

# 106349 - Advanced probability

Nick Crawford

November 15, 2018

## Abstract

## 1 Introduction. Summary of course through an example. Branching process

We have an individual that gives a birth to a random number of offsprings – random variable  $X$ .  $X$  define a distribution, i.e.,  $P : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , i.e.,  $P(X = k) \in [0, 1]$ , and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X = k) = 1$ .

**Definition 1.1.**  $f_X(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k P(X = k)$  – moment-generating function.

The series is absolutely convergent for  $\theta \in [-1, 1]$  since  $k$  sums to 1. For  $\theta \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $f_X$  is analytic, thus we can differentiate it term-by-term:

$$f'_X(\theta) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \theta^{k-1} P(X = k)$$

Since,  $f_X$  is analytic, knowing it means knowing  $P(X = k)$  and vice versa.

Note that  $f_X(0) = P(X = 0)$  and  $f_X(1) = 1$ . Also

$$f'_X(1) = \sum_{k \geq 0} k P(X = k) = \mathbb{E}X = \mu$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \frac{f_X(1) - f_X(\theta)}{1 - \theta} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - f_X(\theta)}{1 - \theta}$$

Note also that  $f_X$  is convex, since second derivative is positive.

**Size of  $n^{th}$  generation** Let  $(X_r^{(n)})_{n,r=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $n$  is generation and  $r$  is offspring number (index) in  $n^{th}$  generation.

Assume  $X_r^{(n)}$  are i.i.d. (independent, identically distributed) random variables.

Identically distributed means

$$P(X_n^r = k) = P(X = k)$$

Independence means

$$P(\forall i < J \ X_{r_i}^{n_i} = k) = \prod_{i=1}^J P(X_{r_i}^{n_i} = k)$$

Define  $z_1 = X_1^1$ .  $z_2 = \sum_{r=1}^{z_1} X_r^2$  and so on:

$$z_{n+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{z_n} X_r^n$$

We want to study asymptotics of  $z_n$ .

Given  $U$  and  $V$  taking values in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[U|V = k] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j P(U = j|V = k)$$

, where

$$P(U = j|V = k) = \frac{P(U = j, V = k)}{P(V = k)}$$

If  $U, V$  are independent,  $P(U = j|V = k) = P(U = j)$  and thus  $\mathbb{E}[U|V = k] = \mathbb{E}U$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Define random variable  $\mathbb{E}[U|V]$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}[U|V] = \mathbb{E}[U|V = k]$$

if  $V = k$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Tower property).

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[U|V]] = \mathbb{E}U$$

Define

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k P(z_n = k) = \mathbb{E}\theta^{z_n}$$

**Theorem 1.1.**

$$f_{n+1}(\theta) = f_n(f_X(\theta))$$

or

$$f_n(\theta) = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_{n \text{ times}}(\theta)$$

*Proof.* Use tower property with  $U^{z_{n+1}}$  and  $V = \theta^{z_n}$ . By tower property

$$\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n}]]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n}]] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(z_n = k) \mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n} = k]$$

What is  $\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n} = k]$ ?

$$\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n} = k] = \mathbb{E}[\theta^{\sum_{j=1}^k X_j^{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n} = k] \stackrel{\text{independence}}{=} \mathbb{E}[\theta^{\sum_{j=1}^{z_n} X_j^{n+1}}] \stackrel{\text{independence}}{=} \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbb{E}[\theta^{X_j^{n+1}}] \stackrel{\text{i.d.}}{=} (f_X(\theta))^k$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|\theta^{z_n}]] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(z_n = k) (f_X(\theta))^k = f_n(f(\theta))$$

Also we can say

$$\mathbb{E}[\theta^{z_{n+1}}|z_n] = (f_X(\theta))^{z_n}$$

□

**Study of  $z_n$**  What is  $\pi_n = P(z_n = 0) = f_n(0) = f(\pi_{n-1})$ , probability that population is extinguished. Since  $z_{n-1} = 0 \Rightarrow z_n = 0$ , i.e.  $\pi_n$  is non-decreasing.

