

Peer Evaluation Your assistance is required in evaluating the presentations of your peers. Please the circle the number that best describes your perception of each group's presentation. Keep the following grading rubrics in mind.

- Volume: Are the speakers loud enough? Can you hear them even in the back of the room?
- Presence: Do the speakers command the room? Do they draw your attention or do they just look like they want this to be over as soon as possible?
- Emphasis: Do the speakers use intonation to signal what words or concepts are most important? Or do they appear to be reciting a speech flatly?
- Eye Contact: Are the speakers engaged with the audience? Do they seem connected or do they seem to be going through the motions?
- Clarity: Did the speakers convey a clear sense of what the project is about? If you had to tell someone what their project was about in three sentences could you do it?
- Mastery: Do the speakers appear to have full command of the material? Were there obvious gaps in their knowledge of the subject matter? Were they able to answer questions coherently?

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 1: Group F - Bailey, Iris, and Suzanne

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 2: Group D - Becky, Ina, and Julieanna

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 3: Group K - Emerson, Lousia, and Lydia

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 4: Group B - Ellie, Mojdeh, and Sophia

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 5: Group J - Allie, GiGi, and Michaela

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 6: Group I - Lauren, Michelle, Natalie, and Zoe

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 7: Group C - Lauren and Priscilla

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 8: Group L - Jin and Julia

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 9: Group G - Aakanksha, Erina, and Kosi

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 10: Group H - Claudia and Emma

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 11: Group A - Joanna, Kim, and Olivia

Criteria	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Comments
Volume	1	2	3	4	5	
Presence	1	2	3	4	5	
Emphasis	1	2	3	4	5	
Eye Contact	1	2	3	4	5	
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5	
Mastery	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 12: Group E - Ellen, Katie, and Trang