118028 – Quantum Transport in Solids

Assa Auerbach

April 3, 2019

Abstract

Introduction $\mathbf{1}$

The simplest Hamiltonian is, denoting electrons with i and ions with I:

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{e}} + \sum_{I} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{I}^{2}}{2m_{I}} + \sum_{i,I} \frac{e^{2}Z}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{i}|} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{e^{2}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} + \sum_{I,J} \frac{e^{2}Z^{2}}{|\mathbf{R}_{i} - \mathbf{R}_{j}|}$$
(1)

The last part of Hamiltonian is many-body interaction, which makes it complex, due to big amount of degrees of freedom. We neglect here relativistic (spin-orbit) and radioactive corrections. We'll add electromagnetic field interaction later. We note that $\frac{m_e}{m_I} \lesssim 10^3$, and perform Born-Oppenheimer approximation: neglecting ion movement (frozen ions). Yet electronelectron interactions is many-body problem:

$$H^{el} = \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{P}_i^2}{2m_e} + \sum_{i} V(\mathbf{r}_i) + \sum_{i,j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$

$$\tag{2}$$

The problem is still pretty complex one. If we have 200 one-particle states and we put 100 particles in the system. In case of fermions there are $\binom{200}{100}$ possible states and and for bosons $\binom{200+100-1}{100}$.

There are phenomena that happen only in large scale: spontaneously broken symmetry, critical phenomena, scale invariance.

Organizing principle

- 1. Symmetry: \mathbb{Z}_2 , O(2), O(3)
- 2. Statistics of particles (Fermi or Bose statistics)
- 3. Range of interactions.
- 4. Gauge fields.
- 5. Thermal and quantum fluctuations.
- 6. Topological invariants.

Particles in the box

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{P}_i^2}{2m_e} \tag{3}$$

We use periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and then single-particle eigenfunctions are plane waves:

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} \tag{4}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \tag{5}$$

Wavenumbers are thus quantized as $k_i = \frac{2\pi}{L_i} n_i$

Density of states We define density of states:

$$\mathcal{N}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \tag{6}$$

 $\tilde{\delta}$ approximates delta function with some function wider than distance between two allowed energies. Switching to integral:

$$\mathcal{N}^{3D}(\epsilon) = 2 \cdot \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{m}{\hbar^3 \pi^2} \sqrt{2m\epsilon}$$
 (7)

$$\mathcal{N}^{2D}(\epsilon) = \frac{m}{n} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{1D}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tag{9}$$

Theorem 1.1 (Bloch theorem). Eigenfunction of periodic Hamiltonian are plane waves times periodic function.

Proof. Take a look on a single particle Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V^{eff}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{10}$$

If $V^{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = V^{eff}(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}_n)$ for \mathbf{R}_n lattice vector, then Hamiltonian is symmetric under translations:

$$T^{\dagger}(\mathbf{R}_n)HT(\mathbf{R}_n) = H \tag{11}$$

T defines Abelian group, and thus T is unitary operator. Since T commutes with H, we can diagonalize H and T simultaneously:

$$T(\mathbf{R}_n) |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle = e^{i\phi(\mathbf{R}_n,\alpha)} |\psi_{\alpha}\rangle$$
 (12)

$$H|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle = \epsilon_{\alpha}|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \tag{13}$$

By looking on two consequent translations, we find out ϕ is linear:

$$T(\mathbf{R}_1)T(\mathbf{R}_2)|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle = e^{i\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_1)}e^{i\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_2)}|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle \tag{14}$$

$$\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2) = \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_1) + \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}_2) \tag{15}$$

Thus

$$\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{K}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{R} \tag{16}$$

From PBC we get

$$K_i \cdot L_i = 2\pi n_i \tag{17}$$

Since eigenfunctions of Hamiltonian are eigenfunctions of T, we conclude that

$$\psi_k(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{18}$$

for
$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R})$$
.

k are limited in their value by first Brillouin zone. We can rewrite Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\alpha} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k},\alpha} |\psi_k^{\alpha}\rangle\langle\psi_k^{\alpha}| \tag{19}$$

If V has additional symmetries, for example, reflection symmetry, energies have same symmetries:

$$R^{-1}V(\mathbf{R})R = V(\mathbf{r}) \Rightarrow \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{R(\mathbf{k})}$$
 (20)

While \mathcal{U} determines short-term behavior of particles, $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$ governs long-term behavior.

