

Fluid Dynamics

Third year Physics core course (9 lectures)

Ingo Mueller-Wodarg

Blackett Laboratory

Imperial College London

(i.mueller-wodarg@imperial.ac.uk)

January 2016



Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	What is Fluid Dynamics?	5
1.2	Fluids, Liquids and Gases	5
2	Kinematics	6
2.1	Flow visualisation	6
2.2	Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions of motion	7
2.2.1	Compressible and incompressible fluids	7
2.2.2	Lagrangian description	7
2.2.3	Eulerian description	8
2.3	The material derivative	9
3	Conservation Laws	10
3.1	Navier Stokes equation	10
3.2	Drag and ram pressure	13
3.3	Viscosity	14
3.4	Reynolds number	16
3.5	Bernoulli's principle	17
3.5.1	Derivation 1 of Bernoulli's principle	17
3.5.2	Derivation 2 of Bernoulli's principle	18
3.6	Applications of Bernoulli's principle	20
3.6.1	Venturis	20
3.6.2	The Magnus effect	20
3.6.3	Cavitation	23
4	Characterising flows	24
4.1	Ideal flows	24
4.2	Irrotational Flows	24
4.2.1	Incompressible flow	24
4.2.2	Laminar and turbulent flows	25
4.3	Rotational flows	26
4.3.1	Vorticity	26
4.3.2	Types of vortex	27
4.3.3	Flow past a cylinder	29
4.3.4	Wingtip vortices	30
4.3.5	Cyclones	31
5	Geophysical Fluid Dynamics	36
5.1	Introduction	36
5.2	Equations of motion	36
5.2.1	Rotating frame	36
5.2.2	Equation of motion in a rotating frame	40

5.2.3	The centrifugal acceleration term	42
5.2.4	Rossby number	43
5.2.5	Geostrophic flow	43
5.3	Thermal wind	44

Recommended Literature:

No single textbook will be ideal to cover all the material. This lecture course has benefited from many sources, and below I list merely those sources which I found particularly useful.

1. G. K. Batchelor: **An introduction to fluid dynamics**, Cambridge University Press, 1973/2000: This is a classic textbook, more wide reaching than necessary for the present course, but excellent for looking up individual topics and studying them in more depth.
2. P. K. Kundu: **Fluid Mechanics**, Academic Press, 2002 (2nd Ed.). This is an excellent text book which much of the material presented in this course is derived from.
3. M. C. Potter and D. C. Wiggert: **Mechanics of fluids**, Brooks/Cole, 2002 (3rd Ed.). A text book with many good illustrations, more hands-on than the above two books.
4. G. K. Vallis: **Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics: Fundamentals and Large-scale Circulation**, Cambridge University Press, 2006: This book is specifically about the Earth's atmosphere and ocean, so dealing mostly with Geophysical Fluid Dynamics.

Recommended Web Links:

Fluid dynamics is often best illustrated through animations or films. Some important web resources with such material are listed below, many more exist.

1. <http://web.mit.edu/hml/ncfmf.html> : Films produced by the National Committee for Fluid Mechanics Films (NCFMF). They "look old" but are amongst the best illustrations available of the key concepts of Fluid dynamics.
2. <http://serve.me.nus.edu.sg/limtt> : A site with a wide collection of animations and still images.

A brief foreword

The following is the lecture script for the *Fluid dynamics* core year 3 Physics course of the Department of Physics at Imperial College London. The course was introduced in 2014 but not all material is new, I am indebted to Prof. Jeremy Chittenden who provided material from his original *Hydrodynamics and Shocks* course, some of which is used here.

The lectures and this script will be accompanied by weekly problem sheet and by Powerpoint slides (with more images and animations/movies), all uploaded onto Blackboard. You are reminded that any material distributed to you may be used in an examination.

Please email me if anything doesn't appear to make sense, while I have made every effort to eliminate mistakes some may still be hidden in this document. Any changes that I make to this script will be uploaded to Blackboard and announced in the lectures.

Fluid Dynamics – while an applied discipline – is fundamental to so many areas of physics and other areas, from the study of small-scale liquid flow in organisms to water flow through pipes, the study of aerodynamics of cars and planes to understanding the circulation of oceans and atmospheres on our planet as well as other bodies in the solar system. The basic principles of Fluid Dynamics equally apply to Magnetohydrodynamics and allow us to understand large structures such as the Sun and solar wind. So, understanding the behaviour of mediums which behave like fluids is a truly universal discipline!

The course – due to its brevity – can only skim over the main topics of Fluid Dynamics. Still, it will hopefully be a useful introduction to this fascinating (and useful!) subject and trigger the motivation for further study!

Ingo Mueller-Wodarg

January 2016

1 Introduction

1.1 What is Fluid Dynamics?

Fluid dynamics numerically treats the flow of material (fluids). The main purpose in this is to understand behaviour of fluids on a range of scales, from small (flow around cars and aeroplanes, the flight of birds and swimming of fish, or the flow of blood in our bodies) to large (motion of atmospheric gases or ocean water). Fluid Dynamics is of interest both to fundamental scientists as well as to engineers, with the goal of understanding how nature works and of designing machines that most efficiently achieve any desired practical purpose.

1.2 Fluids, Liquids and Gases

Most substances exist in three possible states, solid, liquid and gas. Fluid dynamics is concerned with the state and behaviour of liquids and gases. Together, we often refer to these as fluids. There is no fundamental difference in the physics describing liquids and gases.

Fluid dynamics limits itself to the study of liquids and gases that move under the action of shear stress. Substances that do not move under shear stress are subject of *rheology*.

Gases tend to be hydrostatically *compressible* whereas liquids tend to be hydrostatically *incompressible*. We shall see later (Section 4.2.1) that flows can to a reasonable approximation be treated as largely incompressible in both liquids and gases. This lecture course shall therefore focus on the treatment of incompressible fluids.

Fluid dynamics does not study individual particles – this would for most situations be impossible in practice – but the formalism rather looks at their *collective* behaviour. This assumes particles interacting with one another, most commonly via collisions, but in other theories such as Magneto-Hydrodynamics (MHD) this interaction can instead occur via electro-magnetic interaction between the (plasma-) particles. Assuming particle interaction by collisions or other forces, the Navier Stokes equations are approximations of the Boltzmann equation, assuming a Maxwellian energy distribution of the particles. This course will not be treating the Boltzmann equation.




Figure 2.1: Streamline (Credit: Kundu, 2002)

2 Kinematics

2.1 Flow visualisation

To begin with, we need to introduce a number of key concepts frequently encountered in Fluid Dynamics. Given that we are not looking at individual particles we need to define what structures of a fluid can be used for its description and visualisation.

Consider the situation in Figure 2.1. At any moment in time a point in a fluid element has a velocity vector with specific direction.

- *Pathlines* are the trajectories (paths) of fluid particles over a period of time. They are sometimes also called *flowlines*. If the flow is steady (not changing in time) all particles emanating from a point follow the same pathlines.
- *Streamlines* are curves formed by tangents in the direction of velocity flow vectors at any one time. This is illustrated in Figure 2.1. In other words, a streamline is a curve which is tangent everywhere to the local, instantaneous velocity field.
- *Streaklines* show the line formed by particles that are continuously introduced at a certain point, as illustrated in Figure 2.2. An example is dye continually released from the end of a fine tube, or smoke from a chimney.




Figure 2.2: Streaklines (Credit: Engineering Archives)

For *steady* flow, the streamlines will always look the same, at every instant in time. In this case, the flow lines match the stream lines.

If the flow is *unsteady*, the streamlines will change from one instant in time to the next, so streamlines and flowlines do not match. This is illustrated in Figure 2.3 which shows a body moving from right to left in a fluid which at infinite distance from the object is stationary. The flow pattern of the fluid seen by an observer who is stationary with respect to the undisturbed fluid changes with time, so it appears as an unsteady flow to this observer. In front of the body and behind it, the streamlines (solid) are directed towards the left, the direction of motion of the body. On the sides (above and below the body) they are essentially lateral. If we look at the motion of an individual particle / fluid element (labelled with "P" in Figure 2.3) then its pathline is as shown in the figure, looping outward and forward again as the body passes by the fluid element.

Note that a flow which is steady in one reference frame isn't necessarily so in another.

2.2 Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions of motion

2.2.1 Compressible and incompressible fluids

When numerically describing a flow field it is convenient to consider small parcels of fluid containing many molecules. If the fluid is *incompressible* the volume does not change in magnitude but may deform. If the fluid is *compressible* the volume deforms and changes in magnitude. For subsonic flow compressible fluids can often be treated mathematically in the same way as incompressible fluids, as discussed in Section 4.2.1.

