

Stack Overflow is a community of 4.7 million programmers, just like you, helping each other.

Join them; it only takes a minute:

Sign up

Join the Stack Overflow community to:



Ask programming questions



Answer and help your peers



Get recognized for your expertise

## Functions from 'Alternative' type class [duplicate]

USE STACK OVERFLOW TO FIND THE BEST DEVELOPERS



### Possible Duplicate:

[Haskell: some and many](#)

[Haskell - What is Control.Applicative.Alternative good for?](#)

What are the functions `some` and `many` in the `Alternative` type class useful for? [Docs](#) provide a recursive definition which I was unable to comprehend.

[haskell](#) [functional-programming](#) [typeclass](#)

asked Oct 6 '11 at 6:45



[missingfaktor](#)

53.2k ● 26 ● 191 ● 308

**marked** as duplicate by [Landeil](#), [FUZxxl](#), [hammar](#), [Donal Fellows](#), [YOU](#) Oct 8 '11 at 3:25

This question has been asked before and already has an answer. If those answers do not fully address your question, please [ask a new question](#).

@Landeil: I read the answer in that thread, and I still don't get it. – [missingfaktor](#) Oct 6 '11 at 11:04

I just said this question is a duplicate, not that the original one had a good answer :-). Although it was good enough for me: I figured out that these functions are very likely not interesting for me... – [Landeil](#) Oct 6 '11 at 11:32

@Landeil: I am reaching about the same conclusion as you did. :-). – [missingfaktor](#) Oct 6 '11 at 11:43

If you are going to close this question, please merge it with @Landeil's. Don't delete it. – [missingfaktor](#) Oct 7 '11 at 6:23

## 2 Answers

`some` and `many` can be defined as:

```
some f = (:) <$> f <*> many f
many f = some f <|> pure []
```

Perhaps it helps to see how `some` would be written with monadic `do` syntax:

```
some f = do
  x <- f
  xs <- many f
  return (x:xs)
```

So `some f` runs `f` once, then "many" times, and conses the results. `many f` runs `f` "some" times, or "alternatively" just returns the empty list. The idea is that they both run `f` as often as possible until it "fails", collecting the results in a list. The difference is that `some f` fails if `f` fails immediately, while `many f` will succeed and "return" the empty list. But what this all means exactly depends on how `<|>` is defined.

Is it only useful for parsing? Let's see what it does for the instances in base: `Maybe`, `[]` and `STM`.

First `Maybe`. `Nothing` means failure, so `some Nothing` fails as well and evaluates to `Nothing` while `many Nothing` succeeds and evaluates to `Just []`. Both `some (Just ())` and `many (Just ())` never return, because `Just ()` never fails! In a sense they evaluate to `Just (repeat ())`.

For lists, `[]` means failure, so `some []` evaluates to `[]` (no answers) while `many []` evaluates to `[[]]` (there's one answer and it is the empty list). Again `some [()]` and `many [()]` don't

return. Expanding the instances, `some []` means `fmap (():) (many [])` and `many []` means `some [] ++ [[]]`, so you could say that `many []` is the same as `tails (repeat ())`.

For `STM`, failure means that the transaction has to be retried. So `some retry` will retry itself, while `many retry` will simply return the empty list. `some f` and `many f` will run `f` repeatedly until it retries. I'm not sure if this is useful thing, but I'm guessing it isn't.

So, for `Maybe`, `[]` and `STM many` and `some` don't seem to be that useful. It is only useful if the applicative has some kind of state that makes failure increasingly likely when running the same thing over and over. For parsers this is the input which is shrinking with every successful match.

edited Oct 6 '11 at 22:47

answered Oct 6 '11 at 22:39



Sjoerd Visscher

9,524 ● 1 ● 32 ● 55

Add  projects to your  **stackoverflow** profile.  
CAREERS

E.g. for [parsing](#) (see the "Applicative parsing by example" section).

answered Oct 6 '11 at 7:12



Alexey Romanov

57.5k ● 13 ● 136 ● 268

- 1 I am not familiar with Parsec. I'd appreciate some explanation. – [missingfaktor](#) Oct 6 '11 at 7:41
- 2 As far as I understand, if you have a parser `p` for `X`, then `some p` is a parser for 0 or more `X` and `many p` is a parser for 1 or more `X`. – [Ingo](#) Oct 6 '11 at 9:08
- 2 @missingfaktor `some` and `many` are implemented in terms of `<|>`. This combinator is useful also in other ways. Consider `Either : Just 0 <|> Just 1 = Just 0`, `Nothing <|> Just 2 = Just 2`, `Just 3 <|> Nothing = Just 3`, `Nothing <|> Nothing = Nothing` – [FUZxxl](#) Oct 6 '11 at 10:17
- 1 @missingfaktor: that is the usual application; I'm not sure if `Alternative` is used for anything else. You could say that "in general", `some` is used whenever you want something to run multiple times (but doesn't have to run), and `many` to run at least once. – [ivanm](#) Oct 6 '11 at 11:24
- 2 @Ingo @ivanm Note that you have `some` and `many` backwards. `some` is one or more (i.e. `+` in regexps) and `many` is zero or more (i.e. `*`). – [Sjoerd Visscher](#) Oct 6 '11 at 20:24