

MATH 370 Lecture 4

Recap from previous lecture:

Defn: Let G_1, G_2 be two groups. A map

$\psi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is called group homomorphism

if $\psi(g_1 g_2) = \psi(g_1) \psi(g_2)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G_1$.

Group homomorphisms give rise to two
Subgroups

1) $\ker \psi \subseteq G_1$

"

$$\{g \in G_1 \mid \psi(g) = e\}$$

2) $\text{Im } \psi \subseteq G_2$

"

$$\{\psi(g) \mid g \in G_1\}$$

Examples: 1) $\epsilon: S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$



Signature of a permutation

$$\ker \epsilon = A_n \quad \text{Im } \epsilon = \{\pm 1\}$$

2) $\det: GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$

$$\ker \det = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\text{Im } \det = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$$

3) $\exp: (\mathbb{R}, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \times)$

$$r \mapsto e^r$$

$$\ker \exp = \{0\}$$

$$\text{Im } \exp = \{ r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \mid r > 0 \}$$

ISOMORPHISMS

Let G_1, G_2 be two groups. Let

$\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a group homomorphism

Defn: We say that φ is isomorphism if φ is bijective.

Aside: This notion of "algebraic isomorphism" is different from "topological isomorphism" where a set theoretic inverse need not be continuous. So a homeomorphism is a continuous map that has a continuous inverse.

Lemma: φ is group isomorphism

$$\Leftrightarrow \ker \varphi = \{e\} \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} \varphi = G_2.$$

Pf: \Rightarrow follows from definition

\Leftarrow If $\ker \varphi = \{e\}$, then φ is injective

because if $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2)$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(g_1) \varphi(g_2)^{-1} = e$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(g_1) \varphi(g_2^{-1}) = e$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(g_1 g_2^{-1}) = e$$

$$\Rightarrow g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \ker \varphi \quad \text{so} \quad g_1 g_2^{-1} = e$$

$$\Rightarrow g_1 = g_2.$$

Proof now follows.

Examples: 1) Let G be any group.

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow G$$

$$g \mapsto g$$

Terminology: An isomorphism from a group to itself is called automorphism.

2) $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$
 $g \mapsto g^{-1}$

$$\varphi(g h) = (gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} \neq \varphi(g)\varphi(h)$$

φ is not a homomorphism but we can make it into one!

Define $\varphi^{\text{op}} = (G, \circ_{\text{op}})$

$$g_1 \circ_{\text{op}} g_2 = g_2 \downarrow g_1$$

original group operation
on G

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow G^{\text{op}}$$

$$g \mapsto g^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(gh) &= (gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} \\ &= \varphi(g) \circ_{\text{op}} \varphi(h)\end{aligned}$$

φ is group hom.

$$\ker \varphi = \{e\}$$

$$\text{im } \varphi = G^{\text{op}}$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi$ is isomorphism.

3) Conjugation Fix $g \in G$

$$\rho_g: G \rightarrow G$$

$$h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$$

$$\phi_g(h_1 h_2) = g h_1 h_2 g^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_g(h_1) \phi_g(h_2) &= (g h_1 g^{-1}) (g h_2 g^{-1}) \\ &= g h_1 h_2 g^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

So, ϕ_g is a group homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}\ker \phi_g &= \{ h \in G \mid ghg^{-1} = e \} \\ &= \{ h \in G \mid gh = g \} \\ &= \{ h \in G \mid h = e \} \\ &= \{e\}\end{aligned}$$

For any $h \in G$

$$\phi_g(g^{-1}hg) = g(g^{-1}hg)g^{-1}$$

$$\text{So, } \text{im } \phi_g = G \quad = h$$

Hence, ϕ_g is an automorphism.

RELATIONS

Let S be a set.

Defn: A relation R is a subset of $S \times S$.

Example: Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ be a function.

Then $\{(s, f(s)) \mid s \in S\} \subseteq S \times S$ is a relation.

Defn: ① (Reflexive) If $(s, s) \in R \quad \forall s \in S$.

② (Symmetric) $(s, t) \in R \Rightarrow (t, s) \in R$

③ (Transitive) $(a, b) \& (b, c) \in R$
 $\Rightarrow (a, c) \in R$

Alternate notation If $(a, b) \in R$, we will

Say that $a \sim b$ or $a \underset{R}{\sim} b$ (if one wants to emphasize the relation)

Qn: Let $S = \mathbb{Z}$. Define a relation R on \mathbb{Z} as follows

$$a \sim b \iff a|b$$

① Is it reflexive?

② Is it symmetric?

③ Is it transitive?

Qn: let $S = \mathbb{Z}$. Define a relation R on \mathbb{Z} as follows.

$$a \sim b \iff a < b$$

① Is R reflexive?

② Is R symmetric?

③ Is R transitive?

EQUivalence RELATION

Defn: A relation R which is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Example of integers (\mathbb{Z})

Euclid's division lemma: For $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($m \neq 0$)

there exists unique integers q and r

such that

Quotient

$$\textcircled{1} \quad b = mq + r \quad \text{remainder}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, |m|-1\}$$

Define a relation R on \mathbb{Z} as follows. fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

$a \sim b \iff a$ and b leave same remainder

when divided by m

(also denoted as $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$)

- ① R is reflexive
- ② R is symmetric
- ③ R is transitive

So R is an equivalence relation.

This creates a "partition" on \mathbb{Z} .

$m=2$ All even integers are related to each other

All odd _____ .

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{\text{odd integers}\} \cup \{\text{Even integers}\}$$

↓ Denote as

$$[1] \quad \cup \quad [0]$$

\ class of 1 \ class of 0

$$\begin{aligned} m=3 \quad \mathbb{Z} &= \{3q\} \cup \{3q+1\} \cup \{3q+2\} \\ &= [0] \cup [1] \cup [2] \end{aligned}$$

In general, we have

$$\mathbb{Z} = [0] \cup [1] \cup \dots \cup [m-1]$$

Define $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = \{[0], [1], [2], \dots, [m-1]\}$

We can define addition on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as follows.

$$[r] + [s] = [r+s]$$

Qn: Why is this well-defined?

This defines a binary operation on $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Is $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, +)$ a group?

Similarly we can define multiplication on

$$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

$$[r] \cdot [s] = [rs]$$

Is $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \cdot)$ a group?

In general, equivalence relation create a partition of S and conversely a partition of S defines an equivalence relation.

$$\left\{ \text{Equivalence relation} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \text{Partitions} \right\}$$

Set S relation R on S

Pick $s_0 \in S$, $[s_0] = \{t \in S \mid t \sim s_0\}$

If $[s_0] = S$, then we are done, otherwise

Pick $s_1 \notin [s_0]$ and repeat this

Ultimately

$$S = [s_0] \cup [s_1] \cup \dots$$

This partition need not be finite.

Conversely if $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_i \subseteq S$

Define \sim : $a \sim b \Leftrightarrow a$ and b lie in some S_i