Let  $P(z_n = 0 \text{ for some } n) = \pi$ .

We hope that  $\{z_n = 0\}$  such that

$$\bigcup_n \{z_n = 0\} = \{z_n = 0 \text{ for some } n\}$$

i.e.,  $\pi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_n$ . We call  $\pi$  the extinction probability.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $\mu = \mathbb{E} > 1$  then  $\pi$  is a unique root of  $\pi = f(\pi)$  and  $\pi \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\mu \leq 1$ ,  $\pi = 1$ .

If we look at  $f(\pi)$  and  $\pi$ , they intersect in 1, and they can intersect in two points since  $f(x)$  is convex. There is second intersection iff  $f'(1) = \mu > 1$ .

**Construction of  $X_n^r$**  Construct set  $\Omega$ ,  $f_{n,r} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  with  $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{0, 1\}^\Omega$ .

The problem is when we have infinitely number of variables.

**Example** Example of not well-behaved triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$ . Now  $\mathcal{F} = \{C \subset \mathbb{N} : C \text{ has density}\}$ .  $C$  has density means

$$\frac{|C \cap \mathbb{N}|}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(C)$$

However, for  $C(m) = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\forall m$   $\rho(C_m)$ , and

$$\rho\left(\bigcup C_m\right) = 1$$

Thus  $(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{F}, \rho)$  is not a good probability space, since it doesn't fulfill this  $\pi_n \rightarrow \pi$  property. Note we can define other probabilities on naturals, for example

$$P(\{i\}) = 2^{-i}$$

**Asymptotics of  $z_i$**  Assuming  $\pi \in (0, 1)$ , what is behavior of  $z_n$ ?

**Definition 1.4.**  $z_n$  is a Markov chain if

$$P(z_{n+1} = j | z_i = k_i \quad \forall i \leq n) = P(z_{n+1} = j | z_n = k_n)$$

We can use to compute expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[z_{n+1} | z_i = k_i \quad \forall i < n] = E[z_{n+1} | z_n = k_n]$$

Then, since  $E\left[\sum_{i=1}^J X_i^n\right] = J\mu$

$$E[z_{n+1} | z_n] = \mu z_n$$

Let  $M_n = \frac{z_n}{\mu^n}$  then  $\mathbb{E}[M_n] = 1$ . Also

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{n+1} | z_0, \dots, z_n] = M_n$$

This is a definition of martingale with respect to  $z_0, \dots, z_n$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  we say  $S$  happens almost surely (a.s.) if

$$P(\{w \in \Omega : S \text{ is true for } w\}) = 1$$

**Theorem 1.3 (Martingale convergence theorem).** If  $M_n$  is a positive martingale then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = M_\infty$  exists a.s. and

- $\mu \leq 1$ .  $M_\infty = 0$  a.s. That means  $\mathbb{E}M_\infty = 0$  but  $\mathbb{E}M_n = 1$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n\right] < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[M_n]$$

- $\mu > 1$ . If  $M_\infty > 0$  with positive probability then  $z_n \sim \mu^n M_\infty$ .

**Lemma 1.1 (Fatou's lemma).**

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n\right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[M_n]$$

**Theorem 1.4.**

$$\mathbb{E}[M_\infty] = 1 \iff \mu > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[X \log(X)] < \infty$$

## 2 Overview of measure theory

**Notation**

- $S$  is a set.
- $\mathcal{A}$  is algebra of subsets of  $S$

1.  $S \in \mathcal{A}$

2.

$$E \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow E^C \in \mathcal{A}$$

, where  $E^C = S \setminus E$

3.

$$E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow E_1 \cup E_2 \in \mathcal{A}$$

meaning

$$E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow E_1 \cap E_2 \in \mathcal{A}$$

