

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

Protocol Audit Report June 2, 2025

## **Protocol Audit Report**

#### Ramil Mustafin

June 2, 2025

Prepared by: Ramil Mustafin

#### **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- · Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Variables stored in storage onchain are not private
    - \* [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

Protocol Audit Report June 2, 2025

#### **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's password& The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only owner should be able to set and access this password.

#### **Disclaimer**

Ramil Mustafin makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

#### **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

#### **Audit Details**

The findings described in this document corespond the following commit hash: Commit Hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

#### Scope

**Protocol Audit Report** 

```
1 ./src/—
2 PasswordStore.sol
```

#### **Roles**

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to see or read the password.

### **Executive Summary**

Despite the small number of lines of code, serious vulnerabilities have been discovered in it.

No other tools were used to find vulnerabilities other than knowledge of the Solidity language and a security research course from Cyfrin.

#### **Issues found**

Severtity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	1
Total	3

### **Findings**

#### High

#### [H-1] Variables stored in storage onchain are not private

**Description:** All data stored onchain is visible to anyone. The PassordStore::s\_password is intended to be a private variable.

Protocol Audit Report

Impact: Anyone can read the private password

**Proof of Concept:** The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool We use 1 because that's the storage slot of s\_password in the contract

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_OF_THE_CONTRACT> 1 --rpc-url http
    ://127.0.0.1:8545
```

Output will be: "myPassword"

**Recommended Mitigation:** Unfortunately, this bug comes from the blockchain architecture itself, so there is no way to store the onchain password covertly. The best solution in this case is to avoid storing the onchain password and only store its derivative. It is also worth removing the password view function, because it may cause a user to accidentally send his password in it

# [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password

**Description:** The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be external function. The natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There is no access controls
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact:** Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severly breaking the contract intended functionality

**Proof of Concept:** Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file.

Code

```
1
       function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
           vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
3
           vm.prank(randomAddress);
5
           string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7
           vm.prank(owner);
8
9
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10
11
       }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function

```
if(msg.sender != owner){
    revert PasswordStore_NotOwner()
}
```

#### Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore: getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

**Description:** The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string)

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect natspec line.

"diff / @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password. - \* @param newPassword The new password to set. \*/"