## Lecture Notes on

## Algorithmic Algebra

Jayalal Sarma M. N. Department of Computer Science and Engineering IIT Madras, Chennai 600036

Draft—August 7, 2015 and forever

## List of Scribes

Lecture	1	$Student \dots \dots$	. 1
Lecture	2	$Student \dots \dots$	. 3
Lecture	3	$Student \dots \dots$	. 5
Lecture	4	$Student \dots \dots$	. 10
Lecture	5	stud	. 11
Lecture	6	$stud \dots \dots$	. 12
Lecture	7	$stud \dots \dots$	. 13
Lecture	8	$stud \dots \dots$	. 14
Lecture	9	$stud \dots \dots$	. 15
Lecture	10	$stud \dots \dots$	. 16
Lecture	11	stud	. 17
Lecture	12	stud	. 18
Lecture	13	$stud \dots \dots$	. 19
Lecture	14	$stud \dots \dots$	. 20
Lecture	15	stud	. 21
Lecture	16	stud	. 22
Lecture	17	stud	. 25
Lecture	18	stud	. 26
Lecture	19	stud	. 27
Lecture	20	stud	28

## Table of Contents

Lectur	e 1 Introduction, Motivation and the Language	1
1.1	Overview of the course. Administrative, Academic policies	1
1.2	Introduction and Motivation	2
1.3	Overview of the course	2
Lectur	e 2 Informal View and the Basic Algrebraic Structures	3
2.1	Another Example Application - Geometric Theorem Proving	3
2.2	An Informal View	4
2.3	Algebraic Preliminaries	4
Lectur	e 3 Polynomial Rings in one variable	Ę
3.1	Ideals	5
3.2	Polynomial Rings in one variable	6
3.3	Polynomial division algorithm	7
3.4	All Ideals in $F[x]$ are principal ideals	8
Lectur	e 4 GCD and the Generator of the Ideal	10
4.1	Arriving at the definition of GCD and properties	10
4.2	Euclidean Algorithm	
4.3	Termination & Correctness	10
4.4	Solution to Ideal Membership Problem	10
4.5	Monomial Ideals	10
4.6	Dickson's Lemma	10
Lectur	e 5 Multivariate Multipolynomial Division and Applications	11
5.1	Another Example Application - Geometric Theorem Proving	11
5.2	An Informal View	11
5.3	Algebraic Preliminaries	11
Lectur	e 6 From Dickson's Lemma to Hilbert Basis Theorem	12
6.1	From Multivariate Polynomial Division Algorithm to Ideal Membership Problem	12
6.2	Proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem	12
6.3	Grobner Conditions for Basis	12
6.4	Ideal Membership Problem with Grobner basis as Input	12
Lectur	e 7 Buchberger's Algorithm	13
7 1	Constructing Counter-Evennes to Grobner condition	19

7.2	S-polynomials and Buchberger's Criterion	13
7.3	Buchberger's Algorithm - Correctness & Termination	13
	7.3.1 Ascending Chain Condition for Ideals	13
7.4	Proof of Buchberger's criterion	
	7.4.1 A structure Lemma for counter examples for Grobner condition	
Lectur	e 8 Proof of Buchberger's criterion.	<b>1</b> 4
8.1	A Structure Lemma	14
8.2	A Proof by Contradiction	14
Lectur	e 9 Minimality, Elimination Theory	15
9.1	Minimal and Reduced Grobner Basis	15
9.2	Uniqueness of Reduced Grobner basis	15
9.3	Elimination Theory and Elimination Ideals	15
9.4	Grobner Basis for the Elimination Ideals	15
Lectur	e 10 An application of Monomial ordering	16
10.1	Applications of Grobner Basis	16
	10.1.1 3-coloring via Grobner Basis	16
10.2	Integer Programming	16
	10.2.1 Formulation as polynomials	16
	10.2.2 $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra homomorphism	16
	10.2.3 Kernel	16
	10.2.4 Testing membership in the image	16
	10.2.5 Bringing in optimisation	16
Lectur	e 11 Integer Programming using Grobner Basis	17
11.1	Characterizing the Image	17
	Observations on the Grobner Basis	
	Bringing in Optimality	
11.4	Remarks about generalizations	17
	e 12 From Root Finding to Factorization	18
	Number of Roots	
	A Linear Algebraic Method - The companion Matrix	
	From Roots to Factorization	
12.4	Informal Answers and the road ahead	18
	e 13 Unique Factorization Domains	19
	Irreducible vs Prime Elements of an Integral Domain	19
	A characterization of Unique Factorizability	19
13.3	A Non-trivial Application - All Principal Ideal Domains are Unique Factorization	
	Domains	19
13.4	Gauss's Theorem	19
	13.4.1 All constant primes in $R$ are primes in $R[x]$	19
	13.4.2 All non-constant irreducibles in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ are irreducibles in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$	19

