

Slow demography constrains the northward expansion of the temperate forest under climate change

Steve Vissault (s.vissault@yahoo.fr)^{1,*}

Matthew V. Talluto (mtalluto@gmail.com)^{1,2}

Isabelle Boulangeat (isabelle.boulangeat@gmail.com)¹

Dominique Gravel (dominique_gravel@uqar.ca)¹

¹Département de Biologie, Géographie et Chimie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, Rimouski, Québec, Canada

²Laboratoire d'Écologie Alpine, Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France

* Author for correspondance. Address: Departement de Biologie, Chimie, et Géographie, 300, Allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, Quebec G5L 3A1, Canada

Short title: The future distribution of the northern temperate forest under climate change

Keywords: states and transitions model, patch occupancy, landscape dynamics, forest inventory databases, community range shift.

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

1 Introduction

Most studies use correlative approaches to predict impact of CC on future distribution range shift leading to instantaneous vegetation responses.

Firstly, we investigate the migration rate of the temperate forest using a states and transitions model. Secondly, we used different versions of the state and transition model to investigate which ecological processes such as the dispersion, or either demography is limiting or increasing the migration ability of the temperate forest.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Table 1: Transition and none-transition (diagonal) observed between two measurements through all plots surveys extract from databases.

	B	M	R	T
B	15 358	794	203	0
M	302	14 433	51	960
R	485	57	209	80
T	0	891	40	15 216

2 Methods

The temperate-boreal forests ecotone can be seen at the landscape scale as a macro-mosaic filled by three different forest stand patches; Boreal stand dominated by coniferous species, Temperate stand dominated by broadleaf species and finally Mixed stand as a mid-succesional patch. In the first section, we present how we collected and classified forest plots surveys into those three regional forest biomes and how we linked the climatic data with the plot location. In the second section, we described the model allowing us to simulate the dynamic of the boreal-temperate forest ecotone and then focused on the model calibration. In the last section, we explained the simulation plan and the different model versions we ran to assess which ecological mechanisms constrains the migration rate of the temperate forest.

2.1 Plot surveys into community patches

2.1.1 Forest Inventory databases

We used 4 forest inventory databases widely distributed in Eastern North America, from West-Virginia (US) to Quebec (CAN) (include plots distribution and study area in figs). We selected N plot surveys located at the boreal temperate ecotone (*add coordinates of the study area*) and then classify each plot measurement in the four states following the species composition. *add description on measurements*. A forest state is defined as mature stand characterized by a specific species community which is the result of the local climatic conditions.

In our case, the temperate community consists of 8 different species (*full species list*) and the boreal community 7 species (*species list*). If one those species is present in the stand, then the patch is classified as a mixed state.

We filtered out all trees with diameter at breast height lesser than 12,7 cm.

Then we computed a transition matrix within N states transition occurred between two measurements.

2.1.2 Climatic database

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium




Figure 1: The states and transitions model illustrating all states and possible transition in the boreal-temperate forest system. B, T, M and R respectively mean; Boreal, Temperate, Mixed and Regeneration. Each arrow represent a transition between state.

quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

2.2 The states and transitions model approach

2.2.1 Model description

To reproduce and simulate the dynamic of this ecotone, we used a state and transition model as a patch occupancy model [1]. We incorporated the three different forest stand types as states: Boreal (B), Temperate (T), Mixed (M) (Fig. 1). The disturbance regime is one of the important component of this natural system dynamic [3]; consequently, we added the regeneration state (R, Fig. 1) to represent a post-disturbance stand.

Among the states, transitions occur by ecological processes and are formulated in the present model as a transition probability. All transitions between states are possible except the direct transition between a temperate and boreal stand, which requires an intermediate step through the state mixed.

Boreal patch is converted as a mixed patch by colonization rate (β_T , fig. 1) of temperate species. This colonization rate depends on the proportion of temperate species propagules present in the neighbors ($T + M$) but also the probability of the patch to be none-disturbed ($1 - \epsilon$). Hence, transition of boreal patches toward mixed patches can be formulated as $\beta_T \cdot (T + M) \cdot (1 - \epsilon)$. Then, a mixed patch can transfer to a pure temperate patch by competitive exclusion of boreal species (θ_T , Fig. 1). When a disturbance appears on

the patch such as fire, wind throw or insect outbreak, the patch is transferred has a regeneration state. The disturbed patch can recover from this disturbance to a boreal, temperate or mixed stand by successional dynamic. Each of those transition probabilities between states are climate-dependant calibrated on two climatic variables: annual precipitation (mm) and annual mean temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$).

2.2.2 Calibration of the transition probabilities

We estimated each transition probabilities with logistic regression (GLM) on climatic conditions - characterized by annual mean temperature (TP) and the annual precipitation (PP) scaled using the linear and quadratic terms (eq. 1).

$$\text{logit}(\alpha_b) = \alpha_{b0} + \alpha_{b1} \cdot TP + \alpha_{b2} \cdot PP + \alpha_{b3} \cdot TP^2 + \alpha_{b4} \cdot PP^2 \quad (1)$$

Transition probabilities were fitted simultaneously searching for the global optimum of each function with the simulating annealing method (GenSA package [4]). For each set of parameters converged, we computed the likelihood of each functions using the prevalence and

We selected 12 set of parameters whose converged and had the best likelihood value in order to perform the simulations on each set and evaluate the sensitivity of the model.

To calibrate the transition probabilities between states based on climate and plot neighbors, we used R (version 3.2.0) and the classification algorithm Random Forest (RandomForest package, version 4.6-10) [2], we incorporated three information types: (1) state transitions observed between plot measurements, (2) the average climate of the 15 years before each measurements for the two climatic variables of interest and finally the (3) the proportion of states available in the neighbors using a SDM (RandomForest) approach as a proxy.

2.3 Simulations and analysis

1. How the model is implemented as spatial explicit ? Moore neighbors Describe the initial simulation landscape and the choice of the resolution

We performed the simulations using 10 several initial landscape, and 12 different sets of parameters. For each parameters set and initial landscape, we ran simulations over the climate predicted by the 23 Global Climate Models (GCM) downscaled at 10 km^2 by the Ouranos consortium in climatology in Quebec. For each of those combinations, we replicated 10 times the simulation in order to take in account the model stochasticity.

3 Results

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium

quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

References

- [1] M. A. LEIBOLD, M. HOLYOAK, N. MOUQUET, P. AMARASEKARE, J. M. CHASE, M. F. HOOPES, R. D. HOLT, J. B. SHURIN, R. LAW, D. TILMAN, M. LOREAU, AND A. GONZALEZ, *The metacommunity concept: A framework for multi-scale community ecology*, Ecology Letters, 7 (2004), pp. 601–613.
- [2] A. LIAW AND M. WIENER, *Classification and Regression by randomForest*, R News, 2 (2002), pp. 18–22.
- [3] M. C. VANDERWEL AND D. W. PURVES, *How do disturbances and environmental heterogeneity affect the pace of forest distribution shifts under climate change?*, Ecography, 37 (2014), pp. 10–20.
- [4] YANG XIANG, S. GUBIAN, B. SUOMELA, AND J. HOENG, *Generalized Simulated Annealing for Global Optimization: the GenSA Package.*, The R Journal Volume 5(1):13-29, June 2013, (2013).