CHƯƠNG 03 QUI TRÌNH ĐÁNH GIÁ RỦI RO (RISK ASSESSMENT)

Nội Dung

- Thu thập dữ liệu
- Phân tích dữ liệu
- Dánh giá rủi ro (risk assessment)
- Phân cấp rủi ro và giải quyết rủi ro (Risk Prioritization and treatment)

Nội Dung

- Thu thập dữ liệu
- Phân tích dữ liệu
- Dánh giá rủi ro (risk assessment)
- Phân cấp rủi ro và giải quyết rủi ro (Risk Prioritization and treatment)

- Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu
- Những tài liệu yêu cầu
- Tài liệu khảo sát

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Collector

- Những loại dữ liệu cần được thu thập:
 - System profiles
 - Control profiles
 - Audit report
 - Vulnerability assessments
 - Various information security event and metrics.
- Phương pháp thu thập:
 - Yêu cầu tài liệu
 - Khảo sát
 - Phỏng vấn

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Container

- Container là những tài nguyên mà ở đó dữ liệu thu thập được lưu trữ.
 - Ví dụ: Table of Database, Spreadsheet,...
- 4 container phổ biến:
 - > Finding
 - Assets
 - > Risk
 - Reference data

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Container

Finding

- > Finding Statement.
- > Description.
- Asset.
- > Source.
- > Risk Rating.
- > Status.
- > System.

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Container

Finding State- ment	Description	Asset	Source	Risk Rating	Status	System
Phishing incidents	A review of security incident data collected over the past year shows that a large number of the incidents documented were related to some form of phishing or social engineering attack. Either a user provided their user name and credentials or clicked on a malicious link or attachment	Users	Security Incident Metrics collected by ISO	High—was considered an incident	No action taken	None but attempts were through the email system

8

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Container

Assets

- Asset Name.
- Asset Classification.
- Control Information (multiple sub-elements).

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Container

> Risk

- Asset/Application
- > Threat.
- > Impact
- > Likelihood
- Risk Score.

Application: Hospital Information System					
Threat (Agent and Action)		Vulnerability	Impact Score	Likelihood Score	Risk Score
Users	Eavesdrop- ping and Interception of data	Lack of trans- mission encryp- tion leading to interception of unencrypted data	5	2	10

Cơ chế thu thập dữ liệu - Container

11

- Reference data
 - > Threat Catalog.
 - Document Request Lists.
 - Data Sources.
 - Asset Inventory.
 - > Interview Notes.

EXECUTIVE INTERVIEWS

duyn@uit.edu.vn

- Chief Executive Officer
- Chief Information Officer
- Chief Technology Officer
- Chief Operations Officer
- Chief Financial Officer
- Chief Information Security Officer
- Chief Privacy Officer
- Chief Risk and Compliance Officer or Risk Management Director
- Internal Audit Director
- Vice Presidents and/or Directors of various departments

Thu thập dữ liệu Những tài liệu yêu cầu

- Previous Information Security Risk Assessment
- Previous IT Risk Assessment
- Previous Audit reports
- Previous Regulatory or Framework Driven Assessments
- Previous Penetration Testing Reports
- Previous Vulnerability Assessments
- Current Security Policy, Standards and Procedures

Thu thập dữ liệu Những tài liệu yêu cầu – cont.

- Disaster Recovery and Business Continggency Plans
- Request a copy of the BIA
- Asset Inventories
- IT and Information Security Metrics
- Facilities Security Plan
- Organizational Chart and contact list
- Security Program, Plans and Roadmap
- Vendor Security Accrediations
- Hardening Guidelines and Checklist

Thu thập dữ liệu **Tài liệu khảo sát**

- System name
- System description
- Vendor
- Platform
- System owner
- System steward
- Technical contact
- Data Classification
- Accessibility

Thu thập dữ liệu **Tài liệu khảo sát**

- Location
- Data Flow
- Users
- User Profile
- Security Incident
- Security Testing
- Business impact

Nội Dung

- Thu thập dữ liệu
- Phân tích dữ liệu
- Dánh giá rủi ro (risk assessment)
- Phân cấp rủi ro và giải quyết rủi ro (Risk Prioritization and treatment)

Phân tích dữ liệu

- Phân tích và thiết kế tác động
- Phân tích và thiết kế cơ chế kiểm soát
- Phân tích và thiết kế xác suất có thể xảy ra

