

### Mathematics 739 Homework 3: Differential forms.

On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a zero form is just a smooth function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A one form is an expression

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n dx^j$$

where  $x^1, \dots, x^n$  are the standard coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the  $a_j$ 's are smooth functions. We also view each  $dx^j$  as a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by letting

$$dx^j \left( \sum_{k=1}^n v^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right) = v^j$$

where  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Here we are identifying vectors with point derivations. That is if  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $v$  is a vector at  $p$  (i.e.  $v \in TM_p$ ) then we can also view  $v$  as the directional derivative in the direction of  $v$ . That is if  $f$  is a smooth real valued function, then

$$v(f) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(p + tv) \right|_{t=0}.$$

This operator satisfies that  $f \mapsto v(f)$  is linear over  $\mathbb{R}$  and then

$$v(fg) = f(p)v(g) + v(f)g(p).$$

**Proposition 1.** *If  $V$  is an operator on smooth real valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $V$  is linear over  $\mathbb{R}$  and for some point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$V(fg) = V(f)g(p) + f(p)V(g)$$

*then there is a vector  $v$  at  $p$  such that  $V$  is given by*

$$V(f) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(p + tv) \right|_{t=0}.$$

*Thus  $V$  is naturally identified with a vector to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at the point  $p$ .*

**Problem 1.** Prove this. *Hint:* First show  $V(c) = 0$  for any constant  $c$ . Then show if  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are smooth functions with  $h_1(p) = h_2(p) = 0$ , then for any smooth function  $g$  that  $V(h_1 h_2 g) = 0$ . Now use some form or another of Taylor's theorem to write the smooth function  $f$  as

$$f = f(p) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (x^j - p^j) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n (x^j - p^j)(x^k - p^k) g_{jk}$$

where the  $a_j$ 's are constants and the  $g_{jk}$ 's are smooth functions. Put this all together to conclude

$$V(f) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j V(x^j) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(p + tv) \right|_{t=0}$$

where  $v$  is the vector  $v = \sum_{j=1}^n a^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ . □

One reason for viewing vectors this way is that this definition is easy to generalize to manifolds. Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and,  $C^\infty(M)$  the algebra of smooth real valued functions on  $M$  and  $p \in M$  a point. Then we can define a **point derivation** at  $p$  to be a map  $f \mapsto V(f)$  which is linear over  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that for  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$

$$V(fg) = V(f)g(p) + f(p)V(g).$$

Then the set of all such point derivations at  $p$  form the tangent space,  $TM_p$ , to  $M$  at  $p$ . To make this definition a bit more geometric let  $c: (-\delta, \delta)$  be a smooth curve with  $c(0) = p$ . Then

$$V(f) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(c(t)) \right|_{t=0}$$

is a point derivation at  $p$  which we denote, naturally enough, at  $c'(0)$ . It is the tangent vector to  $c$  at  $t = 0$ . An easy extension of Proposition 1 shows that every  $v \in TM_p$  can be realized as the tangent vector to a curve through  $p$ .

If  $\tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2, \dots, \tilde{x}^n$  is another coordinate system on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let the one form  $\alpha$  be given in the two coordinate systems by

$$\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j dx^j = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{a}_j d\tilde{x}^j.$$

Then

$$\tilde{a}_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} a_k.$$

This is often written as

$$\tilde{a}_j = \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} a_k$$

with the convention that we sum over any repeated index.<sup>1</sup>

**Problem 2.** Prove this transformation rule. Also show that if a vector field is given in the two coordinates systems as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{a}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}$$

then

$$\tilde{a}^j = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^k} a^k = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^k} a^k.$$

□

---

<sup>1</sup>This convention seems to have been introduced by Einstein in his paper *Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie* in *Annalen der Physik* in 1916. This is why it is often called the Einstein summation convention.