2 Tight binding model

We are looking on bands of energies in a single Brillouin zone, especially interested in conductance band (one intersected by Fermi energy).

In tight-binding we rewrite Hamiltonian as nearest neighbor model:

$$H = -\frac{t}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathbf{R}\rangle\langle\mathbf{R} + \eta| + h.c.$$
 (21)

, where $|\mathbf{R}\rangle$ are local states such that $\langle \mathbf{R}|\mathbf{R}'\rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}'}$.

Lattice Fourier transform In 1D, $\mathbf{R}_j = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{j}$. Define

$$\mathbf{k} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j} e^{ikaj} |\mathbf{R}_{j}\rangle}_{U_{k}} \tag{22}$$

 $U_{\mathbf{k}}$ is unitary matrix:

$$\langle j|U_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}U_{\mathbf{k}}|j'\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j,j'}e^{-ikaj}e^{ikaj'} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j,j'}e^{-ika(j-j')} = \delta_{jj'}$$
(23)

Now

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \mathbf{k} | H | \mathbf{k} \rangle = -\frac{t}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{R}'} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \eta} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \langle \mathbf{R}' | | \mathbf{R} \rangle \langle \mathbf{R} + \eta | e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \eta} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R} + \eta)} = -t \underbrace{\sum_{\eta} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \eta}}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\eta)}}$$
(24)

Examples

1D

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t\cos(ka) \tag{25}$$

2D

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t \left[\cos(k_x a) + \cos(k_y a) \right] \tag{26}$$

2.1 Wannier States

Reminder For lattice vectors \mathbf{r}_i , reciprocal lattice vectors are $\mathbf{k}_i = \frac{2\pi}{V} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_j \times \mathbf{r}_k)$.

Definition 2.1 (Wannier states). Wannier states are lattice Fourier transform of Bloch wave.

$$W_n^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) = W^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)$$
(27)

Proposition 2.1. W_n^{α} are orthogonal.

Proof.

$$\int d\mathbf{r} W_n^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) W_{n'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n'})} \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\mathbf{k'}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'})} = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$
(28)

How local are W^{α} ?

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$$
 (29)

Looking for a maximum of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, we apply logarithm $f(\mathbf{r}) = \log(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}))$ and Taylor expand it:

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_0}(\mathbf{r}_0) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}_0}(\mathbf{r}_0)(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} f_{\mathbf{k}_0}(\mathbf{r}_0)(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)^2\right)$$
(30)

Denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}_0} = a^2$, taking R_n large enough and thus neglecting \mathbf{r} derivative since $(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)^2$ is small we get:

$$W(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{r}_0) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}a^2(\mathbf{r}_0)(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)^2} \sim e^{-\frac{\mathbf{R}_n^2}{2a^2}}$$
(31)

Thus

$$W(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \sim e^{-\frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)^2}{a^2}} \tag{32}$$

If $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}_0)$ varies slowly with \mathbf{k} , Wannier states are localized. In an extreme case of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} = \phi^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$W_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) = \int dk \, e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)$$
(33)

If $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is singular function of \mathbf{k} we get

$$W(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \sim \frac{1}{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)^{\gamma}} \tag{34}$$

2.2 Tight-binding

Tight-binding is good when there is a single band and Wannier function is slowly varying (at a momentum scale of Bruilien zone). We can write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2m_e} + V_{eff}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{P^2}{2m_e} + \sum_{n} V_{atom}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) + \Delta V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)$$
(35)

where ΔV is difference between atomic and lattice potentials. If wavefunctions are localized around nuclei the effect of ΔV is small.

