```
> ####section 3:statistical analysis####
>
 ###Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test of Exopher frequency
>
> # Convert to data frame
> data_df <- as.data.frame(data)</pre>
> # Convert columns to factors with two levels
> data_df$Trial <- factor(data_df$Trial, levels = unique(data_df$Trial))</pre>
> data_df$Treatment <- factor(data_df$Treatment, levels = unique(data_df$Trea</pre>
 data_df$Exopher <- factor(data_df$Exopher, levels = unique(data_df$Exopher)</pre>
  # Perform the Mantel-Haenszel test
> # Note: The order of the factors matters in running this analysis.
> # mantelhaen.test(x,y,z) where x is the row variable, y is the column varia
> # and z is the stratifying factor. Generally, x refers to the treatment gro
ups
> # (i.e. control vs. experimental group). y refers to the outcome (is there
an exopher?)
> # and z refers to the replicates or trials.
> # Arranging the factors in this order tests for the association of treatmen
t and
> # exopher while controlling for differences between trials. Essentially the
Mantel-Haenszel
> # test examines each trial separately before aggregating the the results to
conclude
> # if there is a overall association between the treatment and exophers acro
ss all
> # trials.
> data_CMH <- mantelhaen.test(data_df$Treatment, data_df$Exopher, data_df$Tri</pre>
a1)
> # Print the result
> print(data_CMH)
        Mantel-Haenszel chi-squared test with continuity correction
                                                                              CMH p-value
data: data_df$Treatment and data_df$Exopher and data_df$Trial
Mantel-Haenszel X-squared = 16.026, df = 1, p-value = 6.246e-05
alternative hypothesis: true common odds ratio is not equal to 1
95 percent confidence interval: 2.882464 36.514733
                                   Odds ratio from the CMH test, there is a 10.2 times
sample estimates:
common odds ratio
                                   greater chance of producing an exopher in the
          10.25926 \leftarrow
                                   control group compared to the treatment group.
> ##Reformatting the data for logistic regression
> # Define Treatment as a factor and make AD2 (Adult Day 2) your reference dataset
> Treatment_unordered <- factor(data$Treatment, ordered = FALSE) #makes Treatment a factor > Treatment_unordered <- relevel(Treatment_unordered, ref = "AD2") #makes AD2 within Treatment_unordered
on
> ##simple logistic regression
> data_qlm <- qlm(Exopher ~ Treatment_unordered+factor(Trial), family = binomial(link = "</pre>
```

ogit

```
comparison between AD2
                     data = data
                                                                  (control treatment) and AD5.
> summary(data_glm)
call:
glm(formula = Exopher ~ Treatment_unordered + factor(Trial),
    family = binomial(link = "logit"), data = data)
Coefficients:
                           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                                  -4.474 7.66e-06 📂 * *
(Intercept)
                                          0.3688
                            -1.6502
                                                   -3.614 0.000301 ***
                            -2.2980
0.7978
                                          0.6358
Treatment_unorderedAD5
factor(Trial)2
                                          0.4594
                                                    1.737 0.082433 .
                                                                           Logistic regression
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
                                                                           results. The estimate is
                                                                           used to calculate the
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
                                                                           odds ratio. The z-value
    Null deviance: 154.55
                               on 199
                                         degrees of freedom
                                                                           indicates standard
Residual deviance: 131.66 on 197
                                        degrees of freedom
                                                                           deviations away from the
AIC: 137.66
                                                                           mean and a negative z-
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
                                                                           value means that the
                                      Odds ratio for the logistic
> plot(data_glm)
                                                                           AD5 treatment produces
Hit <Return> to see next plot:
Hit <Return> to see next plot:
                                      regression. There is only a 10%
                                                                           fewer exophers. Pr(>|z|)
                                      chance for an exopher to occur
Hit <Return> to see next plot:
                                                                           is the p-value for the
Hit <Return> to see next plot:
                                      on AD5 as compared to AD2.
                                                                           logistic.
> # Calculate odds ratios
> odds_ratios <- exp(coef(data_glm))</pre>
> print(odds_ratios)
             (Intercept) Treatment_unorderedAD5

✓
                                                               factor(Trial)2
               0.1920029
                                          0.1004630
                                                                     2.2206918
> # Calculate 95% confidence intervals for the odds ratios
> conf_intervals <- exp(confint(data_glm))
Waiting for profiling to be done...
> print(conf_intervals)
95% conf
                                                          95% confidence interval for the
                                2.5 %
                                           97.5 %
                                                          logistic regression. This range does
                           0.08724927 0.3768432
(Intercept)
not include 1 indicating that the odds
```

These are the results for the

ratio is statistically significant.