If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function we define its **differential** (also called its **exterior derivative**) by

$$df = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} dx^j.$$

The chain rule shows that this is the linear functional defined on vectors by

$$df_p(v) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(p + tv) \right|_{t=0}.$$

**Problem 3.** Show that the definition of  $df$  is independent of the coordinate system used to define it.  $\square$

If  $1 \leq k \leq n$  a smooth  $k$ -form is sum of the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} dx^{j_1} \wedge dx^{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k}$$

where each of the  $a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}$  are smooth functions. The wedge product  $\wedge$  is so that

$$dx^j \wedge dx^k = -dx^k \wedge dx^j$$

which implies that for any  $j$

$$dx^j \wedge dx^j = 0.$$

The products  $dx^{j_1} \wedge dx^{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k}$  can be view as  $k$ -linear alternating functions as follows. For  $k = 2$

$$dx^{j_1} \wedge dx^{j_2}(u, v) = dx^{j_1}(u)dx^{j_2}(v) - dx^{j_1}(v)dx^{j_2}(u) = \det \begin{bmatrix} dx^{j_1}(u) & dx^{j_2}(v) \\ dx^{j_1}(v) & dx^{j_2}(u) \end{bmatrix}$$

and in general

$$dx^{j_1} \wedge dx^{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) = \det \left( [dx^{j_s}(v_t)]_{s,t=1}^k \right).$$

In writing differential forms it is useful to use the multi-index notation. Let  $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$  then set

$$dx^J = dx^{j_1} \wedge dx^{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{j_k}.$$

**Problem 4.** With this notation

- (a) If  $J$  has a repeated index, then  $dx^J = 0$ .
- (b) If the elements of  $J'$  are a permutation of the elements of  $J$ , say  $J' = (j_{\sigma(1)}, j_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(k)})$  with  $\sigma$  a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then  $dx^{J'} = \text{sign}(\sigma)dx^J$ .
- (c) If  $J$  and  $L$  have an element in common, then  $dx^J \wedge dx^L = 0$ .
- (d) If  $J$  and  $L$  have no element in common and  $J$  has degree  $k$  and  $L$  has degree  $\ell$ , then  $dx^L \wedge dx^J = (-1)^{k\ell} dx^J \wedge dx^L$ .

We can now write a  $k$  form  $\alpha$  as

$$\alpha = \sum_J a_J dx^J$$

where, depending on which is more useful in a given context, the sum is either over all length  $k$  multi-indices or over all increasing multi-indices.

If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are forms, say

$$\alpha = \sum_J a_J dx^J, \quad \beta = \sum_L b_L dx^L$$

then the **wedge product** (also called the **exterior product**) of these is

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = \sum_{J,L} a_J b_L dx^J \wedge dx^L.$$

**Problem 5.** Show this product is associative and its definition is independent of the coordinate system used.  $\square$

**Problem 6.** Let  $\alpha$  be a  $k$ -form and  $\beta$  a  $\ell$ -form.

- (a) Show that  $\beta \wedge \alpha = (-1)^{k\ell} \alpha \wedge \beta$ .
- (b) Show that if  $k$  is odd, then  $\alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$ .
- (c) Let  $\omega = dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^2 \wedge dx^4$ . Show  $\omega \wedge \omega = 2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4 \neq 0$ .  
Thus it is not true  $\alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$  for all forms.  $\square$

We can now extend the definition of the differential,  $df$ , of a smooth function to general forms. Let

$$\alpha = \sum_J a_J dx^J.$$

Then its **exterior derivative** is

$$d\alpha = \sum_J da_J \wedge dx^J.$$

**Proposition 2.** *This definition is independent of the coordinate system used to define it. Also*

- (a) *For any form  $\alpha$*

$$dd\alpha = 0.$$

- (b) *If  $\alpha$  is a  $k$  form and  $\beta$  is a  $\ell$  form*

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (d\alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge (d\beta).$$

A  $k$ -form  $\alpha$  is called **closed** if  $d\alpha = 0$  and **exact** if  $\alpha = d\beta$  for some  $(k-1)$ -form  $\beta$ .