- $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if the last item works for countable union.
- $E \Delta F = E \setminus F \cup F \setminus E$

**Definition 2.1.** A measurable space is a pair  $\{S, \mathcal{F}\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** If we have  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i$  is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $C$  be a collection of subsets of  $S$ .  $\sigma(C)$  is a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $C$  ( $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $C$ ). It is easy to construct one

$$I = \{\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \supset C\}$$

and then

$$\sigma(C) = \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in I} \mathcal{F}$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\{S, \mathcal{F}\}$  be a topological space.  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra) is defined as  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by open sets. We denote  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Exercise**

$$\pi(\mathbb{R}) = \{(-\infty, x], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Show that  $\sigma(\pi(\mathbb{R})) = \mathcal{B}$

**Definition 2.4.** Additive set function on a collection of sets  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$\forall E, F \in \mathcal{F} \ E \cap F = \emptyset \quad \mu(E \cup F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F)$$

We say  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive if same holds of countable infinite sets

$$\forall \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \ E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset \quad \mu(E \cup F) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$$

**Definition 2.5.** A triple  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is a measure space if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $S$  and  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 2.6.**  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is finite if  $\mu(S) < \infty$

$(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if

$$\exists \{E_i, \mu(E_i) < \infty\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \quad S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$$

**Definition 2.7.** If  $\mu(S) = 1$ ,  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is probability space.

**Definition 2.8.**  $E$  is null if  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.9.**  $\phi$  is said to be true almost everywhere with respect of  $\mu$  if

$$\mu(\{X : \phi(X) = \text{False}\}) = 0$$

## 2.1 Results from measure theory

**Definition 2.10.** A collection of sets  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a  $\pi$ -system if  $E, F \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow E \cap F \in \mathcal{D}$

**Theorem 2.2 (Uniqueness).** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a  $\pi$ -system generating a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two finite measures on  $\mathcal{F}$  which agree on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

**Collary 2.1.**  $(S, \mathcal{F}, P_1), (S, \mathcal{F}, P_2)$  probability spaces,  $P_1 = P_2$  on  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $P_1 = P_2$ .

**Theorem 2.3 (Carathéodory's extension theorem).** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of sets.  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$   $\sigma$ -additive set function on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then exists unique extension  $\bar{\mu} : \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\bar{\mu} = \mu_0$ .

**Homework** Lebesgue on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathcal{A} = \{\text{open set}\}$ . If we have

$$O = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)$$

then

$$\mu_0(O) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i - a_i$$

Check that  $\mu_0$  is well defined and  $\sigma$ -additive.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $(S, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  measure space.  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(F_i)$$

If  $\mu(S) < \infty$

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B)$$

From that we get inclusion-exclusion:

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) - \sum_{i \neq j} \mu(A_i \cap A_j) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right)$$

**Exercise** Proof the lemma

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$  then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n)$$

If  $\mu(S) < \infty$  and  $F_n \supseteq F_{n+1}$  then

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_n)$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\mu(S) < \infty$ . Define  $F_{\infty} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ . Let  $G_n = F_n \setminus F_{n+1}$ . Then

$$F_{\infty} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$$

Meaning

$$\mu(F_{\infty}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(G_i)$$

$$\mu(F_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(G_k)$$

Since measure is finite, the tail of series tends to 0, thus

$$\mu(F_{\infty}) - \mu(F_n) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \mu(G_k) \rightarrow 0$$

Then we can take complements and get the second statement. □

**Exercise** Proof unconditionally

### 3 Recasting measure theory as probability

**Definition 3.1.** A probability space is a  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  is a measure space such that  $P(\Omega) = 1$ . We call  $\omega \in \Omega$  an outcome.  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  is an event.  $P(E)$  is probability of the event.

