

---

Markov Partitions for Axiom A Diffeomorphisms

Author(s): Rufus Bowen

Source: *American Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 92, No. 3 (Jul., 1970), pp. 725-747

Published by: The Johns Hopkins University Press

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2373370>

Accessed: 18-12-2019 17:50 UTC

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



*The Johns Hopkins University Press* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *American Journal of Mathematics*

# MARKOV PARTITIONS FOR AXIOM A DIFFEOMORPHISMS.

By RUFUS BOWEN.

---

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we shall study the homeomorphism  $f = f^* \mid \Omega_s$  where  $f^*$  is a diffeomorphism satisfying S. Smale's Axiom A and  $\Omega_s$  is a basic set for  $f^*$  given by his Spectral Decomposition Theorem (see [25]). Our approach is *symbolic dynamics*. We find a covering  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  of  $\Omega_s$  by closed sets and, for each  $x \in \Omega_s$ , consider certain *symbolic sequences*  $(E_{i_k})_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  such that  $f^k(x) \in E_{i_k}$  for all  $k$ . One then attempts to study the dynamics of  $f$  by using that of these symbolic sequences. This approach is an old one, originally used to study geodesics on surfaces of negative curvature; see [5], [12], [13], [16], [17] and [18] (warning: the formalism above is not quite the right one for continuous time examples, e.g. geodesic flows).

The key to success is to find a good covering  $\mathcal{E}$ . The right notion seems to be that of *Markov partition*. This is defined in Section 3. Most of the work of this paper is in proving:

**THEOREM.**  *$f$  has Markov partitions.*

With a Markov partition the space of all symbolic sequences used can be taken to be a subshift of finite type (Section 4) and one obtains

**THEOREM.**  *$f$  is the quotient of a subshift of finite type. One then studies  $f$  by studying the structure of this quotient; this is what we mean by symbolic dynamics.*

In [2] Adler and Weiss constructed Markov partitions for hyperbolic automorphisms of the 2-torus and used the associated symbolic dynamics to study the measure theory of these examples. Ya. Sinai then defined and constructed Markov partitions for Anosov maps in [22] and [23]. We use Sinai's methods, with some modification because  $\Omega_s$  is not generally connected.

There are a considerable number of other papers as well that suggested symbolic dynamics for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. Smale studied in [24] certain examples where  $f = f^* \mid \Omega_s$  is a full shift. In [25] he showed how

---

Revised received July 17, 1970.

to obtain other subshifts of finite type for  $\Omega_s$  as well, and in [6] we saw that in fact every zero-dimensional  $\Omega_s$  is a subshift of finite type. The papers of R. F. Williams [26], [27] and J. Guckenheimer [11] study various one-dimensional  $\Omega_s$  and produce Markov partitions for them. M. Hirsch [14] showed that any expanding map (the present paper applies to these examples by easy modification) is a quotient of a full one-sided shift. Finally, H. Keynes and Robertson [28] showed that any expansive homeomorphism is the quotient of some subshift (not necessarily of finite type).

In Section 5 we study the entropy theory of  $f$ . For any  $f$ -invariant Borel measure  $\nu$  defined on  $\Omega_s$  there is an entropy  $h_\nu(f)$  (see [20] for the definition); there is also a topological entropy  $h(f)$  (see [1]). It is a general fact that  $h_\nu(f) \leq h(f)$  (see [9]). We prove

**THEOREM.** *There is a unique normalized  $f$ -invariant Borel measure  $\mu_f$  on  $\Omega_s$  with  $h_{\mu_f}(f) = h(f)$ ;  $(f, \mu_f)$  is an ergodic Markov chain.*

This is derived from W. Parry's theorem [19] that this fact is true for subshifts of finite type. B. Gurevic used Sinai's results to prove this theorem for transitive Anosov maps. K. Berg [4] has shown that, for an ergodic automorphism of a torus, Haar measure is the only invariant measure which maximizes entropy.

**THEOREM.** *If  $f$  is a hyperbolic automorphism of a nilmanifold  $N$ , then  $\mu_f$  equals Haar measure  $\mu_N$  on  $N$ . If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are two such maps, then  $(f_1, \mu_{N_1})$  and  $(f_2, \mu_{N_2})$  are measure theoretically isomorphic iff they have the same entropy.*

For the 2-torus this theorem was proved by Adler and Weiss [2]. The first part was proved for any torus by Sinai [22]. The second part is merely an application of the theorem of Friedman and Ornstein [29] that entropy is complete for mixing Markov chains.

**2. Canonical coordinates and rectangles.** For  $x \in \Omega_s$  and  $\delta > 0$  we define the closed stable and unstable sets of size  $\delta$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} W_\delta^s(x) &= \{y \in \Omega_s : d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \delta \text{ for all } n \geq 0\} \\ W_\delta^u(x) &= \{y \in \Omega_s : d(f^{-n}(x), f^{-n}(y)) \leq \delta \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The metric  $d$  we use on  $\Omega_s$  is an "adapted" one as in Hirsch and Pugh [15]. We recall some properties of  $f$  proved in [15] and Smale [25].

