elem-wise multiplication of both. Generally, work in greyscale as there is only 1 channel. RGB would essentially work red, then green, then blue. Complexity of this, with image NxN, kernel KxK, is  $O(N^2, K^2)$ . We can separate the filter usin nussian Filter:  $h(i,j) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}e^{-\frac{i^2+j^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ . Small values outside  $[-k\sigma, k\sigma]$  can be ignored (k = 3 or 4). Also separable; h(i,j) = h(i) \* h(j).  $h(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ . High pass filter: Identity (just a 1 in center) + Identitymoving average] (High Frequency). Processing an image with a filter is done by convoluting the image with the kernel. Definitions of convolution will likely be given in the exam. Probably asked to prove – remember that m-i, n – j can be subbed

Looks at a brightness gradient – needs differentiation. Recall  $f'(x) = \lim_{k \to 0} \frac{f(x+k) - f(x)}{k}$ . For discrete we can use finite difference:  $f'[x] = f[x+1] - f[x] = f[x] - f[x-1] = \frac{f[x+1] - f[x-1]}{2}$ . Prewitt Filter:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{x}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{y}. \text{ Both are separable: } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Input f: } g_{x} = f * h_{x}, g_{y} = f * h_{y}, g = \sqrt{g_{x}^{2} + g_{y}^{2}}, \theta = \arctan\left(\frac{g_{y}}{g_{x}}\right). \text{ If h gaussian: } \frac{dh}{dx} = \frac{-x}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{3}}}e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}. \text{ Canny Edge Detection: } 1$ 

smoothing. 2. Use Sobel filter to calc grad mag and direction. 3. Apply non-maximum suppression to get single response for each edge. M(x, y) = M(x, y) if local maximum, 0 otherwise. 4. Perform Hysteresis thresholding to find potential edges – define t<sub>low,</sub> t<sub>high</sub> – if less than low, reject. If above high, accept as edge. If between then weak edge: if connected to existing edge accept, if it is not then reject. Learning based edge detection: ML algorithm assumes paired data (images x and manual edge maps y). Finds a model with parameters  $\theta$  that maps x to y s.t.  $y = f(x \mid \theta)$ . Integrates from multiple scales with coarse-scale edges to form a final output. Canny Edge detection uses single scale for edge detection,

Lines can be parameterised many ways.  $y=mx+b, \frac{z}{a}+\frac{b}{h}=1, x\cos\theta+y\sin\theta=p$  where  $\theta_{angle}$ ,  $p_{distance}$ . Hough transforms image space (edge map) to parameter space (a line). Each edge 'votes' for possible models in the parameter  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ . The transform will look different – sinusoidal. To perform we do the following: initialise bins  $H(p, \theta) = 0$ . For each edge point (x, y): for  $\theta = [0, \pi)$ , calculate  $p = x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta$  and accumulate  $H(p, \theta) = H(p, \theta) + 1$ . Find  $(p, \theta)$  where  $H(p, \theta)$  is local maximum and larger than threshold. Done similarly to Canny. Reduces false positives. Detected lines are given by  $p = x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta$ . For circles: 1. Init bins H(a, b, r) = 0.  $\forall r \in [r_{min}, r_{max}]$ , let theta be gradient dir,

Interest points useful for processing and analysis. Classification, matching, retrieval, etc. Commonly corners or blobs where local structure rich. Aka key points, landmarks, low level feats. Harris Detector. Corners are intersections of edges. Fo

 $I_x I_y = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ . If M = middle section (everything  $v) = I(x,y) + u \, I_x(x,y) + v \, I_y(x,y) + \cdots \, I_x \text{ is image derivative along x, same for y. } E(u,v) = [u \, v] \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{$ 

either side), we get some simple cases:  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  for flat region,  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$  for edge (large on one of diag.),  $M = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$  for corner. If M is not diagonal matrix we can use Eigen decomposition  $=P \wedge P^T$ ,  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . P is a matrix of eigenvectors of M. If R tells us whether a pixel is a corner, then R can be defined a number of ways.  $R = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$ 

min  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  from Kanade,  $R = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \epsilon$  from Noble.

