# COMP 3105A Introduction to Machine Learning Assignment 1

Instructor: Junfeng Wen (junfeng.wen [AT] carleton.ca)

#### Fall 2025 School of Computer Science Carleton University

**Deadline**: 11:59 pm, Sunday, Sept. 28, 2025

Instructions: Submit the following three files to Brightspace for marking

- A Python file Alcodes.py that includes all your implementations of the required functions
- A pip requirements file named requirements.txt that specifies the running environment including a list of Python libraries/packages and their versions required to run your codes.
- A PDF file Alreport.pdf that includes all your answers to the written questions. It should also specify your team members (names and student IDs). Please clearly specify question/sub-question numbers in your submitted PDF report so TAs can see which question you are answering.

Do not submit a compressed file, or it will result in a mark deduction. We recommend trying your code using Colab or Anaconda/Virtualenv before submission.

**Rubrics**: This assignment is worth 15% of the final grade. Your codes and report will be evaluated based on their scientific qualities including but not limited to: Are the implementations correct? Is the analysis rigorous and thorough? Are the codes easily understandable (with comments)? Is the report well-organized and clear?

#### Policies:

- You can finish this assignment in groups of two. All members of a group will
  receive the same mark when the workload is shared.
- You may consult others (classmates/TAs/LLMs) about general ideas but don't share codes/answers. Please specify in the PDF file any individuals or programs (e.g., ChatGPT) you consult for the assignment. If you use large language models (LLMs), clearly show us how you use them. Any group found to cheat or violate this policy will receive a score of 0 for this assignment.
- Remember that you have **three** excused days *throughout the term* (rounded up to the nearest day), after which no late submission will be accepted.
- Specifically for this assignment, you can use libraries with general utilities, such as matplotlib, numpy/scipy, cvxopt, and pandas for Python. However, you must implement everything by yourselves without using any pre-existing implementations of the algorithms or any functions from an ML library (such as scikit-learn). The goal is for you to really understand, step by step, how the algorithms work.

## Question 1 (7.5%) Linear Regression

In this question, you will implement linear regression from scratch, in Python using NumPy/SciPy, and evaluate their performances on different datasets. You will learn the basics of array manipulations and matrix/vector operations (e.g., use @ for matrix multiplication, X.T to transpose a matrix X etc). You will also learn some essential functions like numpy.linalg.solve to solve linear systems and cvxopt.solvers.lp to solve linear programmings.

All of the following functions must be able to handle arbitrary n > 0 and d > 0. The vectors and matrices are represented as numpy arrays. Your functions shouldn't print additional information to the standard output.

## (a) (1%) $L_2$ Regression

Implement a Python function

that takes an  $n \times d$  input matrix X and an  $n \times 1$  target/label vector y, and returns a  $d \times 1$  vector of weights/parameters w corresponding to the solution of the  $L_2$  losses:

$$\mathbf{w} = \underset{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2n} \|X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 = (X^{\top} X)^{-1} X^{\top} \mathbf{y}. \tag{1}$$

Use the analytic solution above for your implementation.

Hint: You may find np.linalg.solve or np.linalg.inv helpful.

#### (b) (3%) $L_{\infty}$ Regression

Here we are going to solve the  $L_{\infty}$  loss regression problem

$$\mathbf{w} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \| X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y} \|_{\infty}$$

 $\mathbf{w} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}.$  Recall that this optimization can be expressed as a linear programming with the joint parameters  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  as follows

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, \delta} \quad \delta 
\text{s.t.} \quad \delta \ge 0 
\qquad X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y} \le \delta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n 
\qquad \mathbf{y} - X\mathbf{w} \le \delta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n$$
(2)

Now we want to convert it into a form that is solvable by the cxvopt linear programming (LP) solver, which solves the following form of LP

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{u} \\
\text{s.t.} \quad G\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{h}.$$

Let the unknown variables be  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ d \times 1 \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ .

For the constraints, since we have three sets of constraints, the matrix G and  $\mathbf{h}$  can be decomposed into three parts

$$G \cdot \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} G^{(1)} \\ 1 \times (d+1) \\ G^{(2)} \\ n \times (d+1) \\ G^{(3)} \\ n \times (d+1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} \preceq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}^{(1)} \\ 1 \times 1 \\ \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \\ n \times 1 \\ \mathbf{h}^{(3)} \\ n \times 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{h}$$

and each part corresponds to a constraint specified in Eq. (2).