2.2.2 Lagrangian description

When studying particle motion we may define their position, velocity and acceleration at point (x_0, y_0, z_0, t) as $\underline{r}(x_0, y_0, z_0, t)$, $\underline{u}(x_0, y_0, z_0, t)$ and $\underline{a}(x_0, y_0, z_0, t)$. Here, (x_0, y_0, z_0, t)




Figure 2.3: Several streamlines (solid) and a path line (dashed) due to a body moving in a fluid. (Credit: Kundu, 2002)

represents the starting point of each particle. This is the *Lagrangian* description. While useful for small numbers of particles it becomes cumbersome for very large numbers of particles.

2.2.3 Eulerian description

As an alternative to following each particle individually, we may define specific points in space and observe the properties (velocities, accelerations) of particles passing these specific points. This is the *Eulerian* description of motion and flow properties. In Cartesian coordinates the velocity is expressed as $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, y, z, t)$ where x, y, z are the coordinates of the fixed points in space. At each point we can also define the change of velocity at each point with space, $\partial\mathbf{u}/\partial x, \partial\mathbf{u}/\partial y, \partial\mathbf{u}/\partial z$ and with time, $\partial\mathbf{u}/\partial t$. The region of flow investigated in this way is often referred to as the *flow field*.

Examples:

As an example to illustrate the different approaches, imagine you want to study traffic flow in London. You can either hire people to drive through town in cars and record the appropriate parameters (Lagrangian approach) or you can hire people to stand at certain intersections throughout town and record the required information (Eulerian approach). Both approaches should lead to the same result, each situation will have one approach be more suitable than the other. In fluid dynamics the Eulerian approach is used most commonly but in some instances (e.g., the study of drifting buoys to study ocean currents) the Lagrangian approach is used.

In section 2.1 we referred to *steady* flow. This is flow where the quantities of interest,

e.g. the velocity field $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$, are independent of time at any fixed point, $\underline{\mathbf{u}} = \underline{\mathbf{u}}(x, y, z)$. Thereby, in a steady flow we have $\partial\underline{\mathbf{u}}/\partial t = 0$. This applies to the Eulerian description. Whereas in a steady flow the properties of fluid particles at any given point in space are constant with time, the properties of individual particles do in general vary with time, so in a Lagrangian description their properties could still vary with time (especially if the flowlines are curved). This illustrates for the case of steady flows that a Eulerian formulation may yield simpler expressions (since the time variation falls out).

2.3 The material derivative

In describing the change of any field variable C (e.g., temperature, velocity, stress) we seek to calculate the change of C at each Lagrangian point (x, y, z, t) by following a particle of fixed identity. This is in essence a Lagrangian concept which we try to express in Eulerian language.

Let the position vector be $\underline{\mathbf{r}} = (x, y, z)$ $\hat{\underline{\mathbf{r}}}$. For arbitrary and independent changes, $d\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ and dt , the change in C becomes:

$$dC = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} dz \quad (2.1)$$

What if the increments are not independent and arbitrary but associated with a particle of fixed identity? Then $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$ and dt are no longer independent but related by

$$\begin{aligned} dx &= u_x dt \\ dy &= u_y dt \\ dz &= u_z dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Substituting (2.2) into (2.1) gives:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u_x \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + u_y \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + u_z \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} \quad (2.3)$$

It is important to distinguish dC/dt from $\partial C/\partial t$. This difference is often emphasised by writing it as DC/Dt instead of dC/dt , but both represent the same. The total rate of change d/dt (or D/Dt) is called the *material derivative* and consists of two parts, the *local* rate of change $\partial/\partial t$ and the *advective* change $u_i \partial/\partial r_i$ (where $u_i = u_x$ or u_y or u_z and $r_i = x$ or y or z). In other words, the rate of change in a moving frame equals the advective term + the rate of change at a fixed point. Sometimes the advective change is also referred to as the *convective* change.

We may write (2.3) in vector notation:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + (\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)C \quad (2.4)$$

The scalar product $(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)C$ is the magnitude of the velocity vector $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$ times the component of ∇C in the direction of $\underline{\mathbf{u}}$.




Figure 3.1: A fluid element under the influence of a pressure change in the x direction.

3 Conservation Laws

3.1 Navier Stokes equation

The Navier Stokes equation describes the motion of fluid under the influence of forces and acceleration. When speaking of “the” Navier Stokes equation, the momentum equation is normally meant. However, there are several Navier Stokes equations which all form a coupled set of equations, the momentum equation (which we discuss here) and the energy and continuity equations (which we will not discuss in detail as part of this course).

Consider pressure related forces on a fluid element as illustrated in Figure 3.1. We assume pressure to change with x only, so if the pressure acting at x differs from that at $x + \Delta x$ we obtain a net pressure – and thereby force – acting on the fluid element. Pressure is force per unit area, so $F = PA$ and in the x direction we have $A = \Delta y \Delta z$. The net force acting upon the fluid element in the x direction due to changing pressure in the fluid is:

$$F_x = m a_x = \rho \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z \frac{du_x}{dt} = P(x) \Delta y \Delta z - P(x + \Delta x) \Delta y \Delta z \quad (3.1)$$

where we also used that the mass density ρ is $\rho = m/V = m/(\Delta x \Delta y \Delta z)$ and the acceleration $a_x = du_x/dt$. Rearranging (3.1) gives:

$$\rho \frac{du_x}{dt} = \frac{P(x) - P(x + \Delta x)}{\Delta x} \quad (3.2)$$

Letting $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$ gives:

$$\rho \frac{du_x}{dt} = - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \quad (3.3)$$

Written in 3D this gives:

$$\rho \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = - \nabla P \quad (3.4)$$

This can be regarded as a momentum equation describing the change of velocity of a fluid as a result of internal changes of pressure. The right side of (3.4) is the acceleration (to be exact, the force per unit volume) which causes the velocity change (left side).

In order to apply (3.4) to the atmosphere or ocean we must include more acceleration terms on the right side, *gravity* and *viscosity*.

The contribution due to gravity is given by $-\rho \underline{\mathbf{g}}$ where $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ is the gravity acceleration (on Earth the average magnitude of gravity acceleration is $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$).

The contribution due to viscous forces is expressed as $\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{h}} \nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ (see Section 3.3). Here, $\nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ is a tensor gradient, $\underline{\underline{h}}$ is a viscosity tensor and $\underline{\underline{h}} \nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ is the deviatoric stress tensor.

Adding these terms yields the Navier Stokes equation:

$$\rho \frac{d\underline{\mathbf{u}}}{dt} = -\nabla P - \rho \underline{\mathbf{g}} - \nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{h}} \nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}} \quad (3.5)$$

The term $\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{h}} \nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ is simplified by introducing η , the *dynamic viscosity* coefficient (unit: $\text{kg m}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), a quantity dependent on the material and on temperature.

Values for η are determined primarily by experiment. The viscosity coefficient is ultimately a parameterisation of the interaction between individual particles of the medium we are studying. In gases, this interaction occurs via particle collisions. In an ideal gas, the thermal speed of molecules is roughly $\propto \sqrt{T}$, and the same is found for η , i.e., $\eta \propto \sqrt{T}$. In liquids, the shear stress is primarily caused by intermolecular cohesive forces rather than by thermal motion of the molecules. These cohesive forces, and thereby η , decrease with temperature. Therefore, the change of η with temperature is different in gases and liquids.

Using η we may rewrite the viscosity term of Eq. 3.5 as

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{\underline{h}} \nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}} = \eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{u}} \quad (3.6)$$

Proving this relationship, an approximation, is beyond the scope of the course.

Finally, recall from Section 2.3 that the left side of (3.5) is the material derivative of velocity as previously expressed in equation (2.4). We may thus rewrite (3.5) as:

$$\rho \frac{\partial \underline{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} + \rho (\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\mathbf{u}} = -\nabla P - \rho \underline{\mathbf{g}} - \eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{u}}$$

(3.7)

which is the Navier-Stokes momentum equation in an inertial reference frame.

Section 5.2.2 will introduce the Navier-Stokes equation for a non-inertial frame of reference, specifically a rotating frame of reference (such as Earth), adding two further

acceleration terms on the right side, the centrifugal and Coriolis accelerations (Eq. 5.13).

This form of the momentum equation can be rewritten in a slightly different way without changing its content. We may rewrite the term $(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ using a standard vector identity

$$\nabla(\underline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{B}}) = \underline{\mathbf{A}} \times (\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{B}}) + \underline{\mathbf{B}} \times (\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{A}}) + (\underline{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\mathbf{B}} + (\underline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\mathbf{A}} \quad (3.8)$$

So:

$$\nabla(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{u}}) = 2 \underline{\mathbf{u}} \times (\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) + 2(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\mathbf{u}} \quad (3.9)$$

Giving:

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\mathbf{u}} &= -\underline{\mathbf{u}} \times (\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{u}}) \\ &= (\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) \times \underline{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla(\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{u}}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The term $\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ (the curl of the velocity vector) is known as the *vorticity* and describes how much spin the fluid has (see also Section 4.3).

