Ramya Krishnan A20506653

1. Joop Bounds and proof outlines

Task 1.1

miles: = 6;

of dec gas + batt }

while (gas >1 V batt >0) do

9 as := 9 as - 5 g babt != batt + T

8;

00

40

> [miles 2 gaz-1]

1. Igas = gas, Agas > 0 A batt = 0 A miles = 0 y = (Is provable)

If gas + batt + miles = gas, A gas > 0 A batt > 0 }

Lets substitute batt = 0 & miles = 0 , gas, = gas

gas, + 0 + 0 = gas, A gas > 0 A 0 2 0

gas, = gas, A gas > 0

gas > 0 Since gas > 0 = gas > 0

wells with this, we can prove gas = gas the above

yatement.

8. [Finiles [miles | miles] P A gas + batt = mo A (gas) IV

batt > 0) A miles = [miles | miles] miles + 1 g =>

gas + batt + miles = gas o A gas > 0 A batt > 0 A

batt > 0 A gas + batt = mo => (Is provable)

late bubetitute batt = 1 & gas = 0

Jimiles o | + miles = gas o A o > 0 A o > 1 > 0 A o + 1 = mo

A (0>1 V 1>0) A miles = miles o + 1 > 0 + 1 + miles =

gas o A o > 0 A 1 > 0 A o + 1 = mo

Imiles 1 + miles = gas o' 1 = mo 1 (FV7) 1 miles =

miles o + 1 > 1 + miles = gas o 1 = mo

I miles o 1 + miles o = gas o 1 = mo 1 miles = miles o + 1 >

1 + miles = gas o 1 = mo

with this, we can prove the above statement.

3. Igas + batt + miles = gas o 1 gas ≥ 0 batt ≥ 0 1 batt > 0 1

gas + batt = mol > f [batt-1 | batt] gas + batt +

miles = gas o 1 gas ≥ 0 1 batt ≥ 01 gas + batt - 1< moly

Less provable)

 $\begin{cases}
9as + ba+t + miles = 9as \circ \land 9as \ge 0 \land batt \ge 0 \land ba+t > 0$ $\land gas + ba+t = moy \Rightarrow \begin{cases}
9as + ba+t - 1 + miles = ges \circ \land
\end{cases}$ $9as \ge 0 \land ba+t - 1 \ge 0 \land 9as + ba+t - 1 < mo
\end{cases}$

Jos + batt + miles = gaso A gas ≥ 0 A batt > 0 A gas + batt = mo) ⇒ Jas + batt + miles = Jaso + 1 A gas ≥ 0 A batt ≥ 1 A gas + batt - 1 ∠ mo Jo les Substitute gas = 0 & batt = 1

fit miles = gas o $\Lambda D \ge 0 \Lambda 1 \ge 0 \Lambda 0 + 1 = m_0 = 3 \Rightarrow$ fit miles = gas o + 1 $\Lambda D \ge 0 \Lambda 1 \ge 1 \Lambda 0 + 1 - 1 < m_0 = 3$ fit miles = gas o $\Lambda 1 = m_0 = 3 \Rightarrow fit + miles = gas o + 1 \Lambda$ with this, we can prove the above statement.

f gay + batt + miles = gas o Λ gas ≥ 0 Λ batt ≥ 0 Λ batt <0 Λ gay + batt = mo g ⇒ f gay - g + bott + g +

{gas + miles = gas o 1 gas ≥ 0 1 gas = mo} of gas + bat -1

+ miles = gas o 1 gas -2 ≥ 0 1 bat +1 ≥ 0 1 gas +

bat -1 ∠ mo y

of mo + miles = gas o \wedge mo \geq o \hat{j} = $\{gas + ba++-1 + miles = gas o <math>\wedge$ gas - $2 \geq 0$ \wedge ba++ + $1 \geq 0$ \wedge gas + $ba++-1 < m_{\hat{j}}$ lets bubstitult $gas = m_0$ and ba++=0of $m_0 - 1 + m_1 les = gas o \wedge m_0 - 2 \geq 0 \wedge m_0 - 1 < m_{\hat{j}}$

now, mo -1 < mo

now, of mo + miles = gas a A mo ≥ 09 => fmo + miles = gas 0 +

1 A mo ≥ 2 }

With this, we can prove the above statement

5. IPAgas < 1 1 batt < 03 => [miles > gas o -] > [miles > o A bestt > o A

gas < 1 1 batt < 03 => [miles > gas o -]

gas < batt + miles > gas o A gas > o A bestt > o A

gas + ba++ + miles = gas o 1 gas 2 0 1 batt = 0 1 gas 219 > [miles > gas o - 1] {gas + miles = gas o A gas ≥ 0 A gas ≤ 1} => [mile ≥ gas = 0]