Write your answers to the following questions in the PDF report. Please specify the shape of each part of your expression clearly.

**(b.1)** (0.25%) For the objective function, we want  $\mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{u} = \delta$ . What should  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  be?

**(b.2)** (0.25%) We want  $G^{(1)}\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{h}^{(1)} \iff \delta \geq 0$ . What should  $G^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times (d+1)}$  and  $\mathbf{h}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}$  be?

**(b.3)** (0.25%) We want 
$$G^{(2)}\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{h}^{(2)} \iff X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y} \leq \delta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n$$
. What should  $G^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (d+1)}$  and  $\mathbf{h}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be?

**(b.4)** (0.25%) We want 
$$G^{(3)}\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{h}^{(3)} \iff \mathbf{y} - X\mathbf{w} \leq \delta \cdot \mathbf{1}_n$$
. What should  $G^{(3)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (d+1)}$  and  $\mathbf{h}^{(3)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be?

(b.5) (2%) Based on your derivations in (b), implement a Python function

that returns a  $d \times 1$  vector of weights/parameters w corresponding to the solution of minimum  $L_{\infty}$  loss

$$\mathbf{w} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}.$$

Note that if you do not complete part (b), you won't receive marks for part (c).

Hints: You may find np.zeros, np.ones, np.concatenate and cvxopt.solvers.lp helpful. Make sure all matrices/vectors are converted to cvxopt.matrix first before calling the solver. You should also set solvers.options['show\_progress'] = False to silence the solver in your final submission. After finishing parts (a)-(c), you can use Altestbed.py to visualize your models and make sure they are reasonable.

#### (c) (2%) Synthetic Regression Problem

In this part, you will evaluate your implemented algorithms on a synthetic dataset.

(c.1) (1%) Implement a Python function

```
train_loss, test_loss = synRegExperiments()
```

that returns a  $2 \times 2$  matrix train\_loss of *average* training losses and a  $2 \times 2$  matrix test\_loss of *average* test losses (See Table 1 and Table 2 below.) It repeats 100 runs as follows

def synRegExperiments():

```
# TODO: Change the following random seed to one of your student IDs
np.random.seed(42)
for r in range(n_runs):
    w_true = np.random.randn(d + 1, 1)
    Xtrain, ytrain = genData(n_train, is_training=True)
    Xtest, ytest = genData(n_test, is_training=False)
    w_L2 = minimizeL2(Xtrain, ytrain)
    w_Linf = minimizeLinf(Xtrain, ytrain)
    # TODO: Evaluate the two models' performance (for each model,
            calculate the L2 and L infinity losses on the training
            data). Save them to `train_loss`
    #
    # TODO: Evaluate the two models' performance (for each model,
            calculate the L2 and L infinity losses on the test
            data). Save them to `test_loss`
# TODO: compute the average losses over runs
# TODO: return a 2-by-2 training loss variable and a 2-by-2 test loss variable
```

Note that the  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  losses should be the average loss over training/test points.

In the PDF report, show the *averages* (over 100 runs) for each kind of loss and each kind of model in two tables below.

Table 1: Different training losses for different models

| Model              | $L_2$ loss | $L_{\infty}$ loss |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|
| $L_2$ model        |            |                   |
| $L_{\infty}$ model |            |                   |

Table 2: Different test losses for different models

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \operatorname{Model} & L_2 \ \operatorname{loss} & L_{\infty} \ \operatorname{loss} \\ L_2 \ \operatorname{model} & & & \\ L_{\infty} \ \operatorname{model} & & & \end{array}$$

**Debug Hint**: For your verification, we include some toy data in the toy\_data folder. If you train with the regression\_train.csv (with augmentation), you should get the following models

$$\mathbf{w}_{L2} = \begin{bmatrix} -26.72481802 \\ -1.1663904 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{w}_{L_{\infty}} = \begin{bmatrix} -25.3365398 \\ -1.39556938 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the following training and test losses

Table 3: Different training losses for different models for regression\_train.csv

| Model              | $L_2$ loss | $L_{\infty}$ loss |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|
| $L_2$ model        | 2.00305568 | 7.31711154        |
| $L_{\infty}$ model | 2.36347873 | 6.6215357         |

Table 4: Different test losses for different models for the regression\_test.csv

| Model              | $L_2$ loss | $L_{\infty}$ loss |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------|
| $L_2$ model        | 1.66071948 | 5.58874693        |
| $L_{\infty}$ model | 1.9868478  | 5.02664782        |

(c.2) (1%) Looking at your tables from above, analyze the results and discuss any findings you may have and the possible reason behind them.