Detector:  $M = \sum_{x,y} w(x,y) \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2(\sigma) & I_x(\sigma)I_y(\sigma) \\ I_x(\sigma)I_y(\sigma) & I_y(\sigma) \end{bmatrix}$ . Use this at different scales, determine scale with largest response

derivatives  $I_{x}(\sigma)$ ,  $I_{y}(\sigma)$  respectively. Compute M at each pixel. Calculate R. Detect interest points which are local maxima across scale and space with R > threshold, Laplace of Gaussian (LoG): Performs Gaussian smoothing followed by

Laplacian Operator.  $\Delta f = \nabla^2 = \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y^2}$ . Laplacian filter  $= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Second derivative more sensitive to noise: LoG filter is  $f * \left(\frac{\delta^2 h}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 h}{\delta y^2}\right)$ . Since we know 2D gaussian, we have  $\frac{\delta^2 h}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 h}{\delta y^2} = -\frac{1}{\pi \sigma^4} \left(1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ . Log can be good

terest point detector – needs scale multiplication (second der. So twice). LoG<sub>norm</sub> $(x,y,\sigma) = \sigma^2(I_{xx}(x,y,\sigma) + I_{yy}(x,y,\sigma))$ . Difference of Gaussian (DoG): Defined as difference of gaussians with different scales (suggested k =  $\sqrt{2}$ ).  $oG(x,y,\sigma) = I * G(k\sigma) - I * G(\sigma)$ . Approximates Normalised LoG:  $DoG(x,y,\sigma) \approx (k-1)\sigma^2\nabla^2G(x,y,\sigma)$ .  $\partial G = \sigma \sigma^2G = \sigma^2G = \sigma \sigma^$ 

tation invariant. Gradient Orientation: not sensitive to intensity, but still rotation-variant. Histogram: take intensity histogram of patch. Binning intensity values, generating histogram from values. Robust to rotation and scale. Sensitive to intensity. SIFT: 1:Detection of scale-space extrema: Search across scales and pixel locations, looking for interest points. DoG filter, scales continuously multiplied by  $\sqrt{2}$ . A point is of interest if it is local extremum along both scale and space. Bot minima and maxima. If interest point is very bright, DoG < 0; vice versa for dark blob. 2:Keypoint Localisation: initial SIFT simply locates key points at scale-space extrema. Improved version fits model onto nearby data improving accuracy. Quadratic func. can be fitted onto DoG response of neighbouring pixels, location and scale for extremum of QF can be estimated. Denote DoG response as  $D(x,y,\sigma)$  or D(x) where  $x=(x,y,\sigma)^1$ . From Taylor expansion we get  $D(x+\Delta x)$  $D(x) + \frac{\delta D^{\dagger}}{\delta x_{(1)}} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^{\dagger} \frac{\delta^2 D}{\delta x^2} (2) \Delta x. 1)$  first derivative estimated with finite difference:  $\frac{\delta D}{\delta x} = \frac{D(x+1,y,\sigma)-D(x-1,y,\sigma)}{2}$ . Second derivatives / Hessian also estimated with finite difference. Gives quadratic function for  $\Delta x$ , the shift to refined estimate

Therefore to find a refined extrema for the function:  $\frac{\delta D(x+\Delta x)}{\delta \Delta x} = \frac{\delta D}{\delta x} + \frac{\delta^2 D}{\delta x^2} \Delta x = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta x = \frac{\delta^2 D^{-1}}{\delta x^2} \frac{\delta D}{\delta x}$ . Shifting initial by  $\Delta x$  gives us **refined estimate**. This also gives us a refined scale, since it is 3D with a scale-dimension. **3**:Orientation assignment Attempts to assign consistent orientation to each keypoint. Feature descriptors can be represented relative to this orientation, for rotation-invariance. We need to know the orientation of the feature, then we can perform sampling in a rotated prop to sigma rotated by theta. 4:Keypoint Descriptor: We need to describe the local image content<mark>. Histogram of Gradient Orientations.</mark> Made from calculated grad mags and orientation for sampling points. Calculated relative to dominant θ. In practise subregions are used, each with 4x4 samples. For each subregion, construct orientation histo with 8 bins, 45° each. Also represented with 8 arrows, length of arrow representing grad mag in given dir. In Lowe's impl, 16 subregions are ed. This gives descriptor dim of 128 = 16 x 8. Each keypoint described with feature vector containing 128 elems. Robust to rotation due to consideration of dominant orientation. Similarly robust to scale since samples drawn from window op to scale. Robust to intensity since grad orientations used for feat desc. Keypoint Matching: Matched by identifying nearest neighbours (Euclidean distance of SIFT descriptors). Each KP in image a identifies NN in database of KP's for imag

suppose we find kp (x, y) in A that corresponds with (u, v) in B. we assume relation via an affine transformation:  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix}$ . With many pairs, we can extend the eq.  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_1 & 0 & 1 \\ t_x & t_y & t_y & t_y & t_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix}$ .

