REPORT

1. Top-ranked (image, review) pairs along with the cosine similarity scores for the input I took which has the ID 199 as calculated by me and I have used the cosine similarity metric for comparing the similarity b/w images as well as b/w reviews.

output

Image URL:
https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81PTFWPHbxL._SY88.jpg
Review:
Perfect for my EC-1000S EMG. Been using D'addario for years and they never disappoint me. Even on a shorter scale guitar there is enough tension to keep them tight.

Top-ranked (image, review)

```
USING IMAGE RETRIEVAL
```

Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81K9NmC4xVL._SY88.jpg]

Review: Good

Cosine similarity of image: 0.3845

Cosine similarity of text: 0.0000

Composite similarity score: 0.1923

Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/61tCh5LlsWL._SY88.jpg]

Review: Very awesome strap! It looks exponentially cool! Leather ends are supple enough to install to strap buttons easily. Strap itself is really soft, so it doesn't irritate your shoulders and neck while you're playing your guitar. It adjusts pretty easy to your desired length. Buckle is plastic. You may or may not have an issue with that. I personally didn't. It looks amazing, and that's putting it kindly. I've gotten many compliments on it already. Definitely worth the money. As a back story, I was born on the year of the Dragon, so it's only fitting I bought this strap.

Cosine similarity of image: 0.3401

Cosine similarity of text: 0.0300

Composite similarity score: 0.1851

Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/71Xkg9cSpKL._SY88.png, 'https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/71yqtJMEX5L._SY88.png']

Review: I wanted to customize my fake plastic rock band guitar <a data-hook="product-link-linked" class="a-link-normal" href="/The-Beatles-Rock-Band-X360-Wireless-Rickenbacker-325-Guitar-Controller/dp/B0028N13G

C/ref=cm_cr_arp_d_rvw_txt?ie=UTF8">The Beatles: Rock Band X360 Wireless Rickenbacker 325 Guitar Controller with inexpensive tuning pegs. These fit the bill and I'm happy with the end result. Pictures of finished project uploaded in uploaded customer images.

However, if I needed tuning pegs on my real guitar, I would surely go with a better choice. Pay a little more for better quality.

Cosine similarity of image: 0.3400

Cosine similarity of text: 0.0079

Composite similarity score: 0.1740

`USING TEXT RETRIEVAL`

Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81UcQc6a-HL._SY88.jpg']

Review: a little bit shorter than expected but pretty good

Cosine similarity of images: 0.1510

Cosine similarity of text: 0.2358

Composite similarity score: 0.1934

Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81U3GJsTjNL._SY88.jpg', 'https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/71TDWb-prbL._SY88.jpg']

Review: Great Quality, adjustable tension. Well made.

Cosine similarity of images: 0.2459

Cosine similarity of text: 0.2133

Composite similarity score: 0.2296

Image URL: ['https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/61n0yI7eC7L._SY88.jpg']

Review: I love D'Addario strings, however, this particular set when installed on my 28.625" scale 8-string - the top .74 string was not wound far enough to reach the nut. This left me with roughly 1/3" of the string that does not match (Pic). I'm honestly not sure if this is an isolated incident or if all packs will yield the same result. I will give them another try in hopes of a better set, but if this is the standard then D'Addario should really consider the extended scale of 8-string guitars and ensure the strings are wound far enough.

Cosine similarity of images: 0.1455

Cosine similarity of text: 0.1903

Composite similarity score: 0.1679

2. Observation based on similarity score of the two retrieval techniques

According to the results presented, the Image Retrieval approach provides higher cosine similarity for photos than the Text Retrieval method does for text.

However, when the composite similarity score, which is the average of the textual and visual similarities, is included, the results are inconsistent. For example, in the Text Retrieval approach, the maximum composite similarity score is 0.2296, whereas in the Image Retrieval technique, it is 0.1923.

The type of data being compared could explain some of the disparities. When there are more similarities between the reviews (text data), the Text Retrieval technique may outperform the Image Retrieval strategy.

It is worth noting that the usefulness of these approaches might vary greatly depending on the specific use case and the quality of the data. The Image Retrieval approach may give better results if the images are more distinctive or informative than the text, and vice versa. Thus, when picking a retrieval technique, it is critical to consider both the data's qualities and the task's specific requirements.

In conclusion, no way is necessarily superior to the other; rather, the most efficient method is determined by the specific facts and circumstances. To maximize the benefits of each strategy, a hybrid approach is also recommended. It could produce even better results.

3. Challenges faced and potential improvements in the retrieval process

Challenges:

1. **Data Quality:** The quality of the text and images has a considerable impact on the retrieval process. Poor results can stem from poorly written text or unclear visuals.
2. **Data Variety:** The retrieval process must handle a wide range of data, including various types of text and graphics. This can make the process complicated and difficult.
3. **Computational Resources:** The retrieval procedure, particularly for images, can be computationally costly. This can be difficult if resources are limited.

Potential improvements:

1. **Improve data quality:** Ensuring that the text and photos are of excellent quality can help the retrieval process. This could include preprocessing measures to clean up the text and improve the photos.
2. **Use Advanced Models:** Using more advanced models for text and image retrieval could potentially improve the results. For example, transformer-based models for text and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) for images.