Lecture 14 Irreducibility	20
14.1 Completing Gauss's Theorem	 20
14.2 A Remark about Field of Fractions	 20
14.3 Eisenstein criterion for irreducibility	 20
Lecture 15 Quotient Rings and First Isomorphism Theorem	21
15.1 Quotient Rings and Irreducibility	 21
15.2 Quotient Rings and First Isomorphism Theorem	 21
15.3 Application 1 : Chinese Remaindering Theorem	 21
15.4 Application 2 : From Irreducibility to Field Extenstions	 21
Lecture 16 More on Fields	22
16.1 Field Extensions as Vector Spaces	 22
16.1.1 Linear Independence, Basis, and Dimension	 22
16.1.2 Minimal Polynomials - viewing adjoining as a vector space	
16.2 Characterestic of Rings & Fields	 23
16.3 Sizes of Finite Fields	 23
16.4 Constructing Field Extensions	 24
16.5 Uniqueness of Fields up to isomorphism	
Lecture 17 Warming up to Berlekamp's Factorization Algorithm	<b>25</b>
Lecture 18 Berlekamp's Lemma	26
Lecture 19 Berlekamp's Factorization Algorithm	27
Lecture 20 Berlekamp's Factorization Algorithm	28
20.1 The Berlekamp Subalgebra $\mathbb{W}$	 28
20.2 From Number of Irreducible Factors to Dimension	
20.3 Using $\mathbb{W}$ for factorization	 28
20.4 Constructing a basis for $\mathbb{W}$ - a linear algebraic approach	 28

## **Preface**

This lecture notes are produced as a part of the course CS6842: Algorithmic Algebra which was a course offered during August to November semester at IIT Madras.

### Acknowledgements

Thanks to Alexander Shrestov for creating a nice template for lecture notes which are being used in this document.

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: K Dinesh Date: July 31, 2013

# LECTURE

### Introduction, Motivation and the Language

General Comments.

1.1 Overview of the course. Administrative, Academic policies

#### 1.2 Introduction and Motivation

Main theme of this course is to use algebra to solve computational problems. Let us consider the following two problems:

**Plagarism check** Given two C programs  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  check if they are the same under renaming of variables

Molecule detection Given two chemical moleculesm check if they have the same structure.

Note that both these problems can be modelled using a graph. For example, in the second case one could view the molecule being given as adjacency matrix. Our aim in both cases are similar which is to check if there is isomorphism between two graphs.

DEFINITION 1.1 (Graph Isomorphism). Two graphs  $X_1(V_1, E_1)$ ,  $X_2(V_2, E_2)$  are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijective map  $\sigma: V_1 \to V_2$  such that  $\forall (u, v) \in V_1 \times V_1$ ,

$$(u,v) \in E_1 \iff (\sigma(u),\sigma(v)) \in E_2$$

PROBLEM 1.2. The graph isomorphism problem is the decision problem of checking if given two graphs  $X_1, X_2$  are isomorphic.

We are also interested in the following special case of the above problem called graph automorphism problem.

DEFINITION 1.3 (Graph Automorphism). For a graph X(V, E), an automorphism of X is a renaming of the vertices of X given by a bijective map  $\sigma: V \to V$  such that  $\forall (u, v) \in V \times V$ ,

$$(u, v) \in E \iff (\sigma(u), \sigma(v)) \in E$$

We are interested in the set of all bijections such that they are automorphisms of X. We denote this by Aut(X).