uared diff sensitive to outliers. Points deemed corresponding that aren't. To ensure robust solution, we can use Random Sample Consensus: 1. Randomly sample some points. 2. Fit line along sampled points. 3. Find number of outliers within threshold of line. 4. Terminate if enough outliers found or we have reached certain iteration no. SIFT can be implemented on FPGAs or can be sped up using algorithmic techniques. 1. Feature Detection: no. of approaches to speed up including parable filtering, down-sampling or cropping Gaussian kernel. 2. Feature Description: can consider whether we can evaluate the Grad Orientation faster or use a different method. 3. Interest point matching: approximate nearest neighbour e lower-dimensional feature vector. SURF (Speeded-Up Robust Features): Only computes gradients along vertical and horizontal directions using Haar wavelets. Surf still uses same subregions and sample points, however, do not calculate oints, the descriptor for given region defined by 4 elems:  $\sum d_{x_1} \sum d_{y_1} \sum |d_{y_1}|$ . If all 4 low, then homogenous region. If zebra-stripe like, then sum would be 0 but sum |d| would be large (in correct dir). If gradually increasing, then in correct direction will be high. SURF still uses 16 subregions, with 64 dimensionality for each interest point. Approx. 5x faster than SIFT, due to simpler Haar wavelets. SURF performs as well as sift in matching. BRIEF: We can furth n the descriptor by quantisation or binarization. Haar wavelets compare local region to another, giving float difference. BRIEF will give binary value (1 if q > p): au(p,q) = 1 if I(p) < I(q); 0 otherwise. BRIEF samples  $n_d$  pairs randomly r bin tests, random pattern determined once, same pattern applied to all interest points. N<sub>d</sub>=256, then we get 256 tests, 1 bit output = (32 bytes, SIFT uses 128, SURF 64.) Fast to compute due to bit shifting. Uses less memory and compares y 2 numbers. Avoids calculating Euclidean distance, as we use Hamming distance (number of bits that differ). Efficient calculation as we can just XOR descriptors and take bit count. NOT rotation or scale invariant. Assumes images taken are atchable interest points. VisualRank. HOG: Histograms of Oriented Gradient extend idea of feature descriptors of large region or whole image. HOG divides large region into dense grid of cells, describes each cell, and concatenates to form lobal description. Divide image into equally spaced cells each 8x8 px. 4 cells form block, calculate Gradient orientation histogram for block (forming description for block). Description vector is normalised to form locally normalised descriptor υ Block is then shifted along horizontally by one cell. Some overlap between blocks. HOG descriptor then formed by concatenating all normalised descriptors. HOG used to perform feature  $\sqrt{||u||_2^2 + e^2}$ .

trees. 12 Subtrees below root: Mammal, bird, fish, reptile, Amphibian, Vehicle, Furniture, Musical Instrument, Geological formation, Tool, Flower / Fruit. Classes defined using concepts from natural language defined by wordnet (lexical db). Groups nouns into sets of cognitive synonyms each with distinct concept. Synnets form leaves. Classifiers classify images into groups. When labels are not available, we perform unsupervised training; a common technique is to perform ustering. MNIST: handwritten data recognition. 60,000 training samples, 10,000 for testing. Each sample is 28x28 image, classed 0-9. Preprocessing: find digit, normalise digit size to 28x28. Normalise location so mass centre is image centr Slant correction may be performed, shifting each row so principal axis is vertical. Can extract features via either HOG or px intensity or learnt features such as CNNs. Afterward, perform classification with KNN for example. To define neighbour use distance metric like Euclidean distance (hypotenuse). In our case we have 784 (28x28) dimensions. If different scales, can be better to normalise feature vector. Example is to normalise to Gaussian distribution N(0, 1), allowing dims to be

 $\frac{x\cdot y}{|x||y|} = \frac{x\cdot y\cdot + \cdots + x_ny_n}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right]^n\sum_{j=1}^n y_i^2}.$  Lp-norm: (Manhattan p = 1, Euclidean p = 2):  $D_p(x,y) = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i-y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$  Using k = 1 already works  $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2}$ 