**Theorem 3** (Poincaré lemma). *Let  $\alpha$  be a smooth form defined on a contractible open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $d\alpha = 0$ , then there is a form  $\beta$  with*

$$d\beta = \alpha.$$

*That is on a contractible open set closed forms are exact.*

**Problem 7.** Prove the following special case of the Poincaré lemma:<sup>2</sup> Let  $U := \times_{j=1}^n (a_j, b_j)$  be an open rectangular parallelepiped in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\alpha$  be a closed  $k$  form on  $U$ . Then there is a  $(k-1)$ -form  $\beta$  with  $d\beta = \alpha$ . *Hint:* We use induction on  $n + \deg(\alpha)$ . Here we show the induction step in reducing the case  $n = 4$  and  $k = 2$  to a lower dimensional case. You should be able to adapt thus to the general case. Let  $x, y, z, w$  be coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a closed two form and write it as

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + P dx \wedge dw + Q dy \wedge dw + R dz \wedge dw.$$

where  $\alpha$  does not have any factors of  $dw$ . Show that there are functions  $p, q$ , and  $r$  on  $U$  such that

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial w} = P, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial w} = Q, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial w} = R.$$

Let

$$\beta_1 = p dx + q dy + r dz$$

and set

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha + d\beta_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \alpha_0 + P dx \wedge dw + Q dy \wedge dw + R dz \wedge dw \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial p}{\partial w} dx \wedge dw - \frac{\partial q}{\partial w} dy \wedge dw - \frac{\partial r}{\partial w} dz \wedge dw \\ &\quad + \text{terms from } d\beta_1 \text{ that have no factor of } dw. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\alpha_1$  satisfies  $d\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $\alpha_1$  has no factors of  $dw$ . Write

$$\alpha_1 = A dx \wedge dy + B dx \wedge dz + C dy \wedge dz.$$

Then  $d\alpha_1 = 0$  implies that the coefficients of  $dx \wedge dy \wedge dw$ ,  $dy \wedge dz \wedge dw$ ,  $dx \wedge dz \wedge dw$  in the expansion of  $d\alpha_1$  vanish. That is

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial w} = 0.$$

Since  $U$  is a convex domain these equations imply that  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are independent of  $w$ . That is  $A, B$ , and  $C$  are functions of  $(x, y, z)$ . So

$$\alpha_1 = A(x, y, z) dx \wedge dy + B(x, y, z) dx \wedge dz + C(x, y, z) dy \wedge dz$$

is a form on a lower dimensional rectangular parallelepiped. Whence by the induction hypothesis there is a form  $\beta_2$  with

$$d\beta_2 = d\alpha_1.$$

Putting together with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha + d\beta_1$  gives

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 - d\beta_1 = d\beta_2 - d\beta_1 = d\beta$$

where  $\beta = \beta_2 - \beta_1$ . This completes the induction and the proof.  $\square$

---

<sup>2</sup>This proof is based on some notes from the web site of James Marrow: [https://sites.math.washington.edu/~morrow/335\\_12/335.html](https://sites.math.washington.edu/~morrow/335_12/335.html) and is based on a proof in Walter Rudin's *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*.

So we can summarize the above as “exactly forms are closed” and conversely “a closed form on a contractable set is exact”. It is not true that all closed forms are exact. Probably the best known example is

$$\alpha = d \arctan(y/x) = \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2}$$

which is closed on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ , but is not exact.

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold with  $\dim(M) = n$ . For each  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$  let

$$A^k(M) = \text{vector space of all smooth } k\text{-forms on } M.$$

Also let

$$Z^k(M) = \{\alpha \in A^k(M) : d\alpha = 0\}$$

be the vector space of all closed forms on  $M$ . Set

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(M) = Z^k(M)/dA^{k-1}(M)$$

with the convention that  $A^{-1}(M) = \{0\}$ . These are the **de Rham cohomology groups** of  $M$ .

**Proposition 4.** *The set*

$$H_{\text{dR}}^*(M) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim(M)} H_{\text{dR}}^k(M)$$

*is an algebra where the multiplication is*

$$[\alpha] \wedge [\beta] = [\alpha \wedge \beta]$$

*where  $[\omega]$  is the cohomology class of the closed form  $\omega$ .*

**Problem 8.** Prove this. *Hint:* Once you have shown the product is well defined everything else falls out easily.  $\square$

As a trivial example:

**Proposition 5.** *If  $U$  is a contractable open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $H_{\text{dR}}^*(U) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* This is nothing more than a restatement of the Poincaré lemma.  $\square$

To give a less trivial example let  $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional torus. Then the forms  $dx^1, dx^2, \dots, dx^n$  are translation invariant and therefore make sense on  $T^n$ . The algebra  $H_{\text{dR}}^*(T^n)$  is the algebra generated by the cohomology classes  $[dx^1], [dx^2], \dots, [dx^n]$ .

