# Richardson Extrapolation

#### Use trapezoidal rule as an example

- subintervals:  $n = 2^{j} = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, ...$ 

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \frac{h}{2} \Big[ f(x_{0}) + 2f(x_{1}) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(x_{n}) \Big] + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j} h^{2j}$$

$$j \quad n \quad Formula$$

$$0 \quad 1 \quad I_{0} = \frac{h}{2} \Big[ f(a) + f(b) \Big]$$

$$1 \quad 2 \quad I_{1} = \frac{h}{4} \Big[ f(a) + 2f(x_{1}) + f(b) \Big]$$

$$2 \quad 4 \quad I_{2} = \frac{h}{8} \Big[ f(a) + 2f(x_{1}) + 2f(x_{2}) + 2f(x_{3}) + f(b) \Big]$$

$$3 \quad 8 \quad I_{3} = \frac{h}{16} \Big[ f(a) + 2f(x_{1}) + \dots + 2f(x_{7}) + f(b) \Big]$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$j \quad 2^{j} \quad I_{j} = \frac{h}{2^{j}} \Big[ f(a) + 2f(x_{1}) + \dots + 2f(x_{n-1}) + f(b) \Big]$$

# Richardson Extrapolation

#### For trapezoidal rule

$$A = \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = A(h) + c_{1}h^{2} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{cases} A = A(h) + c_{1}h^{2} + c_{2}h^{4} + \cdots \\ A = A(\frac{h}{2}) + c_{1}(\frac{h}{2})^{2} + c_{2}(\frac{h}{2})^{4} + \cdots \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 4A(\frac{h}{2}) - A(h) \right] - \frac{c_{2}}{4}h^{4} + \cdots = B(h) + b_{2}h^{4} + \cdots$$

$$\begin{cases} A = B(h) + b_{2}h^{4} + \cdots \\ A = B(\frac{h}{2}) + b_{2}(\frac{h}{2})^{4} + \cdots \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow C(h) = \frac{1}{15} \left[ 16B(\frac{h}{2}) - B(h) \right]$$

 $-k^{th}$  level of extrapolation

$$D(h) = \frac{4^{k} C(h/2) - C(h)}{4^{k} - 1}$$

# Romberg Integration

#### **Accelerated Trapezoid Rule**

$$I_{j,k} = \frac{4^k I_{j+1,k} - I_{j,k}}{4^k - 1}; \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Trapezoid Simpson's Boole's 
$$k = 0 \qquad k = 1 \qquad k = 2 \qquad k = 3 \qquad k = 4$$

$$O(h^{2}) \qquad O(h^{4}) \qquad O(h^{6}) \qquad O(h^{8}) \qquad O(h^{10})$$

$$h \qquad I_{0,0} \qquad I_{0,1} \qquad I_{0,2} \qquad I_{0,3} \qquad I_{0,4}$$

$$h/2 \qquad I_{1,0} \qquad I_{1,1} \qquad I_{1,2} \qquad I_{1,3}$$

$$h/4 \qquad I_{2,0} \qquad I_{2,1} \qquad I_{2,2}$$

$$h/8 \qquad I_{3,0} \qquad I_{3,1}$$

$$h/16 \qquad I_{4,0} \qquad I$$

# Romberg Integration

#### **Accelerated Trapezoid Rule**

$$I = \int_0^4 xe^{2x} dx = 5216.926477$$

	Trapezoid	Simpson's	Boole's			
	k = 0	k = 1	k = 2	k = 3	k = 4	
	$O(h^2)$	$O(h^4)$	$O(h^6)$	$O(h^8)$	$O(h^{10})$	
h = 4	23847.7	8240.41	5499.68	5224.84	5216.95	
h = 2	12142.2	5670.98	5229.14	5217.01		
h = 1	7288.79	5256.75	5217.20			
h = 0.5	<i>5764.76</i>	5219.68				
h = 0.25	5355.95					
c —	_ 2 66%	_00527%	_ 0 0053%	_ 0 00168%	_ 0 00050%	

- Okay, how do we solve ODEs?
- As with quadrature, most methods are based on polynomial approximations!
- The simplest method is just the Euler method.
- We examine the Taylor Series expansion:  $y(t+h) = y(t) + h y'(t) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 y''(\xi)$
- Recall that y'(t) = f(t,y(t))

Thus,

$$y(t+h) = y(t) + h f(t,y(t)) + \frac{1}{2} h^2y''(\xi)$$

- In the Euler method we truncate after the linear term!
- Let  $y_k$  be the estimate at  $y(t_k)$  and thus:  $y_{k+1}^{EM} = y_k + h f(t_k, y_k)$
- As we stated before, this rule is <u>explicit</u>, because  $y_{k+1}$  can be written as an explicit function of known quantities ( $t_k$  and  $y_k$ ).

What is the error? Just subtract off the Taylor series!

$$y^{EM}_{k+1} - y(t_{k+1}) = y_k^{EM} - y(t_k)$$

$$+ h_k[f(t_k, y_k) - f(t_k, y(t_k))] - \frac{1}{2} h^2_k y''(\xi)$$

- The ∆f term survives because there is some error from previous terms.
- Let's look at the term in brackets...

$$[f(t_k,y_k) - f(t_k,y(t_k))]$$

$$= [f(t_k,y(t_k)) + (y_k - y(t_k))df/dy - f(t_k,y(t_k))]$$
Expansion for f at y<sub>k</sub>

$$= (y_k - y(t_k))df/dy$$

Thus, the error at step k+1 is given by:

$$y^{EM}_{k+1} - y(t_{k+1}) = (y_k^{EM} - y(t_k))(1+h_k J)$$
$$- \frac{1}{2} h_k^2 y''(\xi)$$

• The term  $\frac{1}{2}h_k^2y''(\xi)$  is the <u>local error</u> at each step.

