COSIBLE CHILDOS Aayush Kumar Assymment I

1) Viponere upher is a classical symmetric key substitution apper. It is a polyalphabetic upher, meaning that it uses multiple substitution alphabets to energpt the plaintent.

(i) M (Mersage Spare): The set of all possible

plountent messages.

(ii) C (Cipher Tent Space): The ret of all possible ciphertent messages, which corresponds to the characters in M. characters used in M.

(in) K (Key Space), The set of all possible encryption keys. It consits of strangs of characters that determine how the substitution alphabeticare generated.

(i) Gen: The key generation algorithm. It defines how encryption keys are generated or selected.

(ii) Enc: - 9t takes a plaintent message and an encryption key as imput and produces the corresponding ciphertent.

Let M = m, m2 - - - Mn, K = K, K2 - - - Kn then C = (, (2 -- (m

where (i = (m; + K;) : lo & where L is the no. of possible charactes for Ki. (iii) Der! It takes a ciphertent messagl and a decryption key as imput and products the corresponding plaintent messagl.

Let $C = c_1 c_2 - c_m$, $K = K_1 K_2 - K_m$ Then $M = m_1 m_2 - - - m_n$ Where $m_i = (C_i - K_i)^{o}/{o}L$

Congider that Adversory chooses \$1 two messages and sends them to Alice. Alice encrypts the messages and sends my randomly to A, where $6 \in \{0,1\}$.

Then, Pr[Priv K_{A,π}=1] = Pr[Priv K_Aπ | b=0] Pr[b=0] + Pr[Priv K_Aπ | b=1] Pr[b=1]

Pr [Priv $K_{A\pi} = 1$] = 1 Pr [Priv $K_{A\pi} / b = 0$] $+ \frac{1}{2} Pr [Priv K_{A\pi} / b = 1]$

Let Cm be the set of possible cuphertents as that can be abobtained for any given m EM.

PA [Pair $K_{A\pi}$ | b=0] = $\sum_{c \in C_{m_0}} P_{\Lambda} [P_{\Lambda i} V_{A,\pi} = 1 | C=c] P_{\Lambda} [C=c]$ $= \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{c \in C_{m_0}} P_{\Lambda} [P_{\Lambda i} V_{A,\pi} = 1 | C=c]$

For C & Como, let M(c) be the message space that can be encrypted to c.

For [Privation of the sext case, A would choose mo, m, with shat
$$I \mid C_{m_n} \setminus C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$$

The best case, A would choose mo, m, with shat $I \mid C_{m_n} \setminus C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The privation of $I \mid C_{m_n} \setminus C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The privation of $I \mid C_{m_n} \setminus C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The privation of $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The privation of $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The privation of $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary queries a null matrix $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

The adversary $I \mid C_{m_n} \mid = 2^l - |K|$

Th

Above randomly between f and a PRP.

For F, the probability that output is a null matrix is 1.

For a PRP, the probability that output is a null matrix is $1 - \frac{1}{2^{n_2}}$ Now, the Adversary outputs O(i.e., the full output)

Now, the Adversary outputs O(i.e., the function is F) of the output is null matrin and 1 otherwish.

Hence, the probability that the adulty by is correct is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{m^2}}\right)$ which is significantly larger than $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, Γ is not a pseudorandom permutation.

Consider the following situation. The Adversary A chooses 2 messages, m. & m.,.

mo = Moi Moz...Mol, m. = Mil Mir...Mil

m, is chosen randomly

Mence, with the first two blocks of cipher

tent would be sume.

The adversary outputs 0 is the first town & blocks are same and I otherwise. In m, , since it is chosen randomly, the probability that & encryption of first town blocks are same given the blocks themselves are not some will be 1 - 1 where IMI -> length of musage block. Hence the probability that the adversary is correct is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 \text{ mi}}\right)$ which is significantly larger than I. Hence, the encreption and method is not securl.

4/2 Voter 1 recieves value Co. and adds V. Voter 2 recienes value (Cot V,) % on and adds vz. Voter 3 recienes value (@Cot V, + V2) J.n and

Voter n recienes value (Co+ ZVi) Vin and adds Vn. Finally the center recients the value Ct = $((0+\sum_{i=1}^{n}V_i)^{\gamma_i}M = ((0+S)^{\gamma_i}M)$ => ((+ -6)-1, m = (S+Co)-1, m - Co-1, m = (S+(0-(0)) m = 57. M = S

Since m > t = s m > s = s s / m = s the there, the center calculates the sum correctly. (C) Let $i = \varkappa$, $j = \varkappa + 2$, $K = \varkappa + 1$ Then ith noter passes the value (c+ 1571)/1740 K. Kon noter passes name (CC+ VA+ Van) to journeter. In noter knowing the value of Vx is now able to calculate the value of VK = Vnu by calculating (O(K-(i+n)).n (b) View = (co, Ct) View; = (S, Ci-1) Crihen that Co is chosen randomly, Pr[c=co] S=8] = 1 now, $P_n[C=C_i \mid S=s] = P_n[C=G_i \mid S=s] P_n[V_i=0]$ + Pn[c=6+1/5=8]Pn[v,=1] $=\frac{1}{m}\times\left(1-\frac{S}{t}\right)+\frac{1}{m}\times\frac{S}{t}$ Similarly for Part $C = C_2 \mid S = s \rfloor = Part C = C_3 \mid S = s \rfloor$ $= -- = R_n L c = C_i / s = s J = -- = \frac{1}{2}$ Hence, irrespective of the values of Vi, the distribution of Veiw: remains the same.

Marian - Marian Marian - All Comments - All Comment