DEFINITION 1.4. For a graph X on n vertices,  $Aut(X) = \{\sigma \mid \sigma : [n] \to [n], \sigma \text{ is an automorphism of } X\}$ 

Note that an identity map which takes a vertex to itself always belongs to Aut(X) for all graphs X. Hence the question is are there any bijections other than the identity map as automorphism of X.

PROBLEM 1.5 (Graph Automorphism Problem). Given a graph X does Aut(X) has any element other than the identity element.

One way to see bijections is via permutations. Let X be an n vertex graph. Denote  $S_n$  to be the set of all permutations on n elements. Hence Aut(X) can be defined as  $\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in S_n \text{ and } \sigma \text{ is an automorphism of } G$ 

#### 1.3 Overview of the course

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: Student Date: Aug 2, 2013

# **2**

### Informal View and the Basic Algrebraic Structures

Preamble

2.1 Another Example Application - Geometric Theorem Proving

- 2.2 An Informal View
- 2.3 Algebraic Preliminaries

 ${
m CS6842}$  Algorithmic Algebra

 $Instructor: \ {\bf Jayalal\ Sarma\ M.N.}$ 

Scribe: Student Date: Aug 21, 2013

# ECTURE 3

### Polynomial Rings in one variable

Preamble

### 3.1 Ideals

 ${\bf Examples.\ Principal\ Ideal.\ Polynomial\ Ideals.}$ 

### 3.2 Polynomial Rings in one variable

Ideal generated by polynomials.

### 3.3 Polynomial division algorithm.

3.4 All Ideals in F[x] are principal ideals

Non-algorithmic proof. Need for an algorithm.

Scribe: Student
Date: Aug 7, 2013

# LECTURE

#### GCD and the Generator of the Ideal

- 4.1 Arriving at the definition of GCD and properties
- 4.2 Euclidean Algorithm
- 4.3 Termination & Correctness
- 4.4 Solution to Ideal Membership Problem
- 4.5 Monomial Ideals
- 4.6 Dickson's Lemma

### Multivariate Multipolynomial Division and Applications

- 5.1 Another Example Application Geometric Theorem Proving
- 5.2 An Informal View
- 5.3 Algebraic Preliminaries

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: stud

Date: Aug 20, 2013

# LECTURE 6

#### From Dickson's Lemma to Hilbert Basis Theorem

- 6.1 From Multivariate Polynomial Division Algorithm to Ideal Membership Problem
- 6.2 Proof of Hilbert Basis Theorem
- 6.3 Grobner Conditions for Basis
- 6.4 Ideal Membership Problem with Grobner basis as Input

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: stud

Date: Aug 21, 2013



### Buchberger's Algorithm

- 7.1 Constructing Counter-Examples to Grobner condition
- 7.2 S-polynomials and Buchberger's Criterion
- 7.3 Buchberger's Algorithm Correctness & Termination
- 7.3.1 Ascending Chain Condition for Ideals
- 7.4 Proof of Buchberger's criterion.
- 7.4.1 A structure Lemma for counter examples for Grobner condition

CS6842 Algorithmic Algebra

Instructor: Jayalal Sarma M.N.

Scribe: stud

Date: Feb 6, 2013

# ECTURE 8

### Proof of Buchberger's criterion.

- 8.1 A Structure Lemma
- 8.2 A Proof by Contradiction

CS6842 Algorithmic Algebra

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: stud

Date: Aug 28, 2013

# LECTURE

### Minimality, Elimination Theory

#### Preamble

Minimal and Reduced Grobner Basis. Ideal Equality Problem. Uniqueness of Reduced Grobner basis. Elimination Theory and Elimination Ideals. Grobner Basis for the Elimination Ideals. Relating to the Robotics Arms problem.

- 9.1 Minimal and Reduced Grobner Basis
- 9.2 Uniqueness of Reduced Grobner basis
- 9.3 Elimination Theory and Elimination Ideals
- 9.4 Grobner Basis for the Elimination Ideals

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: stud

Date: Feb 6, 2013

# 10

### An application of Monomial ordering

#### Preamble

Applications of Grobner Basis. 3-coloring via Grobner basis. Testing membership in Kernel and Image of Ring Homomorphisms. Applications to Integer Programming.

