

# Riemann integrals of continuous functions exist

Randall Holmes

September 11, 2023

In this snippet of notes, we will work toward proving that  $\int_a^b f$  exists for any  $f$  continuous on  $[a, b]$  along with prerequisite and related results

We begin by proving that if a function  $f$  is nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ ,  $\int_b^a f$  exists.

Suppose that  $f$  is nondecreasing on  $[a, b]$ . (this means that for any  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $x < y$ ,  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ ).

We will show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a partition  $P$  such that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$ . It is a homework exercise in your current assignment that this is sufficient to establish that  $\int_a^b f$  exists.

Let  $P$  be a partition  $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $[a, b]$  such that there is a constant  $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{f(b) - f(a)}$  such that  $x_i - x_{i-1} = \delta$  for each  $i$  for which this is defined:  $P$  determines a subdivision of  $[a, b]$  into closed intervals all of the same length strictly less than  $\epsilon$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) \left( \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})) \end{aligned}$$

[because the length of each interval in  $P$  is  $\delta$  and  $\sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f = f(x_i)$  and  $\inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f = f(x_{i-1})$  because  $f$  is nondecreasing ]

$$= \delta \sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})) = \delta (f(b) - f(a)) < \epsilon$$

[the second equation holds because  $\sum_{i=1}^n (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}))$  is a telescoping sum]

And this completes the proof that  $\int_a^b f$  exists, mod the homework assignment mentioned.

I strongly recommend and may assign proving the same result for nonincreasing functions  $f$ .

The proof that  $\int_a^b f$  exists if  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  relies on the theorem that a function  $f$  continuous on a closed interval  $[a, b]$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ . We first explain what this statement means, then use it to prove that  $\int_a^b f$  exists, then perhaps prove the prerequisite theorem.

That  $f$  is continuous on a set  $A$  means that for each  $x \in A$ , there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any  $y \in A$ , if  $|y - x| < \delta$  then  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ . This follows from the usual definitions of limits and continuity which you should have known since undergraduate real analysis if not since Calculus I.

That  $f$  is uniformly continuous on a set  $A$  means that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in A$ , if  $|x - y| < \delta$  then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ .

The second assertion is stronger: it says that the tolerance of error  $\delta$  you need such that if  $y$  is that close to  $x$ ,  $f(y)$  will be within  $\epsilon$  of  $f(x)$  does not depend on  $x$ : the same tolerance works everywhere in the set  $A$ .

The prerequisite theorem is “If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ ”. For the moment we assume this and proceed to prove that  $\int_a^b f$  exists.

Again, we will show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a partition  $P$  such that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$ . It is a homework exercise in your current assignment that this is sufficient to establish that  $\int_a^b f$  exists.

Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrarily

Choose  $\delta$  such that for any  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , if  $|x - y| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ .

Let  $P$  be the partition of  $[a, b]$  determined by  $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$  subdividing the interval into closed intervals all with equal length  $\delta$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) \left( \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \delta \left( \sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \delta \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$$

because  $\sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$  since the length of the interval is  $\delta$  (any two points in the interval except  $x_i$  and  $x_{i-1}$  are at distance  $< \delta$  and have values of  $f$  differing by less than  $\frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ ;  $x_i$  and  $x_{i-1}$  are at distance exactly  $\delta$  but continuity of  $f$  lets us see that the values of  $f$  at the endpoints might differ exactly by  $\frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$  but no more: so the difference between the largest and smallest value of the function on the interval is bounded above by  $\frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$  and  $\sup_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f - \inf_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} f$  is no greater than  $\frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ .

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b-a}{n} \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$

Note that  $\delta = \frac{b-a}{n}$ .

I'll lecture the proof that a continuous function on a closed interval is uniformly continuous on Sept 6; notes on it will be added here eventually.

I lectured a series of results to get to the result on uniform continuity. The quality of these notes may suffer from the fact that I am ill as I write; please feel free to make any comments or ask any questions that you think are needed.

**Monotone convergence theorem:** For any sequence  $\{x_i\}$  which is either nondecreasing ( $i \leq j \rightarrow x_i \leq x_j$ ) and bounded above or nonincreasing ( $i \leq j \rightarrow x_i \geq x_j$ ) and bounded below, the limit  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i$  exists.

This theorem should be familiar to you since the second calculus course, and you should have seen a proof in your first real analysis course, but I support varying levels of preparation: I review it.

**Proof:** We cover only the case of  $\{x_i\}$  nondecreasing: the proof in the other case is very similar.

Suppose that  $\{x_i\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence and bounded above. This means there is  $b$  such that for every  $i$ ,  $x_i \leq b$ . This implies that the set  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is nonempty (it contains  $x_1$ ) and bounded above by  $b$ . This means that it has a least upper bound  $L$ .

We claim that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x_i = L$ , that is,  $(\forall \epsilon > 0 : (\exists N \in \mathbb{N} : (\forall i \in \mathbb{N} : i \geq N \rightarrow |x_i - L| < \epsilon)))$ .