**Example** Tossing finite or infinite sequence of coins.

#### Tossing 4 coins

$$\Omega = \{HHHH, HHHT, HHTH, \dots, TTTH, TTTT\}$$

$$\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$$

$$P(\omega \in \Omega) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}$$

#### Tossing infinite number coins

$$\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$\Omega$  has a natural topology which is called a product topology. It is coarsest topology such that  $\pi_i : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$   $\pi_i(\omega) = \omega_i$  is continuous.

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ .

Smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that

$$\pi_i^{-1}(0) \subset \Omega \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\pi_i^{-1}(1) \subset \Omega \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\pi_i(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \left( \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1\}^{\{0, 1\}} \right)$$

Natural  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{F}_n$  smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra making  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$  measurable.

Note that

**Proposition 3.1.**

$$\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n \neq \mathcal{F}$$

*Proof.* Define  $S_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i$ .

$$X_n = \frac{S_n(\omega)}{n}$$

Define

$$Y(\omega) = \limsup X_n(\omega)$$

$$E = \left\{ \omega : Y(\omega) \geq \frac{1}{3} \right\}$$

$$E \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$$

□

**What  $\mathcal{F}_n$  looks like?** For example,  $\mathcal{F}_2$  has 4 outcomes, deciding only first two tosses.

**Note** If we take  $(\Omega_4, \mathcal{F}^{(4)}, P_4)$ , restricting to  $(\Omega_3, \mathcal{F}^{(3)}, P_3)$

$$P_4(\{(0, 0, 0, \omega_4)\}) = P_3(\{(0, 0, 0)\})$$

Thus we want  $P_{fair}$  defined on  $\Omega$  to fulfill same property:

$$P_{fair}(E) = P_n(\tilde{E})$$

where  $E \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ .

**Definition 3.2.**  $E \subset \mathcal{F}$  occurs almost surely (a.s.) if  $P(E) = 1$ .

**Definition 3.3 (lim sup and lim inf).** Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of events.

$$\limsup E_n = \bigcap_m \bigcup_{n \geq m} E_n = \{E_n \text{ occurs infinitely often (i.o.)}\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \forall m \exists n(\omega) > m \quad \omega \in E_n(\omega)\}$$

Alternatively,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $\{E_n\}$  there is a natural map

$$I : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

$$\omega \mapsto \{1_{E_n}(\omega)\}$$

where

$$1_E(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega \notin E \\ 1 & \omega \in E \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\liminf E_n = \bigcup_m \bigcap_{n \geq m} E_n = \{E_n \text{ occurs eventually}\} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \exists m(\omega) \forall n \geq m(\omega) \quad \omega \in E_n(\omega)\}$$

**Remark** Since everything is countable, if  $E_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\limsup E_n, \liminf E_n \in \mathcal{F}$

We can write

$$\left\{ \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \right\} = \left\{ \limsup \frac{S_n}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cap \left\{ \liminf \frac{S_n}{n} \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

Choose  $q \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  and take a look at

$$\left\{ \liminf \frac{S_n}{n} > q \right\} = \liminf E_n(q)$$

where  $E_n = \{\omega : \frac{S_n}{n} > q\}$ .

In addition

$$\left\{ \limsup \frac{S_n}{n} < q \right\} = \liminf F_n(q)$$

where  $F_n = \{\omega : \frac{S_n}{n} < q\}$ .

Therefore  $\{\liminf \frac{S_n}{n} > q\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Finally,

$$\left\{ \liminf \frac{S_n}{n} \geq \alpha \right\} = \bigcap_{q < \alpha} \left\{ \liminf \frac{S_n}{n} > q \right\}$$

**Lemma 3.1** (Fatou's lemma).

$$P\left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n\right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(E_n)$$

*Proof.*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n = \bigcup_m \bigcap_{n \geq m} E_n$$

Sets  $F_m = \bigcap_{n \geq m} E_n$  are increasing and  $F_n \subseteq E_n$ , thus

$$P\left[\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n\right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(F_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(E_n)$$

□

**Lemma 3.2** (Fatou's lemma).

$$P\left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n\right] \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(E_n)$$

*Proof.* Note that  $(\limsup E_n)^C = \liminf E_n^C$ , thus this is straightforward from previous lemma.

