

BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Compiler Construction (CS F363)

II Semester 2022-23

Compiler Project (Stage-2 Submission)

Coding Details

(April 12, 2023)

Group number 37 **(Write your group number here)**

Instruction: Write the details precisely and neatly. Places where you do not have anything to mention, please write NA for Not Applicable.

1. IDs and Names of team members

ID: 2019B3A70351P Name: MANAF

ID: 2019B3A70381P Name: NISHANT

ID: 2019B3A70443P Name: ADARSH

Mention the names of the Submitted files (Include Stage-1 and Stage-2 both)

1 <u>ast.c</u>	7 <u>arraylist.c</u>	13 <u>stack.c</u>	
19 <u>hashmap.c</u>			
2 <u>variable_list.c</u>	8 <u>binary_operator.c</u>	14 <u>assignment_statment.c</u>	
20 <u>program.c</u>			
3 <u>array_variable_node.c</u>	9 <u></u>	15 <u></u>	
21 <u></u>			
4 <u></u>	10 <u></u>	16 <u></u>	22 <u></u>
5 <u></u>	11 <u></u>	17 <u></u>	23 <u></u>
6 <u></u>	12 <u></u>	18 <u></u>	24 <u></u>

2. Total number of submitted files: (All files should be in **ONE** folder named exactly as Group number)
3. Have you mentioned names and IDs of all team members at the top of each file (and commented well)? (Yes/no) Yes [Note: Files without names will not be evaluated]
4. Have you compressed the folder as specified in the submission guidelines? (yes/no)
5. **Status of Code development:** Mention 'Yes' if you have developed the code for the given module, else mention 'No'.
- a. Lexer (Yes/No): Yes
 - b. Parser (Yes/No): yes
 - c. Abstract Syntax tree (Yes/No): yes
 - d. Symbol Table (Yes/ No): yes
 - e. Type checking Module (Yes/No): yes
 - f. Semantic Analysis Module (Yes/ no): yes (reached LEVEL as per the details uploaded)
 - g. Code Generator (Yes/No): yes
6. **Execution Status:**
- a. Code generator produces code.asm (Yes/ No): yes
 - b. code.asm produces correct output using NASM for testcases (C#.txt, #:1-11): yes
 - c. Semantic Analyzer produces semantic errors appropriately (Yes/No): yes

- d. Static Type Checker reports type mismatch errors appropriately (Yes/ No):___yes_____
- e. Dynamic type checking works for arrays and reports errors on executing code.asm (yes/no):
_____yes_____
- f. Symbol Table is constructed (yes/no)___yes_____and printed appropriately (Yes /No):_____yes_____
- g. AST is constructed (yes/ no) _____yes_____and printed (yes/no) ___yes_____
- h. Name the test cases out of 21 as uploaded on the course website for which you get the segmentation fault (t#.txt ; # 1-10 and c@.txt ; @:1-11):_____

7. **Data Structures** (Describe in maximum 2 lines and avoid giving C definition of it)

- a. AST node structure_Nodes inheriting from a base ast node _____

- b. Symbol Table structure:___Tree of
hashtables_____
- c. array type expression structure:_____Operator, or unary operator or id or number
node_____
- d. Input parameters type structure:___List of variable node_____
- e. Output parameters type structure:_List of variable nodes_____
- f. Structure for maintaining the three address code(if created) :_____NA_____

8. **Semantic Checks:** Mention your scheme NEATLY for testing the following major checks (in not more than 5-10 words)[Hint: You can use simple phrases such as 'symbol table entry empty', 'symbol table entry already found populated', 'traversal of linked list of parameters and respective types' etc.]

- a. Variable not Declared : not found in symbol
table_____
- b. Multiple declarations: already found in symbol table_____
- c. Number and type of input and output parameters:___Type descriptor set

- d. assignment of value to the output parameter in a function ___is_assigned filed in symbol
table_____
- e. function call semantics:___compare type
descriptors_____
- f. static type checking :___AST traversal during Semantic Analysis
phase_____
- g. return semantics:_____Compare type
descriptors_____
- h. Recursion :___Check caller's symbol table in tree of symbol table and see if the entry found is same as
callee_____
- i. module overloading:___Check symbol table for already defined functions