Since gas ⊆ o we have a options gas = 0 & gas = 1

lets > ub, gas = 0

of miles = gas o y => [miles > gas o -1]

gas o ≥ gas o = 1

lets > ub, gas = 1

of 1 + miles = gas o 4 > [miles = gas o - 1]

of miles = gas o - 19 > [miles = gas o - 1]

with this, we an prove the above statement.

6. P > gas + batt $\geq 0 \Rightarrow (ls provable)$ gas + batt + miles = gas o A gas ≥ 0 A batt ≥ 0 gas + batt ≥ 0

By proving all the execution, we have proved the program termination and & total correctness.

Task 1. 2

i:= ō; finv ty ∈ z. (o ≤i ∠i) → a[j] = o ∧ ∀x ∈ z. (i ≤ x < |a|) → a[k] ≥ o y

f dec |a| - i y while $i \ge 3ize(a) do$ find $\forall i \in Z.(o \le i \ge |a|) \rightarrow a[i] \ge o$ find dec a[i]while a[i] > o do a[i] := a[i] - Tod; e = i + T

00

[t, ez. (ociclai) -> a[i]=0]

For outon loop Bound {dec | a1 - i }

1. Non-negative

From the loop variant we am see, $\forall_j \in Z$ (o\(\frac{1}{2}\)) which implies i is non-negative. And $\forall_k \in Z$. (i\(\frac{1}{2}\) K \(\frac{1}{2}\) [implies i is less than la). Hence the outer loop bound is always non-negative.

and it it it. Therefore the different lal- is decressing at every iteration.

For inner loop Bound of doc 'a [i] }

1. Non - negative

From the loop invarient we an see a [17] o which implies a [i] is non-negative. Hence the inner loop bound is always non-negative.

at every iteration until a [i] is decreased by

I at every iteration until a [i] is equal to to

Therefore the expression decta. I dec a [i] is

is decreasing at every iteration.

Task 1.3

Here Lis Vaniable, k is Constant and t is valid bound expression.

a. VE -> Not Valid

Even though tis a voiled bound expression, It not necessarily be decreasing. Example, for integers 5 & 4 4 the Vt is 2. so even when value changed it did not always observering. Hence it is not valid.

b. t2 > It is valid.

the value linteger. Hence it is a valid.

C. t+i -> NOE Valid

As per given assumption i is a variable, so it can be negative. So there is a possibility that this work. Hence it is not valid.

d. t+i2 -> Not Valid

Since i've variable, is the depending on i the always always expression this may not be decreasing, but rather increasing, for example, t=1 & i=2 then 1+4=5 and in next iteration if we assume t=2 & i=9 then 2+9=11 which is increasing. Hence it is invalid

2. t+K -> Not Valid

with the assumption, it is clear that k can be negative and t+x<0, Hence it is invalid.

f. ++K2 -> Valid.

From the assumption K is constant and 12 will be positive, so when up add positive constant and t (valid bound) the expression is valid.

8. Weakest Precondition with Array Assignments. Task D. 1

a) wip(a[if m=0 then i else j]:=1,a[i]=1)

= [/a[if n=0 then i else j] (a[i]=1)

= [1/a[if n=0 then i else i]](a[i]=[1/a[if n=0 then i else jjj (1)