□

**Lemma 3.3** (First Borel-Cantelli lemma). Let  $\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  be a sequence of events s.t.  $\sum_n P(E_n) < \infty$ , then

$$P(E_n \text{ happens i.o.}) = 0$$

*Proof.*

$$P(E_n \text{ i.o.}) = P\left(\bigcap_m \bigcup_{n \geq m} E_n\right) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{n \geq m} E_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(E_n) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Since  $P(E_n \text{ i.o.})$  is independent on  $m$ , it got to be 0.

□

**Example** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Look at  $P\left(\left|\frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| > \epsilon\right)$ .

### Claim

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{12}{\epsilon^4} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

By 3.3  $P\left(\left|\frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| > \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\right) = 0$  thus

$$\left\{\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \left\{\left|\frac{S_n(\omega)}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right| < \epsilon \text{ eventually}\right\} = 1$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  be measurable spaces.

$$\phi : S \rightarrow \Omega$$

$\phi$  is  $((\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}))$ -measurable if  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \quad \phi^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark**  $\mathcal{C}$  is collection of sets in  $\Omega$ .  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\phi^{-1}(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

•

$$\phi^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} B_i\right) = \bigcap \phi^{-1}(B_i)$$

•

$$\phi^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} B_i\right) = \bigcup \phi^{-1}(B_i)$$

•

$$\phi^{-1}(B^C) = [\phi^{-1}(B)]^c$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}$ .  $\phi$  is measurable iff  $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

**Collary 3.1.**  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\phi$  is measurable iff

$$\forall x \quad \phi^{-1}((-\infty, x]) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{F})$ ,  $(T, \mathcal{T})$ ,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  be measurable spaces. Let  $\phi_1 : S \rightarrow T$  and  $\phi_2 : T \rightarrow \Omega$  measurable. Then  $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1$  is measurable.

*Proof.* Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\phi_2^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{T}$ , and thus  $\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_2^{-1}(B)) \in \mathcal{F}$ , meaning  $(\phi_2 \circ \phi_1)^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$ . □

**Lemma 3.6.**  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\{\phi | \phi \text{ is } \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}\text{-measurable}\}$  is an algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Using previous lemma and the fact  $+$  is continuous, and thus measurable, we define  $\Psi(s) = (\phi_1(s), \phi_2(s))$ .  $\Psi$  is measurable. Take a look at

$$\Psi^{-1}((-\infty, x_1] \times (-\infty, x_2]) = \{s : \phi_1(s) \in (-\infty, x_1], \phi_2(s) \in (-\infty, x_2]\}$$

□

### Notation

$$\phi : (S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathcal{B})$$

We write  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}$  measurable.



### Constructions preserved by measurability

**Proposition 3.2.** If  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  measurable maps  $(S, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathcal{B})$ , then  $\liminf \phi_n$ ,  $\limsup \phi_n$ ,  $\inf \phi_n$ ,  $\sup_n$  are also measurable.

*Proof.* For example, for infimum, we need to show that

$$\left\{s \mid \inf_n \phi_n(s) \leq c\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

or alternatively,

$$\left\{s \mid \inf_n \phi_n(s) > c\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

which is just countable intersection:

$$\bigcap_n \{s : \phi_n(s) > c\}$$

Same for  $\limsup$ , which is just infimum of supremum:

$$\limsup \phi_n = \inf_m \left( \sup_{n \geq m} \phi_n \right)$$

□

### Recall

$$S_n = \text{number of 1's until } n$$

We can view  $s_n$  as a composition of projection and sum:

$$\omega \mapsto (\pi_1(\omega), \dots, \pi_n(\omega)) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i(\omega)$$

Both are continuous (projection from the definition of product topology) and thus measurable, and so is  $\frac{S_n}{n}$ .

