



# Thunder-Loan Audit Report

Version 1.0

*Ramprasad*

March 30, 2024

# Thunder-Loan Report

Ramprasad

March 30, 2024

Prepared by: Ramprasad

- Ramprasad

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
- The findings described in this document corresponded the following commit hash:\*\*
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - [H-1] Erroneous `ThunderLoan::updateExchangeRate` in the `deposit` function causes protocol to think it has more fees than it actually does, which blocks redemption and incorrectly sets the exchange rate
  - [H-2] Mixingup variable location causes storage collision n `ThunderLoan::s_flashLoanFee` and `ThunderLoan::s_currentlyFlashLoaning`, freezing protocol

Disclaimer

I makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings described in this document corresponded the following commit hash:\*\*

```
1 8803f851f6b37e99eab2e94b4690c8b70e26b3f6
```

Scope

```
1  |-- interfaces
2  |    |-- IFlashLoanReceiver.sol
3  |    |-- IPoolFactory.sol
4  |    |-- ISwapPool.sol
5  |    |-- IThunderLoan.sol
6  |-- protocol
```

```
7 |    |-- AssetToken.sol
8 |    |-- OracleUpgradeable.sol
9 |    |-- ThunderLoan.sol
10 |-- upgradedProtocol
11    |-- ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol
```

## Roles

- Owner: The owner of the protocol who has the power to upgrade the implementation.
- Liquidity Provider: A user who deposits assets into the protocol to earn interest.
- User: A user who takes out flash loans from the protocol.

## Executive Summary

### Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Information	0
Total	2

## Findings

**[H-1] Erroneous ThunderLoan::updateExchangeRate in the deposit function causes protocol to think it has more fees than it actually does, which blocks redemption and incorrectly sets the exchange rate**

**Description:** In ThunderLoan system the `ExchangeRate` system is responsible for the calculating of exchange rate between asset token and underlaying token. In a way, it is responsible for the keeping track of how many fee is to give to the liquidity provider.

However the `deposit` function, updates this rates, without collecting any fees.

```

“java script function deposit( IERC20 token, uint256 amount ) external revertIfZero(amount)
revertIfNotAllowedToken(token) { AssetToken assetToken = s_tokenToAssetToken[token]; uint256
exchangeRate = assetToken.getExchangeRate(); uint256 mintAmount = (amount * assetTo-
ken.EXCHANGE_RATE_PRECISION()) / exchangeRate; emit Deposit(msg.sender, token, amount);
assetToken.mint(msg.sender, mintAmount);

```

```

@> uint256 calculatedFee = getCalculatedFee(token, amount); @> assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);

```

```

1     token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount);
2 }

```

```

1
2 **Impact:** There are several impacts to this bug.
3 1. The `redeem` function is blocked, because the protocol thinks the
   owned tokens is more than it has.
4 2. Rewards are incorrectly calculated, leading to liquidity providers
   potentially getting way more or less than deserved.
5
6 **Proof of Concept:**
7 1. LP deposits.
8 2. users takes out a flash loan
9 3. It is now impossible for LP to redeem.
10
11
12 <details>
13 <summary>Code</summary>
14
15 place the following code in `ThunderLoanTest.t.sol`
16
17 ```java script
18 function testRedeemAfterLoan() public setAllowedToken hasDeposits {
19     uint256 amountToBorrow = AMOUNT * 10;
20     uint256 calculatedFee = thunderLoan.getCalculatedFee(
21         tokenA,
22         amountToBorrow
23     );
24
25     vm.startPrank(user);
26     tokenA.mint(address(mockFlashLoanReceiver), calculatedFee);
27     thunderLoan.flashLoan(
28         address(mockFlashLoanReceiver),
29         tokenA,
30         amountToBorrow,
31         ""
32     );
33     vm.stopPrank();
34
35     uint256 ammountToRedeem = type(uint256).max;
36     vm.startPrank(LiquidityProvider);
37     thunderLoan.redeem(tokenA, ammountToRedeem);

```

```
38     }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Removed the incorrectly updated exchange rates lines from the `deposit`

```
1
2 function deposit(
3     IERC20 token,
4     uint256 amount
5 ) external revertIfZero(amount) revertIfNotAllowedToken(token) {
6     AssetToken assetToken = s_tokenToAssetToken[token];
7     uint256 exchangeRate = assetToken.getExchangeRate();
8     uint256 mintAmount = (amount * assetToken.
9         EXCHANGE_RATE_PRECISION()) /
10        exchangeRate;
11    emit Deposit(msg.sender, token, amount);
12    assetToken.mint(msg.sender, mintAmount);
13
14    // @audit-high
15    - uint256 calculatedFee = getCalculatedFee(token, amount);
16    - assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);
17
18    token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount)
19    ;
20 }
```

## [H-2] Mixing up variable location causes storage collision in ThunderLoan::s\_flashLoanFee and ThunderLoan::s\_currentlyFlashLoaning, freezing protocol

**Description:** `ThunderLoan.sol` has 2 variables in the following order:

“`java script uint256 private s\_feePrecision; uint256 private s\_flashLoanFee;

```
1
2 However the upgraded contract `ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol` has them in a
3 different order
4 ```java script
5 uint256 private s_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee
6 uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

Due to how solidity storage works, after the upgrade the `s_flashLoanFee` will have the value of `s_feePrecision`. You cannot adjust the position of storage variables, and removing storage variables for constant variables, breaks the storage locations as well.

**Impact:** After the upgrade, the `s_flashLoanFee` will have the value of `s_feePrecision`. This means that user who takes out the flash loans after the upgrade will be charged the wrong fee.

**Recommended Mitigation:** If you must remove the storage variable, leave it as blank as o not mess up with the storage slot.

“diff - uint256 private s\_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee - uint256 public constant FEE\_PRECISION = 1e18;

- uint256 private s\_blank;
- uint256 private s\_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee
- uint256 public constant FEE\_PRECISION = 1e18;

1 ~ ~ ~