Choose  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $L - \epsilon$  is not an upper bound of  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , so there is  $N$  such that  $x_N > L - \epsilon$ . Now for any  $i > N$ , we have  $x_N \leq x_i$  (nonincreasing) so  $L - \epsilon < x_N \leq x_i \leq L < L + \epsilon$ , so  $|x_i - L| < \epsilon$ , which is what we need.

**Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem:** For any  $a < b$  real numbers, and any sequence  $\{x_i\}$  of elements of  $[a, b]$ , there is a convergent subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$ , that is, there is a strictly increasing sequence  $\{s_i\}$  of natural numbers such that the sequence  $y_i = x_{s_i}$  converges.

**Proof:** We define sequences  $\{A_i\}$  and  $\{B_i\}$  recursively.

$A_0 = a$  and  $B_0 = b$ .

Suppose  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  have been defined, and there are infinitely many  $j$  such that  $A_i \leq x_j \leq B_i$  [notice that this is true for  $i = 0$ ].

If there are infinitely many  $j$  such that  $A_i \leq \frac{A_i + B_i}{2}$ , we define  $A_{i+1}$  as  $A_i$  and  $B_{i+1}$  as  $\frac{A_i + B_i}{2}$ .

Otherwise, there will be infinitely many  $j$  such that  $\frac{A_i + B_i}{2} \leq B_i$ , and we define  $A_{i+1}$  as  $\frac{A_i + B_i}{2}$  and  $B_{i+1}$  as  $B_i$ .

Notice that we enforce the hypothesis of the recursion on both cases, so we will be able to define  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

More facts can be seen by induction on  $i$ :  $A_i \leq A_{i+1}$  and  $B_i \geq B_{i+1}$  will always hold, and  $B_i - A_i = \frac{b-a}{2^i}$ .

By the monotone convergence theorem,  $\{A_i\}$  converges to a limit  $L$  (nondecreasing and bounded above by  $b$ ) and  $\{B_i\}$  converges to a limit  $M$  (nonincreasing and bounded below by  $a$ ). By the subtraction property of limits of sequences,  $M - L = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_i - A_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b-a}{2^i} = 0$ , so  $L = M$ .

We define  $s_0$  as 0 and define  $s_{i+1}$  as the smallest  $j > s_i$  such that  $x_j \in [A_j, B_j]$ : infinitely many values of  $j$  make the last statement true, so we can find one bigger than  $s_i$ .

The sequence  $\{x_{s_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_i\}$  and it converges to  $L$  because for any  $i$ ,  $A_{s_i} \leq x_{s_i} \leq B_{s_i}$ , and as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $A_{s_i} \rightarrow L$  and  $B_{s_i} \rightarrow L$ , so  $x_{s_i} \rightarrow L$  by the very familiar Squeeze Theorem.

**Definition:** A function  $f$  is continuous on a set  $A$  iff  $(\forall x \in A : \forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists \delta > 0 : \forall y \in A : |x - y| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon)$ .

A function  $f$  is uniformly continuous on a set  $A$  iff  $(\forall \epsilon > 0 : \forall \delta > 0 : \forall x, y \in A : |x - y| < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon)$ .

Notice that uniform continuity is a stronger condition: it allows you to select your  $\delta$  given  $\epsilon$  independently of where you are in the set  $A$ .

**Uniform Continuity Theorem:** If  $a < b$  are real numbers, and  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  then  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $a < b$  and  $f$  is continuous on  $a, b]$ .

Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that  $f$  is not uniformly continuous on  $[a, b]$ .

Then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for each  $\delta$  we can choose  $x, y \in [a, b]$  such that  $|x - y| \leq \delta$  but  $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \epsilon$ .

In particular, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can choose  $x_k, y_k \in [a, b]$  such that  $|x_k - y_k| < \frac{1}{k}$  and  $|f(x_k) - f(y_k)| \geq \epsilon$ .

By the Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem, there is a sequence  $U_k = x_{s_k}$  ( $s$  strictly increasing) which has a limit  $L$ .

Define  $V_k$  as  $y_{s_k}$ . By the Bolzano Weierstrass theorem there is a sequence  $Y_k = V_{t_k}$  ( $t$  strictly increasing) such that  $Y_k$  has a limit  $M$ . Define  $X_k$  as  $U_{t_k}$ : being a subsequence of  $U$ , it has the same limit  $L$  that  $U$  has.

Now  $L - M = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (X_k - Y_k) = 0$ , because  $|X_k - Y_k| = |x_{s_{t_k}} - y_{s_{t_k}}| < \frac{1}{s_{t_k}}$ , which approaches 0 as  $k$  goes to infinity. So  $L = M$ .

Because  $f$  is continuous,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(X_i) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(Y_i) = f(L)$ .

This implies that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) = f(L) - f(L) = 0$ .

But this is impossible, because  $|f(X_i) - f(Y_i)| = |f(x_{s_{t_i}}) - f(y_{s_{t_i}})| \geq \epsilon$  for every  $i$ .

So our assumption that  $f$  was not uniformly continuous must be false.