We'll use anzatz of sum of local states:

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_n} \phi^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)$$
(36)

Those wavefunctions are not eigenstates, but approximate them. We get

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} | \tilde{\psi}' \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{R}_{n'}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n'}} \phi^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \phi(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}'_n)$$
(37)

Defining $\alpha(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \ \phi^{\alpha*}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)\phi^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}'_n)$ we get

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} | \tilde{\psi}' \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{R}_{n'}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_{n'}} \alpha(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}_n} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{R}_n} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{n'}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{R}_{n'}} \alpha(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'})$$
(38)

$$\bar{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{n'}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')\mathbf{R}_{n'}} \alpha(\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'}) = 1 + \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{n'} \neq 0} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \alpha(\mathbf{R}_{n'}) = 1 + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$$
(39)

And thus

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} | \tilde{\psi}' \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \bar{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$$
 (40)

Thus we can normalize and acquire orthonormal states:

$$\left|\tilde{\psi}_{norm}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})}}\left|\tilde{\psi}\right\rangle$$
 (41)

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} = \left\langle \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}} \middle| H \middle| \tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})} \sum_{n,n'} \int d\mathbf{r} \, e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'})} \phi_{atom}^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \left[\underbrace{-\frac{-\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{atom}(\mathbf{r})}_{\epsilon_{atom}} + \Delta V(\mathbf{r}) \right] \phi_{atom}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) =$$
(42)

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})} \epsilon_{atom} \sum_{\underline{n,n'}} \int d\mathbf{r} \, e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{R}_{n'})} \phi_{atom}^* (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \phi_{atom} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) + \underbrace{1 + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})}$$
(43)

$$+\frac{1}{1+\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})}\sum_{n,n'}\int d\mathbf{r}\,e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{R}_n-\mathbf{R}_{n'})}\phi_{atom}^*(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_n)\Delta V(\mathbf{r})\phi_{atom}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_n)$$
(44)

We denote

$$t(\mathbf{k}) = -\sum_{n} \int d\mathbf{r} \, e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \phi_{atom}^*(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \Delta V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{atom}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n)$$
(45)

and thus

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{atom} - \frac{t(\mathbf{k})}{1 + \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})} \tag{46}$$

In a limit of small characteristic atomic length $\frac{l_{atom}}{a} \ll 1$, we get

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \exp\left(-\left(\frac{na}{l_{atom}}\right)^2\right)$$
 (47)

i.e.,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}} \sim \epsilon_{atom} - t(\mathbf{k})$$
 (48)

For the same reason, due to exponential decay, we can neglect long-distance hopping. We now take Wannier states of those $\tilde{\psi}_{norm}$:

$$W(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) \simeq \phi_{atom}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \tilde{\psi}_{norm}(\mathbf{r})$$
(49)

We denote $|n\rangle = W_n(\mathbf{r})$. Those states diagonalize Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{n} \epsilon_{atom} |n\rangle\langle n| - \sum_{n,n'} t_{nn'} |n\rangle\langle n'| + h.c.$$
 (50)

The approximation is broken if, for example two bands are touching each other. Then we can describe two bands together as a single tight-binded band.

3 Semi-classical dynamics

First we require $k_B T \sim \hbar \omega \ll \Delta E$, where ΔE is energy difference between two bands. If we also require long wavelength $\lambda \gg a$, we get a wavepacket of width Δk and center in \mathbf{k}_0 :

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{k} \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_0)} e^{-\frac{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_0)^2}{(\Delta k)^2}} \sim e^{(\Delta k)^2(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_0)^2}$$
(51)

Thus, using our solution of the Shrödinger equation and expanding to the first order (denoting $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 = \delta \mathbf{k}$:

$$W(\mathbf{r},t) = \int d\mathbf{k} \exp\left(i\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}t + i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) - \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)^2}{(\Delta k)^2}\right) =$$
 (52)

$$= e^{i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}} \int d\mathbf{k} \exp\left(\left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_0} + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial k} \delta \mathbf{k} \right) t + i\delta \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) - \frac{(\delta \mathbf{k})^2}{(\Delta k)^2} \right) =$$
 (53)

$$= e^{-(\Delta k)^2 \left(t\left(\mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{r}_0 + \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial k})\right)\right)^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}}$$
(54)

Group velocity is thus $\nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$

Theorem 3.1 (Hellmann-Feynman theorem). Let $\epsilon_{\alpha} = \langle \psi_n(\alpha) | h_{\alpha} | \psi_n(\alpha) \rangle$ for some hermitian h_{α} .

