Implementation of Zermelo's work of 1908 in Lestrade: Part III, opening of Zermelo well-ordering theorem argument

M. Randall Holmes
March 13, 2020

1 Introduction

This document was originally titled as an essay on the proposition that mathematics is what can be done in Automath (as opposed to what can be done in ZFC, for example). Such an essay is still in in my mind, but this particular document has transformed itself into the large project of implementing Zermelo's two important set theory papers of 1908 in Lestrade, with the further purpose of exploring the actual capabilities of Zermelo's system of 1908 as a mathematical foundation, which we think are perhaps underrated.

This is a new version of this document in modules, designed to make it possible to work more efficiently without repeated execution of slow log files when they do not need to be revisited.

2 Zermelo's 1908 proof of the well-ordering theorem

I am now going to carry out or at least attempt a significant piece of mathematics in Lestrade. I shall attempt to directly translate Zermelo's 1908 proof of the well-ordering theorem (which was published at about the same time as the axiomatization implemented above: they are intimately connected) into a Lestrade proof.

Zermelo starts by stating prerequisites which are found in the axiomatization. Point I: he requires the axiom of Separation, stated above. He points out as an important corollary the existence of relative complements.

As point II he requires the existence of power sets, provided by us in the axiomatization above.

As point III, he notes that Separation implies the existence of intersections of (nonempty) sets.

In earlier versions, declarations of the above points appeared here, but we have moved them back into the second file, which implements the axiomatics paper.

Zermelo states the following Theorem, the central result in his argument (the well ordering theorem follows immediately from this theorem and the axiom of choice, as we will verify below).

Theorem: If with every nonempty subset of a set M an element of the subset is associated by some law as "distinguished element", then $\mathcal{P}(x)$, the set of all subsets of M, possesses one and only one subset \mathbf{M} such that to every arbitrary subset P of M there always corresponds one and only one element P_0 of M that includes P as a subset and contains an element of P as its distinguished element. The set M is well-ordered by \mathbf{M} .

The apparent second-order quality of this theorem is dispelled by the proof in Lestrade, in which we see how we can introduce the "law" referred to as a hypothetical without in fact allowing quantification over such laws (and nonetheless successfully carry out the proof of the corollary).

We declare the hypotheses of the theorem, the set M and the unspecified law that, given a subset S of M, allows us to select a distinguished element of S.

```
begin Lestrade execution

>>> comment load whatismath2

{move 1}
```

```
>>> clearcurrent
{move 1}
  >>> declare M obj
  M : obj
   {move 1}
   >>> declare Misset that Isset M
   Misset : that Isset (M)
   {move 1}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> declare S obj
      S : obj
      {move 2}
      >>> declare x obj
      x : obj
```

```
{move 2}
>>> declare subsetev that S <<= M
subsetev : that S <<= M
{move 2}
>>> declare inev that Exists [x => \
       x E S]
inev : that Exists ([(x_2 : obj) =>
    ({def} x_2 E S : prop)])
{move 2}
>>> postulate thelaw S : obj
thelaw : [(S_1 : obj) => (--- : obj)]
{move 1}
>>> postulate thelawchooses subsetev \
    inev : that (thelaw S) E S
thelawchooses : [(.S_1 : obj), (subsetev_1
    : that .S_1 <<= M), (inev_1 : that
   Exists ([(x_3 : obj) =>
       ({def} x_3 E .S_1 : prop)])) =>
    (---: that thelaw (.S_1) E .S_1)
```

It appears for direct implementation of Zermelo's argument that the choice of a distinguished element should return something arbitrary when the argument is empty, and further it is convenient for the choice to work for any set (or indeed atom) at all, formally, though we make no assumptions about the result. Otherwise we need axioms handling proof indifference and there are other embarrassments.

This seems to be a general fact: operations taking sets to sets (or more generally, sets and atoms to sets and atoms) should be universal, or we end up stumbling over the Lestrade type system.

```
begin Lestrade execution

>>> open

{move 2}

>>> declare S obj

S : obj

{move 2}

>>> declare subsetev that S <<= M</pre>
```

```
subsetev : that S <<= M
   {move 2}
   >>> define prime1 S : Complement (S, Usc \setminus
       (thelaw S))
   prime1 : [(S_1 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} S_1 Complement Usc (thelaw
       (S_1): obj)]
   prime1 : [(S_1 : obj) => (--- : obj)]
   {move 1}
   >>> save
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 1}
>>> declare S1 obj
S1 : obj
{move 1}
```

```
>>> define prime2 thelaw, S1 : prime1 \setminus
  prime2 : [(thelaw_1 : [(S_2 : obj) =>
          (--- : obj)]), (S1_3 : obj) =>
       ({def} S1_3 Complement Usc (thelaw_1
       (S1_3)) : obj)
  prime2 : [(thelaw_1 : [(S_2 : obj) =>
          (--- : obj)]), (S1_3 : obj) =>
       (--- : obj)]
   {move 0}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> define prime S : prime2 thelaw, S
      prime : [(S_1 : obj) =>
          ({def} prime2 (thelaw, S_1) : obj)]
      prime : [(S_1 : obj) => (--- : obj)]
      {move 1}
end Lestrade execution
```

An important operation in Zermelo's argument takes each subset A of M to the subset $A' = A \setminus \{a\}$, where a is the distinguished element of A if A is nonempty. This is defined above. Below, we prove its natural comprehension

axiom.

```
begin Lestrade execution
      >>> open
          {move 3}
          >>> declare u obj
          u : obj
          {move 3}
          >>> open
             {move 4}
             >>> declare hyp1 that u E prime \setminus
             hyp1 : that u E prime (S)
             {move 4}
             >>> declare hyp2 that (u E S) & ~ (u = thelaw \setminus
                 S)
             hyp2 : that (u E S) & \tilde{} (u = thelaw
              (S))
```

```
{move 4}
>>> define line1 hyp1 : Iff1 \
    (hyp1, Ui (u, Compax (S, Usc \
    (thelaw S))))
line1 : [(hyp1_1 : that u E prime
    (S)) =>
    ({def} hyp1_1 Iff1 u Ui S Compax
    Usc (thelaw (S)) : that
    (u E S) & ~ (u E Usc (thelaw
    (S))))]
line1 : [(hyp1_1 : that u E prime
    (S)) \Rightarrow (--- : that (u E S) & ~ (u E Usc)
    (thelaw (S))))]
{move 3}
>>> define line2 hyp1 : Simp2 \
    (line1 hyp1)
line2 : [(hyp1_1 : that u E prime
    (S)) =>
    ({def} Simp2 (line1 (hyp1_1)) : that
    ~ (u E Usc (thelaw (S))))]
line2 : [(hyp1_1 : that u E prime
    (S)) \Rightarrow (--- : that ~(u E Usc
    (thelaw (S))))]
```

```
{move 3}
>>> open
  {move 5}
  >>> declare hyp3 that u = thelaw \
  hyp3 : that u = thelaw (S)
   {move 5}
  >>> define line3 : Inusc2 \
       (thelaw S)
  line3 : [
       ({def} Inusc2 (thelaw
       (S)) : that thelaw (S) E thelaw
       (S); thelaw (S))]
  line3 : that thelaw (S) E thelaw
    (S); thelaw (S)
  {move 4}
  >>> declare v obj
  v : obj
```

```
>>> define line4 hyp3 : Subs \
    (Eqsymm hyp3, [v \Rightarrow v E (Usc \setminus
        (thelaw S))], line3)
line4 : [(hyp3_1 : that
    u = thelaw(S)) \Rightarrow
    ({def} Subs (Eqsymm (hyp3_1), [(v_2
        : obj) =>
        (\{def\}\ v_2\ E\ Usc\ (thelaw
        (S)) : prop)], line3) : that
    u E Usc (thelaw (S)))]
line4 : [(hyp3_1 : that
    u = thelaw(S)) \Rightarrow (---
    : that u E Usc (thelaw
    (S)))]
{move 4}
>>> define line5 hyp3 : line4 \
    hyp3 Mp line2 hyp1
line5 : [(hyp3_1 : that
    u = thelaw(S)) =>
    ({def} line4 (hyp3_1) Mp
    line2 (hyp1) : that ??)]
line5 : [(hyp3_1 : that
    u = thelaw(S)) \Rightarrow (---
    : that ??)]
```

{move 5}

```
{move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line6 hyp1 : Conj \
    (Simp1 line1 hyp1, Negintro \
    line5)
line6 : [(hyp1_1 : that u E prime
    (S)) \Rightarrow
    ({def} Simp1 (line1 (hyp1_1)) Conj
    Negintro ([(hyp3_5 : that
       u = thelaw(S)) =>
       ({def} Subs (Eqsymm (hyp3_5), [(v_7
          : obj) =>
          ({def} v_7 E Usc (thelaw
          (S)) : prop)], Inusc2
       (thelaw (S))) Mp line2
       (hyp1_1) : that ??)]) : that
    (u E S) & \sim (u = thelaw)
    (S)))]
line6 : [(hyp1_1 : that u E prime
    (S)) \Rightarrow (--- : that (u E S) & ~ (u = thelaw
    (S)))]
{move 3}
>>> open
```

```
{move 5}
   >>> declare hyp4 that u E Usc \setminus
       (thelaw S)
   hyp4 : that u E Usc (thelaw
    (S))
   {move 5}
  >>> define line8 hyp4 : Mp \
       (Inusc1 hyp4, Simp2 hyp2)
   line8 : [(hyp4_1 : that
       u E Usc (thelaw (S))) =>
       ({def} Inusc1 (hyp4_1) Mp
       Simp2 (hyp2) : that ??)]
   line8 : [(hyp4_1 : that
       u E Usc (thelaw (S))) =>
       (--- : that ??)]
   {move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line9 hyp2 : Conj \
    (Simp1 hyp2, Negintro line8)
```

```
line9 : [(hyp2_1 : that (u E S) & ~ (u = thelaw)]
    (S)) =>
    ({def} Simp1 (hyp2_1) Conj
    Negintro ([(hyp4_3 : that
       u E Usc (thelaw (S))) =>
       ({def} Inusc1 (hyp4_3) Mp
       Simp2 (hyp2_1) : that
       ??)]) : that (u E S) & ~ (u E Usc
    (thelaw (S))))]
line9 : [(hyp2_1 : that (u E S) & \sim (u = thelaw
    (S))) => (--- : that
    (u E S) & \tilde{} (u E Usc (thelaw
    (S))))]
{move 3}
>>> define line10 hyp2 : Iff2 \
    (line9 hyp2, Ui (u, Compax \
    (S, Usc (thelaw S))))
line10 : [(hyp2_1 : that (u E S) \& ~ (u = thelaw)]
    (S))) =>
    ({def} line9 (hyp2_1) Iff2
    u Ui S Compax Usc (thelaw
    (S)) : that u E S Complement
    Usc (thelaw (S)))]
line10 : [(hyp2_1 : that (u E S) \& ~ (u = thelaw)]
    (S))) \Rightarrow (--- : that
    u E S Complement Usc (thelaw
    (S)))]
```

```
{move 3}
   >>> close
{move 3}
>>> define bothways u : Dediff line6, line10
bothways : [(u_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Dediff ([(hyp1_7 : that
       u_1 \to prime(S) =>
       ({def} Simp1 (hyp1_7 Iff1
       u_1 Ui S Compax Usc (thelaw
       (S))) Conj Negintro ([(hyp3_9
          : that u_1 = thelaw(S) =>
          ({def} Subs (Eqsymm (hyp3_9), [(v_11
             : obj) =>
             ({def} v_11 E Usc (thelaw
             (S)) : prop)], Inusc2
          (thelaw (S))) Mp Simp2
          (hyp1_7 Iff1 u_1 Ui S Compax
         Usc (thelaw (S))) : that
          ??)]) : that (u_1 E S) \& ~ (u_1
       = thelaw (S)))], [(hyp2_7
       : that (u_1 E S) \& ~(u_1
       = thelaw (S))) =>
       ({def} Simp1 (hyp2_7) Conj
       Negintro ([(hyp4_10 : that
         u_1 E Usc (thelaw (S))) =>
          ({def} Inusc1 (hyp4_10) Mp
         Simp2 (hyp2_7) : that
          Usc (thelaw (S)) : that
       u_1 E S Complement Usc (thelaw
       (S)))]) : that (u_1
```

```
= thelaw (S)))]
  bothways : [(u_1 : obj) => (---
       : that (u_1 E prime (S)) ==
       (u_1 E S) & (u_1 = thelaw)
       (S)))]
   {move 2}
  >>> close
{move 2}
>>> define primeax subsetev : Ug bothways
primeax : [(.S_1 : obj), (subsetev_1
    : that .S_1 \ll M) \Rightarrow
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(u_3:obj)=>
       ({def} Dediff ([(hyp1_4 : that
          u_3 \to prime (.S_1)) \Rightarrow
          ({def} Simp1 (hyp1_4 Iff1
          u_3 Ui .S_1 Compax Usc (thelaw
          (.S_1))) Conj Negintro
          ([(hyp3_6 : that u_3 = thelaw
             (.S_1)) =>
             ({def} Subs (Eqsymm (hyp3_6), [(v_8
                : obj) =>
                ({def} v_8 E Usc (thelaw
                (.S_1)) : prop)], Inusc2
             (thelaw (.S_1))) Mp
            Simp2 (hyp1_4 Iff1 u_3
            Ui .S_1 Compax Usc (thelaw
             (.S_1)) : that ??)]) : that
```

```
(u_3 E .S_1) & (u_3 = thelaw)
                (.S_1)), [(hyp2_4
                : that (u_3 E .S_1) & (u_3
                = thelaw (.S_1))) =>
                ({def} Simp1 (hyp2_4) Conj
                Negintro ([(hyp4_7 : that
                   u_3 \in Usc (thelaw (.S_1))) \Rightarrow
                   ({def} Inusc1 (hyp4_7) Mp
                   Simp2 (hyp2_4) : that
                   ??)]) Iff2 u_3 Ui .S_1
                Compax Usc (thelaw (.S_1)) : that
                u_3 \ E \ .S_1 \ Complement \ Usc
                (thelaw (.S_1))))): that
             (u_3 E prime (.S_1)) == (u_3
             E .S_1) & ~(u_3 = thelaw (.S_1)))) : that
          Forall ([(x'_12 : obj) =>
             ({def} (x'_12 E prime (.S_1)) ==
             (x'_12 E .S_1) & ~(x'_12)
             = thelaw (.S_1)) : prop)]))]
      primeax : [(.S_1 : obj), (subsetev_1)]
          : that .S_1 \ll M => (--- : that
          Forall ([(x'_12 : obj) =>
             ({def} (x'_12 E prime (.S_1)) ==
             (x'_12 E .S_1) & ~(x'_12)
             = thelaw (.S_1)) : prop)]))]
      {move 1}
      >>> close
   {move 1}
end Lestrade execution
```

