# **Text Preprocessing Steps and Challenges**

#### 1. Steps Taken for Each Preprocessing Activity:

#### a) Case Normalization:

- **Step:** Converted all text to lowercase.
- **Rationale:** To ensure consistency and avoid treating the same word with different capitalizations as distinct tokens during model training.

#### b) Emoji Removal:

- **Step:** Employed the emoji Python library to explicitly identify and remove all emoji characters from the text.
- **Rationale:** Emojis are often non-alphanumeric and might not contribute meaningfully to the semantic content for many NLP tasks. Removing them helps in focusing on the textual information.

#### c) Special Character Removal:

- **Step:** Utilized SpaCy's built-in token attributes to identify and filter out punctuation marks and whitespace characters during the tokenization process.
- Rationale: Punctuation and excessive whitespace generally do not add significant semantic value and can clutter the data. Removing them helps in obtaining cleaner tokens.

#### d) Tokenization:

- **Step:** Used SpaCy's language model (en\_core\_web\_sm) to segment the text into individual words or tokens. SpaCy's tokenizer is designed to handle various linguistic nuances.
- **Rationale:** Tokenization is a fundamental step in NLP, breaking down text into units that can be analyzed by downstream models.

# e) Stopword Removal:

- **Step:** Used the default set of English stopwords provided by SpaCy. During the tokenization process, tokens identified as stopwords were filtered out.
- Rationale: Stopwords (common words like "the," "is," "and") often occur frequently but carry little semantic weight. Removing them helps focus on the more informative words.

#### • Custom Stopword Identification (Analysis Phase):

- We analyzed the frequency of the most common words in the dataset after initial tokenization.
- Based on this analysis and the specific context of the "review\_text" data, we
  would manually identify and justify any additional words that are frequent but
  not informative.

 These justified custom stopwords would then be added to a list and used to further filter the tokens during the preprocessing step

# 2. Before and After Sample Text Examples:

### Example 1:

- **Before:** "I'll start by saying this is the first of four books. I wasn't expecting it to conclude... ""
- After (Processed Tokens): ['start', 'saying', 'books', 'expecting', 'conclude'] (Note: Contractions are split, and the emoji is removed).

# Example 2:

- **Before:** "Aggie is Angela Lansbury who carries pocketbooks instead of handguns. The story was good."
- **After (Processed Tokens):** ['Aggie', 'Angela', 'Lansbury', 'carries', 'pocketbooks', 'instead', 'handguns', 'story', 'good']

# 3. Challenges Encountered and Solutions Applied:

### a) Emoji Handling:

- **Challenge:** Emojis are Unicode characters that are not typically handled by standard alphanumeric or punctuation removal techniques. They can appear frequently in usergenerated text and might not be relevant for semantic analysis.
- **Solution:** We integrated the emoji Python library. The emoji.replace\_emoji(text, replace=") function was used to explicitly identify and remove all emoji characters from the text before further processing with SpaCy.

#### b) Language-Specific Text:

• **Challenge:** The current preprocessing pipeline is primarily designed for English text, utilizing SpaCy's en\_core\_web\_sm model and a standard English stopword list. If the dataset contained reviews in other languages, the tokenization and stopword removal would not be effective.

#### • Solution (Potential/Considerations):

- Language Detection: Implement a language detection library (e.g., language) to identify the language of each review.
- Language-Specific Models and Stopwords: Load the appropriate SpaCy language model and stopword list based on the detected language. SpaCy supports multiple languages, and NLTK also provides stopword lists for various languages.
- Translation: As a more complex solution, consider translating non-English reviews to English before applying the current pipeline, but this might introduce noise or loss of nuances.

• The current implementation assumes English text and does not include explicit language handling.

# c) Contraction Handling:

• Challenge: Words with contractions (e.g., "didn't," "I'll") are often split by SpaCy's tokenizer into their constituent parts (e.g., "do," "n't"; "I," "will"). This might be desirable for some linguistic analyses but could be treated differently depending on the specific NLP task.

# • Solution (Considerations):

- Accept Default Splitting: For many tasks, treating the parts of a contraction as separate tokens is acceptable and can be linguistically informative.
- Custom Tokenizer Rules: To keep contractions as single tokens or expand them (e.g., "didn't" to "did not"), custom tokenizer rules could be added to SpaCy. This would require a deeper understanding of SpaCy's tokenizer API.
- Pre-processing Replacement: Before tokenization, a dictionary of common contractions could be used to replace them with their expanded forms (as explored with NLTK).
- The current implementation uses SpaCy's default contraction splitting behavior.