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\epsilon_{\alpha} = \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\psi_{n}(\alpha) \middle| h_{\alpha} \middle| \psi_{n}(\alpha) \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_{n}(\alpha) \middle| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}h_{\alpha} \middle| \psi_{n}(\alpha) \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_{n}(\alpha) \middle| h_{\alpha} \middle| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\psi_{n}(\alpha) \right\rangle = \tag{55}$$

$$= \langle \psi_n(\alpha) | \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} h_\alpha | \psi_n(\alpha) \rangle + \epsilon_\alpha \left[\left\langle \psi_n(\alpha) | \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \psi_n(\alpha) \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \psi_n(\alpha) | \psi_n(\alpha) \right\rangle \right] = \tag{56}$$

$$= \langle \psi_n(\alpha) | \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} h_\alpha | \psi_n(\alpha) \rangle + 2\epsilon_\alpha \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} \langle \psi_n(\alpha) | \psi_n(\alpha) \rangle}_{0} = \langle \psi_n(\alpha) | \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha} h_\alpha | \psi_n(\alpha) \rangle$$
 (57)

Proposition 3.2 (Rules of semiclassical approximation). 1. Wave will move keeping same band index.

2. Position obeys

$$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle \sim \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{k}}$$
 (58)

3.

$$\hbar \mathbf{k} = e - \mathbf{E} - \frac{e}{c} \dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{B} \tag{59}$$

Proof. Lets write Shrödinger equation

$$\left(\underbrace{\frac{\hbar \mathbf{k}^2}{2m} - \frac{2i\hbar \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla}{2m}}_{H_{\mathbf{k}}} + \frac{\hbar \nabla^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})\right) \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{60}$$

Since $\psi_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ is a Bloch wave

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar\nabla^2}{2m} + V(\mathbf{r})\right)\psi_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}\psi_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$$
(61)

$$\epsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}_0} \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}_0} \delta \mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \delta \mathbf{k}_i \cdot \delta \mathbf{k}_j \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{k}_i \partial \mathbf{k}_j}$$
(62)

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \langle \psi_k | H | \psi_k \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}} | H_{\mathbf{k}} | \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle$$
 (63)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}}\epsilon_{\alpha,\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}} \langle \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}} | H_{\mathbf{k}} | \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}} | \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{k}} H_{\mathbf{k}} | \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \underbrace{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}(-i\hbar\nabla - \mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}_{\mathbf{r}} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} | \frac{\mathbf{P}}{m} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} \rangle = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(64)

Thus

$$\frac{\partial H_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k} = \frac{-i\hbar \nabla - \mathbf{k}}{m} \tag{65}$$

We also define effective mass tensor:

$$\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon}{\partial \mathbf{k}_i \partial \mathbf{k}_j} = (M^*)_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \delta_{ij} - \sum_{\alpha'} \frac{\langle \psi_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}} | P_i | \psi_{\alpha', \mathbf{k}} \rangle \langle \psi_{\alpha', \mathbf{k}} | P_i | \psi_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}} \rangle}{\epsilon_{\alpha, \mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\alpha', \mathbf{k}}}$$
(66)

Now assume we have a particle in a electric field. We ask what is the power dissipated with electric field:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} = P_{diss} = -e\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}_0} = -e\mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}}$$
 (67)

Thus

$$\dot{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{e}{\hbar}\mathbf{E} \tag{68}$$

Bloch osscilations Assume 1D band structure of the form $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2w\cos(k)$. Since $\dot{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{e}{\hbar}\mathbf{E}$:

$$\mathbf{k} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} t \tag{69}$$

What will be the velocity?