- j. 'switch' semantics :_____Type Descriptor of ID_____
- k. 'for' and 'while' loop semantics: _____

- l. handling offsets for nested scopes: _____
- m. handling offsets for formal parameters: _____
- n. handling shadowing due to a local variable declaration over input parameters: _____
- o. array semantics and type checking of array type variables: _____
- p. Scope of variables and their visibility :__From current ST to upwards_____
- q. computation of nesting depth:___Depth in tree of hashtables (aka cactus stack)_____

9. Code Generation:

- a. NASM version as specified earlier used (Yes/no):___Yes_____
- b. Used 32-bit or 64-bit representation:_____64_____
- c. For your implementation: 1 memory word = _____2_____ (in bytes)
- d. Mention the names of major registers used by your code generator:
 - For base address of an activation record: _____rbp_____
 - for stack pointer:_____rsp_____
 - others (specify):_____
- e. Mention the physical sizes of the integer, real and boolean data as used in your code generation module
 size(integer): _____1_____ (in words/ locations), __2_____ (in bytes)
 size(real): _____2_____ (in words/ locations), 4_____ (in bytes)
 size(booeIan): _____0.5_____ (in words/ locations), __1_____ (in bytes)
- f. How did you implement functions calls?(write 3-5 lines describing your model of implementation)

- g. Specify the following:
 - Caller's responsibilities:_____
 - Callee's responsibilities:_____
- h. How did you maintain return addresses? (write 3-5 lines): _____

- i. How have you maintained parameter passing? How were the statically computed offsets of the parameters used by the callee? _____
- j. How is a dynamic array parameter receiving its ranges from the caller? _____
- k. What have you included in the activation record size computation? (local variables, parameters, both):
 ____both_____
- l. register allocation (your manually selected heuristic) : _____

- m. Which primitive data types have you handled in your code generation module?(Integer, real and boolean): _____ Integer, boolean _____
- n. Where are you placing the temporaries in the activation record of a function? __in the dynamic stack of the function _____
- _____

10. Compilation Details:

- a. Makefile works (yes/No): _____ Yes _____
- b. Code Compiles (Yes/ No): _____ Yes _____
- c. Mention the .c files that do not compile: _____ None _____
- d. Any specific function that does not compile: _____ None _____
- e. Ensured the compatibility of your code with the specified versions [GCC, UBUNTU, NASM] (yes/no) _____ Yes _____

11. Execution time for compiling the test cases [lexical, syntax and semantic analyses including symbol table creation, type checking and code generation] :

- i. t1.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- ii. t2.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- iii. t3.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- iv. t4.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- v. t5.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- vi. t6.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- vii. t7.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- viii. t8.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- ix. t9.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____
- x. t10.txt (in ticks) _____ and (in seconds) _____

12. Driver Details: Does it take care of the **TEN** options specified earlier?(yes/no): _____

13. Specify the language features your compiler is not able to handle (in maximum one line)
_____ Module reuse _____

14. Are you availing the lifeline (Yes/No): _____ No _____

15. Write exact command you expect to be used for executing the code.asm using NASM simulator [We will use these directly while evaluating your NASM created code]

16. **Strength of your code**(Strike off where not applicable): (a) **correctness** (b) completeness (c) **robustness** (d) Well documented (e) **readable** (f) **strong data structure** (f) **Good programming style (indentation, avoidance of goto stmts etc)** (g) **modular** (h) **space and time efficient** ALL applicable

17. Any other point you wish to mention: _____ Data Structures have been appropriately made for various purposes that serve the purpose. _____

18. Declaration: We, _____ Manaf, Nishant, Adarsh _____ (your names) declare that we have put our genuine efforts in creating the compiler project code and have submitted the code developed only by our group. We

have not copied any piece of code from any source. If our code is found plagiarized in any form or degree, we understand that a disciplinary action as per the institute rules will be taken against us and we will accept the penalty as decided by the department of Computer Science and Information Systems, BITS, Pilani. [Write your ID and names below]

ID _____ 2019B3A70351P _____

Name: _____ MANAF _____

ID _____ 2019B3A70381P _____

Name: __ NISHANT _____

ID _____ 2019B3A70443P _____

Name: _

_____ ADARSH _____

Date: __ 12-04-2023 _____ Group number _37 _____

Should not exceed 6 pages.