Confidentiality

Table 4.3 Sample Confidentiality Determination Matrix				
Confidentiality Determination Matrix				
Score	Description	Criteria		
5	VERY HIGH	Data Classification = Confidential with an additional classification of Legally Privileged or Regulated Data		
4	HIGH	Data Classification = Confidential		
3	MEDIUM	Data Classification = Internal		
2	LOW	Data Classification = Public		
1	VERY LOW	Unclassified		

Table 4.4 Sample Confidentiality Determination Matrix

Confidentiality Determination Matrix				
Score	Description	Criteria		
5	VERY HIGH	 Data Classification = Confidential with an additional classification of Legally Privileged or Regulated Data AND Number of Records = HIGH 		
4	HIGH	 Data Classification = Confidential with an additional classification of Legally Privileged or Regulated Data AND Number of Records = MEDIUM OR LOW 		
3	MEDIUM	 Data Classification = Confidential AND Number of Records = HIGH 		
2	LOW	 Data Classification = Confidential AND Number of Records = MEDIUM OR LOW 		
1	VERY LOW	Data Classification = All Non Confidential		

Integrity

Table 4.5 Sample Integrity Determination Matrix				
Integrity Determination Matrix				
Score	Description	Criteria		
5	VERY HIGH	 Business Critical = High Financially Material = YES 		
4	HIGH	 Business Critical = Moderate OR Low Financially Material = YES 		
3	MEDIUM	 Business Critical = Moderate Financially Material = NO 		
2	LOW	 Business Critical = NO Financially Material = NO 		
1	VERY LOW	Not Applicable		

22

22						
Table 4.5 Samp	Table 4.5 Sample Integrity Determination Matrix					
Integrity Determination Matrix						
Score	Description	Criteria				
5	VERY HIGH	 Business Critical = High Financially Material = YES 				
4	HIGH	 Business Critical = Moderate OR Low Financially Material = YES 				
3	MEDIUM	 Business Critical = Moderate Financially Material = NO 				
2	LOW	 Business Critical = NO Financially Material = NO 				
1	VERY LOW	Not Applicable				

Availability

Table 4.7 Sample Availability Determination Matrix					
Availability Determination	Availability Determination Matrix				
Score	Description	Criteria			
5	VERY HIGH	 Business Critical = YES Number of Users = High 			
4	HIGH	 Business Critical = YES Number of Users = Medium OR Low 			
3	MEDIUM	 Business Critical = NO Number of Users = High 			
2	LOW	 Business Critical = NO Number of Users = Medium 			
1	VERY LOW	 Business Critical = NO Number of Users = Low 			

Table 4.8 Sample Assignment of CIA Impact Scores

Application: Hospital Information System				
Threat (Agent a	Vulnerability			
Users	Eavesdropping and Interception of data	Lack of transmission encryption leading to interception of unencrypted data		
External Intrud- ers, Malicious Insiders, Mali- cious Code	System intrusion and unauthorized system access	Possible Weak Passwords due to lack of password complexity controls		
Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts		

Unchecked data

Loss of power

destruction due

(fire, water, etc.)

to natural causes

Equipment

damage or

alteration

Malicious

Natural

Natural

Insider, Users

Non-Specific,

5	0
5	5
0	5

5

0

0

Lack of logging and

monitoring controls

Lack of redundant

Lack of environmental

power supply

controls

Α

0

0

5

5

Impact Score

5

5

5

5

5

5

Phân tích và thiết kế cơ chế kiểm soát

- Data Protection
- Patch Management
- Complex Passwords
- Vulnerability Management
- Security Configuration
- Authentication Controls
- IDS/IPS Monitoring
- User Provisioning
- Transmission Encryption
- AV/HIPS

- Account Management
- Security Isolation
- Logging and Monitoring
 - Storage Encryption
- Enterprise Backup
- Redundancy and Failover
- BCP/DR
- Change Control
- Security Awareness

Table 4.10 Control Level Table Control Level Criteria Score Reverse Description 5 .2 VERY STRONG Control provides very strong protection against the threat. Threat being successful in leveraging the vulnerability is highly unlikely. Effectiveness of the control is being reviewed constantly. Process is defined and documented. Controls are consistently enforced. Performance is monitored. STRONG 4 .4 Control provides strong protection against the threat leveraging the vulnerability. Performance of the control is enforced. Process is defined and documented. Controls are consistently enforced. MODERATE .6 3 Control provides protection against the threat leveraging the vulnerability but may have exceptions. Control is enforced but not consistently or incorrectly. 2 .8 WEAK Controls provide some protection against threat leveraging the vulnerability but mostly ineffective. Formal process may exist but control may not be enforced. VERY WEAK 1 No control or control provides protection against the threat leveraging the vulnerability. Formal process and enforcement of controls are ad hoc or non-existent.