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = 2W \sin\left(\frac{e}{\hbar}Et\right) \tag{70}$$

Define characteristic $\tau = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{eE}$:

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = 2W \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right) \tag{71}$$

This effect was actually observed in cold atoms.

Alternative derivation Given PBC in 1D,

$$\psi(x+L) = \psi(x) \tag{72}$$

and Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) \tag{73}$$

We can perceive it as a ring. Adding an Aharonov-Bohm magnetic flux Φ inside the ring, we create gauge field (vector potential)

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\Phi}{L}\hat{\mathbf{x}} \tag{74}$$

The electromotive force, from Lenz's law

$$-\frac{1}{c}\dot{\Phi} = \mathcal{E} = E \cdot L \tag{75}$$

Thus

$$\dot{\mathbf{A}} = -cE \Rightarrow \mathbf{A} = -cEt \tag{76}$$

And we rewrite the Hamiltonian

$$\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A} \tag{77}$$

$$H = \frac{\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}\right)^2}{2m} + V(x) = \frac{\left(\mathbf{p} - eEt\right)^2}{2m} + V(x)$$
(78)

Now we solve the Shrödinger equation:

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(t) = e^{-\frac{ieAx}{c\hbar}} \psi_k(x) \tag{79}$$

The boundary condition is not periodic anymore, and substituting flux quantum $(\Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi e})$ we get:

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(L) = e^{-\frac{ieAL}{c\hbar}} \tilde{\psi}_k(0) = e^{-\frac{i\Phi}{c\hbar}} \tilde{\psi}_k(0) = e^{-i2\pi \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}\right)} \tilde{\psi}_k(0)$$
(80)

From Theorem 1.1

$$\tilde{\psi}_k(x) = e^{ikx}\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k(x) \tag{81}$$

$$e^{ikL}\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k(0) = \tilde{\psi}_k(L) = e^{-\frac{ieAL}{c\hbar}}\tilde{\psi}_k(0) = e^{ik\cdot 0}\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_k(0)e^{-\frac{ieAL}{c\hbar}}$$
(82)

$$e^{ikL} = e^{-\frac{ieAL}{c\hbar}} \tag{83}$$

$$e^{i\left(kL + \frac{eAL}{c\hbar}\right)} = 1 \tag{84}$$

, i.e., there is a shift in k values

$$k = \frac{2\pi n}{L} - \underbrace{\frac{eA}{c\hbar}}_{-\delta k} \tag{85}$$

We can verify that

$$H(A)\psi_k(x) = H(A)e^{\frac{ieAx}{c\hbar}}\tilde{\psi}_k(t) = e^{\frac{ieAx}{c\hbar}}H_{A=0}\tilde{\psi} = \epsilon_k e^{\frac{ieAx}{c\hbar}}\tilde{\psi}_k = \epsilon_k \psi_k$$
(86)

$$H\tilde{\psi}_k = \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x)\right)\tilde{\psi}_k \tag{87}$$

If we put, for example, half-quantum flux, we'll get $\delta k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\pi}{L}$ and thus ground state is degenerate. If there is electric field, A = -cEt,

$$\hbar \delta \dot{\mathbf{k}} = -e\mathbf{E} \tag{88}$$

If E is small enough, such that particles can't change the band, we acquire Bloch oscillation.

Proposition 3.3 (Semiclassical dynamics in presence of magnetic field).

$$\hbar \dot{\mathbf{k}} = e\mathbf{E} + \frac{e}{c}(\mathbf{v_k} \times \mathbf{B}) \tag{89}$$

3.1 Landau–Zener tunneling

$$i\hbar\psi_k^{\alpha'} = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha\alpha'}\psi_{\alpha} \tag{90}$$

We look at Hamiltonian which depends on time, and only on two bands near the crossing.

We rewrite Hamiltonian as a set of time independent Hamiltonians at \bar{t} :

$$H_{\bar{t}}^{ad}\psi_{\alpha}^{\bar{t}}(x) = \epsilon_{\alpha}(\bar{t})\psi_{\alpha'}^{\bar{t}}(x) \tag{91}$$

Theorem 3.4 (Adiabatic theorem). A physical system remains in its instantaneous eigenstate if a given perturbation is acting on it slowly enough and if there is a gap between the eigenvalue and the rest of the Hamiltonian's spectrum.