Now we are ready to define the central concept of this central argument,

the idea of a Θ -chain. The definition of a Θ -chain has four clauses, and there are a tiresome number of occurrences in this document of proofs of the four statements required to show that a particular set is a Θ -chain.

```
begin Lestrade execution
   >>> declare C11 obj
   C11 : obj
   {move 1}
   >>> declare D11 obj
   D11 : obj
   {move 1}
   >>> declare F11 obj
   F11 : obj
   {move 1}
   >>> define thetachain1 M thelaw, C11 \setminus
        : (M E C11) & (C11 <<= Sc (M)) & Forall \setminus
        [D11 => (D11 E C11) -> (prime D11) E C11] & Forall \setminus
        [D11 => Forall [F11 => ((D11 <<= C11) & (F11 \setminus
              E D11)) -> (Intersection D11 \
              F11) E C11]]
```

```
thetachain1 : [(M_1 : obj), (thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) => (--- : obj)]), (C11_3)
    : obj) =>
    (\{def\}\ (M_1\ E\ C11_3)\ \&\ (C11_3\ <<=
    Sc (M_1)) & Forall ([(D11_8 : obj) =>
        ({def}) (D11_8 E C11_3) \rightarrow prime2
        (thelaw_1, D11_8) E C11_3 : prop)]) & Forall
    ([(D11_8 : obj) =>
        ({def} Forall ([(F11_9 : obj) =>
           (\{def\} ((D11_8 <<= C11_3) \& F11_9)
           E D11_8) -> (D11_8 Intersection
           F11_9) E C11_3 : prop)]) : prop)]) : prop)]
\label{eq:thetachain1} \ : \ [(\texttt{M\_1} \ : \ \texttt{obj}) \, , \ (\texttt{thelaw\_1}
    : [(S_2 : obj) => (--- : obj)]), (C11_3)
    : obj) => (--- : prop)]
{move 0}
>>> open
   {move 2}
   >>> declare C obj
   C : obj
   {move 2}
   >>> declare D obj
```

```
D : obj
{move 2}
>>> declare F obj
F : obj
{move 2}
>>> define thetachain C : thetachain1 \setminus
    M thelaw, C
thetachain : [(C_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} thetachain1 (M, thelaw, C_1) : prop)]
thetachain : [(C_1 : obj) => (---
    : prop)]
{move 1}
>>> declare thetaev that thetachain \
    С
thetaev : that thetachain (C)
{move 2}
>>> declare G obj
```

```
G : obj
{move 2}
>>> declare ginev that G E C
ginev : that G E C
{move 2}
>>> define Setsinchains1 thetaev ginev \
    : Simp1 (Simp2 (Iff1 (Mp (ginev, Ui \
    (G, Simp1 (Simp1 (Simp2 thetaev)))), Ui \
    G Scthm M)))
Setsinchains1 : [(.C_1 : obj), (thetaev_1
    : that thetachain (.C_1), (.G_1)
    : obj), (ginev_1 : that .G_1
    E .C_1) =>
    ({def} Simp1 (Simp2 (ginev_1
    Mp .G_1 Ui Simp1 (Simp1 (Simp2
    (thetaev_1))) Iff1 .G_1 Ui Scthm
    (M))) : that Isset (.G_1))]
Setsinchains1 : [(.C_1 : obj), (thetaev_1
    : that thetachain (.C_1)), (.G_1
    : obj), (ginev_1 : that .G_1
    E .C_1) \Rightarrow (--- : that Isset (.G_1))]
{move 1}
```

```
>>> save
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 1}
>>> declare C10 obj
C10 : obj
{move 1}
>>> declare thetaev10 that thetachain \setminus
    C10
thetaev10 : that thetachain (C10)
{move 1}
>>> declare G10 obj
G10 : obj
{move 1}
>>> declare ginev10 that G10 E C10 \,
```

```
ginev10 : that G10 E C10
{move 1}
>>> define Setsinchains2 Misset thelawchooses, thetaev10 \
    ginev10 : Setsinchains1 thetaev10 ginev10
Setsinchains2 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
       .S_2 <<= .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          ({def} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]), (.C10_3)
    : obj), (thetaev10_3 : that thetachain1
    (.M_1, .thelaw_1, .C10_3)), (.G10_3
    : obj), (ginev10_3 : that .G10_3
    E .C10_3) =>
    ({def} Simp1 (Simp2 (ginev10_3 Mp
    .G10_3 Ui Simp1 (Simp1 (Simp2 (thetaev10_3))) Iff1
    .G10_3 Ui Scthm (.M_1)) : that
    Isset (.G10_3))]
Setsinchains2 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
       .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          ({def} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]), (.C10_3)
    : obj), (thetaev10_3 : that thetachain1
    (.M_1, .thelaw_1, .C10_3)), (.G10_3
    : obj), (ginev10_3 : that .G10_3
```

```
E .C10_3) \Rightarrow (--- : that Isset (.G10_3))]
   {move 0}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> define Setsinchains thetaev ginev \
           : Setsinchains2 Misset, thelawchooses, thetaev \
          ginev
      Setsinchains : [(.C_1 : obj), (thetaev_1)]
          : that thetachain (.C_1)), (.G_1
          : obj), (ginev_1 : that .G_1
          E .C_1) \Rightarrow
          ({def} Setsinchains2 (Misset, thelawchooses, thetaev_1, ginev_1) : th
          Isset (.G_1))]
      Setsinchains : [(.C_1 : obj), (thetaev_1
          : that thetachain (.C_1)), (.G_1
          : obj), (ginev_1 : that .G_1
          E .C_1) \Rightarrow (--- : that Isset (.G_1))]
      {move 1}
      >>> close
   {move 1}
end Lestrade execution
```

We did some extra work to ensure that thetachain is defined in terms

of a notion at move 0 which will not be expanded everywhere it occurs.

We then proved that each element of a Θ -chain is a set and again did work to control definitional expansion.

We then need to prove that $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a Θ -chain.

```
begin Lestrade execution
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> open
         {move 3}
         >>> define line1 : Misset Mp Ui \
             M Inownpowerset
         line1 : Misset Mp M Ui Inownpowerset
         line1: that M E Sc (M)
         {move 2}
         >>> define line2 : (Misset Mp Ui \
             M Scofsetisset) Mp Ui Sc M Subsetrefl
         line2 : Misset Mp M Ui Scofsetisset
          Mp Sc (M) Ui Subsetrefl
```

line2 : that Sc (M) <<= Sc (M)</pre>

{move 2}
end Lestrade execution

The first two lines establish the first two of the four points needed to show that $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a Θ -chain.

begin Lestrade execution

>>> open

{move 4}

>>> declare u obj

u : obj

{move 4}

>>> open

{move 5}

>>> declare usinev that u E Sc \setminus M

usinev : that u E Sc (M)

{move 5}

```
>>> define line3 : Fixform \
    (Isset (prime u), Separation3 \
    (Refleq prime u))

line3 : [
    ({def} Isset (prime (u)) Fixform
    Separation3 (Refleq (prime
    (u))) : that Isset
    (prime (u)))]

line3 : that Isset (prime
    (u))
```

{move 4}
end Lestrade execution

Note the devious use of Separation3 to avoid having to write out the defining predicate of the prime operation. The use of the axiom Separation2 is essential: the result of applying the prime operation might be empty, and we do want it to be a set.

begin Lestrade execution

```
line4 : [(usinev_1 : that
    u \in Sc (M)) \Rightarrow (---
    : that Isset (u))]
{move 4}
>>> open
   {move 6}
   >>> declare v obj
   v : obj
   {move 6}
   >>> open
      {move 7}
      >>> declare vinev that \
          v E prime u
      vinev : that v E prime
       (u)
      {move 7}
      >>> define line5 : Ui \
```

```
v primeax (Iff1 (usinev, Ui \
    u Scthm M))
line5 : v Ui primeax
 (usinev Iff1 u Ui Scthm
 (M))
line5 : that (v E prime
 (u)) == (v E u) & (v = thelaw)
 (u))
{move 6}
>>> define line6 vinev \
    : Simp1 (Iff1 (vinev, line5))
line6 : [(vinev_1
   : that v E prime
    (u)) =>
    ({def} Simp1 (vinev_1
    Iff1 line5) : that
    v E u)]
line6 : [(vinev_1
    : that v E prime
    (u)) => (---
    : that v E u)]
{move 6}
>>> define line7 vinev \
    : Mp line6 vinev (Ui \
```

```
u Scthm M)))
   line7 : [(vinev_1
       : that v E prime
       (u)) =>
       ({def} line6 (vinev_1) Mp
       v Ui Simp1 (usinev
       Iff1 u Ui Scthm (M)) : that
       v E M)]
   line7 : [(vinev_1
       : that v E prime
       (u)) => (---
       : that v E M)]
   {move 6}
   >>> close
{move 6}
>>> define line8 v : Ded \
    line7
line8 : [(v_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(vinev_9
       : that v_1 E prime
       (u)) =>
       ({def} Simp1 (vinev_9
       Iff1 v_1 Ui primeax
       (usinev Iff1 u Ui
       Scthm (M))) Mp
```

v Simp1 (Iff1 (usinev, Ui \

```
v_1 Ui Simp1 (usinev
          Iff1 u Ui Scthm (M)) : that
          v_1 E M)]) : that
       (v_1 E prime (u)) ->
       v_1 E M)]
   line8 : [(v_1 : obj) =>
       (---: that (v_1 E prime)
       (u)) \rightarrow v_1 E M)
   {move 5}
   >>> close
{move 5}
>>> define line9 usinev : Ug \setminus
    line8
line9 : [(usinev_1 : that
    u \in Sc (M) =>
    ({def} \ Ug \ ([(v_3 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(vinev_4
           : that v_3 E prime
           (u)) =>
           ({def} Simp1 (vinev_4
           Iff1 v_3 Ui primeax
           (usinev_1 Iff1 u Ui
           Scthm (M))) Mp
          v_3 Ui Simp1 (usinev_1
           Iff1 u Ui Scthm (M)) : that
          v_3 E M)]) : that
       (v_3 E prime (u)) \rightarrow
       v_3 E M)]) : that
```

```
Forall ([(x'_13 : obj) =>
       ({def}) (x'_13 E prime
       (u)) -> x'_13 E M : prop)]))]
line9 : [(usinev_1 : that
    u \in Sc (M) = (---
    : that Forall ([(x'_13
       : obj) =>
       (\{def\}\ (x'_13 \ E \ prime
       (u)) \rightarrow x'_13 E M : prop)]))]
{move 4}
>>> define line10 usinev : Fixform \
    ((prime u) <<= M, Conj \
    (line9 usinev, Conj (line3, Misset)))
line10 : [(usinev_1 : that
    u E Sc (M)) =>
    ({def} (prime (u) <<=
    M) Fixform line9 (usinev_1) Conj
    line3 Conj Misset : that
    prime (u) <<= M)]</pre>
line10 : [(usinev_1 : that
    u \in Sc (M) = (---
    : that prime (u) <<=
    [(M)]
{move 4}
>>> define line11 usinev : Iff2 \
    (line10 usinev, Ui (prime \
```

```
line11 : [(usinev_1 : that
       u \in Sc(M) =>
       ({def} line10 (usinev_1) Iff2
       prime (u) Ui Scthm (M) : that
       prime (u) E Sc (M))]
   line11 : [(usinev_1 : that
       u \in Sc (M) = (---
       : that prime (u) E Sc
       (M))]
   {move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line12 u : Ded line11
line12 : [(u_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(usinev_7
       : that u_1 \to Sc(M) =>
       ({def}) ((prime (u_1) <<=
       M) Fixform Ug ([(v_11
          : obj) =>
          ({def} Ded ([(vinev_12
             : that v_11 E prime
```

u, Scthm M))