3 ideal, 2.4% error rate. KNN no training step, simple (but effective) and multi-class capable. Expensive to use (storage training data, searching has high computation cost). Computational cost to classify M test imag with N training is O(MN). Don't mind slower training, as can be performed ahead of time. Test time must be fast. Pixel intensities as feature vector is fine for simple pre-processed images, but not ideal since scale and rotation variant. Line: Classifier: in 2D has the form  $w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + b = 0$ . Rule of classifier assigns class c based on c = 1 if  $(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + b \ge 0)$  else -1. Once we know  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , we can discard training data. Much faster in test time. More generally (w:  $\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{-1}$ . We can derive distance as follows:  $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$ . SVM then optimises  $\min_{\mathbf{w},b} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C\sum_{t=1}^N \xi_t$  subject to  $\forall i : \xi_t \geq 0; y_t(\mathbf{w}.\mathbf{x}_t + b) \geq 1 - \xi_t, \xi_t = 0$  when

classified or between 0 and 1 when within the margin. Otherwise distance to margin. C is regularisation term (small C means easily fulfilled constraints, large C leads to tighter margin. Can formulate slack variable as  $\xi_l = \max(0,1-1)$ . Note that both are convex functions, hence we know there is a minimum, and that local min is global min. Non-negative weighted sum of two convex funcs is also convex.  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in R, \forall t \in [0,1] \ [f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) + (1-t)x_2]$ 

more generalised concept for a gradient, the subgradient:  $\nabla_w h = -y_i x_i$  if  $(y_i(w, x_i + b) < 1)$  else 0. This is similar for  $\nabla_b h$  but we mostly focus on w for the derivation. For each iteration, we move along the negative of the gradient by length  $\eta$ :  $\omega^{(k+1)} = \omega^{(k)} - \eta(2\omega^{(k)} + C\sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_w h)$ . Can also use Lagrangian Duality: often used in sym libraries. At test time, we can classify using: c = 1 if  $(f(x) = w, x + b \ge 0)$  else -1. Works well if data is linearly separable. If not separable in the original feature space, then it may be easier to transform features. Consider dataset with 2 classes. The first being a circle of points centred around some point  $x_c$ , and another class, a ring around the first. Using transform  $\Phi(x) = (x - x_c)^2$ , the first class has lower value (closer to  $\mathbf{x}_c$  which is squared). The second class is much higher, thus separating points. Therefore, if we transform feature vector using  $\mathbf{\Phi}$ , the classifier also much change.  $f(x) = (\sum_i a_i y_i \Phi(x_i)) \cdot \mathbf{\Phi} x + \mathbf{b}$ . We also define the kernel (not same as filtering) as:  $k(x_i, x_j) = \Phi(x_i) \cdot \Phi(x_j)$ . The classifier only contains the kernel:  $f(x) = \sum_i a_i y_i k(x_i, x) + \mathbf{b}$ . The common kernels use for SVM are: linear  $k(x_i, x_j) = x_i, x_j$ .

