

# 236621 - Algorithms for Submodular Optimization

Roy Schwartz

March 20, 2019

## Abstract

## 1 Introduction

We are looking on  $f : 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for some set  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$

**Definition 1.1.**  $f$  is submodular if

$$f(A) + f(B) \geq f(A \cap B) + f(A \cup B) \quad (1)$$

**Definition 1.2.** Return of  $u$  wrt  $A$  is  $f(A \cup \{u\}) - f(A)$

**Definition 1.3 (Diminishing returns).**  $f$  has diminishing returns if for  $A \subseteq B$

$$f(A \cup \{u\}) - f(A) \geq f(B \cup \{u\}) - f(B) \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 1.1.**  $f$  is submodular iff  $f$  has diminishing returns

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ :

Let  $A \subseteq B \subseteq N$  and  $u \notin B$ . Lets use submodularity property on  $A \cup \{u\}$  and  $B$ :

$$f(A \cup \{u\}) + f(B) \geq f(A \cup \{u\} \cup B) + f(A \cup \{u\} \cap B) = f(B \cup \{u\}) + f(B) \quad (3)$$

Thus

$$f(A \cup \{u\}) \geq f(B \cup \{u\}) \quad (4)$$

□

$\Leftarrow$ :

We'll proof by induction over  $|A \cup B| - |A \cap B|$ , i.e., size of symmetric difference.

Basis:  $|A \cup B| - |A \cap B| = 0$ , then  $A = B$ , and then submodular property is fulfilled.

Step: assume  $|A \cup B| - |A \cap B| = k$ . WLOG let  $u \in A$  such that  $u \notin B$ .

$$f(A) + f(B) = f(A) - f(A \setminus \{u\}) + f(A \setminus \{u\}) + f(B) \geq \quad (5)$$

$$\geq f(A) - f(A \setminus \{u\}) + f(A \setminus \{u\} \cup B) + f(A \setminus \{u\} \cap B) \geq \quad (6)$$

$$\geq f(A \cup B) - f(A \cup B \setminus \{u\}) + f(A \cup B \setminus \{u\}) + f(A \cap B) = f(A \cup B) + f(A \cap B) \quad (7)$$

**Definition 1.4 (Monotonous function).**  $f$  is non-decreasing monotonous if  $\forall A \subseteq B \subseteq N$ ,  $f(A) \leq f(B)$ .

**Definition 1.5 (Symmetric function).**  $f$  is symmetric if  $\forall S \subseteq N$ ,  $f(S) \leq f(N \setminus S)$ .

**Definition 1.6 (Normalized function).**  $f$  is normalized if  $f(\emptyset) = 0$ .

## Examples

**Linear function**  $\forall n \in N$  exists weight  $w_n$  and

$$f(S) = \sum_{u \in S} w_u + b \quad (8)$$

Such  $f$  is submodular.

**Budget additive function (clipped linear function)**  $\forall n \in N$  exists weight  $w_n$  and

$$f(S) = \min \left\{ \sum_{u \in S} w_u, b \right\} \quad (9)$$

Such  $f$  is submodular.

**Coverage function** Given set  $X$  and  $n$  subsets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \subset X$  define

$$f(S) = \left| \bigcup_{i \in S} S_i \right| \quad (10)$$

This  $f$  is obviously submodular.

**Graph cuts** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  weights of edges. Given a cut  $S \subseteq V$  define  $\delta(S)$  to be sum of weights of all edges going through the cut.  $\delta : 2^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is submodular, normalized, and symmetric.

**Rank function** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  vectors, and

$$f(S) = \text{rank}(S) = \dim \text{span}(\{v_i | i \in S\}) \quad (11)$$

## 2 Submodular optimization

Given world  $N$ , submodular function  $f : 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , and a family of feasible solutions  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^N$

$$\max f(S) \quad (12)$$

$$\text{s.t. } S \in \mathcal{I} \quad (13)$$

**Note** Most of submodular functions (except for logarithm of determinant of submatrix) are nonnegative. We use the condition to have properly defined multiplicative approximation.

**Note** How  $f$  is given in input? Obviously, not as a list of values, since it's exponential in  $|N|$ . Thus we represent  $f$  with black box, and same applies for constraints. Usually, constraints are simple.

### 2.1 Examples of submodular optimization problems

**Example**  $f$  is submodular and there are no constraints. It generalizes MAX-CUT, MAX-DICUT

**Example**  $f$  is submodular and there is size constraint:

$$\max f(S) \quad (14)$$

$$\text{s.t. } |S| \leq k \quad (15)$$

. It generalizes MAX-K-COVER.

**Submodular welfare**