Towards a framework for context selection in statistics instruction

V.N. Vimal Rao University of Minnesota rao00013@umn.edu

How many contexts should be used in teaching a first course in statistics? This seemingly innocuous question has no obvious answer.

The role of context is integral to the practice of statistics (Rao, 1975). Expert practice requires constantly interacting with both context and statistics (Wild & Pfannkuch, 1999). Furthermore, the development of statistical literacy (Gal, 2002; Wallman, 1993) requires a deep and meaningful interaction with context (Watson, 2006; Weiland, 2017). As instruction of statistical literacy gains traction in classrooms (Rumsey, 2002), so too does the importance of the role of context in learning statistics (Pfannkuch, 2011).

Recent recommendations ask instructors to utilize and integrate a variety of contexts throughout a course to ensure student interest, buy-in, and engagement (GAISE, 2016; Neumann, Hood & Neumann, 2013; Newfeld, 2016). However, statistics instructors may be introducing too many contexts and concepts at once (Beckman, delMas, & Garfield, 2017; Wild, Pfannkuch, Regan, & Horton, 2011). Such an over-saturation of context may incite heavy cognitive load for students, as students' unfamiliarity with varied contexts compounds (Sweller, 1988). This may in turn inhibit the development of students' conceptual understanding of statistics (Kirschner, Sweller, & Clark, 2006; Paas, Renkl, & Sweller, 2003).

While calls have been made for the thoughtful implementation of contexts in statistics instruction, no clear framework for decision making has been developed to achieve *complexity reduction* (Wild et al., 2011). Generally speaking, theories of abstraction espouse the marginal benefits of introducing a new context, while theories of cognitive load highlight the marginal costs. A simultaneous consideration of both can facilitate the identification of an equilibrium in terms of the number of contexts utilized.

In this paper I introduce the development of an integrated strategic framework to guide context selection in statistics instruction. After a review of relevant literature, I discuss key barriers in developing a robust solution, provide recommendations based on current work, and showcase how such a framework may be applied to two example syllabi in conjunction with a method for selecting and sequencing contexts for an entire course.

This work contributes towards general recommendations for context selection in statistics and can benefit instructors and course designers.

References

- Beckman, M. D., Delmas, R. C., & Garfield, J. (2017). Cognitive Transfer Outcomes for a Simulation-Based Introductory Statistics Curriculum. Statistics Education Research Journal, 16(2), 419-440.
- GAISE College Report ASA Revision Committee, "Guidelines for Assessment and Instruction in Statistics Education College Report 2016," http://www.amstat.org/education/gaise
- Gal, I. (2002). Adults' statistical literacy: Meanings, components, responsibilities. International Statistical Review, 70, 1-51.

- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. Educational psychologist, 41(2), 75-86.
- Neumann, D.L., Hood, M., Neumann, M.M. (2013). Using real-life data when teaching statistics: Student perceptions of this strategy in an introductory statistics course. Statistics Education Research Journal, 12(2), 59-70.
- Newfeld, D. (2016). A first assignment to create student buy-in in an introductory business statistics course. Teaching Statistics, 38(3), 87-90.
- Paas, F., Renkl, A., & Sweller, J. (2003). Cognitive load theory and instructional design: Recent developments. Educational psychologist, 38(1), 1-4.
- Pfannkuch, M. (2011). The role of context in developing informal statistical inferential reasoning: A classroom study. Mathematical Thinking and Learning, 13(1-2), 27-46.
- Rao, C. R. (1975). Teaching of statistics at the secondary level: An interdisciplinary approach. International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 6(2), 151-162.
- Rumsey, D. J. (2002). Statistical literacy as a goal for introductory statistics courses. Journal of Statistics Education, 10(3).
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. Cognitive science, 12(2), 257-285.
- Sweller, J. (2010). Element interactivity and intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. Educational psychology review, 22(2), 123-138.
- Wallman, K. K. (1993). Enhancing statistical literacy: Enriching our society. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 88 (421), 1-8.
- Watson, J. M. (2006). Statistical literacy at school: Growth and goals. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Weiland, T. (2017). The importance of context in task selection. Teaching Statistics, 39(1), 20-25.
- Wild, C. J., & Pfannkuch, M. (1999). Statistical thinking in empirical enquiry. International statistical review, 67(3), 223-248.
- Wild, C. J., Pfannkuch, M., Regan, M., & Horton, N. J. (2011). Towards more accessible conceptions of statistical inference. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society), 174(2), 247-295.