#### (d) (1.5%) Real-World Regression Problem

(d.1) (1%) Here you will apply the linear regression algorithms to the concrete compressive strength (CCS) dataset (click to see the data website).

To start, you need to preprocess the data. Implement a Python function

```
X, y = preprocessCCS(dataset_folder)
```

that takes an absolute path (result of os.path.abspath) of the CCS dataset\_folder (where the Concrete\_Data.xls file is located) and returns the preprocessed  $n \times d$  input matrix X and an  $n \times 1$  label vector y (that are suitable to use for your functions in (a) and (b)).

(d.2) (0.5%) Implement a Python function

```
train_loss, test_loss = runCCS(dataset_folder)
```

that takes the absolute dataset path and returns the training and test losses. It runs as follows

```
def runCCS(dataset_folder):
   X, y = preprocessCCS(dataset_folder)
   n, d = X.shape
   X = np.concatenate((np.ones((n, 1)), X), axis=1) # augment
   n_runs = 100
   train_loss = np.zeros([n_runs, 2, 2]) # n_runs * n_models * n_metrics
    test_loss = np.zeros([n_runs, 2, 2]) # n_runs * n_models * n_metrics
    # TODO: Change the following random seed to one of your student IDs
   np.random.seed(42)
   for r in range(n_runs):
        # TODO: Randomly partition the dataset into two parts (50%
               training and 50% test)
        # TODO: Learn two different models from the training data
               using L2 and L infinity losses
        # TODO: Evaluate the two models' performance (for each model,
               calculate the L2 and L infinity losses on the training
               data). Save them to `train loss`
        # TODO: Evaluate the two models' performance (for each model,
                calculate the L2 and L infinity losses on the test
                data). Save them to `test_loss`
    # TODO: compute the average losses over runs
```

# TODO: return a 2-by-2 training loss variable and a 2-by-2 test loss variable

In the PDF file, report the *averages* (over 100 runs) for each kind of loss and each kind of model using tables similar to Table 1 and Table 2.

## Question 2 (7.5%) Logistic Regression

In this question, you will implement logistic regression, a classification method, and solve it using scipy.optimize.minimize.

All of the following functions must be able to handle arbitrary n>0 and d>0. The vectors and matrices are represented as numpy arrays. Your functions shouldn't print additional information to the standard output.

#### (a) (2%) Solving Convex Problem

(a.1) (1%) Before diving into logistic regression, let's first revisit the linear regression in Q1(a). Implement two Python functions

```
obj_val = linearRegL2Obj(w, X, y)
gradient = linearRegL2Grad(w, X, y)
```

that take a  $d \times 1$  vector of parameters  $\mathbf{w}$ , an  $n \times d$  input matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  and an  $n \times 1$  label vector  $\mathbf{y}$  as inputs. The first function returns a scalar value obj\_val that is the objective value in Eq. (1) (i.e., the value of  $\frac{1}{2n} ||X\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2$ ). The second function returns a vector gradient that is the analytic form gradient of size  $d \times 1$ . Both are evaluated at the given parameters  $\mathbf{w}$ .

**Debug hint**: You may want to compare your analytic gradient with the gradient computed by the grad function from autograd or jax.

```
(a.2) (1%) Write a Python function
```

```
w = find_opt(obj_func, grad_func, X, y)
```

that find the optimal solution of a convex optimization problem, using the minimize from scipy.optimize. The function takes an objective function obj\_func (that admits the I/O as in part (a)), an  $n \times d$  input matrix  $\mathbf X$  and an  $n \times 1$  label vector  $\mathbf y$  as inputs. It returns the final parameter vector  $\mathbf w$  of size  $d \times 1$ . Specifically, it should work as follows

```
def find_opt(obj_func, grad_func, X, y):
    d = X.shape[1]
    w_0 = # TODO: Initialize a random 1-D array of parameters of size d
    # TODO: Define an objective function `func` that takes a single argument (w)
    # TODO: Define a gradient function `gd` that takes a single argument (w)
    return minimize(func, w_0, jac=gd)['x'][:, None]
```