olynomial  $k(x_i, x_j) = (x_i, x_j)^d$  or  $(1 - x_i, x_j)^d$ , or Gaussian  $k(x_i, x_j) = e^{-\frac{\left||x_i - x_j|\right|^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ . Note that only binary classification at the moment. For multi-class, we can take several approaches: 1 v rest: we train classifier for each digit, first classifies 1

```
nple Loss function is MSE: J(W,b) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2} \big| |a_m - y_m| \big|^2. To calculate the output of network a given x, use forward propagation.
                       nd back propagation used to calc gradient. We perform a calculation as follows: z_i^{(2)} = W_{i,1}^{(1)} a_1^{(1)} + W_{i,2}^{(1)} a_2^{(1)} + b_1^{(1)}; a_1^{(2)} = f(z_i^{(2)}); \quad z^{(l+1)} = W^{(l)} a^{(l)} + b^{(l)}; a^{(l+1)} = f(z^{(l+1)}). Gradient descent: W = W - a \frac{\delta_1}{\delta W}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                   =\frac{dz}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx}. Using running example, we can derive \frac{\delta J}{\delta a} and \frac{\delta a}{\delta W^{(2)}}, therefore we can use chain rule to get \delta J/\delta W^{(2)}. Stochastic Gradient D
                                        for/back propagation of all samples in one go. A back of B samples are selected for performing propagation. For each iteration, 1. Select random batch of B samples. Calculate Grads \frac{\delta I_B}{\delta B} and \frac{\delta I_B}{\delta B} for this batch. Update
     rameters using before eqn. (J = J<sub>B</sub>). Note that MSE works for regression problems, where y is continuous. However, this is not optimal for classification problems, which can be binary or multiclass. We need single neuron in output for binary
                                              sigmoid, output in probability range. If we predict 0.9 for class 1, ground truth = 1. Distance metric between predicted prob and true prob can be used (cross entropy). Cross entropy: H(p,q) = -\sum_i p_i \log(q_i). In general
case, we have p = [y, 1 \cdot y] and q = [f(z), 1 - f(z)] hence: H(p, q) = -[y \log f(z) + (1 - y) \log (1 - f(z))]. For K classes, there are K neurons in output layer. Activation function used would be softmax, to create prob dist: f(z_i) = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{-k}}. The true probability is represented with 1-hot encoding: p = [y_1, ..., y_i, ..., y_k] = [0, ..., 1, ..., 0] Performance: data augmentation can be used to make more training samples. Applies <u>affine transformations</u> to G samples, translating, squeezing, scaling and shearing. Key limitation of MLP is high no of parameters required. Likely to scale poorly for large images. Caused by flattening of 2D image into single vector rather than performing on 2D images. Convolutional Neural Networks: CNNs assume input of 2D image and encode properties like local connectivity and weight sharing into the arch, reducing param no and making computation more efficient. When we look at an image, we first look at regions, process this info,
     en combine info from different regions to form global understanding. In CNNs, each neuron sees a receptive field in the layer before it. This is local connectivity. Convolutional Layer: basic building blocks. Consider input of XxYxC. If neuron
  equired a 5x5xC cuboid of input, it would have 5x5xCx1 (1 for bias), in/output of neuron: z = \sum_{i,j,k} W_{i,j,k} x_{i,j,k} + b; a = f(z). We can add more neurons to form Dx1x1 output (D=depth) - a_n = f(\sum_{i,j,k} W_{i,j,k} x_{i,j,k} + b_n). Window can be moved
  cross input, gives output of XxYxD. All windows use same weights. Convolutional kernel W has 4 dims: output depth, kernel width & height, input depth: a_d = f(\sum_{i,j,k} W_{d,i,j,k} x_{i,j,k} + b_d). Output feature map has 3 dimensions: out depth, widt
                               ns for layer: Padding (zeroes around edge so output is larger): output shape: X_{out} = X_{in} + 2p - k + 1. Stride (moving more than 1 px at a time): gives stride s x s: X_{out} = \left|\frac{X_{in} + 2p - k}{2p - k}\right| + 1. Dilation (increase region size without
    ownsampling or more params): Using 3x3 kernel, look at a square of 5x5 in image and take every other pixel when convoluting. Pooling Layer: takes a block of pixels from image and takes single value from, i.e. max or average. Can choose
pooling window size. LeNet-5: 7 layers. C=conv,S=pool,F=fully connected: C1: 28x28, depth6, 5x5Conv. S2: 14x14: depth6, max pooling. C3: 10x10, depth16, 5x5 conv. S4: 5x5, depth16. C5: 120 neurons. F6: 84 Neurons. Output: 10 classes. Sa
technique used to optimise loss fn. Deep Neural Networks: GPU improvements = better DNNs. Big issues with previous: exploding gradients – Too large for algorithm to converge; and vanishing gradient – too small for algorithm to make
                                                                                                                             _{lin} if (g_i < v_{\min}); v_{max} if (g_i > v_{max}); g_i otherwise. Or; clip by l2 norm: g = \{rac{g}{||g||}v if (||g|| > v); g otherwise. Vanishing problem caused on either extreme of sigmoid. Sigmoid derives
f'(z) = \frac{e^{-z}}{(1-e^{-z})^2} = f(z)(1-f(z)). Propagated der: \frac{\delta J}{\delta z^{(l)}} = \left(\left(W^{(l)}\right)^T \frac{\delta J}{\delta z^{(l+1)}}\right) \circ f'(z^{(l)}). Can be addressed with different activation functions. ReLU: f(z) = \{0 \text{ if } (z < 0) \text{ else } z. Leaky ReLU: f(z) = \{0.01z \text{ if } (z < 0) \text{ else } z. PReLU: f(z) = \{az \text{ if } (z < 0) \text{ else } z. (a learnt in training). Exponential ReLU: f(z) = \{a(e^z - 1) \text{ if } (z < 0) \text{ else } z. AlexNet similar to LeNet but deeper. Performs data augmentation by cropping random 224x224 regions from og 256x256 images. Horizontal
       es localisation, refine with CNN feats. CNN gives 2 branch output – fully connected to K classes, compared against ground truth class label (cross entropy). And another connected to four variables for bounding box, compared against ground
 ruth bounding box. Added together and optimised. Expensive to apply CNN to each pixel of the image. Object detection therefore split into 2-stage detection: 1. Region proposal (initial guesses to propose possible regions) and detector: predi
  ounding box of said regions. One stage detection skips guessing by dividing image into grid cells and classifying on them cells. Selective Search: rarely