Model

$$H = \alpha t \sigma_z + \Delta \sigma_x \tag{92}$$

The energies

$$\epsilon^{ad}(\bar{t}) = \pm \sqrt{(\alpha \bar{t}) + \Delta^2} \tag{93}$$

Thus the gap is 2Δ and there is probability to tunnel between bands:

$$P_{\mp}(t \to \infty) \sim e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Delta^2}{\alpha}} \tag{94}$$

which can be found by solving

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\psi}_{\uparrow} \\ \dot{\psi}_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = H(t) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\uparrow} \\ \psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} \tag{95}$$

Simplest Landau-Zener is

$$H = \alpha t \sigma^z + \Delta \sigma^x \tag{96}$$

We can diagonalize Hamiltonian in each particular moment:

$$H^{ad}(t) = \sqrt{(\alpha t)^2 + \Delta t^2} \sigma^z \tag{97}$$

with diagonalizing transformation

$$U^{ad}(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\theta(t)\sigma^y} \tag{98}$$

where $\theta(t) = \arctan(\frac{\alpha t}{\Delta})$. The evolution operator is

$$U^{\infty} = \mathcal{T}e^{-i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' H(t')} = \prod_{t'=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iH(t')dt'} = \prod_{t'=-\infty}^{\infty} U^{ad}(t')U^{ad^{\dagger}}(t')e^{-iH(t')dt'}U^{ad}(t)U^{ad^{\dagger}}(t') =$$

$$\tag{99}$$

$$= \prod_{t'=-\infty}^{\infty} U^{ad}(t')e^{-i\Delta E(t')dt'\sigma^z}U^{ad^{\dagger}}(t')$$
(100)

But

$$U^{ad\dagger}(t'+\mathrm{d}t)U^{ad}(t') = \left(U^{ad\dagger}(t') + \frac{\mathrm{d}U^{\dagger}}{\mathrm{d}t'}\,\mathrm{d}t\right)U^{ad}(t') \approx 1 + \frac{i}{2}\sigma^{y}\dot{\theta}\,\mathrm{d}t = 1 + \frac{i}{2}\sigma^{y}\left(\frac{\alpha\Delta}{(\alpha t)^{2} + \Delta^{2}}\right)\mathrm{d}t \tag{101}$$

Substituting into the product and taking first order

$$\langle \uparrow | U^{\infty} | \downarrow \rangle = \sum_{t'} \exp\left(-i \int_{t'}^{\infty} dt'' \sqrt{(\alpha t'')^2 + \Delta t^2}\right) \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha \Delta}{(\alpha t')^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \exp\left(-i \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' \sqrt{(\alpha t'')^2 + \Delta t^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) = (102)$$

$$= \exp\left(-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt'' \sqrt{(\alpha t'')^2 + \Delta t^2}\right) \int dt' \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha \Delta}{(\alpha t')^2 + \Delta^2}\right) \exp\left(-2i \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' \sqrt{(\alpha t'')^2 + \Delta t^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$$
(103)

We can use saddle point approximation:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{c} dt \, e^{iS(t)} p(t) \simeq e^{iS(\bar{t})} p(\bar{t}) \int d\delta t \exp\left(-\frac{\partial^{2} S}{\partial t^{2}} + \mathcal{O}\left((\delta t)^{2}\right)\right)$$
(104)

where \bar{t} is such that

$$\left. \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right|_{t=\bar{t}} = 0 \tag{105}$$

However, the solution is complex. We can substitute it since our function is entire on complex plane and continue our function to the saddle point. (In p we substitute $\text{Re}\{\tau\} = 0$ since else it diverges):

$$\langle \uparrow | U^{\infty} | \downarrow \rangle \simeq \exp\left(-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt'' \sqrt{(\alpha t'')^2 + \Delta t^2}\right) \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} \exp\left(-2 \int_{0}^{\frac{\Delta}{\alpha}} d\tau' \sqrt{\Delta^2 - (\alpha \tau')^2}\right) \sim$$
(106)

$$\sim \exp\left(-2\left(\frac{\Delta}{\alpha}\right)^2 \int_0^1 du \sqrt{1-u^2}\right) = e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\Delta}{\alpha}\right)^2}$$
 (107)

