

Author	Demographic	Cancer	Immunotherapy	HLH treatment	HLH outcome	Cancer outcome
Hartel <i>et al</i> ²	26F	Mediastinal	Ipilimumab/nivolumab	steroids	CR	CR
Chen <i>et al</i> ¹⁰	28F	Mediastinal	Ipilimumab/nivolumab	steroids	CR	PD
Al-Samirani <i>et al</i> ¹¹	56F	Bladder	Pembrolizumab	Steroids	CR	CR
Shih <i>et al</i> ¹²	75M	Bladder	Pembrolizumab	Steroids+etoposide	CR	Unknown
Singh <i>et al</i> ¹³	26F	Mediastinal	Ipilimumab/nivolumab	Steroids+MMF	CR	CR
Okuma <i>et al</i> ¹⁴	75M	NSCLC	Pembrolizumab	Steroids	CR	PR

CR, complete response; F, female; HLH, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; NSCLC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; M, male; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD, progression disease; PR, partial response; IM, died.

Searching the pharmacovigilance databases found that 92 cases of HLH secondary to nivolumab and 61 cases secondary to ipilimumab had been reported to WHO's Vigibase, while 93 cases secondary to nivolumab and 65 cases secondary to ipilimumab have been reported to the EudraVigilance database.^{19,20} It is unclear from these databases whether these immunotherapies were given in combination or if any of them were a rechallenge. A small number of cases of HLH secondary to checkpoint inhibitor therapy have been published in the literature to date and are summarized previously (table 1). All patients received steroids as treatment for HLH, with four patients also receiving etoposide, mycophenolate or plasmapheresis. The majority of patients had a complete response with regard to HLH, which is a strikingly better outcome than those seen in primary HLH.¹ Most patients had at least a partial response to their cancer, with one study by Kalnauk *et al*, describing a patient who was successfully rechallenged with pembrolizumab for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma progression without HLH recurrence.¹¹ Notably, no patients with HLH secondary to ipilimumab and nivolumab were

rechallenged with nivolumab monotherapy, making our case unique.

Contributions: ZH provided care to the patient while she was in the hospital and was also the principal contributor to the drafting of the report. AH also provided care to the patient during her admission and also contributed to the writing and editing of the report. AC contributed significantly to researching and editing the article.

Funding: The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient consent for publication: Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Case reports provide a valuable learning resource for the scientific community and can indicate areas of interest for future research. They should not be used in isolation to guide treatment choices or public health policy.

REFERENCES

1. Larkin J, Chistolini-Silvestri C, Gonzalez R, *et al*. Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:23–34.
2. Filipowicz A, McClain K, Gross A. Histiocytic disorders: recent insights into pathophysiology and practical guidelines. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2019;15:582–91.
3. Harter JJ, Ellner G, Söder O, *et al*. Incidence in Sweden and clinical features of familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1991;80:428–35.