   to CNN giving feature map describing image. Feature map fed into RPN which gives regions to consider. Classifier / detector looks closely at proposed regions, can refine shape of bounding box. AlexNet/VGG-16 known as backbone networks
(often pre-trained) with last conv layer being used as convolutional feature map. Both stages rely on feature map. Every pixel of feat map is high-dim feat vector, describing content of small region in input image. Can use 3x3 window to pe
                                    iving 0 if isn't interesting, 1 if it is. Handles object of different sizes / aspect ratios by making k predictions. For example, 3 scales and 3 aspect ratios. These are anchors. The loss is defined as: L(p,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}
                                          _{oc}(t_i, t_i^*). Classification Loss L_{ds} calculated with cross entropy, comparing pred objectness p_i with ground truth p_i^*. The localisation loss attempts to refine bounding box. Either directly predict values for bound box or the
    tis (p, y) + λ.1<sub>yz0</sub>L<sub>loc</sub>(t, t*). Classification loss now multi-class prob. RPN and detection network similar. Input to RPN is class-
agnostic. Detection network doesn't need anchors. One stage Object detection uses methods such as YOLO and SSD. RPN in 2-stage uses binary classifier. This changes to multi-class which predicts object for each anchor. Faster R-CNN more
       age segmentation
 even more detailed understanding than bounding box
              label map. Label defined as f(x) = 1 if (\tilde{I}(x) \ge threshold); 0 otherwise. Requires no training data, only parameter is threshold. Relies on intensity histogram split into 2 classes. K-means: Generally, more than 2 classes.
method for clustering by estimating params. Each cluster represented by centre; each px intensity associated with nearest centre. Optimal centres minimise intra-class variance: C_k = data point assoc. with k: \min \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{x \in C_k} (x - \mu_k)^2
Reformulated with delta denoting membership. \min\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{x\in C_k}\delta_{x,k}(x-\mu_k)^2. If we know delta, we can work out mu, and vice versa. Can be done iteratively. To determine no. of clusters k, can calculate class-variance for each k. can be
                                                                                                                     \mu_{k} = \frac{\sum_{j} P(y_{j}=k) \cdot x_{j}}{\sum_{j} P(y_{j}=k)}, \sigma_{k}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{j} P(y_{j}=k) \cdot (x_{j}-\mu_{k})^{2}}{\sum_{j} P(y_{j}=k)}
before. Each pixel in feat map of AlexNet encodes info about 16x16 region in input image. We can obtain classification-results from feat map. Convolutionalisation replaces fully-connected layers with conv layers enabling network to produce
pixel-wise prob map (each class has heat map). Note depth of final network represents no of classes. Note that upsampling must be performed to obtain pixel-wise prediction since feat map is downsampled. Transposed convolution can act
                                                                                                                                                       \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Transposed can then be } \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ w_1 \\ | x_2 | \\ w_3 \end{bmatrix}_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix}. Classification can be turned into segmentation by replacing fully connected layers with conv and transposed converged by the converged converged by the converged converged by the converged converged by the converge
Motion
estimate (x + u, y + v) at t + 1. 3 Assumptions: Brightness constancy: from 2 time frames from a video: assume two corresponding points will have same greyscale intensities. Small motion: objects wont move much per frame. Spatial coherer
neighbouring pixels should move similarly. Optic Flow Constraint: I(x+u,y+v,t+1) = I(x,y,t). We can FO taylor expand on LHS, based on small motion: I(x+u,y+v,t+1) \approx I(x,y,t) + \frac{\delta t}{\delta x}v + \frac{\delta t}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \begin{bmatrix} I_x(p_1) & I_y(p_1) \\ I_x(p_2) & I_y(p_2) \end{bmatrix}_{t,X}
                                                                                            0. Lucas-Kanade: there are 2 unknowns: u, v. using spatial coherence: [I_x(p) \ I_y(p)] \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = -I_t(p). Assume u, v are same for small neighbourhood, then we have sys of linear eq: A_t(p) = A_t(p).
\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{bmatrix} I_t(p_2) \\ ... \end{bmatrix}
                                     Unknowns estimated with least square: x = argmin_x ||Ax - b||^2. Least square uses Moore-Penrose method to find solution. x =
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            b. Note first seen in Harris detector. Related to cornerness. cond(A^{T}A) =
Motion of corner easier to track than edge. Aperture Problem. Motion of line ambiguous through aperture. Must calc image gradients either with finite diff, or sobel/prewitt. As well as finite difference between time frames. Then apply leasi
square as above. Assumption fails when motion is large. Use Multi-scale or multi-resolution network. Motion will look smaller in downsampled image. Flow can be estimated using scale pyramids on time-frames. Flow field obtained by sur all incr. flows. Multi-scale Lucas Kanade: for all scale I: 1. Upsample flow estimate from previous scale u^{(t+1)} = 2u^{(t+1)}, same for v. 2. Compute warped image. J_{warped}^{l} = J^{l}(x + u^{(t+1)}, y + v^{(t+1)}). 3. Compute partial der. At new scale: I_{t} = u^{(t+1)} = u^{
                (x,y) = I^l(x,y). 4. Estimate inc. flow: \begin{bmatrix} u^\delta \\ ,,\delta \end{bmatrix} = (A^TA)^{-1}A^Tb. 5. Update Flow: u^{(l)} = u^{(l+1)} + u^\delta, same for v. Horn-Schunck: Optimisation based method: global energy function for flow. E(u,v) = \int \int_{(x,y)\in\Omega} (I_x u + I_y v + I_t)^2 + \alpha(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla u
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            \frac{I(\chi|y)^{\left(I_{\chi}\bar{u}+I_{y}\bar{v}+I_{t}\right)}}{}
                                                       relates to optic flow, we want to minimise. Second half is smoothness term, minimising grad for u, v. Achieves a smooth flow field. Integrated over every pixel in omega. u|v=
```