**Problem 9.** On  $T^2$  show that all of the cohomology classes  $[dx]$ ,  $[dy]$  and  $[dx \wedge dy]$  are nonzero.  $\square$

Another important property of differential forms is how they transform under smooth maps. To start let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between smooth manifolds, and let  $a: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function. That is  $a$  is a 0-form. Then we pull back  $a$  to  $M$  in the usual way:

$$f^*a := f \circ a.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a one form on  $N$ . Then for each  $y \in N$  we have that  $\alpha_y: TN_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a linear functional. We can then define

$$(f^*\alpha)_x(v) = \alpha_{f(x)}(Df_x(v))$$

where  $v$  is a vector in  $TM_x$  and  $Df_x$  is the derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ . Then  $(f^*\alpha)_x$  is a linear functional on  $TM_x$  and thus  $f^*\alpha$  is a 1-form on  $M$ . Likewise if  $\alpha$  is a 2-form on  $N$ , then for each  $y \in N$  we have that  $\alpha_y: TN_y \times TN_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an alternating bilinear function. Then we  $f^*\alpha$  is the 2-form on  $M$  given by

$$(f^*\alpha)_x(u, v) = \alpha_{f(x)}(Df_x(u), Df_x(v)).$$

This definition clearly generalizes to  $k$ -forms by viewing them as alternating  $k$ -linear functions on tangent spaces. We have the basic transformation rule  $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$  which we now state in a highbrow way.

**Proposition 6.** *The map  $M \mapsto A^k(M)$  that sends a smooth manifold  $M$  to the module (over  $C^\infty(M)$ ) is contravariant functor from the category of smooth manifolds and smooth maps, to the category of rings and modules.*

**Problem 10.** Make this precise and prove it.  $\square$

The properties of the next proposition are also very important, but less obvious than the property of the last proposition.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between smooth manifolds. Let  $\alpha$  be a  $k$ -form on  $N$ . Then*

$$d(f^*\alpha) = f^*d\alpha$$

and if  $\beta$  is a  $\ell$  form then

$$f^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (f^*\alpha) \wedge (f^*\beta).$$

**Problem 11.** Chase through the definitions and prove this. Or more realistically go through your Math 738 notes and look at the proof there. Or find readable book on differential geometry and see how it is proven there.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $f: M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between smooth manifolds. Then  $f^*: H_{\text{dR}}^*(N) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^*(M)$  given by*

$$f^*[\alpha] = [f^*\alpha]$$

*is well defined and gives is a contravariant functor from the category of smooth manifolds and maps to the category of graded algebras.*

**Problem 12.** Prove this (after looking up the definition of “graded algebra” if you don’t remember what one is).  $\square$

**Example 9.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be connected smooth manifolds. Let  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ . Then we have the projections  $p_j: M \rightarrow M_j$ . Also let  $x_j \in M_j$  and we have inclusions  $\iota \rightarrow M$  by

$$\iota(x) = (x, x_2), \quad \iota(x) = (x_1, x).$$

Then  $p_j \circ \iota_j = I_{M_j}$ . Therefore for the induced maps on de Rham cohomology we have

$$\iota_j^* \circ p_j^* = I_{H_{\text{dR}}^*(M_j)}.$$

This tells us that  $\iota_j^*: H_{\text{dR}}^*(M) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^*(M_j)$  is surjective and  $p_j^*: H_{\text{dR}}^*(M_j) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^*(M)$  is injective.