({def} Simp1 (vinev_12
Iff1 v_11 Ui primeax
(usinev_7 Iff1 u_1

(u_1)) =>

```
Ui Scthm (M))) Mp
                 v_11 Ui Simp1 (usinev_7
                 Iff1 u_1 Ui Scthm
                 (M)) : that v_11
                 E M)]) : that
              (v_11 E prime (u_1)) \rightarrow
              v_11 E M)]) Conj
          (Isset (prime (u_1)) Fixform
          Separation3 (Refleq (prime
          (u_1)))) Conj Misset) Iff2
          prime (u_1) Ui Scthm
          (M): that prime (u_1) E Sc
          (M))]) : that (u_1)
       E Sc (M)) \rightarrow prime (u_1) E Sc
       [(M)]
   line12 : [(u_1 : obj) => (---
       : that (u_1 E Sc (M)) \rightarrow
       prime (u_1) \to Sc(M)
   {move 3}
   >>> close
{move 3}
>>> define line13 : Ug line12
line13 : Ug ([(u_3 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(usinev_4 : that
       u_3 E Sc (M) =>
       (\{def\} ((prime (u_3) <<=
       M) Fixform Ug ([(v_8 : obj) =>
          ({def} Ded ([(vinev_9
```

```
: that v_8 \to prime (u_3)) \Rightarrow
              ({def} Simp1 (vinev_9
              Iff1 v_8 Ui primeax
              (usinev_4 Iff1 u_3
              Ui Scthm (M))) Mp
              v_8 Ui Simp1 (usinev_4
              Iff1 u_3 Ui Scthm (M)) : that
              v_8 E M)]) : that
           (v_8 E prime (u_3)) \rightarrow
          v_8 E M)]) Conj (Isset
        (prime (u_3)) Fixform
       Separation3 (Refleq (prime
       (u_3)))) Conj Misset) Iff2
       prime (u_3) Ui Scthm (M): that
       prime (u_3) \to Sc(M): that
    (u_3 E Sc (M)) \rightarrow prime (u_3) E Sc
    (M))
line13: that Forall ([(x'_16)]
    : obj) =>
    ({def} (x'_16 E Sc (M)) \rightarrow
    prime (x'_16) E Sc (M) : prop)])
```

{move 2}
end Lestrade execution

Here is the third statement needed to verify that $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a Θ -chain.

begin Lestrade execution

>>> open

{move 4}

```
>>> declare u obj
u : obj
{move 4}
>>> open
   {move 5}
   >>> declare v obj
   v : obj
   {move 5}
   >>> open
      {move 6}
      >>> declare hyp that (u <<= \
          Sc M) & v E u
      hyp : that (u <<= Sc (M)) & v E u
      {move 6}
      >>> define line14 hyp : Simp2 \setminus
          hyp Mp Intax u v
```

```
line14 : [(hyp_1 : that
    (u \iff Sc (M)) \& v E u) =>
    ({def} Simp2 (hyp_1) Mp
    u Intax v : that Forall
    ([(x','_2 : obj) =>
       (\{def\}\ (x''_2 \to u \ Intersection
       v) == Forall ([(B1_4
          : obj) =>
          ({def}) (B1_4
          E u) -> x''_2
          E B1_4 : prop)]) : prop)]))]
line14 : [(hyp_1 : that
    (u \iff Sc (M)) \& v E u) =>
    (--- : that Forall
    ([(x','_2 : obj) =>
       (\{def\}\ (x''_2 \to u \ Intersection
       v) == Forall ([(B1_4)])
          : obj) =>
           ({def}) (B1_4
          E u) -> x''_2
          E B1_4 : prop)]) : prop)]))]
{move 5}
>>> open
   {move 7}
   >>> declare w obj
   w : obj
```

```
{move 7}
>>> open
   {move 8}
   >>> declare hyp2 \
       that w E Intersection \
       u v
   hyp2 : that w E u Intersection
   {move 8}
   >>> define line15 \
      hyp2 : Mp (Simp2 \
      hyp, Ui v (Iff1 \
       (hyp2, Ui w line14 \
       hyp)))
   line15 : [(hyp2_1
       : that w E u Intersection
       v) =>
       ({def} Simp2
       (hyp) Mp v Ui
       hyp2_1 Iff1 w Ui
       line14 (hyp) : that
       w E v)]
   line15 : [(hyp2_1
       : that w E u Intersection
```

```
v) \Rightarrow (--- : that
    w E v)]
{move 7}
>>> define line16 \
    : Mp (Simp2 hyp, Ui \
    v Simp1 (Simp1 hyp))
line16 : Simp2 (hyp) Mp
v Ui Simp1 (Simp1
 (hyp))
line16 : that v E Sc
 (M)
{move 7}
>>> define line17 \setminus
    : Iff1 (line16, Ui \setminus
    v Scthm M)
line17 : [
    ({def} line16
    Iff1 v Ui Scthm
    (M) : that v <<=
    M)]
line17 : that v <<=
 М
```

```
{move 7}
   >>> define line18 \
       hyp2 : Mp (line15 \
       hyp2, Ui w Simp1 \
       line17)
   line18 : [(hyp2_1
       : that w E u Intersection
       v) =>
       ({def} line15
       (hyp2_1) Mp
       w Ui Simp1 (line17) : that
       w E M)]
   line18 : [(hyp2_1
       : that w E u Intersection
       v) => (--- : that
       w E M)]
   {move 7}
   >>> close
{move 7}
>>> define line19 w : Ded \setminus
    line18
line19 : [(w_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(hyp2_11
       : that w_1 E u Intersection
       v) =>
```

```
({def} Simp2
          (hyp) Mp v Ui
          hyp2_11 Iff1 w_1
          Ui line14 (hyp) Mp
          w_1 Ui Simp1 (Simp2
          (hyp) Mp v Ui
          Simp1 (Simp1
          (hyp)) Iff1
          v Ui Scthm (M)) : that
          w_1 E M)]) : that
       (w_1 E u Intersection
       v) -> w_1 E M)]
   line19 : [(w_1 : obj) =>
       (---: that (w_1)
       E u Intersection
       v) -> w_1 E M)]
   {move 6}
   >>> close
{move 6}
>>> define line20 hyp : Ug \setminus
    line19
line20 : [(hyp_1 : that
    (u <<= Sc (M)) & v E u) =>
    ({def} Ug ([(w_3
       : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(hyp2_4
          : that w_3 E u Intersection
          v) =>
             41
```

```
({def} Simp2
          (hyp_1) Mp v Ui
          hyp2_4 Iff1 w_3
          Ui line14 (hyp_1) Mp
          w_3 Ui Simp1 (Simp2
          (hyp_1) Mp v Ui
          Simp1 (Simp1
          (hyp_1)) Iff1
          v Ui Scthm (M)) : that
          w_3 E M)]) : that
       (w_3 E u Intersection
       v) \rightarrow w_3 E M)]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_14 : obj) =>
       (\{def\}\ (x'_14\ E\ u\ Intersection
       v) -> x'_14 E M : prop)]))]
line20 : [(hyp_1 : that
    (u <<= Sc (M)) & v E u) =>
    (--- : that Forall
    ([(x'_14 : obj) =>
       (\{def\}\ (x'_14\ E\ u\ Intersection
       v) -> x'_14 E M : prop)]))]
{move 5}
>>> define line21 : Fixform \
    (Isset (Intersection \
    u v), Separation3 (Refleq \
    (Intersection u v)))
line21 : [
    ({def} Isset (u Intersection
    v) Fixform Separation3
    (Refleq (u Intersection
    v)) : that Isset (u Intersection
```

```
v))]
```

```
line21 : that Isset (u Intersection
 v)
{move 5}
>>> define line22 hyp : Fixform \
    ((Intersection u v) <<= \
    M, Conj (line20 hyp, Conj \
    (line21, Misset)))
line22 : [(hyp_1 : that
    (u <<= Sc (M)) & v E u) =>
    ({def} ((u Intersection
    v) <<= M) Fixform
    line20 (hyp_1) Conj
    line21 Conj Misset : that
    (u Intersection v) <<=
    [(M)]
line22 : [(hyp_1 : that
    (u <<= Sc (M)) & v E u) =>
    (--- : that (u Intersection
    V) <<= M)
{move 5}
>>> define line23 hyp : Iff2 \setminus
    (line22 hyp, Ui (Intersection \
    u v, Scthm M))
```

```
line23 : [(hyp_1 : that
       (u \iff Sc (M)) \& v E u) =>
       ({def} line22 (hyp_1) Iff2
       (u Intersection v) Ui
       Scthm (M): that (u Intersection
       v) E Sc (M))]
   line23 : [(hyp_1 : that
       (u \iff Sc (M)) \& v E u) =>
       (--- : that (u Intersection
       v) E Sc (M))]
   {move 5}
   >>> close
{move 5}
>>> define line24 v : Ded \setminus
    line23
line24 : [(v_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(hyp_9
       : that (u \leq\leq Sc (M)) & v_1
       E u) =>
       ({def} (((u Intersection
       v_1) \ll M Fixform
       Ug ([(w_13 : obj) =>
          ({def} Ded ([(hyp2_14
              : that w_13 E u Intersection
             v_1) =>
              ({def} Simp2
              (hyp_9) Mp v_1
             Ui hyp2_14 Iff1
```

```
w_13 Ui Simp2
                 (hyp_9) Mp u Intax
                 v_1 Mp w_13 Ui
                 Simp1 (Simp2
                 (hyp_9) Mp v_1
                 Ui Simp1 (Simp1
                 (hyp_9)) Iff1
                 v_1 Ui Scthm (M)) : that
                 w_13 E M)]) : that
              (w_13 E u Intersection
              v_1) \rightarrow w_13 E M) Conj
           (Isset (u Intersection
           v_1) Fixform Separation3
           (Refleq (u Intersection
           v_1))) Conj Misset) Iff2
           (u Intersection v_1) Ui
           {\tt Scthm}\ ({\tt M})\ :\ {\tt that}\ ({\tt u}\ {\tt Intersection}
           v_1) \to Sc(M)) : that
       ((u \le Sc (M)) \& v_1
       E u) -> (u Intersection
       v_1) E Sc (M))]
   line24 : [(v_1 : obj) =>
       (--- : that ((u <<=
       Sc (M)) & v_1 E u) ->
       (u Intersection v_1) E Sc
       [(M)]
   {move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line25 u : Ug line24
```

```
line25 : [(u_1 : obj) =>
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(v_3 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(hyp_4
          : that (u_1 \le Sc
          (M)) & v_3 E u_1) =>
          ({def} (((u_1 Intersection
          v_3) <<= M) Fixform
          Ug ([(w_8 : obj) =>
             ({def} Ded ([(hyp2_9
                : that w_8 E u_1
                Intersection v_3) =>
                ({def} Simp2
                (hyp_4) Mp v_3
                Ui hyp2_9 Iff1
                w_8 Ui Simp2 (hyp_4) Mp
                u_1 Intax v_3
                Mp w_8 Ui Simp1
                (Simp2 (hyp_4) Mp
                v_3 Ui Simp1 (Simp1
                (hyp_4)) Iff1
                v_3 Ui Scthm (M)) : that
                w_8 E M)]) : that
             (w_8 E u_1 Intersection
             v_3) -> w_8 E M) Conj
          (Isset (u_1 Intersection
          v_3) Fixform Separation3
          (Refleq (u_1 Intersection
          v_3))) Conj Misset) Iff2
          (u_1 Intersection v_3) Ui
          Scthm (M) : that (u_1
          Intersection v_3) E Sc
          (M))]) : that ((u_1
       <= Sc (M)) & v_3 E u_1) ->
       (u_1 Intersection v_3) E Sc
       (M))]) : that Forall
    ([(x'_20 : obj) =>
```

```
({def}) ((u_1 \le Sc
           (M)) & x'_20 E u_1) \rightarrow
           (u_1 Intersection x'_20) E Sc
           (M) : prop)]))]
   line25 : [(u_1 : obj) => (---
        : that Forall ([(x'_20
           : obj) =>
           ({def}) ((u_1 \le Sc
           (M)) & x'_20 E u_1) \rightarrow
           (u_1 Intersection x'_20) E Sc
           (M) : prop)]))]
   {move 3}
   >>> close
{move 3}
>>> define line26 : Ug line25
line26 : Ug ([(u_3 : obj) =>
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(v_4 : obj) =>
        (\{def\}\ Ded\ ([(hyp_5 : that
           (u_3 \ll Sc (M)) \& v_4
           E u_3) =>
           (\{def\} ((\{u_3\} Intersection
           v_4) \ll M Fixform Ug
           ([(w_9 : obj) =>
              ({def} Ded ([(hyp2_10
                 : that w_9 E u_3
                 Intersection v_4) \Rightarrow
                 ({def} Simp2 (hyp_5) Mp
                 v_4 Ui hyp2_10 Iff1
```

```
u_3 Intax v_4 Mp
                 w_9 Ui Simp1 (Simp2
                 (hyp_5) Mp v_4
                 Ui Simp1 (Simp1
                 (hyp_5)) Iff1
                 v_4 Ui Scthm (M)) : that
                 w_9 E M)]) : that
              (w_9 E u_3 Intersection
              v_4) \rightarrow w_9 E M) Conj
           (Isset (u_3 Intersection
          v_4) Fixform Separation3
           (Refleq (u_3 Intersection
          v_4))) Conj Misset) Iff2
           (u_3 Intersection v_4) Ui
          Scthm (M): that (u_3)
          Intersection v_4) E Sc
           (M))]) : that ((u_3)
       <<= Sc (M)) & v_4 E u_3) ->
       (u_3 Intersection v_4) E Sc
       (M))]) : that Forall
    ([(x'_4 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} ((u_3 \iff Sc (M)) \& x'_4)
       E u_3) \rightarrow (u_3 Intersection)
       x'_4) E Sc (M) : prop)]))])
line26 : that Forall ([(x'_19)]
    : obj) =>
    (\{def\} Forall ([(x'_20 : obj) =>
       ({def}) ((x'_19 \ll Sc (M)) & x'_20
       E x'_19) \rightarrow (x'_19 Intersection)
       x'_20) E Sc (M) : prop)]) : prop)])
```