Initialise flow field u=0, v=0. 3. For each iteration k: 3.1 Calculate Average flow field  $\bar{u}^{(k)}, \bar{v}^{(k)}$ . 3.2: update flow field. 4. Terminate if change of val is smaller than threshold or max iterations. Tracker: aims to estimate motion from template image I min  $E(u,v) = \sum_x \sum_u (I(x,y) - J(x+u,y+v))_{(1)}^2$ . Goal is to find how template moves from frame to frame. Can diff E wrt u,v and set to  $0 = \sum_x \sum_u (I(x,y) - J(x+u,y+v))_{(1)}^2 = \sum_x \sum_u (I(x,y) - J(x+u,$ 

 $\frac{\delta E}{\delta v} = -2\sum_{x}\sum_{y}\left[-I_{t}-I_{x}y-I_{y}v\right]I_{y} = 0.$  We also have matrix form.  $\begin{bmatrix} u\\v \end{bmatrix} = \left(\sum_{x}\sum_{y}\begin{bmatrix}I_{x}^{2}&I_{x}I_{y}\\I_{x}I_{y}&I_{y}^{2}\end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1}\sum_{x}\sum_{y}\begin{bmatrix}I_{x}&I_{t}\\I_{y}&I_{t}\end{bmatrix}.$  Compared to Lucas Kanade optic flow, we sum over pixels within template image, whereas former sums over small

neighbourhood. In general case where motion more complex, we can use parametric model for motion:  $W(x,y;p) = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\min_{u,v} E(u,v) = \sum_x \sum_y \left( I(x,y) - J(W(x,y;p)) \right)^2$ . Lucas-Kanade is classical method with assumptions that may not hold. Correlation Filter: aim to max correlation between template feat and image feats.  $(f * h)[n] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty f[m]h[n + m]$ . Correlation can be more robust to illumination changes, compared to sum sq diff. In 2D images, we have a search window (in next time frame) and a box with the same size as the template. We can calculate correlation for each position (taking max). Use more discriminative features, such as those learnt from CNNs. Can use jamese two) networks to compare features. Each pixel in score map relation to position of search window. Can be trained with supervised learning. Paired images can be extracted from videos and annotated. This defines ground truth for