We next define the integration of differential forms. If  $\omega$  is an  $n$ -form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then it is of the form

$$\omega = f(x) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

Assume that  $f$  has compact support, that is  $\text{spt}(f) := \overline{\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}}$  is compact, then we define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega := \int f dx^1 dx^2 \cdots dx^n$$

where  $dx^1 dx^2 \cdots dx^n$  is the usual Lebesgue measure defined by this coordinate system. Now here is the magic part of the definition of the wedge product. Let  $\tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2, \dots, \tilde{x}^n$  be another coordinate system on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then in this coordinate system the form  $\omega$  is given by

$$\omega = \tilde{f} d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge d\tilde{x}^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n$$

where

$$\tilde{f} = \det \left[ \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \right] f.$$

**Proposition 10.** If  $\det \left[ \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \right] > 0$  then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f} d\tilde{x}^1 d\tilde{x}^2 \cdots d\tilde{x}^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f dx^1 dx^2 \cdots dx^n.$$

**Problem 13.** Use the change of variable formula from advanced calculus to prove this.  $\square$

Call a coordinate system  $\tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2, \dots, \tilde{x}^n$  a **positive coordinate** system if  $\det \left[ \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \right] > 0$ . The last proposition shows that for a compactly support  $n$  form,  $\omega$ , that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega = \int \tilde{f} d\tilde{x}^1 d\tilde{x}^2 \cdots d\tilde{x}^n$  is defined independently of the choice of the coordinate system.

This gives a first step toward integrating forms on manifolds. Recall that a smooth manifold  $M$  is **orientable** if it has an atlas  $\{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$  where all coordinate are positively related on their overlaps. That is for each  $\alpha, \beta$  the map  $\psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}|_{\psi_\beta[U_{\alpha\beta}]} : \psi_\beta[U_{\alpha\beta}] \rightarrow \psi_\alpha[U_{\alpha\beta}]$  (where  $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ ) has positive Jacobian.

An **orientation** on a smooth manifold,  $M$ , is a choice of a positive atlas on  $M$ . Let  $\omega$  be a compactly supported  $n$ -form on the oriented  $n$ -dimensional



manifold  $M$ . Assume there is a positive coordinate system  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  with

$$\text{spt}(\omega) \subseteq \text{domain of } x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n.$$

Then in this coordinate system  $\omega$  will have the form

$$\omega = f dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \cdots dx^n$$

and we can define

$$\omega = \int f dx^1 dx^2 \cdots dx^n.$$

Then if  $\tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2, \dots, \tilde{x}^n$  is another positive coordinate system with

$$\text{spt}(\omega) \subseteq \text{domain of } \tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2, \dots, \tilde{x}^n.$$

and  $\omega = \tilde{f} d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge d\tilde{x}^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n$  then Proposition 10 tells us this gives the same result as for our original coordinate system.

Therefore we have defined  $\int_M \omega$  for  $n$ -forms,  $\omega$ , on oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifolds when  $\omega$  has small support. To globalise this definition we use partitions of unit.

**Definition 11.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $\mathcal{U}$  an open cover of  $M$ . Then a **partition of unity** subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$  is a collection  $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of smooth nonnegative real valued functions such that

- (a)  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in M$ ,
- (b) For each  $\alpha \in A$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $\text{spt}(\phi_\alpha) \subseteq U$ .
- (c) the sum  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha$  is locally finite in the sense that each  $x \in M$  has a neighborhood  $V$  such that the set  $\{\alpha \in A : \text{spt}(\phi_\alpha) \cap V\}$  is finite.

**Theorem 12.** *If  $M$  is a smooth manifold with a separable topology, then every open cover of  $M$  has a partition of unity subordinate to it.*  $\square$

Now let  $\omega$  be a compactly supported  $n$ -form on the  $n$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of the domains of positive coordinate neighborhoods that cover  $M$ . Let  $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a partition of unit subordinate to this open cover. Then we define the integral of  $\omega$  by

$$\int_M \omega = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_M \phi_\alpha \omega.$$

As each  $\phi_\alpha \omega$  has support contained in a positive coordinate neighborhood, the integral  $\int_M \phi_\alpha \omega$  is defined as above. And that  $\omega$  is compactly support and the sum for the partition of unity is locally finite implies that only a finite number of the terms in the sum  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_M \phi_\alpha \omega$  only has finitely many nonzero terms so convergence is not a problem. If  $\{\rho_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  is another partition

subordinate to another cover by positive coordinate systems we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_M \phi_\alpha \omega &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_M 1 \phi_\alpha \omega \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_M \left( \sum_{\beta \in B} \rho_\beta \right) \phi_\alpha \omega \\
&= \int_M \left( \sum_{\beta \in B} \rho_\beta \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha \right) \omega \\
&= \int_M \left( \sum_{\beta \in B} \rho_\beta \right) 1 \omega \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in B} \int_M \rho_\beta \omega
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that this definition is independent of the partition of unity used.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $\sigma$  be an  $(n-1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\sigma = 0.$$