- 10.1 Applications of Grobner Basis
- 10.1.1 3-coloring via Grobner Basis
- 10.2 Integer Programming
- 10.2.1 Formulation as polynomials
- 10.2.2 F-algebra homomorphism
- 10.2.3 Kernel
- 10.2.4 Testing membership in the image
- 10.2.5 Bringing in optimisation

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 4, 2013

# 111

### Integer Programming using Grobner Basis

Proof of the characterization of the Image of the k-algebra homomorphism. Observation about the Grobner basis in the special case of integer programming. Defining the monomial ordering to bring in optimization. Proof of optimality of the solution.

- 11.1 Characterizing the Image
- 11.2 Observations on the Grobner Basis
- 11.3 Bringing in Optimality
- 11.4 Remarks about generalizations

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 3, 2013

# 12

### From Root Finding to Factorization

Shorter Lecture: From Root finding to factorization of polynomials. Why or when is a polynomial completely factorizable over the underlying ring/field? Why should they be unique factorizable? Informal answers, and directions to explore.

- 12.1 Number of Roots
- 12.2 A Linear Algebraic Method The companion Matrix
- 12.3 From Roots to Factorization
- 12.4 Informal Answers and the road ahead

Scribe: stud
Date: Sep 4, 2013

# 13

### **Unique Factorization Domains**

Irreducible and Prime Elements in an Integral Domain. Primes are irreducible. When is it that all irreducibles are primes? A proof that this is exactly when the domain is a UFD. A field is a UFD. Every principal Ideal Domain (example: F[x] and Z[x]) is a UFD. What about rings like F[x1,x2], and Z[x]? Gauss's theorem: If R is a UFD, then so is R[x]. Proof of the theorem using the characterization about irreducibles in R[x]. The case when the irreducibles are from R itself (Gauss's Lemma).

- 13.1 Irreducible vs Prime Elements of an Integral Domain
- 13.2 A characterization of Unique Factorizability
- 13.3 A Non-trivial Application All Principal Ideal Domains are Unique Factorization Domains
- 13.4 Gauss's Theorem
- 13.4.1 All constant primes in R are primes in R[x]
- 13.4.2 All non-constant irreducibles in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  are irreducibles in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

All primes in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  are primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ 

 ${\it Instructor:} \ {\it Jayalal Sarma M.N.}$ 

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 10, 2013

# 14

### Irreducibility

Continuing the proof of Gauss's theorem : The case when the irreducibles are from the R[x]. Moving the argument to the Field of Fractions. Conclusion : Two tasks are well-framed.

- How do we detect irreducibility?
- How do we factorize into irreducibile factors?

Eisenstein criterion for irreducibility.

- 14.1 Completing Gauss's Theorem
- 14.2 A Remark about Field of Fractions
- 14.3 Eisenstein criterion for irreducibility

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 11, 2013

# 15

#### Quotient Rings and First Isomorphism Theorem

Quotient Rings. Irreducibility and Quotient Rings. First Isomorphism Theorem for the Quotient Ring. Application 1: Chinese remaindering theorem. Application 2: From Quotient Rings of Irreducibile polynomials to Field extensions.

- 15.1 Quotient Rings and Irreducibility
- 15.2 Quotient Rings and First Isomorphism Theorem
- 15.3 Application 1 : Chinese Remaindering Theorem
- 15.4 Application 2: From Irreducibility to Field Extensions

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 10, 2013

16

#### More on Fields

Quick introduction to vector spaces. Viewing field extensions as vector spaces. Characterestic of a field. Sizes of fields. Constructing extensions and uniqueness of fields of a given size (up to isomorphism).

#### 16.1 Field Extensions as Vector Spaces

A vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a set V with two kinds of operations - addition and scalar multiplications - satisfying the following properties. Elements of V are called vectors and elements of  $\mathbb{F}$  are called scalars.

- (V, +) forms an abelian group.
- If  $\alpha$  is a scalar, and v is a vector, then  $\alpha v$  is a vector.
- If  $\alpha$  is a scalar, and u and v are vectors, then  $\alpha(u+v)$  is the same vector as  $\alpha u + \alpha v$ .
- If  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  are scalars, and v is a vector, then  $\alpha_1(\alpha_2 v)$  is the same vector as  $(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)v$  where  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$  is the multiplication in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Some easy examples are the set of points in  $R \times R$ . Set of polynomials of degree d over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  forms a vector space with the natural notion of addition and multiplication.