- The quantity (1+hJ) is the <u>amplification factor</u>.
   Note that for all <u>unstable</u> ODEs this factor is greater than 1.
- The key result is that if J is very <u>negative</u>, then Euler's method may be unstable as well!
- If hJ<-2 then |1+hJ|>1 and our method is numerically unstable.
- Equations for which J<<-1 are termed <u>stiff</u>.

The interval of stability is given by:

$$-2 < hJ < 0$$

- If you have a stiff equation, your step size had better not be too large!
- For systems of equations, the Euler method will be stable if:

$$|1+h\lambda|<1$$

for all eigenvalues. This is a bit more complex since  $\lambda$  may have an imaginary part. Thus the interval of stability is now a region. The method will be stable if all  $h\lambda$  lie within a circle of radius 1 centered about z=-1 in the complex plane.

- How do we deal with stiff problems?
- We use <u>implicit</u> methods. The simplest is the Backward Euler Method.

$$y^{BE}_{k+1} = y_k + h_k f(t_{k+1}, y_{k+1})$$
(note implicit dependence)

- A method is called <u>implicit</u> if the equation for  $y_{k+1}$  depends on a function of  $y_{k+1}$ .
- Okay what does error propagation look like in this case? We determine it the same way...

- Rearranging we get:
  - $y^{BE}_{k+1} y(t_k+1) = (1/(1-hJ))(y_k-y(t_k))+0.5h^2y''(\xi))$
- In this case the amplification factor is less than 1 in magnitude for all J<0, no matter how large h is!</li>
- Thus, the interval of stability is:

- Note that if J>0 the problem is still unstable.
- Implicit methods are very useful for stiff equations, but they do require the solution to a potentially nonlinear equation at every step!

- Thus far we have looked at explicit and implicit methods where the local error is O(h²y"). We can take larger step sizes if we use higher order algorithms.
- First, let's look at explicit methods.
- The most common higher order methods are the Runge-Kutta techniques.
- Recall that we have the Taylor series expansion...

$$y(t_{k+1}) = y(t_k) + y'(t_k)h_k + 0.5h_k^2y''(t_k) + y'''(\xi)h_k^3/6$$

- Before in the Euler method we truncated after the linear term and had a local error which was O(h<sup>2</sup>).
- If we estimate y", we can cause the local error to be O(h3)! How can we do this?
- One approach is the 2-stage Runge-Kutta technique.

• Let:

$$K_1 = hf(t_n, y_n) \sim h y'(t_n)$$
  
 $K_2 = hf(t_n+h, y_n+K_1) \sim h y'(t_{n+1})$ 

• Thus,  $(K_2 - K_1)/h^2 \sim y''(t_n)$ 

is an estimate of the second derivative.

Plugging this back into the Taylor series leads to the formula:

$$y(t_n+h) = y(t_n) + h y'(t_n) + 0.5h^2y''(t_n) + O(h^3y''')$$

• So, 
$$y(t_{n+1}) = y_n + K_1 + 0.5(K_2 - K_1) + O(h^3y''')$$
  
=  $y_n + 0.5(K_1 - K_2) + O(h^3y''')$   
2-stage R-K formula

(it's called 2-stage because there are 2 function evaluations)

- The <u>local error</u> is O(h³) the number of steps is n~(b-a)/h, thus this rule is <u>second order</u>.
- The Runge-Kutta rule is more accurate than the Euler method, but it is still explicit. It will run into trouble for very stiff equations.

 Usually codes don't use 2-stage R-K rules, but rather 4-stage rules:

$$K_1 = h f(t_n, y_n)$$
  
 $K_2 = h f(t_n + 0.5h, y_n + 0.5K_1)$   
 $K_3 = h f(t_n + 0.5h, y_n + 0.5K_2)$   
 $K_4 = h f(t_n + h, y_n + K_3)$   
 $y_{n+1} = y_n + (1/6)(K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4)$ 

• The local error is O(h<sup>5</sup>) and the overall rule is fourth order in the step size!

- It is interesting to note that the R-K rules are not unique!
- In particular, Fehlberg came up with a 6-stage R-K technique which had an error that was 5<sup>th</sup> order and then shows that 4 of these could be recombined to get a 4<sup>th</sup> order rule.
- This is very useful because the difference between the two estimates gives an error estimate which can be used in adaptive step size control.
- This Fehlberg R-K technique is implemented in the Matlab routine "ode45.m"

#### Q1: Which one of the three ODE problems is NONLINEAR?

Α

$$\frac{d^3y}{dt^3} = \frac{dy}{dt} + xy^2,$$

В

$$e^{t} \frac{d^{2}y}{dt^{2}} + 3\frac{dy}{dt} + t^{3} \sin t + ty = 0.$$

C

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = 3y + z + 1,$$

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = 4z + 3,$$

#### Q2: Which finite difference approx is called FORWARD difference?

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)}{h},$$

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{h}.$$

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i-1})}{2h}.$$

#### **Q3: Which finite difference approx is EXPLICIT?**

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)}{h}$$

B

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{h}$$
.

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i-1})}{2h}$$
.

#### Q4: Which finite difference approx is MOST ACCURATE?

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)}{h}$$

В

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{h}$$
.

$$f'(x_i) \cong \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i-1})}{2h}$$
.