

Day 1 – 10:07 AM–10:24 AM (Dataset Confirmation & GitHub Setup)

- **10:07 AM (Kristen):** Confirmed the group would use the dataset provided by the professor.
- **10:08 AM (Kristen):** Shared the GitHub repository link and confirmed that everyone had been invited.
- **10:24 AM (Tanvi):** Confirmed that the group was using the same dataset.

This finalized the dataset decision and confirmed repository access.

Day 1 – 6:56 PM–8:18 PM (Document Progress & Conclusion Needed)

- **6:56 PM (SebasTortos):** Asked if everyone could access the Word/Google document.
- **7:14 PM (Tanvi):** Confirmed access.
- **8:18 PM (SebasTortos):** Announced he had completed his portion of the document and requested feedback.
- Noted that someone still needed to write the conclusion.

Day 2 – 9:48 AM–12:14 PM (Access Issues)

- **9:48 AM (Kristen):** Reported she could not access the document and sent a request.
- **11:57 AM (Keerthana):** Also reported access issues due to email redirection.
- **12:13 PM–12:14 PM:** Keerthana asked Kristen if she could see her name in the document; Kristen confirmed she still did not have access.

This period focused on resolving document access problems.

Day 2 – 2:19 PM–2:20 PM (Additional Visualizations Added)

- **2:19 PM (Tanvi):** Added her misleading visualization section to the document.
- Asked what the next steps were and suggested completing the project that day to prepare for presentation.
- **2:20 PM (Keerthana):** Confirmed she gained access and uploaded her work.

Day 2 – 6:18 PM–6:37 PM (Confusion About Requirements)

- **6:18 PM (SebasTortos):** Expressed confusion about why there were many visualizations in the document, stating he believed only two good and two bad visualizations were required for the final document.
- **6:19 PM (Tanvi):** Explained she added two misleading visualizations because Sebastian created two good ones.
- **6:20 PM (Keerthana):** Mentioned that individual work might also need to be included in the document.
- **6:29 PM (SebasTortos):** Clarified that individual work is separate, and the group document should contain only two good and two bad visualizations.
- Suggested Keerthana complete the conclusion.
- Mentioned holding a meeting if needed for clarification.
- **6:32 PM–6:35 PM:** Discussion continued about whether all individual visualizations should be included, referencing feedback about missing individual work in previous submissions.
- Sebastian agreed to keep all visualizations if necessary.

This section reflects misunderstanding about whether the document required only selected group visuals or all individual visuals.

Day 2 – 6:37 PM–6:48 PM (Presentation & Structure Discussion)

- Discussion about whether to create a PowerPoint presentation.
 - Sebastian stated it might not be necessary but welcomed anyone to create one.
 - Keerthana asked again about completing the conclusion but expressed confusion about what it should include.
 - Sebastian suggested organizing the document with:
 - All good visualizations first.
 - All misleading visualizations after.
 - Tanvi agreed.
 - Sebastian instructed members to place their bad visualizations after his.
-

Day 2 – 10:09 PM (Conclusion Assigned)

- **Kristen:** Volunteered to complete the conclusion section.
-

Day 3 – 3:51 PM (Kristen Progress Update)

- Kristen stated she would add her images and finish the conclusion that night.
-

Day 3 – 5:29 PM–5:44 PM (Document Organization Issues)

- **5:29 PM (Tanvi):** Noted the document looked messy and needed better formatting.
- **5:31 PM (SebasTortos):** Mentioned misleading visualizations had not been moved under the correct subtitle.
- **5:37 PM (Keerthana):** Suggested organizing the document into subheadings: Summary, Good Visualizations, Bad Visualizations, Conclusion.
- **5:38 PM (SebasTortos):** Clarified those sections already existed.
- **5:41 PM:** Sebastian noticed duplicate copies of the same visualization in the document.
- Keerthana reported she did not have permission to delete duplicates.

This phase involved formatting confusion and editing overlap.

Day 3 – 5:58 PM–6:20 PM (Deleted Visualizations & Further Confusion)

- **5:58 PM (SebasTortos):** Asked if his good visualization had been deleted or was not loading.
- **6:07 PM (Tanvi):** Confirmed she could not see his good visualization.
- **6:08 PM (Tanvi):** Said she added a comparison section between good and bad visuals.
- **6:11 PM (SebasTortos):** Stated his visualization had been deleted again and expressed confusion about the document structure.
- Asked how the final document should be organized.
- **6:19 PM:** Questioned an explanation paragraph that had no corresponding figure.
- Tanvi confirmed the explanation was hers and said she would re-add the missing figure.

Day 3 – 6:25 PM–6:35 PM (Extra Misleading Visualizations Identified)

- **6:25 PM (SebasTortos):** Noted there were four misleading figures instead of two and that one good figure was missing.
- **6:32 PM:** Asked Tanvi if she added two misleading visualizations.
- **6:34 PM (Tanvi):** Confirmed she added two because she thought that was required.
- Agreed to delete one.
- **6:35 PM:** Confirmed she removed the extra misleading visualization.

This clarified that the final group document should contain only the agreed number of visualizations.

Day 3 – 6:49 PM–6:50 PM (Final Adjustments & GitHub Structure)

- Sebastian asked the group to review the reorganized file.
- Mentioned one good visualization was still missing.
- Stated they were waiting for Kristen's final contribution.
- Confirmed he created the GitHub folder structure and asked members to upload their files accordingly.