class Kappa function defined as:

$$\alpha(r) \triangleq - \min_{\substack{x \in A(r) \\ u \in U}} \left(\min_{i \in [1, n]} L_f h_i + L_g h_i u \right)$$

where,

$$A(r) \triangleq \{x \in S \mid \exists i \in [1, n], 0 \leq h_i(X) \leq r\} \subseteq S$$

where, S is not just the safety set, but is the VIABLE safety set.

(16)

In its expanded form this means: α is a class Kappa function defined as:

$$\alpha(r) \triangleq - \min_{\substack{x \in A(r) \\ u \in U}} \left(\min \begin{bmatrix} L_f h_1 + L_g h_1 u \\ L_f h_2 + L_g h_2 u \\ L_f h_3 + L_g h_3 u \\ L_f h_4 + L_g h_4 u \\ L_f h_5 + L_g h_5 u \\ L_f h_6 + L_g h_6 u \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (17)$$

with the constraints placed by the $A(r)$ definition/inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq h_1 \leq r \\ 0 &\leq h_2 \leq r \\ 0 &\leq h_3 \leq r \\ 0 &\leq h_4 \leq r \\ 0 &\leq h_5 \leq r \\ 0 &\leq h_6 \leq r \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities $0 \leq h_i \leq r$ place the additional constraint/relation between the α Kappa function's r and the CBF state constraints of (obtained by summing up similar CBF equations and simplifying):

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{r}{2} &\leq \phi_b \leq +\frac{r}{2} \\ -\frac{r}{2} &\leq \dot{\phi}_b \leq +\frac{r}{2} \\ -\frac{r}{2} &\leq \phi_w \leq +\frac{r}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

So CBF max state values chosen should be within the overall $r/2$ bounds placed by the class Kappa function.

When we put the $\alpha(r)$ equation in words, it effectively means:

- Create an empty 2D table of size $x \times u$ to represent all combos of states and controls
- The number of states itself that can be created/checked is defined by all states within the viable safety set which satisfy $0 \leq h_i \leq r$ given in the $A(r)$ equation, and expanded in (17)'s inequalities.
- For each of the entries in the 2D matrix, calculate a $n \times 1$ vector corresponding to each of the CBF equations.
- Now for each of the vectors in the 2D matrix, calculate and store in-place the minimum of that vector
- Then, calculate the min over the whole 2D matrix
- Negate this value to obtain the value of α

Ofcourse, all these steps can be vectorized and handled more efficiently using an optimizaiton toolbox like `fmincon()` in MATLAB.