## Sum of independent exponentials

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(X_i)_{i=1...n}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , be independent exponential random variables with pairwise distinct respective parameters  $\lambda_i$ . Then the density of their sum is

(1) 
$$f_{X_1+X_2+\dots+X_n}(x) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right] \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_j x}}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k\neq j\\k-1}}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)}, \quad x > 0.$$

Remark. I once (in 2005, to be more precise) thought this stuff would be part of some research-related arguments, but I ended up not using it. Later on I realized it's actually Problem 12 of Chapter I in Feller: An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Volume II. And recently I have read about it, together with further references, in "Notes on the sum and maximum of independent exponentially distributed random variables with different scale parameters" by Markus Bibinger under http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.3945. Moreover, I now know that this distribution is known as the Hypoexponential distribution (thanks János!).

*Proof.* First we compute the convolutions needed in the proof.

$$e^{-ax} * e^{-bx} = \int_{0}^{x} e^{-a(x-u)} e^{-bu} du = e^{-ax} \frac{e^{(a-b)x} - 1}{a-b} = \frac{e^{-bx} - e^{-ax}}{a-b}.$$

For n=2,

$$f_{X_1 + X_2}(x) = f_{X_1}(x) * f_{X_2}(x) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{e^{-\lambda_2 x} - e^{-\lambda_1 x}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left[ \frac{e^{-\lambda_1 x}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} + \frac{e^{-\lambda_2 x}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right],$$

in accordance to (1). Now inductively, fix  $n \geq 3$ , and assume the statement is true for n-1. Then

$$f_{X_{1}+X_{2}+\dots+X_{n}}(x) = f_{X_{1}+X_{2}+\dots+X_{n-1}}(x) * f_{X_{n}}(x) = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{j}x}}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k=1}}^{n-1} (\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})} * f_{X_{n}}(x)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right] \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{n}x} - e^{-\lambda_{j}x}}{(\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{n}) \prod\limits_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k=1}}^{n-1} (\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})} = \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{j}x}}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k=1}}^{n} (\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-\lambda_{n}x}}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k=1}}^{n} (\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j})}\right].$$

The proof is done as soon as we show that the coefficient of  $e^{-\lambda_n x}$  fits the coefficients seen in the sum of (1), i.e.

(2) 
$$-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k \neq j}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_n)}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k \neq j \\ k-1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)} = 0.$$

To this order, we write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k\neq j\\k=1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{k\neq l\neq j\\k,l=1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)}{\prod\limits_{\substack{k\neq l\\k,l=1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)}$$

which is zero if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{k \neq l \neq j \\ k \mid l-1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)$$

is zero. We transform the latter in the following display. The nontrivial steps are changing orders of  $\lambda$ 's and thus signs in the factors of the products.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{k \neq l \neq j \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k \neq l \neq j \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) \prod_{\substack{k = j \neq l \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)$$

$$= \pm \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k > l \neq j \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2 \prod_{\substack{k = j > l \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) \prod_{\substack{k = j < l \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)$$

$$= \pm \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k > l \neq j \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2 \prod_{\substack{j = k > l \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) \prod_{\substack{k > l = j \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) (-1)^{n-j} =$$

$$= \pm \prod_{\substack{k > l \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k > l \neq j \\ k, l = 1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) (-1)^{n-j},$$

which is zero if and only if

(3) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k > l \neq j \\ k, l=1}}^{n} (\lambda_k - \lambda_l) (-1)^j$$

is zero. Notice that the product here is a Vandermonde determinant of the form

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{n-2} \\ 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \cdots & \lambda_2^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_{j-1} & \lambda_{j-1}^2 & \cdots & \lambda_{j-1}^{n-2} \\ 1 & \lambda_{j+1} & \lambda_{j+1}^2 & \cdots & \lambda_{j+1}^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n^2 & \cdots & \lambda_n^{n-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

and hence (3) is nothing but the expansion of the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_1^2 & \cdots & \lambda_1^{n-2} \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_2^2 & \cdots & \lambda_2^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda_n & \lambda_n^2 & \cdots & \lambda_n^{n-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

w.r.t. its second column. As this determinant is zero, so is (3) and thus (2) is proven.