**Hint**: Although in machine learning, we usually represent vectors as column vectors (for example, we write  $\mathbf{w}$  as a  $d \times 1$  vector), some libraries work with row vectors (1-D array) by default. In the case of <code>scipy.optimize.minimize</code>, the function func and the gradient (i.e., Jacobian with only one output) <code>gd</code> have to take a <code>single 1-D</code> array as input. Since our <code>obj\_func</code> and <code>grad\_func</code> require column vectors as inputs, you would need to do some conversion in your <code>func</code> and <code>gd</code>. This is also the reason why we have <code>[:, None]</code> at the end, which converts the 1-D optimal solution to a column vector.

**Debug hint**: You can pass the objective and gradient functions from Q2(a) to your find\_opt function:

```
w = find_opt(linearRegL2Obj, linearRegL2Grad, X, y)
```

You should get a solution  $\mathbf{w}$  very close to your analytic solution from Q1(a) for linear regression problems. If so, then it is very likely that your find\_opt function is correct.

#### (b) (2%) Solving Logistic Regression

Implement two Python functions

```
obj_val = logisticRegObj(w, X, y)
grad = logisticRegGrad(w, X, y)
```

that take a  $d \times 1$  vector of parameters w, an  $n \times d$  input matrix X and an  $n \times 1$  label vector y. The first function returns a scalar value obj\_val that is the objective value (cross-entropy loss):

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n} \left[ -\mathbf{y}^{\top} \log(\sigma(X\mathbf{w})) - (\mathbf{1}_n - \mathbf{y})^{\top} \log(\mathbf{1}_n - \sigma(X\mathbf{w})) \right]$$
(3)

where the sigmoid function  $\sigma$  is applied element-wisely. The second one returns a vector gradient that is the analytic form gradient of size  $d \times 1$ :

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n} X^{\top} (\sigma(X\mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{y}). \tag{4}$$

**Debug hint**: You may want to compare your analytic gradient with the gradient computed by the grad function from autograd or jax.

Numerical issue: Note that the sigmoid function  $\sigma$  can produce a float number that is very close to zero, or exactly zero due to underflow. In such cases,  $\log(0)$  will produce an NAN. To avoid this, a numerically stable implementation is required. You may want to check the numpy.logaddexp function. In general, you need to be careful whenever exp or log is called.

**Debug Hint**: Once done, you can use Altestbed.py to visualize your models and make sure that they are reasonable.

## (c) (2%) Synthetic Binary Classification Problem

(c.1) (1%) In this part, you will evaluate your implementation on a synthetic dataset. Implement a Python function

```
train_acc, test_acc = synClsExperiments()
```

that returns a  $4 \times 3$  matrix train\_acc of average training accuracies and a  $4 \times 3$  matrix test\_acc of average test accuracies (see Table 5 and Table 6 below). It repeats 100 runs as follows

```
def synClsExperiments():
```

```
def genData(n_points, dim1, dim2):
    '''
    This function generate synthetic data
    '''
    c0 = np.ones([1, dim1]) # class 0 center
    c1 = -np.ones([1, dim1]) # class 1 center
    X0 = np.random.randn(n_points, dim1 + dim2) # class 0 input
    X0[:, :dim1] += c0
    X1 = np.random.randn(n_points, dim1 + dim2) # class 1 input
    X1[:, :dim1] += c1
    X = np.concatenate((X0, X1), axis=0)
    X = np.concatenate((np.ones((2 * n_points, 1)), X), axis=1) # augmentation
    y = np.concatenate([np.zeros([n_points, 1]), np.ones([n_points, 1])], axis=0)
    return X, y

def runClsExp(m=100, dim1=2, dim2=2):
    '''
    Run classification experiment with the specified arguments
```

```
n_{\text{test}} = 1000
    Xtrain, ytrain = genData(m, dim1, dim2)
    Xtest, ytest = genData(n_test, dim1, dim2)
    w_logit = find_opt(logisticRegObj, logisticRegGrad, Xtrain, ytrain)
    vtrain_hat = # TODO: Compute predicted labels of the training points
    train_acc = # TODO: Compute the accuarcy of the training set
    ytest_hat = # TODO: Compute predicted labels of the test points
    test_acc = # TODO: Compute the accuarcy of the test set
    return train_acc, test_acc
n runs = 100
train_acc = np.zeros([n_runs, 4, 3])
test_acc = np.zeros([n_runs, 4, 3])
# TODO: Change the following random seed to one of your student IDs
np.random.seed(42)
for r in range(n_runs):
    for i, m in enumerate((10, 50, 100, 200)):
        train_acc[r, i, 0], test_acc[r, i, 0] = runClsExp(m=m)
    for i, dim1 in enumerate((1, 2, 4, 8)):
        train_acc[r, i, 1], test_acc[r, i, 1] = runClsExp(dim1=dim1)
    for i, dim2 in enumerate((1, 2, 4, 8)):
       train_acc[r, i, 2], test_acc[r, i, 2] = runClsExp(dim2=dim2)
# TODO: compute the average accuracies over runs
# TODO: return a 4-by-3 training accuracy variable and a 4-by-3 test accuracy variable
```

