## **Question 1**

What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Optimal Values of Ridge and Lasso Given by the model is 3.0 and 1.0 respectively. f we increase the alpha(hyper parameter value) the accuracy of the model starts dropping gradually. It might increase a bit till the optimal hyper parameter value but the accuracy will decrease with the increase in alpha and model will become more parse

Top predictor features for ridge when alpha is 6 are :

	Coefficient
Total_sqr_footage	0.134351
OverallQual	0.088469
TotalBsmtSF	0.079235
Neighborhood_StoneBr	0.058877
TotRmsAbvGrd	0.046711
Total_Bathrooms	0.045971
OverallCond	0.044430
GarageArea	0.044175
LotArea	0.038149
Neighborhood_NoRidge	0.035671

Top correlated features of Lasso when alpha is 0.0002 are:

	Coefficient
Total_sqr_footage	0.225682
OverallQual	0.133786
Neighborhood_StoneBr	0.065950
TotalBsmtSF	0.060540
OverallCond	0.058713
Neighborhood_NridgHt	0.044229
GarageArea	0.043697
Neighborhood_NoRidge	0.035138
SaleCondition_Partial	0.032983
BsmtExposure Gd	0.030938

## **Question 2**

You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Although the R-squared scores for Ridge and Lasso models are nearly identical, we favor Lasso as our final model. Lasso imposes a stronger penalty on the dataset, potentially aiding in feature elimination. Given its ability to perform feature selection, we find Lasso more appealing for our specific modeling objectives.

## **Question 3**

After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Before removing the top5 predictors, model was performing well than after dropping the top5 predictors.

	Coefficient	
Total_sqr_footage	0.225682	
OverallQual	0.133786	
Neighborhood_StoneBr	0.065950	
TotalBsmtSF	0.060540	
OverallCond	0.058713	
Neighborhood_NridgHt	0.044229	
GarageArea	0.043697	
Neighborhood_NoRidge	0.035138	
SaleCondition_Partial	0.032983	
BsmtExposure_Gd	0.030938	
r2 score 0.93690765832	25619	
Applying Lasso after dro	pping 5 predictor	variables
C	pping 5 predictor oefficient	variables
otRmsAbvGrd	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455	variables
C TotRmsAbvGrd Total_Bathrooms	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029	variables
C TotRmsAbvGrd Total_Bathrooms JarageArea	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455	variables
C TotRmsAbvGrd Total_Bathrooms	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029 0.105183 0.051469	variables
CotRmsAbvGrd  Total_Bathrooms  GarageArea  Tireplaces  LotArea	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029 0.105183	variables
CotRmsAbvGrd Cotal_Bathrooms GarageArea Gireplaces GotArea GotArea GotArea	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029 0.105183 0.051469 0.048502	variables
CotRmsAbvGrd Fotal_Bathrooms BarageArea Fireplaces LotArea Bitreet_Pave Reighborhood_NoRidge	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029 0.105183 0.051469 0.048502 0.047245 0.044297	variables
CotRmsAbvGrd Cotal_Bathrooms GarageArea Gireplaces GotArea GotArea GotArea	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029 0.105183 0.051469 0.048502 0.047245 0.044297	variables
CotRmsAbvGrd Cotal_Bathrooms GarageArea Gireplaces OtArea Gireet_Pave Weighborhood_NoRidge SmtExposure_Gd	pping 5 predictor oefficient 0.129455 0.112029 0.105183 0.051469 0.048502 0.047245 0.044297 0.042970	variables

## **Question 4**

How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Ensuring that a model is robust and generalizable is crucial for its performance on new, unseen data.

- 1.Use techniques like k-fold cross-validation to assess how well the model performs on different subsets of the training data. This helps in detecting overfitting and provides a more reliable estimate of the model's performance.
- 2.Use regularization techniques (e.g., Ridge or Lasso regularization) to prevent the model from becoming too complex and overfitting the training data.
- 3. Tune the hyperparameters of the model using techniques like grid search or random search.
- 4. Besides accuracy, evaluate the model on various metrics such as precision, recall, F1 score, or area under the ROC curve. This provides a more comprehensive understanding of the model's performance.

5.Striking the right balance between bias and variance is essential. High bias (underfitting) and high variance (overfitting) both lead to poor generalization. Techniques like regularization help control this trade-off.