w_9 Ui Simp2 (hyp_5) Mp

{move 2}
end Lestrade execution

Here is the fourth and last statement needed to verify that the power set of M is a Θ -chain.

```
begin Lestrade execution
```

```
>>> close
{move 2}
>>> define thetascm1 : Fixform (thetachain \
    (Sc M), Conj (line1, Conj (line2, Conj \
    (line13, line26))))
thetascm1 : [
    ({def} thetachain (Sc (M)) Fixform
    Misset Mp M Ui Inownpowerset Conj
    Misset Mp M Ui Scofsetisset Mp Sc
    (M) Ui Subsetrefl Conj Ug ([(u_11
       : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(usinev_12 : that
          u_11 E Sc (M) =>
          (\{def\} ((prime (u_11) <<=
          M) Fixform Ug ([(v_16
             : obj) =>
             ({def} Ded ([(vinev_17
                : that v_16 E prime
                (u_11)) =>
                ({def} Simp1 (vinev_17
                Iff1 v_16 Ui primeax
                (usinev_12 Iff1 u_11
                Ui Scthm (M))) Mp
                v_16 Ui Simp1 (usinev_12
                Iff1 u_11 Ui Scthm (M)) : that
                v_16 E M)]) : that
             (v_16 E prime (u_11)) \rightarrow
```

```
v_16 E M)]) Conj (Isset
      (prime (u_11)) Fixform
      Separation3 (Refleq (prime
      (u_11)))) Conj Misset) Iff2
      prime (u_11) Ui Scthm (M) : that
      prime (u_11) \to Sc(M)): that
   (u_11 E Sc (M)) \rightarrow prime
   (u_11) E Sc (M))]) Conj
Ug ([(u_11 : obj) =>
   ({def}) Ug ([(v_12 : obj) =>
      ({def} Ded ([(hyp_13 : that
         (u_11 \le Sc (M)) \& v_12
         E u_11) =>
         ({def} (((u_11 Intersection
         v_12) <<= M) Fixform
         Ug ([(w_17 : obj) =>
            ({def} Ded ([(hyp2_18
                : that w_17 E u_11
               Intersection v_12) =>
               ({def} Simp2 (hyp_13) Mp
               v_12 Ui hyp2_18 Iff1
               w_17 Ui Simp2 (hyp_13) Mp
               u_11 Intax v_12 Mp
               w_17 Ui Simp1 (Simp2
               (hyp_13) Mp v_12
               Ui Simp1 (Simp1
               (hyp_13)) Iff1
               v_12 Ui Scthm (M)) : that
               w_17 E M)]) : that
            (w_17 E u_11 Intersection
            v_12) \rightarrow w_17 E M) Conj
         (Isset (u_11 Intersection
         v_12) Fixform Separation3
         (Refleq (u_11 Intersection
         v_12))) Conj Misset) Iff2
         (u_11 Intersection v_12) Ui
         Scthm (M): that (u_11
         Intersection v_12) E Sc
```

```
(M))]) : that ((u_11
             <= Sc (M)) & v_12 E u_11) ->
             (u_11 Intersection v_12) E Sc
             (M))]) : that Forall
          ([(x'_12 : obj) =>
             ({def}) ((u_11 \le Sc (M)) & x'_12
             E u_11) \rightarrow (u_11 Intersection)
             x'_12) E Sc (M) : prop)]))]) : that
       thetachain (Sc (M)))]
   thetascm1 : that thetachain (Sc (M))
   {move 1}
   >>> close
{move 1}
>>> define thetascm2 Misset thelawchooses \
    : thetascm1
thetascm2 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1)]
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that)]
       .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          ({def} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]),
    (\{let\} .prime_1 : [(S_2 : obj) =>
       ({def} prime2 (.thelaw_1, S_2) : obj)]),
    (\{let\} .thetachain_3 : [(C_13 : obj) =>
       ({def} thetachain1 (.M_1, .thelaw_1, C_13) : prop)]) =>
    ({def} .thetachain_3 (Sc (.M_1)) Fixform
```

```
Misset_1 Mp .M_1 Ui Inownpowerset Conj
Misset_1 Mp .M_1 Ui Scofsetisset Mp
Sc (.M_1) Ui Subsetrefl Conj Ug ([(u_17
   : obj) =>
   ({def} Ded ([(usinev_18 : that
      u_17 E Sc (.M_1)) =>
      ({def}) ((.prime_1 (u_17) <<=
      .M_1) Fixform Ug ([(v_22
         : obj) =>
         ({def} Ded ([(vinev_23
            : that v_22 E .prime_1
            (u_17)) =>
            ({def} Simp1 (vinev_23
            Iff1 v_22 Ui Ug ([(u_32
               : obj) =>
               ({def} Dediff ([(hyp1_33
                   : that u_32 E .prime_1
                   (u_17)) =>
                   ({def} Simp1 (hyp1_33)
                  Iff1 u_32 Ui u_17
                  Compax Usc (.thelaw_1
                   (u_17))) Conj
                  Negintro ([(hyp3_35
                      : that u_32 = .thelaw_1
                      (u_17)) =>
                      ({def} Subs (Eqsymm
                      (hyp3_35), [(v_37)
                         : obj) =>
                         (\{def}\ v_37
                         E Usc (.thelaw_1
                         (u_17)) : prop)], Inusc2
                      (.thelaw_1 (u_17))) Mp
                     Simp2 (hyp1_33
                      Iff1 u_32 Ui u_17
                      Compax Usc (.thelaw_1
                      (u_17)) : that
                      ??)]) : that
                   (u_32 E u_17) \& ~ (u_32)
```

```
= .thelaw_1 (u_17))], [(hyp2_33)
                   : that (u_32 E u_17) & \tilde{} (u_32
                   = .thelaw_1 (u_17))) =>
                   ({def} Simp1 (hyp2_33) Conj
                   Negintro ([(hyp4_36
                      : that u_32 E Usc
                      (.thelaw_1 (u_17))) =>
                      ({def} Inusc1
                      (hyp4_36) Mp
                      Simp2 (hyp2_33) : that
                      ??)]) Iff2
                   u_32 Ui u_17 Compax
                   Usc (.thelaw_1 (u_17)) : that
                   u_32 \to u_17  Complement
                   Usc (.thelaw_1 (u_17))): that
                (u_32 E .prime_1 (u_17)) ==
                (u_32 E u_17) \& ~(u_32)
                = .thelaw_1 (u_17))))))) Mp
            v_22 Ui Simp1 (usinev_18
            Iff1 u_17 Ui Scthm (.M_1): that
            v_{22} E .M_{1}) : that
         (v_22 E .prime_1 (u_17)) \rightarrow
         v_22 E .M_1)]) Conj (Isset
      (.prime_1 (u_17)) Fixform
      Separation3 (Refleq (.prime_1
      (u_17)))) Conj Misset_1) Iff2
      .prime_1 (u_17) Ui Scthm (.M_1): that
      .prime_1 (u_17) E Sc (.M_1))]) : that
   (u_17 E Sc (.M_1)) \rightarrow .prime_1
   (u_17) E Sc (.M_1))]) Conj
Ug ([(u_17 : obj) =>
   (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(v_18 : obj) =>
      ({def} Ded ([(hyp_19 : that
         (u_17 \ll Sc (.M_1)) \& v_18
         E u_17) =>
         ({def} (((u_17 Intersection
         v_18) <<= .M_1) Fixform
         Ug ([(w_23 : obj) =>
```

```
: that w_23 E u_17 Intersection
                   v_18) =>
                   ({def} Simp2 (hyp_19) Mp
                   v_18 Ui hyp2_24 Iff1
                   w_23 Ui Simp2 (hyp_19) Mp
                   u_17 Intax v_18 Mp w_23
                   Ui Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp_19) Mp
                   v_18 Ui Simp1 (Simp1
                   (hyp_19)) Iff1 v_18
                   Ui Scthm (.M_1): that
                   w_23 E .M_1)): that
                (w_23 E u_17 Intersection)
                v_18) -> w_23 E .M_1)]) Conj
             (Isset (u_17 Intersection
             v_18) Fixform Separation3
             (Refleq (u_17 Intersection
             v_18))) Conj Misset_1) Iff2
             (u_17 Intersection v_18) Ui
             Scthm (.M_1): that (u_17)
             Intersection v_18) E Sc (.M_1))]) : that
          ((u_17 \ll Sc (.M_1)) \& v_18
          E u_17) -> (u_17 Intersection)
          v_18) E Sc (.M_1))]) : that
       Forall ([(x'_18 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} ((u_17 \iff Sc (.M_1)) \& x'_18)
          E u_17) -> (u_17 Intersection)
          x'_18) E Sc (.M_1) : prop)]))]) : that
    .thetachain_3 (Sc (.M_1)))]
thetascm2 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
       .S_2 <<= .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          ({def} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
```

({def} Ded ([(hyp2_24

```
(---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]),
       (\{let\} .prime_1 : [(S_2 : obj) =>
          ({def} prime2 (.thelaw_1, S_2) : obj)]),
       (\{let\} .thetachain_3 : [(C_13 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} thetachain1 (.M_1, .thelaw_1, C_13) : prop)]) =>
       (--- : that .thetachain_3 (Sc (.M_1)))]
   {move 0}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> define thetascm : thetascm2 Misset, thelawchooses
      thetascm : [
          ({def} Misset thetascm2 thelawchooses
          : that thetachain1 (M, [(S''_2
             : obj) =>
             ({def} thelaw (S''_2) : obj)], Sc
          (M))
      thetascm : that thetachain1 (M, [(S''_2
          : obj) =>
          ({def} thelaw (S''_2) : obj)], Sc
       (M))
      {move 1}
end Lestrade execution
```

Here we have proved that $\mathcal{P}(M)$ is a Θ -chain.