In the PDF report, show the *averages* (over 100 runs) for each accuracy in the two tables below (one for training and the other for test).

Table 5: Training accuracies with different hyper-parameters

| m   | Train Accuracy | dim1 | Train Accuracy | dim2 | Train Accuracy |
|-----|----------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|
| 10  |                | 1    |                | 1    |                |
| 50  |                | 2    |                | 2    |                |
| 100 |                | 4    |                | 4    |                |
| 200 |                | 8    |                | 8    |                |

Table 6: Test accuracies with different hyper-parameters

| m   | Test Accuracy | dim1 | Test Accuracy | dim2 | Test Accuracy |
|-----|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|
| 10  |               | 1    |               | 1    |               |
| 50  |               | 2    |               | 2    |               |
| 100 |               | 4    |               | 4    |               |
| 200 |               | 8    |               | 8    |               |

**Debug Hint:** For your verification, we include some toy data in the toy\_data folder. If you train with the classification\_train.csv (with augmentation), you should get a model close to  $\mathbf{w} = [0.0318, -2.47, -2.45]^{\top}$  and the training accuracy on classification\_train.csv and test accuracy on classification\_test.csv should be 0.93 and 0.925, respectively.

(c.2) (1%) Looking at your tables from above, analyze the results and discuss any findings you may have and the possible reason behind them.

### (d) (1.5%) Real-World Binary Classification Problem

(d.1) (1%) Now you will apply logistic regression a real-world problem, the Breast Cancer Wisconsin (BCW) dataset (click to see the data website).

To start, you need to preprocess the data. Implement a Python function

```
X, y = preprocessBCW(dataset_folder)
```

that takes an absolute path (result of os.path.abspath) of the BCW dataset\_folder (where the wdbc.data file is located) and returns the preprocessed  $n \times d$  input matrix X and an  $n \times 1$  label vector y (that are suitable to use for your find\_opt learning). In this function, you need to remove the ID column and use the correct target variable, converting B to 0 and M to 1.

(d.2) (0.5%) Implement a Python function

```
train_acc, test_acc = runBCW(dataset_folder)
```

that takes the absolute dataset path and returns the training, validation and test accuracies. It runs as follows

```
def runBCW(dataset_folder):
```

```
X, y = preprocessBCW(dataset_folder)
n, d = X.shape
X = np.concatenate((np.ones((n, 1)), X), axis=1) # augment
n_runs = 100
train_acc = np.zeros([n_runs])
test_acc = np.zeros([n_runs])
# TODO: Change the following random seed to one of your student IDs
np.random.seed(42)
for r in range(n_runs):
    # TODO: Randomly partition the dataset into two parts (50%
            training and 50% test)
    w = find_opt(logisticRegObj, logisticRegGrad, Xtrain, ytrain)
    # TODO: Evaluate the model's accuracy on the training
            data. Save it to `train_acc`
    # TODO: Evaluate the model's accuracy on the test
            data. Save it to `test_acc`
# TODO: compute the average accuracies over runs
# TODO: return two variables: the average training accuracy and average test accuracy
```