Electric field

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c}\dot{\mathbf{A}} - \nabla\phi \tag{108}$$

If $\dot{\mathbf{A}} = 0$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}$, we have

$$H_E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + e\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} + V(x) \tag{109}$$

But this Hamiltonian diverges in $\pm \infty$. Instead we can choose $\Lambda = c \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{x} t$ for gauge transform

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \Lambda(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{110}$$

acquiring

$$\mathbf{A}' = -c\mathbf{E}t\tag{111}$$

4 Boltzmann equation

In equilibrium

$$f_{\mathbf{k},\alpha}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} - \mu}{T}\right) + 1} = n_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(112)

If we look at phase space, then our states are incompressible liquid in a phase space, i.e., total number of particles doesn't change:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} = 0 \tag{113}$$

However, in addition, in can get scattered:

$$P_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = 2\pi |\langle \psi_{k'} | V | \psi_k \rangle|^2 \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'} - \omega)$$
(114)

Thus

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} = -\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}}$$
(115)

If we had $f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r},t)$, we could calculate observables, such as charge density, current, heat current, magnetization:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t) \tag{116}$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t) = e \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(117)

$$\mathbf{j}_{Q}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)(E_{\mathbf{k}} - e\mu) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(118)

$$m_z(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k},s} f_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t) \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \cdot s\right)$$
 (119)

(120)

Lets evaluate $\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}}$:

$$-\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} P_{\mathbf{k}' \leftarrow \mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}} (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}'}) - P_{\mathbf{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{k}'} f_{\mathbf{k}'} (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})$$
(121)

In equilibrium

$$f = f^0 = \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)) - 1} \tag{122}$$

$$1 - f = 1 - f^0 = \frac{\exp(\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu))}{\exp(\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)) - 1} = \exp(\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)) f^0$$
(123)

(124)

Then

$$-\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k'}} P_{\mathbf{k'}\leftarrow\mathbf{k}} \exp(\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} f_{\mathbf{k'}}^{0} - P_{\mathbf{k}\leftarrow\mathbf{k'}} \exp(\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k'}} - \mu)) f_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} f_{\mathbf{k'}}^{0}$$
(125)

But

$$\frac{P_{\mathbf{k'}\leftarrow\mathbf{k}}}{P_{\mathbf{k}\leftarrow\mathbf{k'}}} = e^{-\beta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k'}}-\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})} \tag{126}$$

i.e., everything vanishes. But $P_{\mathbf{k}'\leftarrow\mathbf{k}}$ depends on thing breaking translational symmetry: impurities, phonons, electron-electron interactions.

Relaxation time approximation For phonons we can approximate with

$$-\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}} = \frac{f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}, t) - f^{0}(\mathbf{k})}{\tau}$$
(127)

where τ is relaxation time. The approximation makes sense since we are interested in first order approximation.

Impurities approximation

$$P_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}} = 2\pi \left| \langle \mathbf{k} | V^{im} | \mathbf{k}' \rangle \right|^2 \delta(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k'})$$
(128)

$$-\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k'}} \left[f_{\mathbf{k}} (1 - f_{\mathbf{k'}}) - f_{\mathbf{k'}} (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}}) \right] \left| V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k'}}^{im} \right|^2 \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k'}})$$
(129)

where

$$V^{im} = \sum_{i} \vartheta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{i}) \tag{130}$$

$$V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = \int \psi^* V^{im} \psi \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{V} \sum_i e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \mathbf{R}_i} \vartheta$$
 (131)

Then

$$\left\langle \left| V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{im} \right|^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i,j} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')(\mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{R}_j)} \left| V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \right|^2 \right\rangle = \sum_i \delta_{ij} |V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}|^2 = N \vartheta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$$
(132)

where N is number of impurities.