The Navier Stokes momentum equation in an inertial reference frame may therefore alternatively be written in the form

$$\boxed{\rho \frac{\partial \underline{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} = -\nabla P - \rho \underline{\mathbf{g}} - \eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla(u^2) - \rho(\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}} \quad (3.11)$$

So, acceleration = pressure gradient + gravity + viscosity + inertia + vorticity.

Both forms of the Navier Stokes momentum equation (3.7 and 3.11) are equivalent and used in one form or the other, depending on the scientific context.

A word about the solution of Navier Stokes equation:

For simplified forms (often steady state and linearised) of the Navier Stokes momentum equation, analytical solutions exist. In more complex applications (the majority of cases), the equation is however solved *numerically* (i.e., computationally): the change $(\partial \underline{\mathbf{u}} / \partial t)$ is calculated, added to a background value via time integration, then for the next time step $(\partial \underline{\mathbf{u}} / \partial t)$ is recalculated, and so on. Various numerical schemes are applied, depending on the problem at hand. This is a separate topic of investigation beyond the scope of this course.

Little curiosity:

No one has yet proven that in three dimensions a solution of the Navier Stokes equation always exist (“existence”), or that if it does exist, that it does not contain any singularity (that it is “smooth”). These two are referred to as the Navier-Stokes existence and smoothness problems. In fact, the Clay Mathematics Institute has called this one of the seven most important open problems in mathematics and has offered a US\$1,000,000 prize for a solution or a counter-example.




Figure 3.2: Illustration of a body experiencing low drag (left side) and large drag (right).

3.2 Drag and ram pressure

The deceleration (drag) of an object moving through a fluid depends on how much the streamlines must deviate in flowing around object, as illustrated in Figure 3.2.

To calculate the drag on an object we define a drag coefficient C_D such that

$$F_{drag} = -\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 A C_D \quad (3.12)$$

Where A is the surface area perpendicular to the flow and F_{drag} is the drag force.

The expression $\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2$ is in fluids often referred to as *ram pressure*. The expression shows that ram pressure increases with u^2 and thus strongly depends on the flow velocity, u . To understand this relationship, we may more accurately calculate drag by considering each colliding air molecule imparting a momentum $m u$ to an object. The number of particles per second is $\propto u$, so the momentum exchange per unit volume is $\propto \rho u^2$ but this assumes all momentum being lost. In fact, the fraction of momentum lost depends on the shape of the streamlines, expressed via C_D .


C_D (unitless) depends on the shape of the flow around the object and can be measured (e.g. in a wind tunnel) or calculated by computer modelling of airflow using the Navier Stokes equation. In practice, C_D also needs to include other effects such as skin friction and viscosity. Examples of C_D values are shown in Figure 3.3.

We may write energy = force · distance and power = force · velocity = force · distance in 1 sec. Therefore, the power required to maintain constant velocity is

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \rho u^3 A C_D \quad (3.13)$$

Examples:

A car cruising at 50 mph (22 m/s) may require only 10 horsepower (7.35 kW) depending on $A C_D$, but at 100 mph it requires 80 hp (59 kW).



Measured Drag Coefficients

Figure 3.3: Measured drag coefficients C_D for various shapes in a fluid with Reynolds number $Re \approx 10^4$ (see Section 3.4 for the definition of Reynolds number).

Say, $AC_D = 1 \text{ m}^2$, engine power $\approx 600 \text{ kW}$ (805 horsepower!), air density $= 1.2 \text{ kg/m}^3$. Since $u^3 = 2 P / \rho AC_D$ we obtain $u = (1.2 \cdot 10^6 / 1.2 / 1)^{1/3} = 100 \text{ m/s}$ (224 mph).

Sky diver: $\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 A C_D = mg$, $m=100 \text{ kg}$, $AC_D = 0.5 \text{ m}^2$, so:
 $u = \sqrt{1000 \cdot 2 / 1.2 / .5} = 58 \text{ m/s} = 130 \text{ mph}$.

3.3 Viscosity

Viscosity can be regarded as the internal “stickiness” of a fluid. It accounts for the energy losses associated with the transport of fluids in ducts, channels and pipes. Viscosity also plays a major role in the generation of turbulence.

Viscosity in *gases* arises principally from the molecular diffusion that transports momentum between layers of flow, i.e. high velocity molecules diffuse transverse to motion, transferring momentum to adjacent layers.

In *liquids*, the forces between molecules in layers of different velocity introduce shear stress.

We will infer a law to express the effect of velocity gradients du/dy on a fluid, using




Figure 3.4: Shear stress τ on a surface AB . Diffusion tends to decrease velocity gradients, so that the continuous (solid) line tends towards the dashed line. (Credit: Kundu, 2002)

the illustration of Figure 3.4. The velocity distribution will tend with time towards the dashed line. Momentum in the x direction is transported downward (from larger y to smaller y). The fluid above AB will push forward (towards larger x) the liquid below AB . This *momentum flux* is equivalent to the existence of a shear stress in the liquid.

Stress is a measure of internal forces in a body between its constituent particles. Experimentally, it is found that the shear stress τ along AB is to a good approximation related to the velocity gradient through

$$\tau = \eta \frac{du}{dy} \quad (3.14)$$

The physical unit of stress (see Eq. 3.14) is $[\tau] = \text{kg m}^{-1}\text{s}^{-2}$. The unit of force (which we ultimately want) is however $[F] = \text{kg m/s}^2$. This illustrates that the stress τ is not the same as the force (though they are related of course).

In fact, stress is like a tensor form of pressure – i.e., the force is the gradient of the stress. Stress is defined as force per unit area. The gradient of stress in the y direction is:

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial y} = \eta \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial y^2} \quad (3.15)$$

A layer of thickness Δy experiences a force per unit area of

$$\Delta y \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial y} = \eta \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial y^2} \Delta y \quad (3.16)$$

This accelerates a mass per unit area of $\rho\Delta y$, so from $F = ma$ we have a deceleration of

$$\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial y^2} \quad (3.17)$$

Compare this to the diffusion equation (*Fick's Law*) for a gas of concentration n_i :

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 n_i}{\partial x^2} \quad (3.18)$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient.

This illustrates that (3.17) is a one-dimensional diffusion equation, i.e. velocity diffuses from the high speed region into the slow speed region.

The tendency of a liquid to diffuse velocity gradients is determined by the quantity

$$\mu \equiv \frac{\eta}{\rho} \quad (3.19)$$

where μ is the *kinematic viscosity* (unit: m^2/s) and ρ the mass density (unit: kg/m^3). We can regard μ as a “diffusion coefficient” for momentum.

For water at 20°C , $\mu \approx 1.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, for olive oil at 50°C , $\mu \approx 25.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, for honey at 40°C , $\mu \approx 70.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$.

3.4 Reynolds number

The dimensionless parameter Reynolds number (Re) compares the magnitude of the convective (inertia) term $\frac{1}{2}\rho\nabla u^2$ to the dissipative term $\eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{u}}$:

$$Re = \frac{|\frac{1}{2}\rho\nabla u^2|}{|\eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{u}}|} \quad (3.20)$$

We may simplify this by writing $\nabla \approx 1/L$ with L being a typical scale length of the system looked at:

$$Re = \rho u \frac{L}{\eta} = u \frac{L}{\mu} \quad (3.21)$$

where we also used equation (3.19) to replace η with μ . Note that the Reynolds number is used for scale analysis only and hence the factor (1/2) which appears in Eq. 3.20 can be ignored in Eq. 3.21.

If Re is large it implies that viscosity is unimportant, the flow is *laminar* or if unstable becomes *turbulent* (for $Re \leq 10^4 - 10^6$). Laminar and turbulent flows are discussed in




Figure 3.5: Illustration of Bernoulli's principle.

Section 4.2.2.

If Re is small, viscosity damps motion. Note that $Re \propto L$ implies that often large scales are laminar, smaller scales are damped.

3.5 Bernoulli's principle

The Bernoulli equation (first derived 1738 by the Swiss mathematician Daniel Bernoulli) describes conservation of energy appropriate for flowing fluids, that the total mechanical energy of the flowing fluid or gas (energy associated with pressure, gravitational potential energy and kinetic energy of fluid motion) remains constant:

Energy per unit volume before = Energy per unit volume after

Bernoulli's equation is probably the most used equation in Fluid Dynamics. It is often also mis-used due to lack of understanding of its limitations, in particular the assumption that viscous effects are ignored.

There are several ways of deriving Bernoulli's principle, of which two alternatives are given in the following.