Let E be a field and F be a subfield of it. One can view E as a vector space over F. To see this, view the elements of F as scalars and the elements of E as vectors in the above definition.

#### 16.1.1 Linear Independence, Basis, and Dimension

Definition 16.1. A set of vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots v_k$  are said to be linearly independent, if:

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \ldots + \alpha_k v_k = 0 \Longrightarrow \alpha_1 = 0 \land \alpha_2 = 0 \land \ldots \land \alpha_k = 0$$

For any set S of vectors, the set of vectors spanned by it, denoted by SPAN(S) is the set of vectors that can be expressed as the linear combination of vectors in S. A set S is said to be a basis of a vector space, if S itself is linearly independent and the SPAN(S) is the whole space.

We need two observations about the basis of a vector spaces and basis.

All basis of a vector space are of the same size. Suppose there is an S and an S' which forms the basis of the same vector space V, and |S| > |S'|. Since S and S' are subsets of V itself, the elements of S' Javalal says: This needs to be completed.

Since all basis of a vector space are of the same size - it must be the case that.

#### 16.1.2 Minimal Polynomials - viewing adjoining as a vector space

#### 16.2 Characterestic of Rings & Fields

Consider the following Ring homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to a ring R.

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to R$$

where,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\phi(a) = |a|.1$  if a > 0, and  $\phi(a) = |a|.(-1)$  if a < 0. where n.1 is simply a notation for adding the identity of the ring R, n times to itself.

We will first check that it is a ring homomorphism. Javalal says: Yet to be written

Let I be the kernel of this map. Since  $\mathbb Z$  is a principal ideal domain, I is singly generated and the generator is simply the least number in absolute value. Let  $\ell$  be the generator of the ideal. We know that the ideal I is simply  $\ell \mathbb Z$ . This  $\ell$  is called the characterestic of the ring R. In other words, characterestic of a ring R with identity is simply the smallest number of times one needs to add 1 to get to  $\theta$ . Indeed, it is possible that adding the identity to itself never gets to 0 of the ring. In this case I=0 and  $\ell=0$  - we say that the characteristic of the ring is 0.

We explore more properties that can be derived from this  $\ell$ . Let R' be the image of this homomorphism. We know that R' is a subring of R. Consider the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  which is same as  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ . By the first isomorphism theorem we have the following:  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \cong R'$ . In other words, if the characterestic of a ring R is  $\ell$ , then there is an isomorphic copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$  sitting inside R as a subring.

Now we turn to characterestic of a field. First of all let us argue that it can only be zero or a prime number.

LEMMA 16.2. The characterestic of a field is either a prime number or zero.

*Proof.* Let F be a field. Suppose the characterestic is not a prime and is  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Assume for the constradiction that  $\ell$  is not prime.  $\ell = p.q$  where p,q < n. Indeed,  $\ell$  is least integer such that  $\ell.n = 0$ . Hence  $p.1 \neq 0$  and  $q.1 \neq 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, associated with the  $\ell$  that we discussed above,  $\phi(pq) = \phi(p)\phi(q)$ . Since the LHS is 0,  $\phi(p)$  and  $\phi(q)$  forms zero divisors in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Thus, we have arrived at a contradiction and hence the lemma.

COROLLARY 16.3. Any finite field must have a subfield whose order is a prime number.

*Proof.* Let F be a finite field. We first argue that the characterestic cannot be zero. If it is zero, then we know that the ideal I in the above discussion is the zero ideal and hence the quotient ring is  $\mathbb{Z}$  itself. Hence,  $\exists R' \subseteq F$  such that  $Z \cong R'$ , which implies that  $\mathbb{F}$  must have infinite cardinality. Thus, characterestic can only be a prime number. Thus, an isomorphic copy of  $Z_p$  for some prime p must be present in every field.

#### 16.3 Sizes of Finite Fields

We combine the ideas developed in the previous two sections to conclude that the sizes of finite fields cannot be arbitrary.

LEMMA 16.4. The size of any finite field is of always of the form  $p^d$  for some prime p and a non-negative integer d.