Notice that we take this theorem down to move 0 then bring it back up and define a new move 1 theorem with the same content in terms of the move

0 concept. This prevents future references to this theorem from expanding to the very large term appearing above.

```
begin Lestrade execution
      >>> clearcurrent
{move 2}
      >>> define Thetachain : Set ((Sc \setminus
          (Sc M)), thetachain)
      Thetachain : Sc (Sc (M)) Set thetachain
      Thetachain : obj
      {move 1}
      >>> open
         {move 3}
         >>> declare C obj
         C : obj
         {move 3}
         >>> open
```

```
{move 4}
>>> declare hyp1 that thetachain \
hyp1 : that thetachain (C)
{move 4}
>>> declare hyp2 that C E Thetachain
hyp2 : that C E Thetachain
{move 4}
>>> define line1 hyp1 : Iff2 \setminus
    (Simp1 (Simp2 hyp1), Ui C Scthm \
    Sc M)
line1 : [(hyp1_1 : that thetachain]
    (C)) =>
    ({def} Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_1)) Iff2
    C Ui Scthm (Sc (M)) : that
    C E Sc (Sc (M)))]
line1 : [(hyp1_1 : that thetachain]
    (C)) \Rightarrow (--- : that C E Sc
    (Sc (M)))]
{move 3}
```

```
>>> define line2 hyp1 : Fixform \
    (C E Thetachain, Iff2 (Conj \
    (line1 hyp1, hyp1), Ui (C, Separation \
    ((Sc (Sc M)), thetachain))))
line2 : [(hyp1_1 : that thetachain
    (C)) =>
    ({def} (C E Thetachain) Fixform
    line1 (hyp1_1) Conj hyp1_1
    Iff2 C Ui Sc (Sc (M)) Separation
    thetachain : that C E Thetachain)]
line2 : [(hyp1_1 : that thetachain
    (C)) => (--- : that C E Thetachain)]
{move 3}
>>> define line3 hyp2 : Simp2 \
    (Iff1 (hyp2, Ui (C, Separation \setminus
    ((Sc (Sc M)), thetachain))))
line3 : [(hyp2_1 : that C E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_1 Iff1
    C Ui Sc (Sc (M)) Separation
    thetachain) : that thetachain
    (C))]
line3 : [(hyp2_1 : that C E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that thetachain (C))]
{move 3}
```

>>> close {move 3} >>> define line4 C : Dediff line3, line2 line4 : [(C_1 : obj) => ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_12 : that C_1 E Thetachain) => ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_12 Iff1 C_1 Ui Sc (Sc (M)) Separation thetachain) : that thetachain (C₁))], [(hyp1₁2 : that thetachain (C_1)) => ({def} (C_1 E Thetachain) Fixform Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_12)) Iff2 C_1 Ui Scthm (Sc (M)) Conj hyp1_12 Iff2 C_1 Ui Sc (Sc (M)) Separation thetachain : that C_1 E Thetachain)]) : that (C_1 E Thetachain) == thetachain (C_1) $line4 : [(C_1 : obj) => (---$: that (C_1 E Thetachain) == thetachain (C_1) {move 2}

>>> close

{move 2}

```
>>> define Thetachainax : Ug line4
      Thetachainax : Ug ([(C_3 : obj) =>
          (\{def\}\ Dediff\ ([(hyp2_4 : that
             C_3 E Thetachain) =>
             ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_4 Iff1 C_3
             Ui Sc (Sc (M)) Separation
             thetachain) : that thetachain
             (C_3)], [(hyp1_4 : that
             thetachain (C_3) =>
             ({def} (C_3 E Thetachain) Fixform
             Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_4)) Iff2
             C_3 Ui Scthm (Sc (M)) Conj
             hyp1_4 Iff2 C_3 Ui Sc (Sc (M)) Separation
             thetachain : that C_3 E Thetachain)]) : that
          (C_3 E Thetachain) == thetachain
          (C_3))])
      Thetachainax : that Forall ([(x'_14
          : obj) =>
          (\{def\} (x'_14 E Thetachain) ==
          thetachain (x'_14) : prop)])
      {move 1}
end Lestrade execution
```

We prove that the collection of all Θ -chains is a set, and in particular a subset of $\mathcal{P}^2(M)$.

We now define the Θ -chain which implements the desired well-ordering (though we have to verify subsequently that that is what it is).

```
begin Lestrade execution
```

>>> define Mbold1 : Intersection Thetachain \

 $.S_2 <<= .M_1), (inev_2 : that$

 $(\{def\} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) \Rightarrow (--- : that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]) \Rightarrow$

Exists ($[(x_4 : obj) =>$

Mbold2 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1

: that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1

```
: [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
       : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
          .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
          Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
              (\{def\} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
          (--- : that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]) =>
       (--- : obj)]
   {move 0}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> define Mbold : Mbold2 Misset, thelawchooses
      Mbold : [
          ({def} Misset Mbold2 thelawchooses
          : obj)]
      Mbold : obj
      {move 1}
end Lestrade execution
```

We now have the tedious task of directly verifying that M is a Θ -chain, which Zermelo dismisses as a side remark!

We note that Zermelo's text suggests that we should prove that any intersection of Θ -chains is a Θ -chain, but it appears that the only case of this we need is that **M** itself is a Θ -chain.

begin Lestrade execution

```
>>> clearcurrent
{move 2}
      >>> declare C obj
      C : obj
      {move 2}
      >>> declare D obj
      D : obj
      {move 2}
      >>> open
         {move 3}
         >>> define Mboldax1 : Intax Thetachain \
              Sc M
         Mboldax1 : [
              (\{def\}\ Thetachain\ Intax\ Sc\ (M)\ :\ that
              (Sc (M) E Thetachain) ->
              Forall ([(x', 3 : obj) =>
                 ({def} (x''_3 E Thetachain
                 Intersection Sc (M)) ==
                 Forall ([(B1_5 : obj) =>
                    (\{def\} (B1_5 E Thetachain) \rightarrow
```

```
x''_3 E B1_5 : prop)]) : prop)]))]
Mboldax1 : that (Sc (M) E Thetachain) ->
Forall ([(x', 3 : obj) =>
    ({def} (x'',_3 E Thetachain
    Intersection Sc (M)) == Forall
    ([(B1_5 : obj) =>
       ({def} (B1_5 E Thetachain) ->
       x''_3 E B1_5 : prop)]) : prop)])
{move 2}
>>> define line1 : Ui Sc M Thetachainax
line1 : Sc (M) Ui Thetachainax
line1 : that (Sc (M) E Thetachain) ==
thetachain (Sc (M))
{move 2}
>>> define line2 : Iff2 thetascm \
    line1
line2 : [
    ({def} thetascm Iff2 line1 : that
    Sc (M) E Thetachain)]
line2 : that Sc (M) E Thetachain
```

```
{move 2}
   >>> close
{move 2}
>>> define Mboldax : Fixform (Forall \
    [C \Rightarrow (C E Mbold) == Forall [D \Rightarrow \]
          (D E Thetachain) -> C E D]], Mp \
    line2 Mboldax1)
Mboldax : [
    (\{def\} Forall ([(C_10 : obj) =>
       ({def}) (C_10 E Mbold) == Forall
       ([(D_12 : obj) =>
          ({def} (D_12 E Thetachain) ->
          C_10 E D_12 : prop)]) : prop)]) Fixform
    thetascm Iff2 Sc (M) Ui Thetachainax
    Mp Thetachain Intax Sc (M) : that
    Forall ([(C_9 : obj) =>
       ({def} (C_9 E Mbold) == Forall
       ([(D_11 : obj) =>
          ({def} (D_11 E Thetachain) ->
          C_9 E D_11 : prop)]) : prop)]))]
Mboldax : that Forall ([(C_9 : obj) =>
    (\{def\} (C_9 E Mbold) == Forall
    ([(D_11 : obj) =>
       ({def} (D_11 E Thetachain) ->
       C_9 E D_11 : prop)]) : prop)])
{move 1}
```

end Lestrade execution

Above, we develop the most convenient definition of the extension of **M**. I am not sure it is actually used much (though it is used at least once). I believe that development of **Separation4** caused separation axioms for particular constructions to be used much less.

```
begin Lestrade execution
      >>> clearcurrent
{move 2}
      >>> open
         {move 3}
         >>> declare F obj
         F : obj
         {move 3}
         >>> open
            {move 4}
            >>> declare ftheta that F E Thetachain
            ftheta : that F E Thetachain
            {move 4}
```

```
>>> define line1 ftheta : Iff1 \
       (ftheta, Ui F Thetachainax)
   line1 : [(ftheta_1 : that F E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} ftheta_1 Iff1 F Ui
       Thetachainax : that thetachain
       (F))]
   line1 : [(ftheta_1 : that F E Thetachain) =>
       (---: that thetachain (F))]
   {move 3}
   >>> define line2 ftheta : Simp1 \
       line1 ftheta
   line2 : [(ftheta_1 : that F E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} Simp1 (line1 (ftheta_1)) : that
       M E F)]
   line2 : [(ftheta_1 : that F E Thetachain) =>
       (--- : that M E F)]
   {move 3}
  >>> close
{move 3}
>>> define Linea1 F : Ded line2
```

```
Linea1 : [(F_1 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(ftheta_7 : that
          F_1 E Thetachain) =>
          ({def} Simp1 (ftheta_7 Iff1
          F_1 Ui Thetachainax) : that
          M E F_1)): that (F_1
       E Thetachain) -> M E F_1)]
   Linea1 : [(F_1 : obj) => (---
       : that (F_1 E Thetachain) ->
       M E F_1)]
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 2}
>>> define Lineb1 : Ug Linea1
Lineb1 : Ug([(F_3 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(ftheta_4 : that
       F_3 E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} Simp1 (ftheta_4 Iff1
       F_3 Ui Thetachainax) : that
       M \to F_3)) : that (F_3 \to Thetachain) \rightarrow
    M E F_3)
Lineb1 : that Forall ([(x'_9 : obj) =>
    (\{def\}\ (x'_9 \ E \ Thetachain) \rightarrow
    M E x'_9 : prop)])
```

```
>>> define Line1 : Iff2 (Lineb1, Ui \setminus
           M Mboldax)
      Line1 : [
           ({def} Lineb1 Iff2 M Ui Mboldax
           : that M E Mbold)]
      Line1 : that M E Mbold
      {move 1}
      >>> clearcurrent
{move 2}
end Lestrade execution
   Here is the first component of the proof that {\bf M} is a \Theta-chain.
begin Lestrade execution
      >>> open
          {move 3}
          >>> open
             {move 4}
             >>> declare A obj
```

{move 1}

```
A : obj
{move 4}
>>> open
   {move 5}
   >>> declare ainev that A E Mbold
   ainev : that A E Mbold
   {move 5}
   >>> define line1 ainev : Mp \setminus
        (Iff2 (thetascm, Ui Sc \setminus
       M Thetachainax), Ui Sc M, Iff1 \
        (ainev, Ui A Mboldax))
   line1 : [(ainev_1 : that
       A E Mbold) =>
        ({def} thetascm Iff2 Sc
        (M) Ui Thetachainax Mp
       Sc (M) Ui ainev_1 Iff1
       A Ui Mboldax : that A E Sc
        (M))]
   line1 : [(ainev_1 : that
       A E Mbold) \Rightarrow (--- : that
       A E Sc (M))]
```

```
{move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line2 A : Ded line1
line2 : [(A_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(ainev_3
       : that A_1 E Mbold) =>
       ({def} thetascm Iff2 Sc
       (M) Ui Thetachainax Mp
       Sc (M) Ui ainev_3 Iff1
       A_1 Ui Mboldax : that A_1
       E Sc (M))]) : that
    (A_1 E Mbold) \rightarrow A_1 E Sc
    (M))
line2 : [(A_1 : obj) => (---
    : that (A_1 E Mbold) ->
    A_1 E Sc (M))]
{move 3}
>>> close
```