3.5.1 Derivation 1 of Bernoulli's principle

One way of visualising Bernoulli's principle is in terms of the work done in moving a fluid. Consider the situation shown in Figure 3.5. It shows a section of flow mapped out

by streamlines, rising and expanding. We assume no flow perpendicular to stream lines, implying a behaviour like flow in a tube. In this situation, a source of pressure is used to raise water (e.g. water main, feeding a shower) via conservation of energy.

Conservation of mass gives: $\Delta m_1 = \Delta m_2 = \Delta m$

Thereby: $\rho_1 A_1 u_1 \Delta t = \rho_2 A_2 u_2 \Delta t$ for a given time interval Δt

If flow is incompressible then ρ is constant, so the volume occupied by Δm is given by $\Delta V = A u \Delta t$ and has to be constant, i.e., $u \propto 1/A$. This is illustrated in Figure 3.5 by the decreasing lengths of the velocity vectors.

Work done by the pressure at location 1 is force times distance = $P_1 A_1 u_1 \Delta t$

Total work done on mass Δm is $P_1 A_1 u_1 \Delta t - P_2 A_2 u_2 \Delta t = \Delta V(P_1 - P_2)$

Thereby, the total work done per unit volume is $(P_1 - P_2)$ (*note the equivalence of P and energy density*)

Define total energy density (J/m^3) as kinetic plus gravitational energy densities:
 $\frac{1}{2}\rho u^2 + \rho\Phi$

Work done = change in energy density when going from 1 to 2, so:

$P_1 - P_2 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_2 u_2^2 + \rho_2 \Phi_2 - (\frac{1}{2}\rho_1 u_1^2 + \rho_1 \Phi_1)$, so $P + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2 + \rho\Phi$ is a constant.

If the fluid is compressible then the change in internal energy density U needs to be included and $P + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2 + \rho\Phi + \rho U$ is then a constant of the motion.

For compressible flow, the fluid can be heated or cooled, exchanging thermal energy with gravity or flow.

3.5.2 Derivation 2 of Bernoulli's principle

Alternatively, we can derive Bernoulli's equation from the Navier Stokes equation which was given in (3.11) as

$$\rho \frac{\partial \underline{\mathbf{u}}}{\partial t} = -\nabla P - \rho \nabla \Phi - \eta \nabla^2 \underline{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla(u^2) - \rho(\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) \times \underline{\mathbf{u}} \quad (3.22)$$

where we expressed gravitational acceleration $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ in terms of a gradient of gravitational potential Φ , $\underline{\mathbf{g}} = \nabla \Phi$.

We now make the following assumptions:




Figure 3.6: The change of fluid velocity in a tube resulting from changing cross section.

- Steady state: $\partial \underline{u} / \partial t = 0$
- Inviscid: $\eta \nabla^2 \underline{u} = 0$
- Irrotational: $\rho (\nabla \times \underline{u}) \times \underline{u} = 0$

Thereby:

$$0 = -\nabla P - \rho \nabla \Phi - \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla(u^2) \quad (3.23)$$

Giving:

$$\boxed{\nabla \left(P + \rho \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) = 0} \quad (3.24)$$

This is equivalent to stating that $(P + \rho \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2)$ is constant.

For $u = 0$ this represents Pascal's principle¹, $\Delta P = \rho g(\Delta h)$ (ΔP being a change wrt background P).

If the flow is horizontal (ie. Φ is constant) and we assume incompressibility (constant ρ):

$$\boxed{\nabla \left(P + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) = 0} \quad (3.25)$$

Equations 3.24 and 3.25 are known as the Bernoulli equation (one with gravitational changes and the other without).

Bernoulli's principle is the most fundamental principle of hydrodynamics, it explains, lift, spin, waves, instability, etc..

¹Pascal's Principle: A change in pressure at any point in an enclosed fluid at rest is transmitted undiminished to all points in the fluid.




Figure 3.7: Flow of a fluid through a Venturi tube.

While we assumed the flow to be irrotational, this is not strictly necessary. Even with rotation included $\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}) = \mathbf{0}$, so $\underline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla(P + \rho \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2) = 0$, so $P + \rho \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2$ is constant along a stream line.

3.6 Applications of Bernoulli's principle

3.6.1 Venturis

Figure 3.7 shows flow of a fluid through a Venturi tube. Increased flow velocity through constriction gives rise to change in pressure through Bernoulli's principle. Flow velocity can be measured by measuring pressure e.g. by measuring height of water in a vertical tube attached (Pitot tube used to measure air speed on aircraft).

Alternatively, reduced pressure at a constriction can be used to suck another fluid (e.g. petrol) in order to mix it with the flowing fluid (e.g. air) as in a carburettor.

The carburettor (Figure 3.8) makes use of Bernoulli's principle. The throttle (accelerator) controls the flow of air being pulled into the engine (rather than the amount of fuel). The speed of air flow (its pressure) regulates the amount of fuel drawn into the airstream and engine.

3.6.2 The Magnus effect

Spinning objects generate rotation in fluids around them. Difference side-to-side in relative velocity between object surface and unperturbed stream velocity. The finite viscous drag allows angular momentum to be transferred from the object to the air. Thereby,




Figure 3.8: Carburettor

the air near the surface of the ball moves with the velocity of rotation. This adds to the velocity of flow on one side and decreases flow velocity on other side. This is illustrated in Figure 3.9.

Due to Bernoulli's principle, $P + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2$ is constant and there is an effective pressure difference side-to-side. If u is the average velocity of the ball and Δu is the velocity due to rotation (spin) of the ball then the pressure difference is

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho(u + \Delta u)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho(u - \Delta u)^2 = 2\rho u \Delta u \quad (3.26)$$

Note that ρ is the density of the medium in which the ball moves (e.g., air) and not of the ball itself.

A little note on interpreting the Magnus effect:

You may justifiably ask yourself now how one side of the spinning object could possibly communicate with the other side of the spinning object, as is implied by Equation 3.26. The two sides don't directly communicate any local change in energy to one another, so the energy gained by one side isn't lost immediately by the other. However, they are both embedded in a fluid which moves at an average velocity and has average properties, the fluid surrounding the regions of one or the other side of the object. The Magnus effect should be seen on the local scale of how the flow near the surface of the spinning object differs from the average flow slightly further away. The two sides of the object locally experience forces which then add up to a total force expressed via the pressure




Figure 3.9: The Magnus effect on a ball spinning clockwise which moves to the left. The resulting Magnus force lifts the ball up.

difference of Eq. 3.26.

Examples:

In soccer, a player may hit the ball and give it a spin. Thereby, the ball will be deflected from a straight line, confusing the goalkeeper.

In Tennis, backspin produces lift, whereas top spin caused ball to dive, allowing the player to hit the ball at a higher velocity while still allowing it to hit the ground within the boundaries of the field.

In Golf: Backspin on golf balls produces lift, giving appearance of ball taking off from tee.

In reality, the boundary layer plays a role. Drag is actually lower for turbulent flow than for flow with smaller Reynolds number Re (cf Eq. 3.21) (because vortices detach later and are smaller). Fast freekicks dont decelerate as much as slow ones. As the ball decelerates Re falls and flow becomes less turbulent - increasing the drag and changing the swerve.

For a golf ball dimples are added to make the boundary layer more turbulent and reduce drag. (dimples mean turbulent for $Re > 2 \cdot 10^4$, c.f. smooth football requires $Re > 4 \cdot 10^5$, see Section 4.1).

3.6.3 Cavitation

Cavitation describes the formation of vapour cavities (bubbles, voids) in a liquid where the pressure of the liquid falls below its vapour pressure. When the surrounding pressure is higher, the voids implode and may generate a shockwave. The collapsing voids which implode near a metal surface may generate cyclic stress through repeated implosion, causing surface fatigue of the metal.

Consider Bernoulli's principle for a sudden large change in flow velocity e.g. forcing water through a constriction.

Change in pressure is $\frac{1}{2}\rho(u_1^2 - u_2^2)$. For $u_1 \ll u_2$ a change in pressure of 1 atmosphere (1 at = 0.98 bar; 1 bar = 10^5 Pa) requires $\frac{1}{2}\rho u_2^2 \approx 1 \cdot 10^5$, i.e. $u_2 \approx 14$ m/s (≈ 30 mph).

For abrupt velocity changes more than this the pressure in water becomes negative. In principle, water can retain a negative pressure (c.f tensile strength) but in practice impurities or surfaces introduce nucleation sites so that once pressure drops below vapour pressure, bubbles of water vapour start to form, (c.f. boiling). If these bubbles are generated in going through a constriction between two regions of atmospheric pressure, then once released the high external pressure causes the bubbles to implode. For example, in a barely closed tap (where the water is forced through a narrow constriction causing cavitation) collapse of the bubbles causes the hissing sound. The same effect can occur when water is forced to undergo rapid deviation due to impacting a solid surface, e.g. a bullet fired into water, or a rotating propeller blade.

