Homework fourth Week

Raneem Madani

Student Number: 11820975

January 23, 2021

IX. The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

51. Riemann-Stieltjes Integration with Respect to an Increasing Integrator

Exercise 5:.

<u>Solution</u>: f is bounded function on [a,b] and α increasing on [a,b]. We want to show that:

$$\overline{\int}_{a}^{b} f d\alpha = -\underline{\int}_{a}^{b} (-f) d\alpha$$

Let $p = \{x_0, x_1...x_n\}$ be any partion of [a, b].

We know that $\overline{\int}_b^a f d\alpha = \inf U(f,p),$ so $\overline{\int}_b^a f d\alpha \leq U(f,p)$

And
$$\underline{\int}_{a}^{b}(-f)d\alpha = \sup L(-f,p)$$
, so $\underline{\int}_{a}^{b}(-f)d\alpha \ge L(-f,p)$

Claim-1:
$$\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \ge -\underline{\int}_a^b (-f) d\alpha$$

Proof the claim: we know that
$$\left(\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \ge \underline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha\right) \times -1 \Rightarrow$$
 $\left(-\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \le -\underline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha = \underline{\int}_a^b - f d\alpha\right) \times -1 \Rightarrow$ $\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \ge -\underline{\int}_a^b - f d\alpha$

Claim-2:
$$\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \leq -\int_a^b (-f) d\alpha$$

Claim-2:
$$\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \le -\underline{\int}_a^b (-f) d\alpha$$

Proof the claim: we know that $(\overline{\int}_a^b - f d\alpha \ge \underline{\int}_a^b - f d\alpha) \times -1 \Rightarrow$

$$\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha = \overline{\int}_a^b - (-f) d\alpha = -\overline{\int}_a^b - f d\alpha \le -\underline{\int}_a^b - f d\alpha \Rightarrow$$

$$\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha \le -\underline{\int}_a^b (-f) d\alpha$$

so from claim 1 and claim 2 we have $\overline{\int}_a^b f d\alpha = -\underline{\int}_a^b (-f) d\alpha$, so we are

Exercise 12:.

<u>Solution:</u> (a)-Let $p = \{x_0, x_1...x_n\}$ be any partion of [0, 2]. $U(f, p) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $M_k = 1$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k$ $= x_1 - x_0 + x_2 - x_1 + ... + x_n - x_{n-1} = x_n - x_0 = 1 - 0 = 1$ and $L(f, p) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $m_k = 0$, so $0 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k = 0$, since $U(f, p) \neq L(f, P) \Rightarrow f \notin \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[0, 2]$

(b)-Let $s = \{x_0, x_1...x_n\}$ be any partion of [0, 2]. $U(g, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $M_k = 1$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k$ $= x_1 - x_0 + x_2 - x_1 + ... + x_n - x_{n-1} = x_n - x_0 = 1 - 0 = 1$ and $L(g, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $m_k = 0$, so $0 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k = 0$ since $U(g, s) \neq L(g, s) \Rightarrow g \notin \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[0, 2]$

(c)-Let $p = \{x_0, x_1...x_n\}$ be any partion of [0, 2], $\alpha(x) = x$. $U(f, p) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $M_k = 1$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k$ $= x_1 - x_0 + x_2 - x_1 + ... + x_n - x_{n-1} = x_n - x_0 = 2 - 0 = 2$ and $L(f, p) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $m_k = 0$, so $0 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k = 0$, since $U(f, p) \neq L(f, p) \Rightarrow f \notin \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[0, 2]$

Let $s = \{x_0, x_1...x_n\}$ be any partion of [0, 2], $\alpha(x) = x$. $U(g, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} M_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $M_k = 1$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k$ $= x_1 - x_0 + x_2 - x_1 + ... + x_n - x_{n-1} = x_n - x_0 = 2 - 0 = 2$ and $L(g, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $m_k = 0$, so $0 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_k = 0$, since $U(g, s) \neq L(g, s) \Rightarrow g \notin \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[0, 2]$

Exercise 18:.

Solution:

- Let $\alpha(x) = x$ and $f(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] \\ 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q}^c \cap [0, 1] \end{cases}$ Now $\overline{\int}_a^b f dx = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \Delta \alpha_k$, and $M_k = 1$, so $\sum_{k=1}^n \Delta \alpha_k$ $= x_1 - x_0 + x_2 - x_1 + \dots + x_n - x_{n-1} = x_n - x_0 = 1 - 0 = 1$ and $\underline{\int}_{a}^{b} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k} \Delta \alpha_{k}$, and $m_{k} = 1$, so $-1 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \alpha_{k} = -1[x_{1} - x_{0} + x_{2} - x_{1} + \dots + x_{n} - x_{n-1}] = -1[x_{n} - x_{0}] = -1[1 - 0] = -1$ so $\overline{\int}_a^b = 1 \le -1 = \int_a^b$ so $f \notin \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[0,1]$
- But $|f(x)| = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] \\ 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q}^c \cap [0, 1] \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q}^{b+1}[0,1] \\ \text{so } |f(x)| := \{1, x \in [0,1]\}, \text{ and } \overline{\int}_a^b = 0 = \underline{\int}_a^b, \text{ so } |f| \in \mathcal{R}_\alpha[0,1] \end{cases}$

So $|f| \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[a,b]$, but $f \notin \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}[a,b]$

54. Functions of Bounded Variation

Exercise 5:.

<u>Solution:</u> Since $\alpha(x) \in BV[a,b]$ so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\alpha(x_k) - \alpha(x_{k-1})| \le c, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and we have $|\alpha(x)| > M$, so $\frac{1}{\alpha(x)} \le \frac{1}{M}$. Now $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\frac{1}{\alpha(x_k)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(x_{k-1})}| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\frac{\alpha(x_{k-1}) - \alpha(x_k)}{\alpha(x_{k-1})\alpha(x_k)}| \le \frac{c}{M^2}$. so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\frac{1}{\alpha(x_k)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(x_{k-1})}|$ bounded.