

Prob 2

$$a) \langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_k} = f(x_i)$$

To prove $\langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_k} = f(x_i)$ we will use reproducing property of kernel $k(x, y)$ &

inner product definition in the hilbert space H_k given by.

$$f(x) = \langle k(x_i, \cdot), f \rangle_{H_k} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

let's substitute $x = x_i$ in above eq.

$$f(x_i) = \langle k(x_i, \cdot), f \rangle_{H_k} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

By using Symmetry Property ($k(x, y) = k(y, x)$)

$$f(x_i) = \langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_k} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now,

$$\langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_k} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{x_i} \langle k(\cdot, x_i), \phi_i(\cdot) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{x_i} [\eta \phi_i(x_i)]$$

with $\eta \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2 < \infty$$

Hence, elements of H_K could have expression in terms of this eigen fn.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_K} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{\alpha_i} [\alpha_i \phi_i(x_i)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_K} = f(x_i)}$$

b)

$$\langle k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle_{H_K} = k(x_i, x_j)$$

To show above eq. we need to use inner Product of function $k(\cdot, x_i)$ & $k(\cdot, x_j)$ in reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space, is equal to $k(x_i, x_j)$

let $h(x) = k(x, x_i)$ & $g(x) = k(x, x_j)$

By Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space we have,

$$f(x) = \sum_i \alpha_i k(x, x_i) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where $\alpha_i \rightarrow$ coefficient of expansion of $f(x)$ using (1), we can rewrite $\langle f, h \rangle_{H_K}$ as

$$\langle f, h \rangle_{H_K} = \left\langle \sum_i \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_i) \right\rangle$$

applying reproducing Property of RKHS.

we get

$$\langle f, h \rangle_{H_K} = \alpha_i \quad - (5)$$

$$\text{Similarly } \langle f, g \rangle_{H_K} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j k(\cdot, x_j) k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H_K} = \alpha_j \quad - (6)$$

Now using fact that $k(x, y)$ satisfies the condition 5.45 from textbook

$$\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j k(x_i, x_j) = \|f\|^2_{H_K}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle_{H_K} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_k} \langle k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_k} [\sigma_k (\sigma_k \phi_k(x_i) \phi_k(x_j))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } \sigma_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i^2 < \infty.$$

We can say that elements of H_K could have an expansion given in terms of eigen fun.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \langle k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle_{H_K} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma_k \phi_k(x_i) \phi_k(x_j) \\ &= k(x_i, x_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\therefore \langle k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle_{H_K} = k(x_i, x_j)}$$

c) if $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i k(x, x_i)$ then.

$$J(g) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_i \alpha_j$$

Our goal is to minimize the empirical risk.

$$\min J(g) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i; g(x_i)) + \lambda \|g\|_{H_k}^2$$

Using regularized Parameters, we control trade off between Empirical risk & complexity of $f_u: g(x)$

$\|g\|_{H_k}^2$ is Norm. f_u .

we can express $f_u: g(x)$ in terms of kernel $f_u: k(x, y)$ & the coefficient α_i as

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i k(x, x_i) \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Plugging (5) in Regularized empirical risk.

$$J(g) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j k(x_i, x_j)) + \lambda \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i) \right\|_{H_k}^2$$

Applying linearity of inner Product in RHS

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i) \right\|_{H_k}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i), \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j k(\cdot, x_j) \right)$$

solving above where basis $f_u: b_i(x) = k(x, x_i)$ as represents g evaluation at x_i in H_k , since $g(x) \in H_k$

It can easily be seen that
 $\langle k(\cdot, x_i), f \rangle_{H_k} = f(x_i)$

Similarly

$$\langle k(\cdot, x_i), k(\cdot, x_j) \rangle_{H_k} = k(x_i, x_j) \quad - (6)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i k(\cdot, x_i) \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j k(\cdot, x_j) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j k(x_i, x_j)$$

d)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \bar{g}(x_i)) + \lambda J(\bar{g}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda J(g)$$

given $\bar{g}(x) = g(x) + p(x)$

because $p(x)$ orthogonal in H_k to each of $k(x, x_i)$

we have

$$\langle k(\cdot, x_i), p(x) \rangle_{H_k} = 0$$

$$\bar{g}(x_i) = \langle k(\cdot, x_i), \bar{g}(x_i) \rangle_{H_k}$$

$$= \langle k(\cdot, x_i), g(x_i) \rangle_{H_k} +$$

$$\langle k(\cdot, x_i), p(x_i) \rangle_{H_k}$$

$$= g(x_i)$$

As it is given $p(x)$ orthogonal in H_k to each $k(x, x_i)$

$$J(\bar{g}) = \langle \bar{g}(x), \bar{g}(x) \rangle_{H_k}$$

$$= \langle g(x), g(x) \rangle_{H_k} + 2 \langle g(x), p(x) \rangle_{H_k}$$

$$+ \langle p(x), p(x) \rangle_{H_k}$$

$$J(\bar{g}) = J(g) + J(p)$$

Now
$$\sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \bar{g}(x_i)) + \lambda J(\bar{g})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda (J(g) + J(p))$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda J(g)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \bar{g}(x_i)) + \lambda J(\bar{g}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda J(g)$$

Now if $p(x) = 0$, $J(\bar{g}) = J(g)$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, \bar{g}(x_i)) + \lambda J(\bar{g}) = \sum_{i=1}^N L(y_i, g(x_i)) + \lambda J(g)$$