{move 3}

>>> define Line3 : Ug line2

```
Line3 : Ug ([(A_3 : obj) =>
       (\{def\}\ Ded\ ([(ainev_4 : that
          A_3 \in Mbold) =>
          ({def} thetascm Iff2 Sc (M) Ui
          Thetachainax Mp Sc (M) Ui
          ainev_4 Iff1 A_3 Ui Mboldax
          : that A_3 E Sc (M))]) : that
       (A_3 \in Mbold) \rightarrow A_3 \in Sc (M))
   Line3: that Forall ([(x'_10
       : obj) =>
       ({def} (x'_10 E Mbold) \rightarrow
       x'_10 E Sc (M) : prop)])
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 2}
>>> define Line4 : Fixform ((Mbold) <<= \
    Sc M, Conj (Line3, Conj (Inhabited \
    Line1, Sc2 M)))
Line4 : [
    ({def} (Mbold <<= Sc (M)) Fixform
    Ug ([(A_4 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(ainev_5 : that
          A_4 E Mbold) =>
          ({def} thetascm Iff2 Sc (M) Ui
          Thetachainax Mp Sc (M) Ui
          ainev_5 Iff1 A_4 Ui Mboldax
          : that A_4 \to Sc(M))]) : that
```

```
(A_4 E Mbold) \rightarrow A_4 E Sc (M))]) Conj
           Inhabited (Line1) Conj Sc2 (M) : that
           Mbold <<= Sc (M))]
      Line4 : that Mbold <<= Sc (M)</pre>
      {move 1}
      >>> clearcurrent
{move 2}
end Lestrade execution
   Here is the second component of the proof that {\bf M} is a \Theta-chain.
begin Lestrade execution
      >>> open
          {move 3}
          >>> declare F obj
          F : obj
          {move 3}
          >>> open
             {move 4}
```

```
>>> declare finmbold that F E (Mbold)
finmbold : that F E Mbold
{move 4}
>>> open
   {move 5}
   >>> declare G obj
   G : obj
   {move 5}
   >>> open
       {move 6}
      >>> declare gtheta that \
           G E Thetachain
      {\tt gtheta} \; : \; {\tt that} \; {\tt G} \; {\tt E} \; {\tt Thetachain}
       {move 6}
       >>> define line1 gtheta \
           : Ui (F, Simp1 Simp2 \
           Simp2 Iff1 (gtheta, Ui \
```

G Thetachainax))

```
line1 : [(gtheta_1 : that
    G E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} F Ui Simp1 (Simp2
    (Simp2 (gtheta_1 Iff1
    G Ui Thetachainax))) : that
    (F E G) \rightarrow prime2
    (thelaw, F) E G)]
line1 : [(gtheta_1 : that
    G E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that (F E G) ->
    prime2 (thelaw, F) E G)]
{move 5}
>>> define line2 gtheta \
    : Mp (gtheta, Ui (G, Iff1 \
    (finmbold, Ui F Mboldax)))
line2 : [(gtheta_1 : that
    G E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} gtheta_1 Mp
    G Ui finmbold Iff1 F Ui
    Mboldax : that F E G)]
line2 : [(gtheta_1 : that
    G E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that F E G)]
```

```
>>> define line3 gtheta \
       : Mp line2 gtheta line1 \
       gtheta
   line3 : [(gtheta_1 : that
       G E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} line2 (gtheta_1) Mp
       line1 (gtheta_1) : that
       prime2 (thelaw, F) E G)]
   line3 : [(gtheta_1 : that
       G E Thetachain) =>
       (--- : that prime2
       (thelaw, F) E G)]
   {move 5}
   >>> close
{move 5}
>>> define line4 G : Ded line3
line4 : [(G_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(gtheta_13
       : that G_1 E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} gtheta_13 Mp
       G_1 Ui finmbold Iff1
       F Ui Mboldax Mp F Ui
       Simp1 (Simp2 (Simp2
       (gtheta_13 Iff1 G_1
       Ui Thetachainax))) : that
```

```
prime2 (thelaw, F) E G_1)]) : that
       (G_1 E Thetachain) ->
       prime2 (thelaw, F) E G_1)]
   line4 : [(G_1 : obj) =>
       (--- : that (G_1 E Thetachain) ->
       prime2 (thelaw, F) E G_1)]
   {move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line5 finmbold : Ug \setminus
    line4
line5 : [(finmbold_1 : that
    F E Mbold) =>
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(G_3:obj)=>
       ({def} Ded ([(gtheta_4
          : that G_3 E Thetachain) =>
          ({def} gtheta_4 Mp
          G_3 Ui finmbold_1 Iff1
          F Ui Mboldax Mp F Ui
          Simp1 (Simp2 (Simp2
          (gtheta_4 Iff1 G_3
          Ui Thetachainax))) : that
          prime2 (thelaw, F) E G_3)]) : that
       (G_3 E Thetachain) ->
       prime2 (thelaw, F) E G_3)]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_15 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} (x'_15 E Thetachain) \rightarrow
       prime2 (thelaw, F) E x'_15
```

: prop)]))] line5 : [(finmbold_1 : that $F \in Mbold) \Rightarrow (--- : that$ Forall ($[(x'_15 : obj) =>$ $(\{def\} (x'_15 E Thetachain) \rightarrow$ prime2 (thelaw, F) E x'_15 : prop)]))] {move 3} >>> define line6 finmbold : Iff2 \ (line5 finmbold, Ui (prime \ F, Mboldax)) line6 : [(finmbold_1 : that F E Mbold) => ({def} line5 (finmbold_1) Iff2 prime (F) Ui Mboldax : that prime (F) E Mbold)] line6 : [(finmbold_1 : that $F \in Mbold$ => (--- : that prime (F) E Mbold)] {move 3} >>> close

>>> define line7 F : Ded line6

{move 3}

```
line7 : [(F_1 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(finmbold_16
          : that F_1 \to Mbold) =>
          (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(G_19 : obj) =>
             ({def} Ded ([(gtheta_20
                : that G_19 E Thetachain) =>
                ({def} gtheta_20 Mp
                G_19 Ui finmbold_16
                Iff1 F_1 Ui Mboldax
                Mp F_1 Ui Simp1 (Simp2
                (Simp2 (gtheta_20
                Iff1 G_19 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
                prime2 (thelaw, F_1) E G_19)]) : that
             (G_19 E Thetachain) ->
             prime2 (thelaw, F_1) E G_19)]) Iff2
          prime (F_1) Ui Mboldax : that
          prime (F_1) E Mbold)]) : that
       (F_1 E Mbold) -> prime (F_1) E Mbold)]
   line7 : [(F_1 : obj) => (---
       : that (F_1 E Mbold) -> prime
       (F_1) E Mbold)]
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 2}
>>> define Linea8 : Ug line7
Linea8 : Ug ([(F_3 : obj) =>
```

```
({def} Ded ([(finmbold_4 : that
          F_3 \in Mbold) =>
          (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(G_6 : obj) =>
             ({def} Ded ([(gtheta_7
                : that G_6 E Thetachain) =>
                ({def} gtheta_7 Mp G_6
                Ui finmbold_4 Iff1 F_3
                Ui Mboldax Mp F_3 Ui Simp1
                (Simp2 (Simp2 (gtheta_7
                Iff1 G_6 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
                prime2 (thelaw, F_3) E_{6}): that
             (G_6 E Thetachain) -> prime2
             (thelaw, F_3) E G_6)]) Iff2
          prime (F_3) Ui Mboldax : that
          prime (F_3) E Mbold)]) : that
       (F_3 E Mbold) -> prime (F_3) E Mbold)])
   Linea8 : that Forall ([(x'_16 : obj) =>
       ({def} (x'_16 E Mbold) \rightarrow prime
       (x'_16) E Mbold : prop)])
   {move 1}
   >>> save
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 1}
>>> define Lineb8 Misset thelawchooses \
    : Linea8
```

```
Lineb8 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
       .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]),
    (\{let\} .prime_1 : [(S_2 : obj) =>
       ({def} prime2 (.thelaw_1, S_2) : obj)]),
    (\{let\} .thetachain_1 : [(C_2 : obj) =>
       ({def} thetachain1 (.M_1, .thelaw_1, C_2) : prop)]),
    ({let} .Thetachain_3 : Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Set
    .thetachain_1),
    ({let} .Mbold_3 : [
       ({def} Misset_1 Mbold2 thelawchooses_1
       : obj)]),
    ({let} .Mboldax_3 : [
       (\{def\} Forall ([(C_8 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} (C_8 E .Mbold_3) ==
          Forall ([(D_10 : obj) =>
              (\{def\} (D_10 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
             C_8 E D_10 : prop)]) : prop)]) Fixform
       Misset_1 thetascm2 thelawchooses_1
       Iff2 Sc (.M_1) Ui Ug ([(C_11
          : obj) =>
          ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_12
              : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3) =>
              ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_12 Iff1
             C_11 Ui Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Separation
              .thetachain_1) : that .thetachain_1
              (C_11))], [(hyp1_12
              : that .thetachain_1 (C_11)) =>
             ({def} (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) Fixform
             Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_12)) Iff2
             C_11 Ui Scthm (Sc (.M_1)) Conj
             hyp1_12 Iff2 C_11 Ui Sc (Sc
```

```
(.M_1)) Separation .thetachain_1
         : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3)]) : that
      (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) == .thetachain_1
      (C_11))]) Mp .Thetachain_3
  Intax Sc (.M_1) : that Forall
   ([(C_7 : obj) =>
      (\{def\} (C_7 E .Mbold_3) ==
      Forall ([(D_9 : obj) =>
         (\{def\} (D_9 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
         C_7 E D_9 : prop)]) : prop)]))]) =>
(\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(F_6 : obj) =>
   ({def} Ded ([(finmbold_7 : that
      F_6 E .Mbold_3) =>
      (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(G_9 : obj) =>
         ({def} Ded ([(gtheta_10
            : that G_9 E .Thetachain_3) =>
            ({def} gtheta_10 Mp G_9
            Ui finmbold_7 Iff1 F_6
            Ui .Mboldax_3 Mp F_6 Ui
            Simp1 (Simp2 (Simp2 (gtheta_10
            Iff1 G_9 Ui Ug ([(C_18
               : obj) =>
               ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_19
                   : that C_18 E .Thetachain_3) =>
                   ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_19
                  Iff1 C_18 Ui Sc (Sc
                   (.M_1)) Separation
                   .thetachain_1) : that
                   .thetachain_1 (C_18))], [(hyp1_19
                   : that .thetachain_1
                   (C_18)) =>
                   ({def} (C_18 E .Thetachain_3) Fixform
                  Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_19)) Iff2
                  C_18 Ui Scthm (Sc
                   (.M_{-}1)) Conj hyp1_19
                  Iff2 C_18 Ui Sc (Sc
                   (.M_1)) Separation
                   .thetachain_1 : that
```

```
C_18 E .Thetachain_3)]) : that
                    (C_18 E .Thetachain_3) ==
                    .thetachain_1 (C_18))])))) : that
                 prime2 (.thelaw_1, F_6) E G_9)]) : that
              (G_9 E .Thetachain_3) ->
             prime2 (.thelaw_1, F_6) E G_9)]) Iff2
           .prime_1 (F_6) Ui .Mboldax_3
           : that .prime_1 (F_6) E .Mbold_3)]) : that
       (F_6 E .Mbold_3) \rightarrow .prime_1 (F_6) E .Mbold_3)]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_6 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} (x'_6 E .Mbold_3) \rightarrow .prime_1
       (x'_6) E .Mbold_3 : prop)]))]
Lineb8 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
       .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          ({def} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]),
    (\{let\} .prime_1 : [(S_2 : obj) =>
       ({def} prime2 (.thelaw_1, S_2) : obj)]),
    (\{let\} .thetachain_1 : [(C_2 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} thetachain1 (.M_1, .thelaw_1, C_2) : prop)]),
    ({let} .Thetachain_3 : Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Set
    .thetachain_1),
    ({let} .Mbold_3 : [
       ({def} Misset_1 Mbold2 thelawchooses_1
       : obj)]),
    ({let} .Mboldax_3 : [
       (\{def\} Forall ([(C_8 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} (C_8 E .Mbold_3) ==
          Forall ([(D_10 : obj) =>
              (\{def\} (D_10 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
             C_8 E D_10 : prop)]) : prop)]) Fixform
       Misset_1 thetascm2 thelawchooses_1
```

```
: obj) =>
             ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_12
                 : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3) =>
                 ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_12 Iff1
                C_11 Ui Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Separation
                 .thetachain_1) : that .thetachain_1
                 (C_11))], [(hyp1_12
                 : that .thetachain_1 (C_11)) =>
                 ({def} (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) Fixform
                Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_12)) Iff2
                C_11 Ui Scthm (Sc (.M_1)) Conj
                hyp1_12 Iff2 C_11 Ui Sc (Sc
                (.M_1)) Separation .thetachain_1
                 : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3)]) : that
              (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) == .thetachain_1
              (C_11))]) Mp .Thetachain_3
          Intax Sc (.M_1) : that Forall
          ([(C_7 : obj) =>
             (\{def\} (C_7 E .Mbold_3) ==
             Forall ([(D_9 : obj) =>
                 (\{def\} (D_9 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
                C_7 E D_9 : prop)]) : prop)]))]) =>
       (---: that Forall ([(x'_6: obj) =>
          (\{def\} (x'_6 E .Mbold_3) \rightarrow .prime_1
          (x'_6) E .Mbold_3 : prop)]))]
   {move 0}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> define Line8 : Lineb8 Misset, thelawchooses
Lineb8 Misset thelawchooses is not well-formed
```