is images (two) networks to compare features. Each pixel in score map relation to position of search window. Can be trained with supervised learning. Paired images can be extracted from videos and annotated. This defines ground truth for score map. Tracking by Detection: Object tracking is performing object detection at each frame. In tracking, typically have initial bounding box, and only care about how single object moves, without considering objects in background. Since we are only interested in object in initial bounding box, we can learn specific features. Can perform online learning (using info from video as it's streamed), compared to offline (uses data from existing dataset). For object tracking, more interested in online learning. At each sliding window, we perform classification and localisation. +ve samples are extracted from first time frame. Note that hard samples are objects that look quite similar to the object we want to track. Can perform data augmentation to obtain more training data. More samples from more time frames = more to train with. Majority of network can be pre-trained with large datasets, only last few layers need online training. Fewer parameters in classification and localisation branches, trained with fewer samples.

Camera Model

# Closely related to motion, mapping 3D world to 2D image. Denote 3D cords as X and 2D cords as X. Mapping is described with camera matrix P: x = PX. Pinhole Camera: simplest case, can be generalised to others. Pinhole is very small hole

Note dark room on left side; image is flipped. Want to mode how **X** is mapped to **x** on image plane. Image plane is rotated by 180° and put as virtual image plane in from of pin hole. More convenient, image no longer flipped. Pinhole is camera origin, and distance from camera origin to image plane is focal length f. In one dimension, we can use similar triangles:  $(X, Y, Z) \Rightarrow (f\frac{x}{z}, f\frac{y}{z})$ . Homogenous cords mean (x, y, 1) is same as (kx, ky, k) for any k. In homogenous cords,  $(X, Y, Z, 1) \Rightarrow (fX, fY, Z)$ . **Principle point offset**: in CCD array, image origin define as bottom/top left, hence image coordinate system origin may differ from Principle point p (centre of image plane). To account,  $(X, Y, Z, 1) \Rightarrow (f\frac{x}{z} + p_x, f\frac{y}{z} + p_y, 1)$  can be

matrified. World Coordinate System: if rotation, need to factor in 3D rotation matrix R:  $X_{cam} = R(X - C)$ . Note inhomogenous coords; Using Homogenous,  $\begin{bmatrix} X_{cam} \\ Y_{cam} \\ Z_{cam} \\ Z_{cam} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R & -RC \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(Y)}$ . Camera Matrix: map world coord X to image coord x.

We have the following:  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & p_x & 0 \\ 0 & f & p_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & -\mathbf{RC} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}$ . Camera matrix can be written more concisely as:  $\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & p_x \\ 0 & f & p_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{R} & -\mathbf{RC}] = \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{R} \mid -\mathbf{RC}] = \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{$ 

3 for 3D translation. Still representing image coordinates in same units as camera coordinates. We want conversion ratio  $k_x, k_y$  like pixels per millimetre:  $\left(f\frac{x}{z} + p_x, f\frac{y}{z} + p_y, 1\right) \Rightarrow \left(k_x\left(f\frac{x}{z} + p_x\right), k_y\left(f\frac{y}{z} + p_y\right), 1\right)$ . Converts camera to  $a_x, a_y$  instead of f and  $x_0, y_0$  in place of  $p_x, p_y$ . Can be increased to 11 degrees of freedom when considering skew. **Calibration**: Assume we know 3D structure, we want to estimate camera matrix: Given set of points  $\{X_i, x_i\}$  we want to estimate **P**,

similar to many previous problems. Mapping:  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_5 & p_6 & p_7 & p_8 \\ p_9 & p_{10} & p_{11} & p_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ p_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \end{bmatrix} X$ . The second form allows us to have the following:  $x = \frac{p_1^T X}{p_2^T X}$ ,  $y = \frac{p_2^T X}{p_3^T}$ . Rearranged we object multiple equations: Can develop an overdetermined matrx such

 $\begin{bmatrix} X_1^2 & 0 & -X_1^2 X_1 \\ 0 & X_1^T & -X_1^T Y_1 \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots \\ X_n^T & 0 & -X_n^T X_n \end{bmatrix}$ . Due to this form, solution p is null space of A. This can be resolved adding a constraint P =  $argmin_p ||AP||^2$ . This can be solved using SVM for A. You can't use this information to track drones, for example. Once info gathered, to id correspondences between points.  $\begin{bmatrix} X_1^T & -X_1^T Y_1 \\ X_n^T & 0 & -X_n^T X_n \end{bmatrix}$