# Commentary on Loglan 3

#### Randall Holmes

January 19, 2022

Stephen Rice's Loglan 3 is the best teaching material we have but it is somewhat out of date and Rice had at least one idea about the interpretation of the language with which I strongly disagree (as does the plain sense of language in Loglan 1) on logical grounds. So I am providing commentary.

# 1 Introduction

- **p. 10:** The eccentric letters **q**,**x** are gone. The letter **h** has an alternative pronunciation as the final consonant in Scottish **loch**, which is always used in syllable-final position (which is now possible).
- **p. 11:** The irregular vowel **y** can also be pronounced as the vowel in English *look* or as the Russian vowel bI.

Consonants m,n,l,r used vocalically are now always doubled.

**p. 12:** Glottal stop is now permitted between adjacent vowels forming two syllables (the pronunciation Rice describes is still legal).

When you want to force a syllable break (as in "Lois") use a hyphen, not a close comma: **Lo-is**. This can be used for any syllable break: the new parser will read syllable breaks (and check for correctness).

There is a new series of lower case vowel letters zia, zie, zii, zio, ziu, ziy which can be suffixed with -ma to get upper case vowels. The old ones are still supported.

Little words are now often called **cmapua** in Loglan.

- p. 13: The statement that a compound little word must be penultimately stressed is *incorrect* (not out of date, it was always incorrect). Stress on cmapua is completely free, with the remark that one must pause between a finally stressed cmapua and an immediately following predicate word (which he does allude to for one-syllable little words). It is not an unreasonable style directive.
- p. 14: The charming guypli would now be guhypli.
- **p. 15:** The pause after a name *must* be written for the current parser. This is a style point that could be changed.
  - Since glottal stop is now permitted between vowels forming a disyllable, the pause before a vowel initial word may be brief, but must be definite.
- **p. 16:** The pauses in a serial name are now of the same grade as the pause at the end of the name, and can, but need not be, represented by commas (whitespace is acceptable).

## 2 Lesson 1

no comments! Lesson 1 is perfect.

#### 3 Lesson 2

**p. 26:** An imperative is created by omitting the first argument of a sentence and also omitting any tense on the verb. We now view a tensed sentence without a subject as if its subject were **ba** (the observative construction). On p. 29, Rice says not to tense imperatives, for reasons he will announce later.

#### 4 Lesson 3

p. 34: I do not believe in pronouncing punctuation. kie X kiu can be modified to kie (X) kiu but not to (X) for the current parser.

lie is simpler now. Just lie house not lie gleca house gleca.

p. 38: lie now works differently. It quotes a block of symbols following it (apart from comma or terminal punctuation at the end of the block); whitespace when quoted is replaced by the little word y set off from what surrounds it by whitespace. lie house; lie John y Brown; lie War y and y Peace. The latter two can be written lie "John Brown"; lie "War and Peace", but this is pronounced the same, with y. One must pause before and after the alien text quoted. One can also say lie War-and-Peace, which includes no pauses.

## 5 Lesson 4

- **p. 49:** I'm very dubious that there is a general principle that little words deducible from context can be omitted. Test.
- **p. 54:** I don't think **bie** is identifying. I'm a mathematician and I think membership is a relation like any other.

**hoi** may **NOT** be omitted before regular names. This leads to phonetic disaster. This is a (necessary) later reform.

#### 6 Lesson 5

p. 60: I have always thought mea was useless (this was a disagreement I had with JCB) and now I can say so officially. The change in meaning in me when it is used as a modifier entirely covers the use of mea. Le meala Ford is adequately captured by Le me la Ford, bekti. I think the parser still accepts mea but this cannot be counted on to continue.

#### 7 Lesson 6

- **p. 69:** The parser now requires a pause before **ha**.
- p. 72: There is an additional situation where a CVC djifoa becomes CVCy: it does so if it is followed by a consonant which makes a pair of consonants which could be initial in a complex. tosmabru is not permitted, correct to tosymabru. This is a later reform. There could easily be predicates in the text which need to be corrected.

# 8 Letter variables

The scope and purpose of the **gao** construction of special letters has changed. **gao azi** is currently not well-formed.

# 9 Lesson 7

(volume 2) p. 5: It is better to use guu to effect shared object arguments.

It is important to note a later reform: words like **ena**, **epa** must be followed by a pause, or suffixed with **fi**, which removes the need for the pause. This applies to all logical and utterance connectives ending in PA or KOU words.

- **p. 10:** I repeat that my parser always requires pauses after regular Loglan names.
- p. 15: Insert commas after ena, efa and similar words. Also, "and later" is efa, not epa (as I believe it is in Loglan). This isn't an error: it is an attempt at reform which was official and which I officially reversed.

## 10 Lesson 8

p. 17: TLI deprecates nigro, black and hopes all users will instead use hekri, black. If it were derived from Spanish, that would be one thing. But a large part of its score comes from English Negro, which is politically and semantically unacceptable.

#### 11 Lesson 9

- p. 27: Item 8 no longer works. Pausing between le and po has no effect, and le, po ridcue ditca ga corta means that the event of teaching reading was short. The best way to say what is wanted in item 8 is le poi ridcue ditca ga corta: poi takes over all short scope uses of po.
- **p. 31:** It is a new rule not known to Rice that one must write an explicit comma pause after an argument **liu** X.