For powerful swimming animals like dolphins and tuna, cavitation may be detrimental, because it limits their maximum swimming speed. Even if they have the power to swim faster, dolphins may have to restrict their speed because collapsing cavitation bubbles on their tail are too painful. Cavitation also slows tuna, but for a different reason. Unlike dolphins, these fish do not feel the painful bubbles, because they have bony fins without nerve endings. Nevertheless, they cannot swim faster because the cavitation bubbles create an air film around their fins that limits their speed. Lesions have been found on tuna that are consistent with cavitation damage.

4 Characterising flows

4.1 Ideal flows

Simplified solutions arise when terms in Navier Stokes can be neglected. One of these is to assume *ideal flow*. It is incompressible, inviscid, irrotational, steady and has no thermal conduction.

- *Incompressible*: Density does not change, usually the case for liquids, but also for subsonic flow in gases normally change in density is small compared to change in velocity (see 4.2.1).
- *Inviscid*: Negligible viscosity.
- *Irrotational*: Negligible vorticity (see Section 4.3). If a flow is initially uniform and it doesn't encounter any obstacle or force which is asymmetric, then it won't pick up any spin and its vorticity will remain zero.
- *Steady*: Velocity at each point in the flow is constant in time.
- *No thermal conduction*: Any pressure changes are primarily due to changes in velocity rather than heat flow.

Many of the principles (e.g. Bernoulli) may still be used for non-ideal flow but require some modifications.

4.2 Irrotational Flows

4.2.1 Incompressible flow

The form of the Navier Stokes equation (3.11) assumes density to be constant. How good an approximation is this? This approximation holds even for a compressible fluid (e.g. a gas) because at sub-sonic speeds the change in flow direction is unlikely to cause compression.

From the Bernoulli principle (see Section 3.5), $P + 1/2\rho u^2$ is constant. Finite background pressure means that even if a change in $1/2\rho u^2$ is relatively large (say, ~ 1 Pa), the change in pressure is small compared to the background pressure ($\sim 10^5$ Pa).

For an ideal gas $P \propto nkT \propto \rho/AkT$. Flow can be considered incompressible if changes in P (changes in ρ) are small compared to $P(\rho)$, i.e. $\Delta P \ll P$, hence $1/2\rho u^2 \ll P$, so $u \ll \sqrt{P/\rho}$ and $u \ll c_s$ (recall: $c_s = \sqrt{2kT/m} = \sqrt{2p/\rho}$). The Mach number is $\ll 1$. Therefore, incompressibility is a good approximation for sub-sonic flow.




Figure 4.1: Reynolds' experiment to distinguish between laminar and turbulent flows
(Adapted from: Kundu, 2002).

4.2.2 Laminar and turbulent flows

Viscous flows can be divided into two categories, namely *laminar flow* and *turbulent flow*. Their basic difference can be seen from Osborne Reynolds' experiment (1883) which consisted in injecting a thin stream of dye into the flow of water through a tube, as illustrated in Figure 4.1.

At low rates of flow (left image), the dye stream follows a well-defined straight path, showing that the liquid moved in parallel layers ("laminas") with no macroscopic mixing across the layers. This is called *laminar flow*. In laminar flow there is no disruption between layers, no lateral mixing. Laminar flow within a pipe is parallel to the pipe walls.

As the flow rate was increased beyond a critical value (right image), the dye streak broke up into irregular motion and spread throughout the cross section of the tube, indicating the presence of macroscopic mixing motions perpendicular to the direction of the bulk water flow. This chaotic fluid motion is characteristic of *turbulent flow*.

Reynolds showed that the transition between laminar and turbulent flow occurred at a fixed value of $Re = u (d/\mu) \approx 3000$ (u being the average water velocity, d the tube diameter and μ the kinematic viscosity).




Figure 4.2: Flow of liquid around a flat surface. At the boundary layer, viscous drag adds vorticity to the flow, illustrated in the red box.

4.3 Rotational flows

4.3.1 Vorticity

In Section 3.1 we introduced the term $\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ (the curl of the velocity vector) as the *vorticity* and argued that it describes how much spin the fluid has. We will examine this in more detail here.

In the case of a flow moving over a flat surface in the $+x$ direction (See Figure 4.2), viscosity within the boundary layer causes drag between layers with different velocity. A fluid element now has different velocity above (see red inset in Figure 4.2 which zooms in on the boundary layer) and below which causes the fluid element to rotate. In other words the curl of the velocity vector (its vorticity) is finite:

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}} = \left(\frac{\partial u_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} \right) \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} \right) \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \left(\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (4.1)$$

Here, $\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ has a finite $(\partial u_x / \partial y)$ in the $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ direction representing rotation about a vector into the paper. In practice there is also a little $(\partial u_y / \partial x)$ in the $+\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ direction.

Thereby, for the specific situation here: $\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}} \approx (\partial u_y / \partial x - \partial u_x / \partial y) \hat{\mathbf{z}} \approx -(\partial u_x / \partial y) \hat{\mathbf{z}}$.

Relate this to the viscous deceleration (see Section 3.3, Eq. (3.17)):

$$\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial y^2} \quad (4.2)$$

Take $\partial/\partial y$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial u_x^2}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad (4.3)$$

Assuming steady flow and swapping the derivatives gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} \quad (4.4)$$

So,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\text{vorticity}) = \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (\text{vorticity}) \quad (4.5)$$

which is the same form of 1-D diffusion equation we discussed in the context of viscosity (cf. Section 3.3, Eq. (3.17)).

Earlier, we stated that if vorticity is initially zero then it remains zero. But here we are forcing a boundary condition where $u_x = 0$ which is a source of vorticity which then diffuses into the flow.

Again the rate or extent to which vorticity diffuses into the flow is set by the viscosity or the Reynolds number of the flow.

Outside boundary layer vorticity $\rightarrow 0$ and potential flow solution is reasonable

4.3.2 Types of vortex

A vortex is a circulating fluid with closed streamlines.

Consider vorticity in rotating flows. In cylindrical co-ordinates (r, z, θ) with flow in the θ direction we may write the vorticity as

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(ru_\theta)}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{z}} \quad (4.6)$$

We may describe 3 different types of vortices:

- *Forced (or rotational) vortex*: If angular frequency is constant (like a rotating plate or CD) then $u_\theta \propto r$ and there is large (constant) vorticity – this is a forced vortex. The vorticity $\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}$ is non-zero in a forced vortex. A forced vortex must have some outer boundary otherwise $u_\theta \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
- *Free (or irrotational) vortex*: If angular momentum of a fluid element is conserved then $u_\theta \propto 1/r$ and therefore

$$\nabla \times \underline{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(ru_\theta)}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{z}} = 0 \quad (4.7)$$




Figure 4.3: Rankine vortex model.

The circulation around a closed contour \underline{S} ($\int \underline{u} \cdot d\underline{S} = 2\pi r u_\theta(r)$) is finite and constant (this follows from Stoke's theorem). Here, the vorticity is zero, so it is an irrotational flow. A free vortex occurs in the absence of external forcing.

One way to visualise this is to imagine the fluid to be subdivided into small square “packets”. In a free vortex, these packets move around the vortex axis but they don’t rotate in themselves (due to the specific $1/r$ dependence of the velocity), and hence the vorticity is zero. If they moved around the vortex axis and also rotated in themselves then vorticity would be non-zero, as is the case for a forced vortex.

Conservation of angular momentum means that free vortices occur naturally in convergent rotating flows. With minimal dissipation, the structure is long lived, e.g. whirlpool in bath, wingtip vortices, hurricane, tornadoes.

One problem with the free vortex is that velocity $u_\theta \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. This means that there is either a singularity (e.g. the hole in the middle of the bath whirlpool) or there is some central region or core where $u_\theta \rightarrow 0$ (u_θ cannot be finite at $r = 0$ as acceleration would be infinite), i.e. there is a forced vortex within the free vortex.

- The *Rankine vortex* is a vortex in a viscous fluid. A swirling flow in a viscous fluid can be characterised by a forced vortex in its central core, surrounded by a free vortex. The Rankine vortex assumes an inner circular region about the origin which is in solid rotation, while the outer region is free of vorticity, the speed being inversely proportional to the distance from the origin. This flow model has occasionally been used for the wind distribution in a hurricane and in a tornado. The change of u_θ with distance from the core is illustrated in Figure 4.3. This model in itself is problematic since the rate of change in $\partial/\partial r(u_\theta)$ is infinite at $r = a$. With finite viscosity the discontinuity at $r = a$ is smoothed.




Figure 4.4: Regimes of flow over a circular cylinder for different Reynolds numbers Re (Adapted from Kundu, 2002).