Table 4.12 Sample Control Analysis Application: Hospital Information System Controls Controls Threat (Agent and Action) Vulnerability Score Lack of trans-Users 4 Eavesdropping Transmission and Interception mission encryp-Encryption of data tion leading to interception of unencrypted data External Intrud-System intrusion Possible Weak Complex 4 and unauthorized Passwords ers, Malicious Passwords due Insiders, Malisystem access to lack of passcious Code word complexity controls

Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts	Generic Account Use Policies	3
Malicious Insider, Users	Unchecked data alteration	Lack of logging and monitoring controls	Logging and Monitoring Controls	3
Non-Specific, Natural	Loss of power	Lack of redun- dant power supply	Alternate Power Supply	4
Natural	Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)	Lack of environ- mental controls	Physical and Environmental Controls	4

Phân tích và thiết kế xác suất có thể xảy ra

Exposure

- Exposure is the predisposition of the system to the threat based on environmental factors.
- > For example, if an asset is exposed to the Internet, then the probability of system intrusions due to external intruders increases.

. .

Table 4.15	Table 4.15 Computing for Exposure					
Application:	Application: Hospital Information System					
Threat (Agent a	and Action)	Vulnerability	Exposure	Frequency	Control	Likelihood
Users	Eavesdropping and Intercep- tion of data	Lack of transmission encryption leading to interception of unencrypted data	3			
External Intrud- ers, Malicious Insiders, Mali- cious Code	System intrusion and unauthorized system access	Possible Weak Passwords due to lack of password complexity controls	3			
Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts	5			
Malicious Insider, Users	Unchecked data alteration	Lack of logging and monitoring controls	5			
Non-Specific, Natural	Loss of power	Lack of redun- dant power supply	5			
Natural	Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)	Lack of environmental controls	2			

 Table 4.16
 Sample Exposure Determination

Exposure Determination Matrix for Eavesdropping and Interception of data

Score	Description	Criteria
5	VERY LIKELY	Previous incidents or attempted eavesdropping attacks and weaknesses have been documented
4	LIKELY	System transfers sensitive data over the Internet (any number or records)
3	MODERATE	System transfers a large number of sensitive data within the internal network
2	UNLIKELY	System transfers a low number of sensitive data within the internal network
1	VERY UNLIKELY	No data is transferred

Table 4.17 Sample Exposure Determination

Exposure Determination Matrix for System Intrusion and Unauthorized System Access

Score	Description	Criteria
5	VERY LIKELY	Previous compromises or attempts have been detected
4	LIKELY	System is Internet accessible
3	MODERATE	System is remotely accessible (e.g. via VPN)
2	UNLIKELY	System is accessible only through the internal network
1	VERY UNLIKELY	Anything that does not fall into the UNLIKELY criteria (e.g. a standalone system without network access)

Table 4 23 Adding Frequency Scores

Table 4.23	lable 4.23 Adding Frequency Scores							
Application: Hospital Information System								
Threat (Agent and Action)		Vulnerability	Exposure	Frequency	Control	Likelihood		
Users	Eavesdropping and Interception of data	Lack of trans- mission encryp- tion leading to interception of unencrypted data	3	3				
External Intrud- ers, Malicious Insiders, Mali- cious Code	System intru- sion and unau- thorized system access	Possible Weak Passwords due to lack of password complexity controls	3	5				
Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts	5	1				
Malicious Insider, Users	Unchecked data alteration	Lack of logging and monitoring controls	5	2				
Non-Specific, Natural	Loss of power	Lack of redun- dant power supply	5	2				
Natural	Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)	Lack of environmental controls	2	1				

Table 4.22 Frequency Matrix					
Frequency Matrix					
Score	Description	Criteria			
5	VERY LIKELY	Could happen on a daily basis			
4	LIKELY	Could happen on a weekly basis			
3	MODERATE	Could happen on a monthly basis			
2	UNLIKELY	Could happen within 1 year			
1	VERY UNLIKELY	Could happen within 5 years			

Table 4.24 Adding Control Scores Application: Hospital Information System Frequency Control Threat (Agent and Action) Vulnerability Exposure Likelihood Users Eavesdropping Lack of trans-3 3 4 and Interception mission encrypof data tion leading to interception of unencrypted data 4 Possible Weak 3 5 External Intrud-System intruers, Malicious sion and unau-Passwords due thorized system Insiders, Malito lack of passcious Code word complexaccess ity controls Users Denial of user Untraceable 5 3 actions or user actions activity due to generic accounts Malicious Unchecked Lack of logging 5 2 3 Insider, Users data alteration and monitoring controls Lack of redun-2 4 Non-Specific, Loss of power 5 Natural dant power supply Lack of environ-Natural Equipment 4 damage or mental controls destruction

due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)

Table 4.26 Sample Computation of Likelihood

Application: Hospital Information System Vulnerability Exposure Threat (Agent and Action) Users Eavesdropping Lack of trans-3 and Interception mission encrypof data

data

tion leading to interception of unencrypted

Possible Weak

Passwords due

to lack of pass-

3

thorized system access Denial of user actions or activity Unchecked data alteration

water, etc.)