## 4 Random variables

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space.  $X : \Omega \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$  measurable is called a random variable.

### Notation

$$\{\omega : X(\omega) \in A\} = X^{-1}(A)$$

We use notation like  $X \in A$ .

### Basic constructions with random variables

**Definition 4.2.** Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and measurable  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ ,  $X$  induces measure  $\mathcal{L}_X$  on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  via

$$\mathcal{L}_X(E) = P(X \in E)$$

$\mathcal{L}_X$  is called marginal distribution of  $X$  or law of  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.1.**  $\mathcal{L}_X$  is countably additive set function.

If  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  is  $\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}$ . By uniqueness theorem,  $\mathcal{L}_X$  if defined by

$$F_X(x) = \mathcal{L}_X((-\infty, x]) = P(X \in (-\infty, x])$$

**Proposition 4.2.**  $\mathcal{L}_X \mapsto F_X$  is 1-1 and onto.

*Proof.* Uniqueness:

If  $\mu, \nu$  exists such that

$$\mu((-\infty, x]) = F_X(x) = \nu((-\infty, x])$$

then, since they agree on  $\pi$ -system, and thus are equal by uniqueness theorem.

Existence  $\mu((-\infty, x])$  fulfills Carathéodory's extension theorem requirements, thus there exists unique extension. □

We assume there exists Lebesgue measure on Borel sets  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** A coupling of  $X, Y$  is  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu_{\tilde{X}} = \mu_X$  and  $\mu_{\tilde{Y}} = \mu_Y$ .

**Theorem 4.3** (Skorokhod's representation (of a random variable  $X$ )). Given  $\mu_X, \mu_Y$  can we construct  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu_{\tilde{X}} = \mu_X$  and  $\mu_{\tilde{Y}} = \mu_Y$ , i.e., a coupling of  $X, Y$ .

*Proof.* Given increasing, right continuous  $F$  such that  $F(-\infty) = 0$  and  $F(\infty) = 1$ . We want to define  $X : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $F_X = F$ .

$$X^-(\omega) = \inf \{x : F(x) \geq \omega\}$$

(We could also choose  $X^+(\omega) = \inf \{x : F(x) \geq \omega\}$ , which is a bit different)

We want to show that

$$\{\omega : X^-(\omega) \leq x\} = \{\omega \leq F(x)\}$$

$X^-(\omega) \leq x$  means that  $F(x) \geq \omega$  (by definition), verifying  $\{\omega : X^-(\omega) \leq x\} \subseteq \{\omega \leq F(x)\}$

$F(x) \geq \omega$  means  $X^-(\omega) \leq x$  (since  $F$  is increasing), finishing the proof.

Note, that  $X^+$  and  $X^-$  disagree only on countable number of points.

□

$F_X$  is cumulative distribution function (CDF) or distribution function of  $X$ .

We ask the question: what are set properties distinguish  $F_X$ ?

**Proposition 4.4** (Properties of CDF). 1.  $F_X$  is non-decreasing

2.  $F_X$  is right continuous

3.  $F_X(-\infty) = 0$

*Proof.* 1. If  $x < y$ ,  $(-\infty, x] \subseteq (-\infty, y]$ , thus, from monotonicity of measure  $F_X(x) \leq F_X(y)$

2. we want to show

$$\lim_{x \downarrow x_0} F(x) = F(x_0)$$

Since if  $E_n \downarrow E$ , then  $\mu(E_n) \rightarrow \mu(E)$

We can look on sequence  $\{x_n\}$ :

$$\omega \in \bigcap_n \{X \in (-\infty, x_n)\} \Rightarrow \forall n \quad X(\omega) \leq x_n \Rightarrow X(\omega) \leq x \Rightarrow \bigcap_n \{X \in (-\infty, x_n)\} \subseteq \{X \in (-\infty, x)\}$$

The other direction is obvious.