4.3.3 Flow past a cylinder

Viscous flows can be characterised analytically for the two extreme cases of $Re \ll 1$ and $Re \gg 1$ but for the range in-between, experimental or numerical solutions are necessary. In the following, the flow past a circular cylinder is characterised over the entire possible range of Re .

Consider a uniform flow meeting a cylindrical obstacle, as shown in Figure 4.4. We know from (3.21) that the Reynolds number can be written as

$$Re = u \frac{L}{\mu} = u_\infty \frac{d}{\mu} \quad (4.8)$$

where u_∞ represents the undisturbed flow velocity of the liquid at large distance from the cylinder and d is the diameter of the cylinder. From this relationship we see that changing Re can be obtained for constant d and μ by changing the flow velocity u_∞ .

At low velocity (low Re) (case (a) in Figure 4.4) viscosity dominates, a broad boundary layer with weak shear means the flow pattern is similar to the potential flow solution. For $Re \approx 20$ (case (b)) the flow lines close off and isolated vortices become visible behind




Figure 4.5: Karman vortex street downstream of a circular cylinder for $Re = 55$. (Credit: Taneda, 1965).

the cylinder. Increasing Re further, the vortices become more elongated.

When $Re > 40$ (case (c)) the circulation in the vortex closes the loop away from the cylinder, the vortex detaches from the cylinder. Minute differences mean that this detachment occurs on one side first, the flow is then asymmetric, triggering vortex detachment on the opposite side and so on. The resulting structure is called a *Karman vortex street*. An example experimental image of a Karman vortex street is shown in Figure 4.5. The flow is no longer steady and the alternating pressure increase on the object can cause a destructive resonance (e.g. Tacoma narrows bridge).

Increasing Re still further, vortices break up into smaller structures. The frictional effects upstream of separation are confined to near the surface of the cylinder. For $Re < 3 \cdot 10^5$ (case (d)) the boundary layer remains laminar while the wake remains turbulent. The laminar boundary layer separates at around 82° from the ram point.

For $Re > 3 \cdot 10^5$ (case (e)) the laminar boundary layer becomes unstable and transitions to turbulence. For a circular cylinder the turbulent boundary layer separates at 125° from the ram point, generating a thinner wake and a pressure distribution more similar to that of a potential flow. So, we still have a boundary layer, but dominated by turbulence rather than viscosity.

4.3.4 Wingtip vortices

A free vortex can be formed at the wing tips of airplanes, where the flow from the high pressure region below wings to lower pressure region above wing generates rotation at the wingtips, as shown in Figure 4.6.

The long-lived nature of these free vortices can be a cause of problems in practice. The




Figure 4.6: Vortices formed over the wing tips of an airplane.

stability of vortex means this part of wake takes a long time to decay and limits aeroplane take off frequency at airports (2-3 mins depending on size).

The same problem applies to helicopter noise from rotor blades cutting through the vortex left by the previous blade.

4.3.5 Cyclones

Cyclones are giant storms generated over warm oceans and are characterised by a sharp pressure drop in the centre (the "eye") which drives circular motion there (a forced vortex of ~ 50 km diameter), surrounded by a vast structure moving in circular motion around the eye. The surrounding structure can be ~ 500 km across and is a free vortex.

Cyclones form when warm, moist air over the ocean rises up from near the surface. As this moist air moves up, it generates an area of lower air pressure below near the surface. Near the surface, therefore, air from surrounding areas with higher air pressure pushes in to the low pressure area. As this new cool air warms up and becomes moist, it rises, too, so a cycle has been initiated near the surface. Further up, the warm, moist air rises and cools the water vapour, forming clouds. The entire cloud system spins and grows, fed by the oceans heat and water evaporating from the ocean surface. As the storm system rotates faster and faster, an "eye" forms in the centre, a low pressure region which represents the "engine" of the cyclone.

The Cyclone structure (Figure 4.7) mostly resembles that of a Rankine vortex, i.e. a forced vortex at the centre, the eye, and a free vortex outside. It is in practice complicated by the vertical dimension – some regions of warm damp air rising from ocean or land (energy source) & some regions of falling air with precipitation (energy dissipation), plus a central column of descending dry air (the eye). The Coriolis force also introduces




Figure 4.7: Structure of a cyclone.

finite vorticity. However, we may ignore these substructures to a first approximation and distinguish between two regions, the eye and everything else surrounding the eye.

The motion of a free vortex in reality is slowed down with time due to viscous drag. In a free vortex, therefore, some force is required to keep the fluid moving in a circle (and this doesn't make it a forced vortex, this forcing may affect only the boundary region close to the centre of the vortex while the rest is free). In a bath plug whirlpool this comes from gravity. In free vortices (e.g. wing tip vortices, cf. Section 4.3.4) this comes from pressure gradients which generate initial circular motion (at the wing tips).

Let's work out where the Bernoulli equation holds in a cyclone. First, let's look at the free vortex outside the eye. From Section 4.3.2 we know that a free vortex by definition has zero vorticity. From the Navier Stokes equation (3.11) (neglecting gravity and viscosity):

$$\rho \frac{\partial \underline{u}}{\partial t} = -\nabla P - \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla u^2 - \rho(\nabla \times \underline{u}) \times \underline{u} \quad (4.9)$$

In the frame moving with a fluid element the direction of the velocity \underline{u} is changing but at a fixed point \underline{u} is constant: $\partial \underline{u} / \partial t = 0$ and $(\nabla \times \underline{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ (free vortex).

Thus, $0 = -\nabla P - \nabla \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2$, i.e., Bernoulli's Principle ($\nabla(P + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2) = 0$) applies in a free vortex, and thereby in the cyclone outside the eye.

Let's now look at the eye of a cyclone. There, the low pressure at the centre, generating a radial pressure gradient, balances centrifugal forces on rotating fluid elements:

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = \rho \frac{u^2}{r} \quad (4.10)$$

where p is the pressure and u represents the azimuthal wind speed in a cylindrical coordinate system, or "rotation speed" of fluid elements. Equation 4.10 allows us to calculate u if we know the radial pressure gradient across the eye of a cyclone. The equation is not relevant for the rest of the cyclone (outside of the eye) since that is a free vortex and has a negligible radial pressure gradient.

Back to the question of whether the Bernoulli principle holds. We have seen that it holds outside the eye, but what about inside the eye? For Bernoulli to hold we need $p + 1/2 \rho u^2$ to be invariant with distance r from the centre:

$$0 = \frac{d}{dr} \left(p + \frac{1}{2} \rho u^2 \right) = \frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{d}{dr} (u^2) = \frac{dp}{dr} + \rho u \frac{du}{dr} \quad (4.11)$$

where we assumed ρ to be constant with r . We may insert Equation (4.10) into (4.11) to obtain

$$0 = \rho \frac{u^2}{r} + \rho u \frac{du}{dr} \quad (4.12)$$

which gives a relationship for the radial velocity gradient:

$$\frac{du}{dr} = -\frac{u}{r} \quad (4.13)$$

So, in order for the Bernoulli principle to hold in the eye of the cyclone we would need the radial velocity gradient to satisfy Equation (4.13). This implies a $(-1/r)$ decrease of the velocity from the centre (where it is zero), which is opposite to what is observed for cyclones (an increase of velocity within the eye away from the centre, shown in Figure 4.8). So, the Bernoulli principle cannot hold in the eye of a cyclone. This is not an unexpected result since forced vortices have non-zero vorticity (see Section 4.3.2) and our original derivation of Bernoulli's principle (Section 3.5.2) assumed an irrotational flow, i.e., one with zero vorticity. Still, it's important to check these things explicitly.

The winds observed on the ground during the passage of a cyclone are shown in Figure 4.8. Note the decrease of wind speed during the passage of the eye of the cyclone (between 07:00 and 09:30 local time) as the observer fixed to the ground enters the eye of the cyclone during its passage. Moving away from the centre, the speed picks up again, as expected in a forced vortex. The whole shape of the curve in Figure 4.8 very much resembles that of a Rankine vortex (Figure 4.3) centred around 8-9 h time.

In weather cyclones the Coriolis force due to rotating frame of reference of the Earth's surface (see Section 5.2.2) also plays a role but the dominant balance is still between




Figure 4.8: Wind speed record of Waglan Island during the direct hit of Typhoon York over Hong Kong on 16 September 1999. Notice the dramatic fall and rise in wind strength during the passage of the eye of the cyclone (Source: Hong Kong Observatory).




Figure 4.9: The orientation of cyclones. As a result of Coriolis forces acting during the formation process of a cyclone, winds blow anticlockwise in the northern hemisphere and clockwise in the southern hemisphere. Once a cyclone is established, Coriolis forces are less important than the radial pressure gradient which balances centrifugal forces.

pressure and centrifugal forces (Equation 4.10). Coriolis forces cause the initial circular motion of the wind system (they give it the “initial circular kick”) and is responsible for the hemispheric asymmetries, with cyclones rotating anti-clockwise in the northern hemisphere and clockwise in the southern hemisphere, as illustrated in Figure 4.9.