Proof.  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (for some prime p) appears a subfield(up to isomorphism) of any finite field. Let d be the dimension of F as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . That is, there is a subset  $S \subseteq F$  with |S| = d, which forms the basis of F over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let us say that  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots a_d\}$ . Indeed, each vector (each element of  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  can be viewed as a d-tuple  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)$  such that  $a = \alpha_1 a_1 + \alpha_2 a_2 + \dots + \alpha_d a_d$ . Can two tuples represent the same a? No, because it would mean then that  $\sum_i \alpha_i a_i = \sum_i \alpha' a_i$ . This contradicts the fact that S is linearly independent (since it forms a basis of  $\mathbb{F}$ ). Hence there are precisely  $p^d$  tuples possible, each of them representing distinct elements of  $\mathbb{F}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence the size of the field  $\mathbb{F}$  must be exactly  $p^d$ .

#### 16.4 Constructing Field Extensions

For any p and d, is there a field of size  $p^d$ . We will answer this question positively.

Consider a polynomial p(x) of degree d that is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let a be a root of such a polynomial. Clearly  $a \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Consider the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p/\langle p \rangle$ . This is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p(a)$  which also is a vector space over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

We argue that the size of this finite field is precisely  $p^d$ . First of all, we argue that any element of the space  $\mathbb{Z}_p(a)$  can be viewed as a linear combination of elements in  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{d-1}\}$ . We do not need an  $a^d$  in this expression - indeed, it can be written as a combination of the other elements of lesser power since p(a) = 0. Suppose there is a linear combination of  $(1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{d-1})$  that goes to zero, that is a is a root of the polynomial of lesser degree than p(a). But then there is a polynomial of degree less than p(x) which has a as the root.

#### 16.5 Uniqueness of Fields up to isomorphism

We will be greedy, for any p and d, are there two non-isomorphisc fields of size  $p^d$ ? We will answer this question negatively. So, we can always talk about the field of size  $p^d$ . Jayalal says: Define splitting field etc.

LEMMA 16.5. The splitting field of a polynomial are always isomorphic to each other.

Proof. Jayalal says: Yet to be written

LEMMA 16.6. For any field  $\mathbb{F}$ , there is a polynomial whose splitting field is  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Proof. Let  $|\mathbb{F}| = k$ . Consider the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F} - \{0\}$ . Let g be an element in this group. We know by Lagrange's theorem,  $g^{k-1} = 1$  where 1 is the multiplicative identity. Thus for all  $g \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $g^k = g$ . Thus all of them are roots of the polynomial  $x^k - x$ , as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}[x]$ . Since this polynomial can have at most k roots, the polynomial completely splits in  $\mathbb{F}$  and it does not split in any subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{F}$  is the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^k - x$ .

By combining the above two lemmas, we get the main point of this section. That finite fields of a fixed size must be isomorphic.

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 18, 2013

# 17

### Warming up to Berlekamp's Factorization Algorithm

Back to Factorization problem. A starting idea to use Fermat's little theorem to extract product of linear factors. Reduction to Squarefree case. Frobenius map  $(x^q = x)$  and the sub-algebra of the quotient ring F[x]/f. Dimension of the sub-algebra when f is irreducible.

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 10, 2013

# 18

### Berlekamp's Lemma

Chinese remaindering. Berlekamp algebra W and its dimension. From an element in W to factorization - Berlekamp's Lemma.

Scribe: stud

Date: Sep 25, 2013

# 19

### Berlekamp's Factorization Algorithm

Writing a set of linear equations and the Berlekamp Matrix. The  $O((qn^3)(n^2)(qn^2))$  time algorithm. Reducing the first factor of q by faster exponentiation. Removing the second factor of q. Identifying the minimal polynomial for the g(x).

Scribe: stud

Date: Oct 1, 2013

# 20

### Berlekamp's Factorization Algorithm

Computing the minimal polynomial of g(x). Factorizing the minimal polynomial by Rabin's factorization method. Discussions on effect of choosing g(x) in W, at random. Berlekamp's Algorithm.

- 20.1 The Berlekamp Subalgebra  $\mathbb{W}$
- 20.2 From Number of Irreducible Factors to Dimension
- 20.3 Using  $\mathbb{W}$  for factorization
- 20.4 Constructing a basis for  $\mathbb{W}$  a linear algebraic approach