Iff2 Sc (.M_1) Ui Ug ([(C_11

(paused, type something to continue) end Lestrade execution

begin Lestrade execution

Here is the third component of the proof that M is a Θ -chain. Note the importance of preventing definitional expansion here!

```
>>> open

{move 3}

>>> declare H obj

H : obj

{move 3}

>>> open

{move 4}

>>> declare J obj

J : obj
```

{move 4}

>>> open

```
{move 5}
>>> declare the
hyp that (H <<= \setminus
    Mbold) & J E H
the
hyp : that (H <<= Mbold) & J E H \,
{move 5}
>>> open
   {move 6}
   >>> declare K obj
   K : obj
   {move 6}
   >>> open
      {move 7}
      >>> declare ktheta that \
          K E Thetachain
      ktheta : that K E Thetachain
      {move 7}
```

```
>>> define line1 ktheta \
    : Iff1 (ktheta, Ui \
    K Thetachainax)
line1 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} ktheta_1
    Iff1 K Ui Thetachainax
    : that thetachain
    (K))]
line1 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that thetachain
    (K))]
{move 6}
>>> define line2 ktheta \
    : Ui J, Ui H, Simp2 \
    Simp2 Simp2 line1 ktheta
line2 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} J Ui H Ui
    Simp2 (Simp2 (Simp2
    (line1 (ktheta_1)))) : that
    ((H <<= K) & J E H) ->
    (H Intersection
    J) E K)]
line2 : [(ktheta_1
```

```
: that K E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that ((H <<=
    K) & J E H) ->
    (H Intersection
    J) E K)]
{move 6}
>>> open
   {move 8}
   >>> declare P obj
  P : obj
   {move 8}
   >>> open
      {move 9}
      >>> declare phyp \
          that P E H
      \verb"phyp": that P E H"
      {move 9}
      >>> define line3 \
          phyp : Mp (phyp, Ui \
```

```
P Simp1 Simp1 \
    thehyp)
line3 : [(phyp_1
    : that P E H) =>
    ({def} phyp_1
    Mp P Ui Simp1
    (Simp1 (thehyp)) : that
    P E Mbold)]
line3 : [(phyp_1
   : that P E H) =>
    (--- : that
    P E Mbold)]
{move 8}
>>> define line4 \
    phyp : Mp (ktheta, K Ui \
    Iff1 line3 phyp, Ui \
    P Mboldax)
line4 : [(phyp_1
    : that P E H) =>
    ({def} ktheta
    Mp K Ui line3
    (phyp_1) Iff1
    P Ui Mboldax
    : that P E K)]
line4 : [(phyp_1
    : that P E H) =>
    (--- : that
```

```
P E K)]
   {move 8}
   >>> close
{move 8}
>>> define line5 \
    P : Ded line4
line5 : [(P_1 : obj) =>
    ({def}) Ded ([(phyp_9)]
       : that P_1
       E H) =>
       ({def} ktheta
       Mp K Ui phyp_9
       Mp P_1 Ui Simp1
       (Simp1 (thehyp)) Iff1
       P_1 Ui Mboldax
       : that P_1
       E K)]) : that
    (P_1 E H) \rightarrow
    P_1 E K)]
line5 : [(P_1 : obj) =>
    (--- : that (P_1
    E H) \rightarrow P_1 E K
{move 7}
```

>>> close

```
{move 7}
>>> define test1 ktheta \
    : Ug line5
test1 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} Ug ([(P_3
       : obj) =>
       ({def}) Ded ([(phyp_4)]
          : that P_3
          E H) =>
          ({def} ktheta_1
          Mp K Ui phyp_4
          Mp P_3 Ui Simp1
          (Simp1 (thehyp)) Iff1
          P_3 Ui Mboldax
          : that P_3
          E K)]) : that
       (P_3 E H) ->
       P_3 E K)]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_13
       : obj) =>
       ({def} (x'_13)
       E H) -> x'_13
       E K : prop)]))]
test1 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that Forall
    ([(x'_13 : obj) =>
       ({def} (x'_13)
       E H) -> x'_13
       E K : prop)]))]
```

```
>>> define test2 ktheta \
    : Inhabited Simp2 thehyp
test2 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} Inhabited
    (Simp2 (thehyp)) : that
    Isset (H))]
test2 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that Isset
    (H))]
{move 6}
>>> define test3 ktheta \
    : Inhabited (Mp (Simp2 \
    thehyp, line5 J))
test3 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} Inhabited
    (Simp2 (thehyp) Mp
    Ded ([(phyp_16
       : that J E H) =>
       ({def} ktheta_1
       Mp K Ui phyp_16
       Mp J Ui Simp1
       (Simp1 (thehyp)) Iff1
       J Ui Mboldax : that
```

{move 6}

```
Isset (K))]
test3 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    (---: that Isset
    (K)
{move 6}
>>> define line6 ktheta \
    : Fixform (H <<= K, Conj \setminus
    (test1 ktheta, Conj ∖
    (test2 ktheta, test3 \
    ktheta)))
line6 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    ({def} (H <<= K) Fixform
    test1 (ktheta_1) Conj
    test2 (ktheta_1) Conj
    test3 (ktheta_1) : that
    H <<= K)
line6 : [(ktheta_1
    : that K E Thetachain) =>
    (--- : that H <<=
    K)]
{move 6}
>>> define linea7 ktheta \
    : Mp (Conj (line6 \
```

J E K)])) : that

```
ktheta, Simp2 thehyp), line2 \setminus
       ktheta)
   linea7 : [(ktheta_1
       : that K E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} line6 (ktheta_1) Conj
       Simp2 (thehyp) Mp
       line2 (ktheta_1) : that
       (H Intersection
       J) E K)]
   linea7 : [(ktheta_1
       : that K E Thetachain) =>
       (--- : that (H Intersection
       J) E K)]
   {move 6}
   >>> close
{move 6}
>>> define line8 K : Ded \
    linea7
line8 : [(K_1 : obj) =>
    ({def} Ded ([(ktheta_7
       : that K_1 E Thetachain) =>
       ({def} ((H <<=
       K_1) Fixform Ug
       ([(P_12 : obj) =>
          ({def} Ded ([(phyp_13
             : that P_12
```

```
E H) =>
             ({def} ktheta_7
             Mp K_1 Ui phyp_13
             Mp P_12 Ui
             Simp1 (Simp1
             (thehyp)) Iff1
             P_12 Ui Mboldax
             : that P_12
             E K_1)]) : that
          (P_12 E H) ->
          P_12 E K_1)]) Conj
       Inhabited (Simp2
       (thehyp)) Conj
       Inhabited (Simp2
       (thehyp) Mp Ded
       ([(phyp_15 : that
          J E H) =>
          ({def} ktheta_7
          Mp K_1 Ui phyp_15
          Mp J Ui Simp1
          (Simp1 (thehyp)) Iff1
          J Ui Mboldax : that
          J E K_1)]))) Conj
       Simp2 (thehyp) Mp
       J Ui H Ui Simp2 (Simp2
       (Simp2 (ktheta_7
       Iff1 K_1 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
       (H Intersection
       J) E K_1)]) : that
    (K_1 E Thetachain) ->
    (H Intersection J) E K_1)]
line8 : [(K_1 : obj) =>
    (--- : that (K_1 E Thetachain) ->
    (H Intersection J) E K_1)]
```

```
{move 5}
   >>> close
{move 5}
>>> define line9 thehyp : Ug \setminus
    line8
line9 : [(thehyp_1 : that
    (H <<= Mbold) & J E H) \Rightarrow
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(K_3 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(ktheta_4
           : that K_3 E Thetachain) =>
          ({def} ((H <<=
          K_3) Fixform Ug
           ([(P_9 : obj) =>
              ({def} Ded ([(phyp_10
                 : that P_9
                 E H) =>
                 ({def} ktheta_4
                 Mp K_3 Ui phyp_10
                 Mp P_9 Ui Simp1
                 (Simp1 (thehyp_1)) Iff1
                 P_9 Ui Mboldax
                 : that P_9
                 E K_3)]) : that
              (P_9 E H) ->
             P_9 E K_3)]) Conj
          Inhabited (Simp2
           (thehyp_1)) Conj
          Inhabited (Simp2
           (thehyp_1) Mp Ded
           ([(phyp_12 : that
              J E H) =>
              ({def} ktheta_4
```

```
Mp K_3 Ui phyp_12
             Mp J Ui Simp1
              (Simp1 (thehyp_1)) Iff1
              J Ui Mboldax : that
              J E K_3)]))) Conj
          Simp2 (thehyp_1) Mp
          J Ui H Ui Simp2 (Simp2
          (Simp2 (ktheta_4
          Iff1 K_3 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
          (H Intersection
          J) E K_3)]) : that
       (K_3 E Thetachain) ->
       (H Intersection J) E K_3)]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_21 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} (x'_21 E Thetachain) \rightarrow
       (H Intersection J) E x'_21
       : prop)]))]
line9 : [(thehyp_1 : that
    (H <<= Mbold) & J E H) =>
    (---: that Forall ([(x,21:
       : obj) =>
       (\{def\} (x'_21 E Thetachain) \rightarrow
       (H Intersection J) E x'_21
       : prop)]))]
{move 4}
>>> define line10 : Ui (H Intersection \
    J, Mboldax)
line10 : (H Intersection
 J) Ui Mboldax
```

```
line10 : that ((H Intersection
    J) E Mbold == Forall ([(D_3)])
       : obj) =>
       ({def} (D_3 E Thetachain) ->
       (H Intersection J) E D_3
       : prop)])
   {move 4}
   >>> define line11 thehyp : Iff2 \setminus
       (line9 thehyp, line10)
   line11 : [(thehyp_1 : that
       (H <<= Mbold) & J E H) \Rightarrow
       ({def} line9 (thehyp_1) Iff2
       line10 : that (H Intersection
       J) E Mbold)]
   line11 : [(thehyp_1 : that
       (H <<= Mbold) & J E H) =>
       (--- : that (H Intersection
       J) E Mbold)]
   {move 4}
   >>> close
{move 4}
>>> define line12 J : Ded line11
line12 : [(J_1 : obj) =>
```

```
({def} Ded ([(thehyp_22
   : that (H <<= Mbold) & J_1
  E H) =>
   (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(K_25 : obj) =>
      ({def} Ded ([(ktheta_26
         : that K_25 E Thetachain) =>
         ({def} ((H <<=
         K_25) Fixform Ug
         ([(P_31 : obj) =>
            ({def} Ded ([(phyp_32
               : that P_31
               E H) =>
               ({def} ktheta_26
               Mp K_25 Ui
               phyp_32 Mp
               P_31 Ui Simp1
               (Simp1 (thehyp_22)) Iff1
               P_31 Ui Mboldax
               : that P_31
               E K_25)]) : that
            (P_31 E H) ->
            P_31 E K_25)]) Conj
         Inhabited (Simp2
         (thehyp_22)) Conj
         Inhabited (Simp2
         (thehyp_22) Mp
         Ded ([(phyp_34
            : that J_1 E H) =>
            ({def} ktheta_26
            Mp K_25 Ui phyp_34
            Mp J_1 Ui Simp1
            (Simp1 (thehyp_22)) Iff1
            J_1 Ui Mboldax
            : that J_1 E K_25)]))) Conj
         Simp2 (thehyp_22) Mp
         J_1 Ui H Ui Simp2
         (Simp2 (Simp2 (ktheta_26
         Iff1 K_25 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
```

```
(H Intersection
                J_{1} E K_{25}); that
             (K_25 E Thetachain) ->
             (H Intersection J_1) E K_25)]) Iff2
          (H Intersection J_1) Ui
          Mboldax : that (H Intersection
          J_1) E Mbold)]) : that
       ((H <<= Mbold) & J_1 E H) ->
       (H Intersection J_1) E Mbold)]
   line12 : [(J_1 : obj) => (---
       : that ((H <<= Mbold) & J_1
       E H) -> (H Intersection
       J_1) E Mbold)]
   {move 3}
   >>> close
{move 3}
>>> define line13 H : Ug line12
line13 : [(H_1 : obj) =>
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(J_3:obj)=>
       ({def} Ded ([(thehyp_4
          : that (H_1 \ll Mbold) & J_3
          E H_1) =>
          (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(K_6 : obj) =>
             ({def} Ded ([(ktheta_7
                : that K_6 E Thetachain) =>
                (\{def\} ((H_1 <<=
                K_6) Fixform Ug
                ([(P_12 : obj) =>
```

```
({def} Ded ([(phyp_13
               : that P_12
               E H_1) =>
               ({def} ktheta_7
               Mp K_6 Ui phyp_13
               Mp P_12 Ui
               Simp1 (Simp1
               (thehyp_4)) Iff1
               P_12 Ui Mboldax
               : that P_12
               E K_6)]) : that
            (P_12 E H_1) \rightarrow
            P_12 E K_6)]) Conj
         Inhabited (Simp2
         (thehyp_4)) Conj
         Inhabited (Simp2
         (thehyp_4) Mp Ded
         ([(phyp_15 : that
            J_3 E H_1) =>
            ({def} ktheta_7
            Mp K_6 Ui phyp_15
            Mp J_3 Ui Simp1
            (Simp1 (thehyp_4)) Iff1
            J_3 Ui Mboldax
            : that J_3 E K_6)]))) Conj
         Simp2 (thehyp_4) Mp
         J_3 Ui H_1 Ui Simp2
         (Simp2 (Simp2 (ktheta_7
         Iff1 K_6 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
         (H_1 Intersection
         J_3) E K_6)]) : that
      (K_6 E Thetachain) ->
      (H_1 Intersection J_3) E K_6)]) Iff2
   (H_1 Intersection J_3) Ui
   Mboldax : that (H_1 Intersection
   J_3) E Mbold)]) : that
((H_1 <<= Mbold) \& J_3
E H_1) -> (H_1 Intersection
```

```
J_3) E Mbold)]) : that
       Forall ([(x'_24 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} ((H_1 \le Mbold) \& x'_24)
          E H_1) -> (H_1 Intersection
          x'_24) E Mbold : prop)]))]
   line13 : [(H_1 : obj) => (---
       : that Forall ([(x'_24 : obj) =>
          (\{def\}\ ((H_1 <<= Mbold) \& x'_24
          E H_1) -> (H_1 Intersection
          x'_24) E Mbold : prop)]))]
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 2}
>>> define Linea14 : Ug line13
Linea14 : Ug ([(H_3 : obj) =>
    (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(J_4 : obj) =>
       ({def} Ded ([(thehyp_5 : that
           (H_3 <<= Mbold) & J_4 E H_3) =>
           ({def} \ Ug \ ([(K_7 : obj) =>
              ({def} Ded ([(ktheta_8
                 : that K_7 E Thetachain) =>
                 ({def}) ((H_3 <<=
                 K_7) Fixform Ug ([(P_13
                    : obj) =>
                    ({def} Ded ([(phyp_14
                       : that P_13 E H_3) \Rightarrow
                       ({def} ktheta_8
                       Mp K_7 Ui phyp_14
```

```
(Simp1 (thehyp_5)) Iff1
                       P_13 Ui Mboldax
                       : that P_13 E K_7)]) : that
                    (P_13 E H_3) \rightarrow
                    P_13 E K_7)]) Conj
                 Inhabited (Simp2 (thehyp_5)) Conj
                 Inhabited (Simp2 (thehyp_5) Mp
                 Ded ([(phyp_16 : that
                    J_4 E H_3) =>
                    ({def} ktheta_8
                    Mp K_7 Ui phyp_16
                    Mp J_4 Ui Simp1 (Simp1
                    (thehyp_5)) Iff1
                    J_4 Ui Mboldax : that
                    J_4 E K_7)))) Conj
                 Simp2 (thehyp_5) Mp
                 J_4 Ui H_3 Ui Simp2
                 (Simp2 (Simp2 (ktheta_8
                 Iff1 K_7 Ui Thetachainax))) : that
                 (H_3 Intersection J_4) E K_7)]) : that
              (K_7 E Thetachain) ->
              (H_3 Intersection J_4) E K_7)]) Iff2
          (H_3 Intersection J_4) Ui
          Mboldax: that (H_3 Intersection
          J_4) E Mbold)]) : that
       ((H_3 \le Mbold) \& J_4 E H_3) \rightarrow
       (H_3 Intersection J_4) E Mbold)]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_4 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} ((H_3 \le Mbold) \& x'_4)
       E H_3) -> (H_3 Intersection
       x'_4) E Mbold : prop)]))])
Linea14 : that Forall ([(x'_23 : obj) =>
    (\{def\} Forall ([(x'_24 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} ((x'_23 \le Mbold) \& x'_24)
       E x'_23) \rightarrow (x'_23 Intersection)
```