$$-\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left[f_{\mathbf{k}} (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}'}) - f_{\mathbf{k}'} (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}}) \right] \left| V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'}^{im} \right|^2 \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'})$$
(133)

Thus

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} = -\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{collisions}} = -\frac{f - f^0}{\tau}$$
(134)

We can approximate

$$f = f^0 + \delta f \tag{135}$$

Since

$$\hbar \dot{\mathbf{k}} = e\mathbf{E} \tag{136}$$

we can make scattering approximation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) = -\frac{\delta f}{\tau} \tag{137}$$

where τ depends on scattering Hamiltonian: impurities (elastic scattering), phonons (inelastic), electron-electron interactions.

4.1 Presence of electric field

Let

$$\mathbf{E}_{\omega} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i\omega t}\mathbf{E}\right\} \tag{138}$$

we assume homogeneous field, i.e. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = 0$:

$$\frac{\partial \delta f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial t} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{E} e^{i\omega t} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \right) = \frac{\delta f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\tau} \tag{139}$$

Since we are interested in first order part, we can replace $\left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{k}}}{\partial \mathbf{k}}\right)$ with $\left(\frac{\partial f^{0}}{\partial \mathbf{k}}\right) = \frac{\partial f^{0}}{\partial E} \cdot \frac{\partial E}{\partial \mathbf{k}}$: The solution is

$$\delta f(t) = e^{i\omega t} \delta f_{\mathbf{k},\omega} \tag{140}$$

$$e\mathbf{v_k} \cdot \mathbf{E}e^{i\omega t} \left(-\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial E} \right) = \delta f_{\mathbf{k},\omega} e^{i\omega t} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} - i\omega \right)$$
 (141)

i.e.,

$$\delta f_{\mathbf{k},\omega} = \frac{e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{E}\tau}{1 - i\omega t} \left(-\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial E} \right)$$
 (142)

Then

$$J_x(\omega) = \sigma_{xx}(\omega)E_\omega^x \tag{143}$$

$$J_{k,\omega}^x = e \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in BZ} \delta f_{\mathbf{k},\omega} v_k^x \tag{144}$$

$$J_{\omega}^{x} = e^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in BZ} \left(-\frac{\partial f^{0}}{\partial E} \right)_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v_{k}^{x^{2}} \tau}{1 - i\omega \tau} \cdot E_{\omega}^{x}$$

$$(145)$$

(146)

Note we can approximate pretty well

$$\left(-\frac{\partial f^0}{\partial E}\right) \stackrel{T \to 0}{\sim} \delta(\epsilon - \mu) \tag{147}$$

4.2 Parabolic bands

We can recove Drude model in a parabolic band (i.e., around extrema).

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m^*} \tag{148}$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} {\mathbf{k}^*}^2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathbf{v}|^2$$
(149)

$$\mathbf{v}^{2} = (v_{1}^{2})^{2} + (v_{2}^{2})^{2} + (v_{3}^{2})^{2} = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{m^{*}}$$
(150)

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2}{2m^*} = \frac{m^{*2} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2m^*} = \frac{m^* \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{2}$$
(151)

$$1 = \int d\epsilon \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) = \frac{2}{3} \int d\epsilon \frac{\rho(\epsilon)\delta(\epsilon - \mu)\epsilon}{m^*} = \frac{2}{3m^*} \rho(\epsilon_F)\epsilon_F$$
 (152)

$$\rho(\epsilon_F) = \frac{\partial N(\epsilon_F)}{\partial \epsilon_F} \tag{153}$$

when

$$N(\epsilon_F) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^3 \left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right) k_F^3 \tag{154}$$

$$k_F = \left(\frac{2m\epsilon_F}{\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{155}$$

$$N(\epsilon_F) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m\epsilon_F}{\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{156}$$

$$\rho(\epsilon_F) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{157}$$

Then

$$\sigma = e^2 \tau \left[\frac{2}{3m^*} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{2m^*}{\hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \epsilon_F^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \right] = \frac{e^2 \tau}{m^*} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \right) k_F^3$$
 (158)