The energy of a cyclone can be estimated fairly easily by calculating its kinetic energy. The result is in the order of 10^{17} J, corresponding to the energy produced in 1 day by all the Earth’s power stations!

5 Geophysical Fluid Dynamics

5.1 Introduction

Up until now, this course has discussed the behaviour of fluids on small scales, from small tubes to cyclones. These are small compared to the scale of our planet. Once we look at fluids on a planetary scale (ocean flow, atmosphere flow) we need to consider additional aspects for the mere fact that the Earth (or any other planet) rotates. Geophysical Fluid Dynamics (or GFD) treats dynamics of stratified and turbulent fluid on (big!) rotating spheres. Stratified fluids are those that contain variations of density in the radial (or vertical) direction. In oceans and atmospheres, fluids with lower densities are always located above those with higher density. We will therefore begin by examining the vertical structure of the atmosphere and ocean.

As shown in Figure 5.1, the Earth's atmosphere can be vertically subdivided into different distinct regions based on the vertical temperature gradient. In the lower atmosphere (*troposphere*), the vertical temperature gradient is around -6.5 K/km , close to the *dry adiabatic lapse rate*. As a result, the troposphere is convectively stable. The temperature minimum at the top of the troposphere near around 12 km is a transition region called the *tropopause*, above which lies the *stratosphere* where temperature increases with height as a result of UV absorption by atmospheric ozone. The top of the stratosphere (near 50 km) is the *stratopause*, above which lie the *mesosphere* (50–80 km) and *thermosphere* (80–400 km) (not shown in Figure 5.1).

Figure 5.2 shows the depth structure of temperatures and densities in the ocean. The temperature increase with height as a result of solar heating near the surface. The vertical density change is mainly caused by temperature (to second order by salinity of the ocean).

5.2 Equations of motion

The quantitative treatment of GFD is primarily computational even relative to other branches of fluid dynamics since laboratory experiments can – due to their small spatial scale – properly address only a very small fraction of interesting questions (e.g., small-scale waves, convection, microphysics). Numerical modelling of the ocean and atmosphere circulation and of atmospheric clouds are the largest computational problems in the history of science!

5.2.1 Rotating frame

The Navier Stokes equation of motion discussed so far (Equation 3.11) were given for an inertial frame of reference. The Earth, a rotating planet, is not an inertial frame of reference. Hence, the momentum equation needs to be adjusted to account for the additional accelerations associated with a rotating frame of reference. When looking at small-scale problems (smaller than a typical planetary scale, say $L < 10 \text{ km}$) then this




Figure 5.1: Vertical variation of temperatures in the Earth's atmosphere. (Credit: Kundu, 2002)




Figure 5.2: Vertical variation of temperature and density in the ocean. (Credit: Kundu, 2002)




Figure 5.3: Coordinate frame (x_1, x_2, x_3) rotating at an angular velocity $\underline{\Omega}$ with respect to a fixed frame (X_1, X_2, X_3) . (Credit: Kundu, 2002).

is not necessary and to a good approximation we can use the inertial frame version of the equation (Eq. 3.11).

Consider the coordinate system depicted in Figure 5.3. There, the system (x_1, x_2, x_3) rotates at an angular velocity $\underline{\Omega}$ with respect to a fixed frame (X_1, X_2, X_3) . Any vector \underline{r} can be written in the rotating frame as

$$\underline{r} = r_1 \underline{i}_1 + r_2 \underline{i}_2 + r_3 \underline{i}_3 \quad (5.1)$$

For an observer located in an inertial frame of reference, the rotating unit vectors \underline{i}_1 , \underline{i}_2 and \underline{i}_3 change with time, so the time derivative of \underline{r} is:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\underline{r}}{dt} \right)_I &= \frac{d}{dt} (r_1 \underline{i}_1 + r_2 \underline{i}_2 + r_3 \underline{i}_3) \\ &= \underline{i}_1 \frac{dr_1}{dt} + \underline{i}_2 \frac{dr_2}{dt} + \underline{i}_3 \frac{dr_3}{dt} + r_1 \frac{d\underline{i}_1}{dt} + r_2 \frac{d\underline{i}_2}{dt} + r_3 \frac{d\underline{i}_3}{dt} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where the index I denotes the inertial reference frame. The first three terms in Eq. (5.2)




Figure 5.4: Rotation of a unit vector. (Credit: Kundu, 2002).

are the rate of change of \underline{r} to the observer in the rotating frame (denoted by index R):

$$\left(\frac{d\underline{r}}{dt} \right)_I = \left(\frac{d\underline{r}}{dt} \right)_R + r_1 \frac{d\underline{i}_1}{dt} + r_2 \frac{d\underline{i}_2}{dt} + r_3 \frac{d\underline{i}_3}{dt} \quad (5.3)$$

Next, we consider the changing unit vectors of the rotating system, shown in Figure 5.4. Each unit vector \underline{i} traces a cone with a radius of $\sin \alpha$ (α being a constant angle, e.g. a latitude angle).

The scalar magnitude of the change of \underline{i} in a time interval dt is given by

$$|\underline{di}| = \sin \alpha \, d\theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{d\underline{i}}{dt} \right| = \sin \alpha \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt} \right) = \Omega \sin \alpha \quad (5.4)$$

where $\Omega = |\underline{\Omega}|$ is the magnitude of the rotation vector $\underline{\Omega}$. The change of \underline{i} occurs in the plane perpendicular to the $(\underline{\Omega}, \underline{i})$ plane. Thus, we have

$$\frac{d\underline{i}}{dt} = \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{i} \quad (5.5)$$

for every rotating unit vector \underline{i} . As a result, Eq. (5.3) becomes

$$\left(\frac{d\underline{r}}{dt} \right)_I = \left(\frac{d\underline{r}}{dt} \right)_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{r} \quad (5.6)$$

Thereby, the velocities transform between the rotating and inertial coordinate systems as

$$\underline{u}_I = \underline{u}_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{r} \quad (5.7)$$

In fact, we may regard Eq. (5.6) as the general transformation equation between the rotating and inertial coordinate systems and apply it to the velocities as well:

$$\left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_I}{dt} \right)_I = \left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_I}{dt} \right)_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}_I \quad (5.8)$$

Inserting (5.7) on the right side of Eq. (5.8) gives for the velocity transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_I}{dt} \right)_I &= \frac{d}{dt} (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}})_R + \underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \\ &= \left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_R}{dt} \right)_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right)_R + \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}_R + \underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \\ &= \left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_R}{dt} \right)_R + 2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}_R + \underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Here, $2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}_R$ denotes the Coriolis term and $\underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}})$ is the Centrifugal term.

We will in a final step further simplify the Centrifugal term. Let $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp}$ be the perpendicular distance vector of the location to the axis of rotation (essentially the vector pointing along the line underneath “ $\sin \alpha$ ” in Fig. 5.4). Since $\underline{\Omega}$ is perpendicular to $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp}$ we may write $(\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}) = (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp})$. Note that this is not an approximation, it is only a different way of writing the same term, using $\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp}$ instead of $\underline{\mathbf{r}}$

We can now apply the vector identity

$$\mathbf{A} \times (\underline{\mathbf{B}} \times \underline{\mathbf{C}}) = \underline{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{C}}) - \underline{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{B}}) \quad (5.10)$$

to the last term of Eq. (5.9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}) &= \underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp}) \\ &= (\underline{\Omega} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp}) \underline{\Omega} - (\underline{\Omega} \cdot \underline{\Omega}) \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp} \\ &= -(\underline{\Omega} \cdot \underline{\Omega}) \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp} \\ &= -\Omega^2 \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Thereby, Eq. (5.9) becomes

$$\left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_I}{dt} \right)_I = \left(\frac{d\mathbf{u}_R}{dt} \right)_R + 2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{\mathbf{u}}_R - \Omega^2 \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp} \quad (5.12)$$

5.2.2 Equation of motion in a rotating frame

With the transformations between an inertial and a rotating frame of reference discussed in Section 5.2.1 and expressed in Eq. (5.9) we obtain for the Navier Stokes equation (in its form given by Eq. (3.7)) in a rotating frame of reference such as planet Earth

$$\frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P - \underline{\mathbf{g}} + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \Omega^2 \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\perp} - 2 \underline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{u} \quad (5.13)$$




Figure 5.5: Schematic of the effects of Coriolis acceleration on atmospheric winds. The dashed arrows indicate the flow direction in a non-rotating system.

where the dynamic viscosity η used in Eq. (3.7) was replaced with the kinematic viscosity μ using Eq. (3.19).