- p. 31: The dialect Rice is teaching allows a lot more use of pauses to close grammatical constructions (what JCB called pause/gu equivalence than ours does; in fact, ours allows almost none. Pauses in current Loglan generally are purely for phonetic reasons with very rare exceptions.
- p. 35, point 1: We repeat that this is now incorrect. po picks up an entire following sentence, pause or no pause (which may be missing its subject and so be a predicate). Short scope is achieved by using poi (and similar forms pui zoi), or by explicitly shortening the scope with guo. Further, if le is followed by po in a way which does not make an event abstraction (as in le ge po ridcue guo ditca ga corta, the reading teacher is short, the ge is required. This is quite unusual, and usually would involve replacing po with poi anyway.
- **point 3:** This is making a nice point but replace **po** with **poi** in all cases, and don't worry about pauses.

# 12 Lesson 10

p. 37: One needs to pause after the connectives such as ikou, inuknou as well as before them. The issue is the same as with ena (and emou) and kin. One can also add the suffix -fi and not need to pause. I would suggest the fi strategy for the logical connectives such as ena and the pause strategy for the utterance connectives in this section. All APA, AKOU, IPA, IKOU words follow this pattern (one must pause after them to shield the PA or KOU component from being misinterpreted, or guard it with -fi).

# 13 Lesson 11

p. 54: A semantic point. Sets do not carry logs. Mass objects carry logs (things built with lo or ze. All that a set does is have members. I absolutely insist that it is Lo to mrenu not Leu to mrenu that carried the log.

**pp. 55:** The various forms of we (**mu**, **muu** do not represent sets. They represent mass objects.

The forms **mo**, **mio**, **mio** are multiples (non-deignating arguments): each of us do something.

The same remarks apply in the other persons.

We also note that we absolutely deny that **mi** and **tu** are singular: they may certainly be plural. No argument in the language is singular on its own. What they are is less specific, and able to be singular.

# 14 Lesson 12

- p. 66: Dimensioned numbers require mue between the number and the unit. lio tonimuekeigei.
- **p. 68:** cao emphasises the following word, but it will be a freemod attached to a previous construction in the parse. It's just the way life is.

Another way of emphasising words is to use the explicit stress markers now available. Stress on a syllable can be indicated by writing 'at the end of the syllable (not after the vowel) and emphatic stress (needed for a predicate whose main syllable is already stressed) using \* instead.

#### 15 Lesson 13

p. 8: A set of men did not take the piano. The -cu suffix was defined off my watch, and I actually need to take time to figure out what if anything it actually means. I think that Tocu mrenu pa tokna lemi pianfa means that for some two men, the mass of those two men took the piano.

Rice is generally talking about sets in situations where what is being talked about is not sets. Semantic cleanup is needed. Grammar is probably fine.

p. 9: I cannot agree with the reading of Tocu leu nema mrenu.

Lo pife le nema mrenu, not Pife leu nema mrenu.

sara is a number, sarara is a numerical predicate. This was an ambiguity created by -ra which I had to resolve.

**p. 10:** I am fairly certain that if I accept **cu** as sensible, it produces mass objects.

# 16 Lesson 14

p. 16: There is a general problem with Rice's treatment of negative arguments. No la Meris does not mean someone other than Mary. When it is used, it says that the action of the sentence on Mary is false.

Sentences 1 and 2 mean the same thing (the meaning he gives for sentence 1.

Sentence 7 means that Mary did not go from Paris to Rome. It does not assert any going to Rome at all.

Sentence 12 is a simple double negative and asserts that I am the father of someone through you. There is no reference to anyone but me and you. There is some subtletly about the quantifier (discussed in the section).

Sentence 13 is a double negative asserting that he went to Spain from France.

- **p. 17:** reiterating, point 2 is simply wrong. A **no** in front of an argument negates the entire sentence, and can be exported to the front of the sentence without changing the meaning.
- **p. 18:** Point 7 is wrong.

#### 17 Lesson 15

p. 30: This affects a number of sentences appearing earlier. My parser does not allow (and Im very doubtful that the grammar ever allowed) dropping goi in front of keks. Carefully put the goi's back in all the examples. I believe this was an actual error. (It might also mean I didnt digest some peculiar rule correctly in the old grammar, but in any case my grammar now requires the goi in all cases.)

# 18 Lesson 16

p. 44: Point 8, along with any examples it refers to, has been changed. If more than one argument appears before the predicate, the little word gio must appear after the first one.

#### Mi gio la Djan, la Meris, farfu

- p. 45: I have always found the rule for fronting arguments with gi incredible. I have changed it. If the first argument in the fronted string is not casetagged, it will be the first argument other than the subject not used once one has read the main part of the sentence. If it is tagged, we know which argument it is and the arguments following it will be the ones following the tagged argument (skipping ones already used) in the natural order (unless they are themselves tagged, which can reset this). In neither case does it cut to the last argument. I'm not going to edit the example sentences.
- p. 48: Here Rice made a change in the language which we have all accepted. The original sole purpose of lao was the construction of Linnaean names. This was a very strange feature for a language to have. The use of lao that Rice proposes is a nice general purpose which includes the original one intended by JCB.
  - The replacement of whitespace with **y** in multi block strings labelled with **lao** happens just as with **lie**. This transformation was originally proposed by Bill Gober for Linnaean names. We use it for **lie** and **sao** as well: **lao John y Brown**, **sao ice y cream**
- p. 53: To reiterate, the block of arguments fronted with gi is not determined by the last argument of the predicate. It is either the first available block not including the subject of unused arguments of the right length, or a block starting in the position indicated by a case tag on the first argument (the position can be reset by another case tag, and zua can be used: so it can in this case be the first argument).