**Problem 14.** Prove this. *Hint:* Here is the proof when  $n = 3$ . You should not have much trouble generalizing it. Let

$$\sigma = A dx \wedge dy + B dx \wedge dz + C dy \wedge dz.$$

Then

$$d\sigma = \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

We now take the first of these terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} dx dy dz \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial A}{\partial z}(x, y, z) dz \right) dx dy \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial A}{\partial z}(x, y, z) dz = A(x, y, \infty) - A(x, y, -\infty) = 0$  because  $A$  is compactly supported. The proofs for the other two terms is identical.  $\square$

**Theorem 14** (Stokes' Theorem). *If  $\sigma$  is a compactly supported  $(n-1)$ -form on an oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ , then*

$$\int_M d\sigma = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma$  is supported in a positive coordinate system, then the proof that  $\int_M d\sigma = 0$  reduces to that of Lemma 13. So we can choose a partition of unity  $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  such that for each  $\alpha$

$$\int_M d(\phi_\alpha \sigma) = 0.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M d\sigma &= \int_M d(1\sigma) \\ &= \int_M d\left(\left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \phi_\alpha\right)\sigma\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_M d(\phi_\alpha \sigma) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Here is another result that is easily proven using partitions of unity.

**Proposition 15.** *A smooth manifold  $M$  is orientable if and only if there is a nowhere vanishing  $n$ -form on  $M$ .*

**Problem 15.** Prove this. *Hint:* The easy direction is that if  $M$  has a nowhere vanishing  $n$ -form  $\omega$ , then for any local coordinate system  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  on a connected open set we have  $dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = f\omega$  where  $f$  is a nonvanishing smooth function. Thus  $f$  is either always positive, or always negative. Call the coordinate system positive if  $f$  is positive. Show the collection of coordinate systems where the function  $f$  is positive is an oriented atlas for  $M$ .

Conversely if  $M$  is covered by a collection of charts  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  where all overlaps are positive, then on to such charts  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  and  $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n)$  on the overlap  $U \cap \tilde{U}$  we have that  $dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$  and  $d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n$  are pointwise positive multiples of each other. Now piece these  $n$ -forms defined on the coordinate neighborhoods together by using a partition of unity. □

**Theorem 16** (Mayer-Vietoris Sequence). *Let  $M$  smooth manifold and assume that  $M = U \cup V$  where  $U$  and  $V$  are open subsets of  $M$ . Then the following sequence of chain complexes is exact.*

$$0 \longrightarrow A^*(M) \xrightarrow{r} A^*(U) \oplus A^*(V) \xrightarrow{s} A^*(U \cap V) \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$i(\alpha) = (\alpha|_U, \alpha|_V) \quad \text{and} \quad s(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha|_U - \beta|_V.$$

*Proof.* That  $r$  is injective is clear and it is not hard to see  $\ker(s) = \text{Image}(r)$ . So we only need to show  $s$  is surjective. Let  $\gamma \in A^*(U \cap V)$ . Let  $\{\rho_U, \rho_V\}$  be a partition of unity with  $\text{spt}(\rho_U) \subseteq U$  and  $\text{spt}(\rho_V) \subseteq V$ . Then

$$s(\rho_U \gamma|_U, -\rho_V \gamma|_V) = \gamma.$$

This shows  $s$  is surjective and completes the proof. □

Just as we have seen in algebraic topology a short exact sequences of chain complexes leads to a long exact sequence in cohomology. And we know that on contractable open sets that  $H_{\text{dR}}^*(U) = 0$ . Therefore we can do arguments similar to the ones we did in algebraic topology to get results such as

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & k = 0, n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $n \geq 1$ . On the torus  $T^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  we have

$$\dim_{\text{dR}}^*(T^n) = \binom{n}{k}$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . And if  $M_g$  is the compact oriented surface of genus  $g$ , then

$$H_{\text{dR}}^k(M_g) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}, & k = 0, 2; \\ \mathbb{R}^{2g}, & k = 1. \end{cases}$$