The term $(-2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{u})$ in Eq. (5.13) represents the *Coriolis acceleration*. As shown in Figure 5.5, it deflects a particle traveling in the northern hemisphere to the right of its direction of travel (i.e., it diverts it in clockwise direction in the northern hemisphere, anti-clockwise in the southern hemisphere). Note that the Coriolis force is a pseudo-force that appears in a rotating frame of reference. Since it always acts at a right angle to a particle's velocity vector the Coriolis force does no work on the particle.

If we apply the *thin sheet approximation* whereby vertical winds (u_z) are considered to be much smaller than horizontal winds, the Coriolis term can be simplified may its local Cartesian components (x : north-south, y : west-east, z : vertical) be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{u})_x &= -(2 \Omega \sin\theta) u_y = -f u_y \\ (2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{u})_y &= (2 \Omega \sin\theta) u_x = f u_x \\ (2 \underline{\Omega} \times \underline{u})_z &= (2 \Omega \cos\theta) u_y\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

where θ denotes the latitude ($\theta = 0$ at equator, $\theta = \pm 90^\circ$ at north / south poles, respectively) and we defined the variable f (called the *Coriolis parameter*) as

$$f = 2 \Omega \sin\theta\tag{5.15}$$

The Coriolis parameter is often used in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics.




Figure 5.6: The centrifugal acceleration term.

5.2.3 The centrifugal acceleration term

Often it is useful to find approximate values for the terms in the momentum equation (5.13) in order to carry out simple analyses and understand the flow of atmospheric or ocean particles without fully solving the equation of motion. Here, we will investigate the magnitude of the centrifugal term $\underline{\Omega} \times (\underline{\Omega} \times \underline{r})$ (or $\Omega^2 \underline{r}_\perp$, the two are equivalent) in the horizontal and radial directions. The centrifugal term is illustrated in Figure 5.6.

We have for Earth a rotation frequency of $\Omega \approx 7.27 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and radius of $r \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m}$. Gravity acceleration is on average $g \approx 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$.

1. *Radial direction:* Here we can compare gravity to the centrifugal acceleration term at the equator:

$$\alpha = \frac{\text{radial centrif. term}}{\text{gravity}} \approx \frac{\Omega^2 r}{g} = \frac{(7.27 \cdot 10^{-5})^2 \cdot 6.4 \cdot 10^6}{9.81} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-3} \quad (5.16)$$

This result illustrates that Newtonian gravity is much larger than the centrifugal accelerations caused by Earth's rotation. On other planets, in particular Saturn, this ratio is considerably larger, $\alpha_{\text{Saturn}} \approx 0.16$: Saturn is more oblate and has an equatorial “bulge”, see Figure 5.6!

2. *Horizontal direction:* The centrifugal acceleration also has a horizontal component which can be much larger when compared to other relevant quantities, in particular

Coriolis acceleration. Their ratio is for mid-latitudes ($\theta = 45^\circ$), assuming an average wind speed of $u \approx 10$ m/s:

$$\beta = \frac{\text{horiz. centrif. term}}{\text{Coriolis term}} \approx \frac{\Omega^2 r \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)}{2\Omega u \sin(\theta)} = \frac{\Omega r \cos\theta}{2u} \approx 30 \quad (5.17)$$

This shows that the horizontal centrifugal term can dominate over the Coriolis term. It is in fact often largely balanced by the pressure gradient term. This may confuse you: in Section 5.2.5 we introduce the geostrophic balance where pressure gradients are balanced by Coriolis forces. What about the centrifugal forces in that case? Importantly, the centrifugal acceleration always points in the same direction, independent of wind velocity, while the Coriolis acceleration changes with wind velocity. So, the centrifugal acceleration can be regarded as a quasi-uniform background force which is super-imposed by Coriolis and pressure forces. On a global scale, background pressure gradients are balanced by centrifugal forces, but when it comes to smaller-scale weather systems, those are dominated by the balance between perturbations in pressure and Coriolis. So, the centrifugal forces are something to keep in the back of your mind but they don't affect the weather systems that are dominated by geostrophic flow.

5.2.4 Rossby number

Often it is valid to neglect the nonlinear acceleration terms of the momentum equation (specifically, the advection/inertia term – recall that when we went from equation (3.7) to (3.11) we converted the advection term into an inertia term). These are of the order of u^2/L (u being the wind speed and L a horizontal length scale). The Coriolis parameter is of the order of $f u$. The ratio of nonlinear terms (or, inertia) to the Coriolis term is called the *Rossby number*:

$$Ro = \frac{\text{nonlinear acceleration/inertia}}{\text{Coriolis acceleration}} \approx \frac{u^2/L}{fu} = \frac{u}{fL} \quad (5.18)$$

In the atmosphere, $u \approx 10$ m/s, $f \approx 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ and $L \approx 1000$ km, giving $Ro \approx 0.1$. The value can be even smaller in the ocean and justifies our neglect of the nonlinear terms.

If $Ro \ll 1$ then Coriolis dominates: this applies to large-scale flows.

If $Ro \gg 1$ then inertia dominates: this applies to smaller-scale problems (e.g., shooting a soccer ball over the field. Here, Coriolis is irrelevant and the ball flies into the direction in which it was shot, without any diversion due to rotational forces).

5.2.5 Geostrophic flow

Large-scale flows (away from boundaries and in steady state) are often well approximated by the balance between Coriolis acceleration and pressure gradient, called the *geostrophic*




Figure 5.7: Geostrophic flow around low and high pressure centres. The pressure acceleration ($-\nabla p$) is indicated as a blue arrow, the Coriolis acceleration as a green arrow. The thick red arrow denotes the geostrophic wind direction. The geostrophic wind direction is opposite in the southern hemisphere.

approximation. The geostrophic approximation consists in equating the Coriolis and pressure accelerations, which in spherical coordinates is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} -f u_y &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \\ f u_x &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

The geostrophic balance of Eq. (5.19) is useful in that it allows to calculate winds from pressure measurements alone. As illustrated in Figures 5.7 and 5.8, geostrophic winds flow roughly along isobars (i.e., not across them), clockwise around high pressure regions and anti-clockwise around low pressure regions (in the northern hemisphere).

5.3 Thermal wind

The thermal wind equation relates vertical changes of wind (or fluid-) velocity to horizontal density gradients. This is useful for situations where horizontal profiles of density measurements are present to infer wind velocities. This method is popular with oceanographers and also in atmospheric physics.

The thermal wind equation is obtained by using the geostrophic wind equations (5.19) in combination with the hydrostatic balance equation given by

$$dp = -\rho g dz \quad (5.20)$$

where dp is a change in pressure, ρ the mass density, g gravitational acceleration and dz a change in height. The relation states that any vertical change in pressure is equal to




Figure 5.8: Schematic of geostrophic flow with a positive value of the Coriolis parameter f (i.e., northern hemisphere conditions). For negative f (southern hemisphere) these directions are opposite (Credit: Vallis, 2006).

the change in weight of the atmosphere (or ocean) above. This is related to the fundamental concept that the pressure is equal to the weight of the atmosphere/ocean above (divided by a column area).

We may use equation (5.20) to replace the pressure in equations (5.19) with densities and obtain the thermal wind equations for the two horizontal components as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial z} &= -\frac{g}{\rho_0 f} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial z} &= \frac{g}{\rho_0 f} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}\end{aligned}\quad (5.21)$$

If the velocity is known at some height level then the horizontal velocities can be estimated by integrating up from the level where the velocity is known by using the thermal wind equations (5.21).

These equations can be further converted to allow calculation of the wind field from temperatures (instead of densities). For a thin atmosphere the zonally (longitudinally) averaged thermal wind equation in terms of latitudinal temperature gradients is in spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(f u_\phi + \frac{u_\phi^2 \tan(\theta)}{r} \right) = -\frac{g}{T} \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \right)_p \quad (5.22)$$




Figure 5.9: Zonally averaged temperatures and zonal winds above Saturn's clouds. The left panel shows temperatures, the right panel shows zonal winds obtained from the thermal wind equation. Temperatures were observed by the Cassini Composite Infrared Spectrometer (CIRS) in late 2002 during Cassini's approach towards Saturn. (from Flasar et al., Science, 307, 2005)

This relates zonally averaged vertical (radial) gradients of *zonal* (east-west) winds u_ϕ at latitude θ to latitudinal gradients of temperature T on a level of constant pressure p . Gravity acceleration is g and r is the planet radius. Since we averaged longitudinally, the other horizontal wind component (the north-south, or *meridional* wind) is zero.

Equation (5.22) is useful for inferring winds from temperature measurement and is widely used on Earth and to study other planets. An example for Saturn is shown in Figure 5.9. Since winds cannot easily be measured remotely the thermal wind equation is invaluable since it at least allows scientists to calculate them from temperature or density measurements.

– END –