

Axiomatics of the Reals

we have a set \mathbb{R}
with binary operators
+ and \cdot

field axioms - ninth grade algebra

$$x + y = y + x \quad xy = yx \quad \text{commutative laws}$$

$$(x+y)+z = x+(y+z) \quad (xy)z = x(yz) \quad \text{associative laws}$$

we can regroup and reorder terms in a complicated sum
or factors in a complicated product

This means we can write $x+y+z$, xyz

we have special elements 0 and 1 of \mathbb{R}

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0+x = x+0 = x & | \cdot x = x \cdot 1 = x & \text{identity law} \\ x + (-x) = 0 & x \cdot x^{-1} = 1 & \text{inverse law} \\ & (\text{where } x \neq 0) & \end{array}$$

$$0 \neq 1$$

$$x \cdot (y+z) = xy + xz \quad (x+y) \cdot z = xz + yz \quad \text{distributive law}$$

A system satisfying these axioms is called a field

\mathbb{Q} is a field

\mathbb{R} is a field

\mathbb{Z}_p containing p where p prime is a field for each p .

We add some secret sauce to make \mathbb{Z}_p go away.

Add another relation.

1. dichotomy for any $x, y \in R$, exactly one of $x < y$, $x = y$, $y < x$ holds

2. transitivity

for any $x, y, z \in R$, $x < y \wedge y < z \Rightarrow x < z$

$x \leq y$ is defined as $x < y \vee x = y$

$x > y$ is defined as $y < x$

$x \geq y$ is defined as $y \leq x$

3. monotonicity

for any $x, y, z \in R$, $x < y \Rightarrow x+z < y+z$

More $x+z = \cancel{x} + \cancel{z}$

$x+z < y+z$ then $x+z + (-z) < y+z + (-z)$, $x < y$.

$x-y$ can be defined as $x+z(-y)$, and $\frac{x}{y}$ can be defined as $xz^{-1}(y^{-1})$

If $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, then $xy > 0$.

If $x < y$ and $z > 0$ then $xz < yz$. $2 < 3$ and
 $-2 > -3$

↓ If $x < y$ and $z < 0$ then $xz > yz$

→ If $x < y$ and $z > 0$ then

$$y > x \text{, so } y - x > 0$$

so $(y - x)z > 0$ by positivity

so $yz - xz > 0$ so $yz > xz$ so $xz < yz$.

"dum dum"

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot 0 &= 0 \\x \cdot 0 &= x \cdot 0 + \underline{0} = x \cdot 0 + \underbrace{(x \cdot 0 + -(x \cdot 0))}_{=} \\&= (x \cdot 0 + x \cdot 0) + -(x \cdot 0) \\&= x \cdot (0 + 0) + -(x \cdot 0) \\&= x \cdot 0 + -(x \cdot 0) \\&= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$x \cdot (-y) = - (x \cdot y)$$

Lemma: If $x \cdot y = 0$

Then $y = -x$

Proof: $x \cdot y = 0 \rightarrow -x + (-y) = -x + 0$
 $\Rightarrow y = -x$

$$x \cdot y + x \cdot (-y) = x \cdot (y + -y) = x \cdot 0 = 0$$

so $x \cdot (-y) = -(-x \cdot y)$ by the lemma

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y) \cdot z &= (x + (-y)) \cdot z = x \cdot z + (-y) \cdot z \\ &= xz + -yz \\ &= xz - yz \end{aligned}$$

If $x < y$ and $z < 0$ then $xz > yz$.

$$x < y \rightarrow 0 < y - x \rightarrow y - x > 0.$$

$$z < 0 \rightarrow 0 < -z \text{ so } -z > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } (y - x)(-z) &> 0 \quad \text{so } y(-z) - x(-z) > 0 \\ &-yz + -(-xz) \\ &\cancel{xz} \quad xz - yz > 0 \\ &\text{so } xz > yz. \end{aligned}$$

$$-(-x) = x \quad \text{if } x \cdot y = 0 \text{ then } y = -x$$
$$x + -x = 0 \text{ so } x = -(-x)$$

$-x$ is not (necessarily) a negative number.

$$(-x)(-y) = -((-x)\cdot y) = -(-x\cdot y) = xy$$

not necessarily

$$x < 0 \text{ and } y < 0 \Rightarrow xy > 0.$$

Proof:

$$\text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } 0 < -x \text{ so } -x > 0$$

$$y < 0 \text{ so } 0 < -y \text{ so } -y > 0$$

$$\text{so (positively)} (-x)(-y) > 0 \quad \text{but}$$

$$xy = (-x)(-y) > 0 ..$$

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. If $xy > 0$ then either $x > 0$ and $y > 0$
or $x < 0$ and $y < 0$.

Assume $xy > 0$.

By definition, $x > 0$ or $x = 0$ or $x < 0$.

$x = 0$ can be excluded: if $x = 0$, $xy = 0 \neq X$

if $x > 0$ (case 1)

$y > 0$ ~~or~~ $x > 0$ and $y > 0$ so $(x > 0 \text{ and } y > 0)$
 $\text{or } x < 0 \text{ and } y < 0$

$y = 0$ impossible $xy = 0 \neq X$
~~or~~

$y < 0$ if $x > 0$ and $y < 0$ then $xy < 0 \neq X$

If $x < 0$ and $y \neq 0$

$$y > 0 \rightarrow xy < 0, xy < 0 \times$$

or

$$y = 0 \text{ implies}$$

or

$$y < 0 \quad \cancel{x < 0 \text{ and } y < 0} \rightarrow xy > 0$$

$$\rightarrow x < 0 \text{ and } y > 0 \text{ or } (x > 0 \text{ and } y < 0)$$

Complex numbers make up a field but not an ordered field.

In an ordered field we can prove $|z| > 0$

$$z_0 - z < 0$$

and we can prove (exercise) $x^2 \geq 0$

But $i^2 < 0$ so i cannot be an ordered field.

for example \mathbb{C} is not an ordered field

$$1 + 1 + 1 = 0 \text{ and in } \mathbb{C},$$

$$1 > 0$$

$$1 + 1 > 1$$

$$1 + 1 + 1 > 1 + 1$$

$1 + 1 + 1 > 0$ my dummy

for any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ which is nonempty and bounded above, $\sup(A)$ exists, that is A has a least upper bound.

Proof: for any set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ which is nonempty and bounded below, $\inf(A)$ exists (A has a greatest lower bound)

Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and A is nonempty and $(\forall a \in A : b \leq a) \{ b \text{ is a lower bound}\}$

Show that A has a greatest lower bound.

Consider the set $-A$ defined as $\{-a : a \in A\}$.

$-A$ is nonempty (obviously)

For any x in $-A$, $-x \in A$ so ~~$b \leq x$~~ $b \leq -x$
 $\text{so } x \leq -b$

So $-b$ is an upper bound for $-A$

so $-A$ has a least upper bound c .

We claim that $-c$ is the greatest lower bound of A .

1. $-c$ is a lower bound for A :

If $a \in A$ then $-a \in -A$ and $-a \leq c$

so $a \geq -c$ so $-c$ is an upper bound for A

2. $-c$ is the greatest lower bound of A .

Suppose b is a lower bound of A .

We claim that $-c \geq b$.

~~Since b is a lower bound for A~~

Suppose $x \in -A$. Then $-x \in A$

$$\text{so } -x \geq b$$

$$\text{so } x \leq -b$$

So $-b$ is an upper bound for $-A$

$$\text{so } -b \leq \cancel{-c}$$

$$\text{so } \cancel{\cancel{-c}}$$

$$-c \leq b.$$