Mp P_13 Ui Simp1

```
x'_24) E Mbold : prop)]) : prop)])
   {move 1}
   >>> save
   {move 2}
   >>> close
{move 1}
>>> define Lineb14 Misset thelawchooses \
    : Linea14
Lineb14 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that)]
       .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          ({def} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]),
    (\{let\} .thetachain_1 : [(C_2 : obj) =>
       ({def} thetachain1 (.M_1, .thelaw_1, C_2) : prop)]),
    ({let} .Thetachain_3 : Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Set
    .thetachain_1),
    ({let} .Mbold_3 : [
       ({def} Misset_1 Mbold2 thelawchooses_1
       : obj)]),
    ({let} .Mboldax_3 : [
       (\{def\} Forall ([(C_8 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} (C_8 E .Mbold_3) ==
          Forall ([(D_10 : obj) =>
```

```
(\{def\} (D_10 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
         C_8 E D_10 : prop)]) : prop)]) Fixform
  Misset_1 thetascm2 thelawchooses_1
   Iff2 Sc (.M_1) Ui Ug ([(C_11
      : obj) =>
      ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_12
         : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3) =>
         ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_12 Iff1
         C_11 Ui Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Separation
         .thetachain_1) : that .thetachain_1
         (C_11))], [(hyp1_12
         : that .thetachain_1 (C_11)) =>
         ({def} (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) Fixform
         Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_12)) Iff2
         C_11 Ui Scthm (Sc (.M_1)) Conj
         hyp1_12 Iff2 C_11 Ui Sc (Sc
         (.M_1)) Separation .thetachain_1
         : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3)]) : that
      (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) == .thetachain_1
      (C_11)) Mp .Thetachain_3
   Intax Sc (.M_1) : that Forall
   ([(C_7 : obj) =>
      (\{def\} (C_7 E .Mbold_3) ==
      Forall ([(D_9 : obj) =>
         (\{def\} (D_9 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
         C_7 E D_9 : prop)]) : prop)]))]) =>
(\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(H_6 : obj) =>
   (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(J_7 : obj) =>
      ({def} Ded ([(thehyp_8 : that
         (H_6 <<= .Mbold_3) & J_7
         E H_6) =>
         (\{def\}\ Ug\ ([(K_10 : obj) =>
            ({def} Ded ([(ktheta_11
                : that K_10 E .Thetachain_3) =>
               ({def}) ((H_6 <<=
               K_10) Fixform Ug ([(P_16
                   : obj) =>
                   ({def} Ded ([(phyp_17
```

```
: that P_16 E H_6) =>
      ({def} ktheta_11
      Mp K_10 Ui phyp_17
      Mp P_16 Ui Simp1
      (Simp1 (thehyp_8)) Iff1
      P_16 Ui .Mboldax_3
      : that P_16 E K_10)]) : that
   (P_16 E H_6) \rightarrow
   P_16 E K_10)]) Conj
Inhabited (Simp2 (thehyp_8)) Conj
Inhabited (Simp2 (thehyp_8) Mp
Ded ([(phyp_19 : that
   J_7 E H_6) =>
   ({def} ktheta_11
   Mp K_10 Ui phyp_19
   Mp J_7 Ui Simp1 (Simp1
   (thehyp_8)) Iff1
   J_7 Ui .Mboldax_3
   : that J_7 E K_10)]))) Conj
Simp2 (thehyp_8) Mp
J_7 Ui H_6 Ui Simp2
(Simp2 (Simp2 (ktheta_11
Iff1 K_10 Ui Ug ([(C_20
   : obj) =>
   ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_21
      : that C_20 E .Thetachain_3) =>
      ({def} Simp2
      (hyp2_21 Iff1
      C_20 Ui Sc (Sc
      (.M_1)) Separation
      .thetachain_1) : that
      .thetachain_1
      (C_20))], [(hyp1_21
      : that .thetachain_1 \,
      (C_20)) =>
      ({def} (C_20
      E .Thetachain_3) Fixform
      Simp1 (Simp2
```

```
(hyp1_21)) Iff2
                          C_20 Ui Scthm
                          (Sc (.M_1)) Conj
                          hyp1_21 Iff2 C_20
                          Ui Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Separation
                          .thetachain_1
                          : that C_20 E .Thetachain_3)]) : that
                       (C_20 E .Thetachain_3) ==
                       .thetachain_1 (C_20)))))) : that
                    (H_6 Intersection J_7) E K_10)]) : that
                 (K_10 E .Thetachain_3) ->
                 (H_6 Intersection J_7) E K_10)]) Iff2
              (H_6 Intersection J_7) Ui
              .Mboldax_3 : that (H_6 Intersection
             J_7) E .Mbold_3)]) : that
          ((H_6 <<= .Mbold_3) \& J_7
          E H_6) -> (H_6 Intersection
          J_7) E .Mbold_3)]) : that
       Forall ([(x'_7 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} ((H_6 \le .Mbold_3) \& x'_7)
          E H_6) -> (H_6 Intersection
          x'_7) E .Mbold_3 : prop)]))]) : that
    Forall ([(x'_6 : obj) =>
       (\{def\} Forall ([(x'_7 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} ((x'_6 \le .Mbold_3) \& x'_7)
          E x'_6) \rightarrow (x'_6 Intersection)
          x'_7) E .Mbold_3 : prop)]) : prop)]))]
Lineb14 : [(.M_1 : obj), (Misset_1
    : that Isset (.M_1)), (.thelaw_1
    : [(S_2 : obj) \Rightarrow (--- : obj)]), (thelawchooses_1)
    : [(.S_2 : obj), (subsetev_2 : that
       .S_2 \ll .M_1), (inev_2 : that
       Exists ([(x_4 : obj) =>
          (\{def\} x_4 E .S_2 : prop)])) =>
       (---: that .thelaw_1 (.S_2) E .S_2)]),
    (\{let\} .thetachain_1 : [(C_2 : obj) =>
```

```
(\{def\} thetachain1 (.M_1, .thelaw_1, C_2) : prop)]),
({let} .Thetachain_3 : Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Set
.thetachain_1),
({let} .Mbold_3 : [
   ({def} Misset_1 Mbold2 thelawchooses_1
   : obj)]),
({let} .Mboldax_3 : [
   (\{def\} Forall ([(C_8 : obj) =>
      (\{def\} (C_8 E .Mbold_3) ==
      Forall ([(D_10 : obj) =>
         (\{def\} (D_10 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
         C_8 E D_10 : prop)]) : prop)]) Fixform
  Misset_1 thetascm2 thelawchooses_1
  Iff2 Sc (.M_1) Ui Ug ([(C_11
      : obj) =>
      ({def} Dediff ([(hyp2_12
         : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3) =>
         ({def} Simp2 (hyp2_12 Iff1
         C_11 Ui Sc (Sc (.M_1)) Separation
         .thetachain_1) : that .thetachain_1
         (C_11))], [(hyp1_12
         : that .thetachain_1 (C_11)) =>
         ({def} (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) Fixform
         Simp1 (Simp2 (hyp1_12)) Iff2
         C_11 Ui Scthm (Sc (.M_1)) Conj
         hyp1_12 Iff2 C_11 Ui Sc (Sc
         (.M_1)) Separation .thetachain_1
         : that C_11 E .Thetachain_3)]) : that
      (C_11 E .Thetachain_3) == .thetachain_1
      (C_11) Mp .Thetachain_3
  Intax Sc (.M_1) : that Forall
   ([(C_7 : obj) =>
      (\{def\} (C_7 E .Mbold_3) ==
      Forall ([(D_9 : obj) =>
         (\{def\} (D_9 E .Thetachain_3) \rightarrow
         C_7 E D_9 : prop)]) : prop)]))]) =>
(---: that Forall ([(x'_6: obj) =>
   (\{def\} Forall ([(x'_7 : obj) =>
```

```
(\{def\}\ ((x,6 <<= .Mbold_3) \& x,_7
              E x'_6) \rightarrow (x'_6 Intersection)
              x'_7) E .Mbold_3 : prop)]) : prop)]))]
   {move 0}
   >>> open
      {move 2}
      >>> define Line14 : Lineb14 Misset,\
          thelawchooses
Lineb14 Misset thelawchooses is not well-formed
(paused, type something to continue) >
end Lestrade execution
   Here is the fourth component of the proof that M is a \Theta-chain.
begin Lestrade execution
      >>> define Mboldtheta1 : Fixform (thetachain \setminus
           (Mbold), Conj (Line1, Conj (Line4, Conj \
           (Line8, Line14))))
Fixform (thetachain (Mbold), Conj (Line1, Conj (Line4, Conj (Line8, Line14))))
(paused, type something to continue) >
      >>> close
   {move 1}
```

Mboldtheta asserts that M is a Θ -chain.