System intru-

sion and unau-

word complexity controls Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts Lack of logging and monitoring controls

Lack of redun-

Lack of environ-

mental controls

dant power

supply

5 5 5

2

Frequency

3

5

3(.6)4 (.4)

Control

4 (.4)

4 (.4)

3(.6)

2.1 1.4

Likelihood

1.2

1.6

1.8

Malicious Insider, Users Non-Specific, Loss of power Natural Natural Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire,

External Intrud-

ers, Malicious

Insiders, Mali-

cious Code

Users

.6 4 (.4)

Table 4.33 Sample Risk Score

Analization, Henrital Information Contam								
Application: Hospital Information System								
Threat (Agent an	nd Action)	Vulnerability	Impact Score	Likelihood Score	Risk Score			
Users	Eavesdropping and Interception of data	Lack of trans- mission encryp- tion leading to interception of unencrypted data	5	2	10			
External Intrud- ers, Malicious Insiders, Mali- cious Code	System intrusion and unauthor- ized system access	Possible Weak Passwords due to lack of pass- word complexity controls	5	2	10			
Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts	5	2	10			
Malicious Insider, Users	Unchecked data alteration	Lack of logging and monitoring controls	5	3	15			
Non-Specific, Natural	Loss of power	Lack of redun- dant power supply	5	2	10			
Natural	Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)	Lack of environ- mental controls	5	1	5			

Nội Dung

- Thu thập dữ liệu
- Phân tích dữ liệu
- Dánh giá rủi ro (risk assessment)
- Phân cấp rủi ro và giải quyết rủi ro (Risk Prioritization and treatment)

Application	Risk Rank
HIS	60
HR Payroll	50
Cardio Research DB	47
Email	46
Imaging	45

Email System

Description: Microsoft Exchange used by the hospital for internal and external email.

Threat Agent	Threat Action	Vulnerability	Impact	Likelihood		Risk Classification
Users	Eavesdropping and Interception of data	Lack of transmis- sion encryption leading to intercep- tion of unencrypted data	5	3	15	High
External Intrud- ers, Malicious Insiders, Mali- cious Code	System intrusion and unauthor- ized system access	Possible Weak Passwords due to lack of password complexity controls	5	2	10	Moderate
Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts	3	2	6	Moderate
Malicious Insider, Users	Unchecked data alteration	Lack of logging and monitoring controls	3	3	9	Moderate
Non-Specific, Natural	Loss of power	Lack of redundant power supply	3	1	3	Low
Natural	Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)	Lack of environmental controls	3	1	3	Low
Total					46	

Imaging System

Description: The hospitals primary radiology imaging application.

Threat Agent	Threat Action	Vulnerability	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Score	Risk Classification
Users	Eavesdrop- ping and Interception of data	Lack of transmission encryption leading to interception of unencrypted data	5	3	15	High
External Intruders, Malicious Insiders, Malicious Code	System intrusion and unauthor- ized system access	Possible Weak Passwords due to lack of password complexity controls	5	2	10	Moderate
Users	Denial of user actions or activity	Untraceable user actions due to generic accounts	5	1	5	Moderate
Malicious Insider, Users	Unchecked data alteration	Lack of logging and monitoring controls	5	1	5	Moderate
Non- Specific, Natural	Loss of power	Lack of redun- dant power supply	5	1	5	Moderate
Natural	Equipment damage or destruction due to natural causes (fire, water, etc.)	Lack of environmental controls	5	1	5	Moderate
Total					45	

Nội Dung

- Thu thập dữ liệu
- Phân tích dữ liệu
- Dánh giá rủi ro (risk assessment)
- Phân cấp rủi ro và giải quyết rủi ro (Risk Prioritization and treatment)

	Likelihood					
Impact		1	2	3	4	5
	1	1	2	3	4	5
	2	2	4	6	8	10
	3	3	6	9	12	15
	4	4	8	12	16	20
	5	5	10	15	20	25

Area	Risk Classificatio
Black	High Risk
Grey	Moderate Risk
White	Low Risk