Et Intormed Sewich: Beine the general principle or intoressed An intoremed search strategy · uses preoblem-specific knowledge beyond the definition of the problem itself · can find solutions more efficiently than ar unintremed streatery. \*Gereral approach: Bost-tirest warch -> wes two tunctions Devolution tunction: 1(n) restimate of 'slesizeability' expand most desirable unexpanded noch @ Lewistic Function: E(n) F(2) = estimated cost of the chapest palle trom roale of to a food

When does a Revocistic become Consistent? Explains. Los: I ecurcistic recomes consistent when the tollowing condition is satisfied -E-(n) < c(n,a,n) + R(n) th (or) = a thereistic tunctions n = each roole on = extray successor of or = any action C(n,a,n') = 100st tore or to me tore any action a Explanation: A Reversible E(n) is consistent it For every node of 2 every successor of of or generated by any actions a the estimated cost of reaching tell goal from on it no preater televanted the step cost of jetting to on' plus tell estimated cost of reaching tell gul from of.

Prieve tend, with admissible treverstic L\* elgorithm is optimal. And We care priore for I TREE - SEARCH At is optimal it E(n) is an admissible everestimates the cost to reach the goal Let us repose a feat Ge is fee offinal god-node & Gate is the sub-optimal goal Let the cost of the optimal solution eere  $\frac{f(Ge)}{g(Ge)} = \frac{g(Ge)}{g(Ge)} + \frac{g(Ge)}{g(Ge)} = 0$ Reach cost to reach Ge

From source Reald) = Recordistic Mendion

Then because the is suboptimal of because En (de) = 0 (freine Fore any F(G2) = 7 (G2) + 8 (G2) > C\* => 1 (Gr 2) = 7 (Gr 2) > C\*, since we considered atend Ge is ter optimal god-node. Now let's consider a fringe made of that is on an optimal solution pater. Tracolis admissible i.e doesn't overcestimate the cost of completing her solution puter treen F(n) = 7(n)+R(n) < C\* Now we have shown that F(n) < c\* < + (de2) 50 Ge willout be expanded & A\* must retern an optimal solution. (Frenced)

Purshiple it: DE+(CII) Stat(CII) is Show tend it a securistic is consistent oit must be achonissible. And I rewristic is consisted iff for every woode er greneral moccosor or of star realed by any action a (n) < c(nan) + & (n) One simple pricost is by instruction on the recorded on the shortest tolen to any goal trom or For lie let e (n) < c (na,n) Fore feet inductive case assume n' is on the shortest talk, & steps From the goalment that the (or) is admissible E(m) < c(m, m, m') + E(m) < c(ma, m) + R\*(m) = 30 me (or) at Ret sleps, From the Faul is also admissible.

OGive readors for the read & tunction of admissible Reweisties & consistent Reversities & comment on their interxeldionship Admissible Revocistics: Let EX(N) be the free cost of. tel optimal tall trom N doa · Heweistic & (N) is astmissible it: OSE(N) SEX(N) . In admissible severistic is always optimal.

\*Consistent Revocistics: The admissible believestic en is consisted it for every noole N & every successor ~ (N) SC (N, N')+ S(N') Treinnzular inequality \* Comment: The a seweistic is consistent, it must be adminsible.

@ Freore tend unitorem cost search is a special case of AX search Line det as assume I wat +(n) = evaluation tunction A(2) = cost to reach on A(n) = Rewristic Function We know fore Att seasech, f(v)= d(v)+ (v) - 1 & For unitaren cost searce +(u)=4(u)-(s) It we consider them = Offer = Q i. E, enitoren cost search becomes special case of At season (Fronte of)