= [1/a[if n=0 then i else j] (a[i])=1

= (if i= (is m=0 then i else j) then I else a[i])=1

= (if (if m=0 then i= i else isi) then relse a(i])=1

= if (if n=0 then T else i=i) then I else a (i.7)=1

= (if (n=0 Wi=j), then, due a[i])=1

= if (n=0 W i=j) then 1=1 else a[i]=1

if (m=0 Vi=j) then T else a [i]=1

= (n=0 Vi=j) V a[i]=1 = n=0 Vi=j Va[i]=1

```
c. who (a [i] := a[i]+1, a[i] > a[i])
    = [a[i]+1/a[i]) (a[i] > a[i])
    = [a[i] +1 /a[i]] (a[i] > a[i] +1/a[i]) (a[i])
    = (if j=j then a[i] +1 else a[i]) > (if i=j then
                   a[i] +1 else a[i])
   = (if T then a [i]+1 else a [i])> (if i=j then
                acij +1 else acij)
   = (a [i]+1) > (if i=j than a [i] +1 else a (i]).
   = 45 i=j then a[i]+1) > (a[i]+1) else (a[i]+1)
                                            Sacij
   = if i= i then F else T
   = + (i=i) AT
   : i + j
d) wep (i:=5; a[i]:= a[i+i], a[i] >0)
    = wep (i:=5, wep(a[i]:=a[i+1], a[i]>0))
```

= wep(i==5, [a[i+i]/a[i]] (a[i]>0))

Ē

From above we know the result of culp, a[6]>0.

D(1:25; 9[i]:= a(i+1])

= D(i:=5) NWLP(i:=5, D(a[i]:=a[i+i]))

= D(i) ND(5) NWLP(i:=5,D(a[i]) D(a[i+1])

= TAT NWLP(i=5, D(i) NO Si & |a| A D(i+1) NO S (i+1) < |a|

= WLP(i:=5, TNOSiZ|S#| ND(i) ND(i) N O \(\left(i+1) \(\left(i+1) \)

= WLP (i = = 5 , T 1 0 5 i 2) a1 1 1 1 1 0 5 (i +) 2 |

= wlp(i:=5,05i2 |a| N 05 [i+1)2)a1)

= [5/;] (0 ci cla) A 0 (i+1) < 191)

= 0 ≤ 5 < |a| ∧ 0 ≤ 6 < |a|

Combining both we get,

= 05 5 6 191 1 0 66 6 191 1 a [6]>0

```
f. whp (if i=j then j:=j+1 else a Cj]:=a(i]+1 fi,
           a[i]>a[i])
   = (i=j \rightarrow wlp(j:=j+i, a[i] > a[i]))
          (T(i=j) -> wep(a[j]:=a[i]+1,a[j]>
                                     acij))
 lets Calculate then part,
   (i=j > wlp(j:=j+1, a[i] > a[i]))
 = (i=i) > [i+1/j] (a[i] > a[i])
 = (i=i) > [i/+i] < ([i] a ) [i/+i] ((i=i) =
 = i = j \rightarrow (\alpha C j + i J) > \alpha C i J)
lets calculate Second Poort;
   ( + (i=i) > wlp(aci]:= a[i]+1, aci]>
= 7(i=i) > [aci]+1/aci] (aci]>aci])
= 7 (i=j) > [a Ci] +1 /a [i]] (a Gi])> (a Ci]+1/
                                acij (acij)
= \neg (i=j) \rightarrow (if j=j + han a[i]+1 else a[i]) >
                if i=j then a[i] +1 else a[i])
```

```
= i + j > (if T than a[i] + clse a[i] + else
               a(j]) > (if i=j then a [i] H
                                     else Ol[i])
 = ##j > (@[a[i]+1) > (if i=j than a [i] H
                         else a Ci J)
  = i + j -> (if i=j then (acij+1)>
                      (a [i]+1) else (a (i]+1)
                                        q (i]
 (TA(L=i)r) < ((L=i)r =
  (i=i) + ← (i=i) = =
 = T
Combining both,
   whp(if i=j then j:=j+1 else a[j]:=a[i]+1fi,
                a [i] > a [i])
 = i=j \rightarrow wlp(j:=j+1, alij>alij)/\Lambda
           (7(i=j) > wlp(aCi]:=aCij+1,aCi)>
                                         a (:1)
 = i=j -> (a Cj+j > a Cij) AT
 = i= j > (a[j+1 > a[i])
```

It book me 7-8 hours to Finish this.