

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template

Date	15 july 2024
Team ID	team-739770
Project Title	Predicting the energy output of wind turbine based on weather condition
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The model optimization and tuning phase in predicting the energy output of wind turbines based on weather conditions is crucial for improving the accuracy and reliability of predictions. It involves refining neural network models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final model selection for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (8 Marks):

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters
Neural Network (Multi-layer Perceptron)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> hidden_layer_sizes: Number of neurons in each hidden layer. <input type="checkbox"/> activation: Activation function for the hidden layers. <input type="checkbox"/> solver: Optimization algorithm to use. <input type="checkbox"/> learning_rate_init: Initial learning rate for the optimizer <pre> from sklearn.neural_network import MLPRegressor from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV param_grid = { 'hidden_layer_sizes': [(50,), (100,), (50, 50)], 'activation': ['relu', 'tanh'], 'solver': ['adam', 'lbfgs'], 'learning_rate_init': [0.001, 0.01, 0.1] } mlp = MLPRegressor(random_state=42) grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=mlp, param_grid=param_grid, cv=5, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error') grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train) print("Best parameters found: ", grid_search.best_params_) </pre>

<p>Gradient boosting Regressor</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> n_estimators: Number of boosting stages to be run. <input type="checkbox"/> learning_rate: Step size shrinkage. <input type="checkbox"/> max_depth: Maximum depth of the individual trees. <input type="checkbox"/> subsample: Fraction of samples used for fitting the individual trees. <pre> from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV param_dist = { 'n_estimators': [50, 100, 150], 'learning_rate': [0.05, 0.1, 0.2], 'max_depth': [3, 5, 7], 'subsample': [0.8, 0.9, 1.0] } gb = GradientBoostingRegressor(random_sta te=42) randomized_search = RandomizedSearchCV(estimator=gb, param_distributions=param_dist, n_iter=10, cv=3, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error', random_state=42) randomized_search.fit(X_train, y_train) print("Best parameters found: ", randomized_search.best_params_) </pre>
<p>Random Forest Regressor</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> n_estimators: Number of trees in the forest. <input type="checkbox"/> max_depth: Maximum depth of the trees. <input type="checkbox"/> min_samples_split: Minimum number of samples required to split an internal node. <input type="checkbox"/> min_samples_leaf: Minimum number of samples required to be at a leaf node <pre> from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV param_grid = { 'n_estimators': [50, 100, 150], 'max_depth': [None, 10, 20], 'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10], 'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4] } rf = RandomForestRegressor(random_state=4 2) grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=rf, param_grid=param_grid, cv=3, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error') grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train) print("Best parameters found: ", grid_search.best_params_) </pre>

Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

Final Model	Reasoning
Gradient Boosting regressor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The Gradient Boosting Regressor was chosen as the final model due to its superior performance in terms of predictive accuracy and robustness during the model optimization phase.- It effectively handles non-linearity and complex relationships between weather variables (such as wind speed, temperature, humidity) and wind turbine energy output.- Hyperparameter tuning using RandomizedSearchCV revealed optimal settings that minimized mean squared error and generalized well across different cross-validation folds.- Compared to other models tested (such as Random Forest and Neural Network), it consistently demonstrated better performance metrics on both training and validation datasets.