## Analysis Qualifying Exam August 2003

Instructions: Write your name legibly on each sheet of paper. Write only on one side of each sheet of paper. Try to answer all questions. Prove all your claims. Questions 1-8 are worth 10 points each and question 9 is worth 20 points.

**Terminology:** Measurability and integrability on  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  or interval, or product of intervals will always refer to the Lebesgue measure except if otherwise specified. Lebesgue measure will be denoted by  $\lambda$ , dx or dy depending on the context.

- a. Prove that if  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space and  $A_n \subseteq X$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\sum_{n} \mu(A_n) < \infty$  then  $\mu(\limsup_{n} A_n) = 0$ . b. For every  $x \in (0, 1]$  write the dyadic expression of x,

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n(x)}{2^n} = .d_1(x)d_2(x)...,$$

each  $d_n(x)$  being 0 or 1; if a number has two dyadic expressions we choose the one that terminates with ones (e.g. we write  $\frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \cdots$  rather than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the function  $\ell_n : (0,1] \to \mathbb{N}$  by  $\ell_n(x) = 0$  if and only if  $d_n(x) = 1$ ;  $\ell_n(x) = k \ge 1$  if and only if  $d_n(x) = d_{n+1}(x) = \cdots = d_{n+k-1}(x) = 0$ and  $d_{n+k}(x) = 1$  (i.e.  $\ell_n(x)$  is a finite number and is equal to the number of consequtive zeros in the dyadic expression of x starting counting from the  $n^{th}$ decimal). Compute

$$\lambda(\limsup_{n} \{x \in (0,1] : \ell_n(x) \ge 2\log_2 n\}).$$

(Recall that if X is a set and  $A_n \subseteq X$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then we define  $\limsup_n A_n =$  $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m).$ 

- 2. Prove that every Borel subset of  $\mathbb R$  is Lebesgue measurable.
- 3. State Lebesgue' Dominated Convergence Theorem (LDCT) and Egoroff's theorem. Prove LDCT for spaces of finite measure using Egoroff's theorem.
- 4. Suppose that f is a non-negative measurable function on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $X, \Sigma, \mu$ ). Prove that  $\{(x,y) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \le y \le f(x)\}$  is  $\mu \times \lambda$  measurable and that  $\int_X f d\mu = (\mu \times \lambda) \{ (x, y) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \le y \le f(x) \}.$
- **5.** Let  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function of bounded variation and let  $v:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $v(x) = T_a^x(f)$  (the total variation of f from 0 to x).
  - a. Prove that if v is absolutely continuous then f is absolutely continuous.
  - **b.** Prove that if  $T_0^1(f) = \int_0^1 |f'| d\lambda$  then f is absolutely continuous. (The fact that if  $g:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  is a function of bounded variation then  $T_a^b(g)\geq\int_a^b|g'|d\lambda$  can be used without proof if needed).
- 6. Let  $\gamma(t) = 1 + e^{it}$  for  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ . Compute

$$\int_{\gamma} \left( \frac{z}{z-1} \right)^n dz$$

for all positive integers n.

7. Let  $\Omega$  be a region in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $f,g:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}$  be analytic functions such that f(z)g(z)=0for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Then prove that  $f \equiv 0$  or  $g \equiv 0$  (i.e. f(z) = 0 for all  $z \in \Omega$  or g(z) = 0for all  $z \in \Omega$ ).

2

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{x^4 + x^2 + 1} dx.$$

9. True or False. Prove or disprove, whichever is appropriate, in order to obtain credit. a.) If  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a finite measure space,  $1 \le r \le s < \infty$  and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function then

$$||f||_r \le ||f||_s \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{s}}.$$

(Recall  $||f||_r = (\int_X |f|^r d\mu)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ ). b. There exists a measurable set  $A \subseteq [0,1] \times [0,1]$  such that  $\lambda(A_x) = 0$   $\lambda$ -a.e. and  $\lambda(A^y) > 0$   $\lambda$ -a.e. (Recall that we denote  $A_x = \{y \in [0,1] : (x,y) \in A\}$  and  $A^{y} = \{x \in [0,1] : (x,y) \in A\}.$ 

c. The function  $f(z) = z \sin \frac{1}{z}$  has a pole at 0.

- d. There exists a region  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb C$  which is mapped in a one-to-one way onto  $\{z\in$  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}:|z|<1\}$  through the exponential map.
- e. There exists an analytic function  $f:\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}\to\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|<1\})\to\mathbb{C}$  $\mathbb{C}:|z|<1$ ) is exactly a line segment.