Open the Lock

You have a lock in front of you with 4 circular wheels. Each wheel has 10 slots: '0', '1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8', '9'. The wheels can rotate freely and wrap around: for example we can turn '9' to be '0', or '0' to be '9'. Each move consists of turning one wheel one slot.

The lock initially starts at '0000', a string representing the state of the 4 wheels.

You are given a list of deadends dead ends, meaning if the lock displays any of these codes, the wheels of the lock will stop turning and you will be unable to open it.

Given a target representing the value of the wheels that will unlock the lock, return the minimum total number of turns required to open the lock, or -1 if it is impossible.

Example 1:

```
Input: deadends = ["0201","0101","0102","1212","2002"], target = "0202"
Output: 6
Explanation:
A sequence of valid moves would be "0000" -> "1000" -> "1100" -> "1200" -> "1201" -> "1202" -> "0202".
Note that a sequence like "0000" -> "0001" -> "0002" -> "0102" -> "0202" would be invalid, because the wheels of the lock become stuck after the display becomes the dead end "0102".
```

Example 2:

```
Input: deadends = ["8888"], target = "0009"
Output: 1
Explanation:
We can turn the last wheel in reverse to move from "0000" -> "0009".
```

Example 3:

```
Input: deadends = ["8887","8889","8878","8898","8788","8988","7888","9888"], target =
    "8888"
Output: -1
Explanation:
We can't reach the target without getting stuck.
```

Example 4:

```
Input: deadends = ["0000"], target = "8888"
Output: -1
```

Note:

- 1. The length of deadends will be in the range [1, 500].
- 2. target will not be in the list deadends.
- 3. Every string in deadends and the string target will be a string of 4 digits from the 10,000 possibilities '0000' to '9999'.

| Solution 1 |
|---|
| The expected output is the same as my output, but it tells me wrong answer. Why?? |
| |
| |
| written by ryx original link here |

Solution 2

Every node has 8 edges. The nodes in dead ends cannot be visited. Find the shortest path from the initial node to the target.

```
class Solution {
public:
    int openLock(vector<string>& deadends, string target) {
        unordered_set<string> dds(deadends.begin(), deadends.end());
        unordered_set<string> visited;
        queue<string> bfs;
        string init = "0000";
        if (dds.find(init) != dds.end()) return -1;
        visited.insert("0000");
        bfs.push("0000");
        int res = 0;
        while (!bfs.empty()) {
            int sz = bfs.size();
            for (int i = 0; i < sz; i++) {
                string t = bfs.front(); bfs.pop();
                vector<string> nbrs = move(nbrStrs(t));
                for (auto s : nbrs) {
                    if (s == target) return ++res;
                    if (visited.find(s) != visited.end()) continue;
                    if (dds.find(s) == dds.end()) {
                        bfs.push(s);
                        visited.insert(s);
                    }
                }
            }
            ++res;
        return −1;
    }
    vector<string> nbrStrs(string key) {
        vector<string> res;
        for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
            string tmp = key;
            tmp[i] = (key[i] - '0' + 1) % 10 + '0';
            res.push_back(tmp);
            tmp[i] = (key[i] - '0' - 1 + 10) % 10 + '0';
            res.push_back(tmp);
         }
        return res;
    }
};
```

Bidirectional BFS improves the efficiency

```
int openLock(vector<string>& deadends, string target) {
    unordered_set<string> dds(deadends.begin(), deadends.end());
    unordered_set<string> q1, q2, pass, visited;
    string init = "0000";
    if (dds.find(init) != dds.end() || dds.find(target) != dds.end()) return -1;
    visited.insert("0000");
    q1.insert("0000"), q2.insert(target);
    int res = 0;
    while (!q1.empty() && !q2.empty()) {
        if (q1.size() > q2.size()) swap(q1, q2);
        pass.clear();
        for (auto ss : q1) {
            vector<string> nbrs = nbrStrs(ss);
            for (auto s : nbrs) {
                if (q2.find(s) != q2.end()) return res + 1;
                if (visited.find(s) != visited.end()) continue;
                if (dds.find(s) == dds.end()) {
                    pass.insert(s);
                    visited.insert(s);
                }
            }
        }
        swap(q1, pass);
        res++;
    return −1;
}
```

written by IsingModel original link here

Solution 3

Shortest path finding, when the weights are constant, as in this case = 1, BFS is the best way to go.

Best way to avoid TLE is by using deque and popleft().

[Using list() and pop(o) is a linear operation in Python, resulting in TLE]

Python:

```
def openLock(self, deadends, target):
    marker, depth = 'x', 0
    visited, q, deadends = set(), deque(['0000', marker]), set(deadends)
    while q:
        node = q.popleft()
        if node == target:
            return depth
        if node in visited or node in deadends:
            continue
        if node == marker and not q:
            return -1
        if node == marker:
            q.append(marker)
            depth += 1
        else:
            visited.add(node)
            q.extend(self.successors(node))
    return -1
def successors(self, src):
    res = []
    for i, ch in enumerate(src):
        num = int(ch)
        res.append(src[:i] + str((num - 1) % 10) + src[i+1:])
        res.append(src[:i] + str((num + 1) % 10) + src[i+1:])
    return res
```

Java:

```
public static int openLock(String[] deadends, String target) {
        Queue<String> q = new LinkedList<>();
        Set<String> deads = new HashSet<>(Arrays.asList(deadends));
        Set<String> visited = new HashSet<>();
        int depth = 0;
        String marker = "*";
        q.addAll(Arrays.asList("0000", "*"));
        while(!q.isEmpty()) {
            String node = q.poll();
            if(node.equals(target))
                return depth;
            if(visited.contains(node) || deads.contains(node))
                continue;
            if(node.equals(marker) && q.isEmpty())
                return -1;
            if(node.equals(marker)) {
                q.add(marker);
                depth += 1;
            } else {
                visited.add(node);
                q.addAll(getSuccessors(node));
            }
        return depth;
   }
   private static List<String> getSuccessors(String str) {
        List<String> res = new LinkedList<>();
        for (int i = 0; i < str.length(); i++) {</pre>
            res.add(str.substring(0, i) + (str.charAt(i) == '0' ? 9 : str.charAt(i
) - '0' - 1) + str.substring(i+1));
           res.add(str.substring(0, i) + (str.charAt(i) == '9' ? 0 : str.charAt(i
) - '0' + 1) + str.substring(i+1));
        return res;
   }
```

written by johnyrufus16 original link here

From Leetcoder.