3. Since

$$\bigcap_x X^{-1}((-\infty, x]) = \emptyset$$

□

## 4.1 Independence

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  probability space. Let  $\{\mathcal{J}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 4.4** (Independence of  $\sigma$ -algebras). Say  $\{\mathcal{J}_i\}_{i \in I}$  are independent if

$$\forall i_1, \dots, i_k \quad \forall j \quad G_{ij} \in \mathcal{J}_i \quad P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k G_{ij}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(G_{ij})$$

**Definition 4.5** (Independence of random variables). Say  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  are independent if  $\sigma(X_i)$  are independent.

**Definition 4.6** (Independence of sets). Say  $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$  are independent if random variables  $\mathbb{1}_{E_i}$  are independent.

### Connection with elementary probability theory

**Lemma 4.1 (Checking independence).** Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras,  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$   $\pi$ -systems such that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty) = \mathcal{F}_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  are independent iff

$$\forall A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \quad P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2)$$

*Proof.* Given  $E \in \mathcal{F}$  let  $P_E(A) = P(A \cap E)$ , a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

Given  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  consider  $P_{A_1}|_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ .

$$\forall A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \quad P_{A_1}(A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2)$$

Thus  $P_{A_1}$  and  $P(A_2) \times P$  are measures on  $\mathcal{F}_2$  agreeing on  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .

$$\forall A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \quad P(A_1 \cap E_2) = P(A_1)P(E_2)$$

Next iterate argument argument for  $\mathcal{F}_1$

$$\forall E_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \quad P_{E_2} = P(E_2)P$$

By uniqueness

$$\forall E_i \in \mathcal{F}_i \quad P(E_1 \cap E_2) = P(E_1)P(E_2)$$

□

**Corollary 4.1.** To check  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are independent random variables it suffices

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad P(X_i \leq x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^k P(X_i \leq x_i)$$

**Lemma 4.2 (Second Borel-Cantelli lemma).** If  $\sum_i P(E_i) = \infty$  and  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  are independent, then

$$P(E_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$$

*Proof.*

$$\{E_i \text{ i.o.}\}^C = \{E_i^C \text{ eventually}\}$$

It's enough to show

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i \geq n} E_i^C\right) = 0$$

or, by truncating

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i \geq n}^k E_i^C\right) = 0$$

By independence

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i \geq n} E_i^C\right) \prod_{i=n}^k P(E_i^C) = \prod_{i=n}^k [1 - P(E_i)] \leq e^{-\sum_{i=n}^k P(E_i)}$$

Since the sum tends to infinity, the exponent tends to 0.

□

**Example** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be i.i.d.  $\text{Exp}(1)$  random variables, i.e.

$$P(X_i > x) = e^{-x}$$

We are interested in growth rate of  $X_n \leq f(n)$ .

If  $f(n) = \alpha \log(n)$

$$P(X_n > f(n)) = e^{-f(n)} = n^{-\alpha}$$

Thus, from Lemmas 3.3, 4.2

$$P(X_n \geq \alpha \log(n) \text{ i.o.}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha > 1 \\ 1 & \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Define  $L = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log(n)}$ , then

$$P(L \geq 1) = P(X_n \geq \log(n) \text{ i.o.}) = 1$$

Finally, if we look at  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{L \geq 1 + \frac{1}{k}\}$ ,

$$P(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(L \geq 1 + \frac{1}{k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(X_n \geq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2k}\right) \log(n)\right) = 0$$

thus  $P(L \leq 1) = 1$ .

**Method of generation of i.i.d. uniform  $[a, b]$  variables** We write  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_i}{2^i}$

$$\begin{cases} w^{(1)} = \omega_1 \omega_3 \omega_6 \omega_{10} \omega_{15} \dots \\ w^{(2)} = \omega_2 \omega_5 \omega_9 \omega_{14} \dots \\ w^{(3)} = \omega_4 \omega_8 \omega_{13} \omega_{19} \dots \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$