*Fact 1.* There are positive numbers  $\lambda < 1$ ,  $\epsilon$  and  $\gamma$  for which the following statements are true. For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$d(f^n(y), f^n(z)) \leq \lambda^n d(y, z) \text{ if } y, z \in W_{\gamma^s}(x)$$

and

$$d(f^n(y), f^n(z)) \leq \lambda^n d(y, z) \text{ if } y, z \in W_{\gamma^u}(x).$$

If  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ , then  $W_{\gamma^s}(x) \cap W_{\gamma^u}(y)$  consists of a single point, which we denote by  $[x, y]$ . The map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \{(x, y) \in \Omega_s \times \Omega_s: d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\} \rightarrow \Omega_s$$

is continuous.

*Problem.* Does every topologically transitive homeomorphism satisfying the above occur as  $f^*|_{\Omega_s}$  with  $f^*$  an Axiom A diffeomorphism?

$B_\delta(x)$  will denote the closed  $\delta$ -ball in  $\Omega_s$  centered at  $x$ . For  $X \subset \Omega_s$ , we write  $W_\delta^u(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} W_\delta^u(x)$ .

LEMMA 2. (a)  $W_{\delta_1}^u W_{\delta_2}^u(x) \subset W_{\delta_1 + \delta_2}^u(x)$ .

(b) If  $y \in W_{\gamma^u}(x)$ , then  $W_{\gamma^u}(x) \cap B_\rho(y) \subset W_\rho^u(y)$ .

(c) If  $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \gamma$ , then  $W_{\delta_1}^u(x) = W_{\delta_2}^u(x) \cap B_{\delta_1}(x)$ .

(d) Let  $\delta_1 < \delta_2 \leq \gamma$ . Then  $U \subset W_{\delta_1}^u(x)$  is open as a subset of  $W_{\delta_2}^u(x)$  iff for every  $y \in U$  there is a  $\rho > 0$  with  $W_\rho^u(y) \subset U$ .

(e) If  $Y \subset W_\delta^u(X)$  with  $\delta \leq \gamma$ , then  $f^{-1}Y \subset W_{\lambda\delta}^u(f^{-1}X)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Use the triangle inequality.

(b). If  $z \in W_{\gamma^u}(x) \cap B_\rho(y)$ , then, for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$d(f^{-n}(z), f^{-n}(y)) \leq \lambda^n d(z, y) \leq \rho$$

and  $z \in W_\rho^u(y)$ .

(c). Clearly  $W_{\delta_1}^u(x) \subset W_{\delta_2}^u(x) \cap B_{\delta_1}(x)$ . The reverse inclusion follows from (b) with  $y = x$  and  $\rho = \delta_1$ .

(d).  $U$  is open in  $W_{\delta_2}^u(x)$  iff, for each  $y \in U$ ,  $U \supset B_\rho(y) \cap W_{\delta_2}^u(x)$  for sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$ . Now (a) shows that  $W_{\delta_1}^u(x) \supset W_{\delta_2 - \delta_1}^u(y)$ . For  $\rho < \delta_2 - \delta_1$ , (c) shows

$$W_\rho^u(y) = W_{\delta_2 - \delta_1}^u(y) \cap B_\rho(y) \subset W_{\delta_2}^u(x) \cap B_\rho(y);$$

by (b),  $W_\rho^u(y) \supset W_{\delta_2}^u(x) \cap B_\rho(y)$ ; thus  $W_\rho^u(y) = W_{\delta_2}^u(x) \cap B_\rho(y)$  and we have the openness condition we want.

(e). If  $y \in W_\delta^u(x)$ , then fact 1 shows that, for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$d(f^{-n}f^{-1}(x), f^{-n}f^{-1}(y)) \leq \lambda^{n+1}d(x, y) \leq \lambda\delta.$$

Thus  $f^{-1}(y) \in W_{\lambda\delta^u}(f^{-1}(x))$ .

LEMMA 3.  $\gamma$  is an expansive constant for  $f$ , i. e. if  $x \neq y$ , then  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \gamma$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Otherwise  $\{x, y\} \subset W_{\gamma^s}(x) \cap W_{\gamma^u}(x)$ .

LEMMA 4. For any  $\xi > 0$  there is a positive integer  $D(\xi)$  such that  $d(x, y) < \xi$  whenever  $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \gamma$  for all  $|n| \leq D(\xi)$ .

*Proof.* This is a property of expansive constants [10].

LEMMA 5. For every  $0 < \delta \leq \gamma$ , there is an  $\epsilon(\delta) > 0$  such that  $[x, y] \in W_{\delta^s}(x) \cap W_{\delta^u}(y)$  whenever  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon(\delta)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $[x, x] = x$ . Since  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is uniformly continuous, there is an  $\epsilon(\delta) > 0$  such that  $d([x, y], x) < \delta$  and  $d([x, y], y) < \delta$  when  $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon(\delta)$ . By Lemma 2(c)

$$[x, y] \in W_{\gamma^u}(y) \cap B_{\delta}(y) = W_{\delta^u}(y).$$

The  $W^s$  analogue of 2(c) gives  $[x, y] \in W_{\delta^s}(x)$ .

*Definition.* Choose a descending sequence of positive numbers  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots$  with  $\alpha_0 = \gamma$  and  $\alpha_{n+1} < \frac{1}{3} \min(\frac{1}{3}\alpha_n, \epsilon(\frac{1}{3}\alpha_n))$  so small that  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \alpha_n$  and  $d(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)) < \alpha_n$  whenever  $d(x, y) \leq \alpha_{n+1}$ .

LEMMA 6. (a). If  $\text{diam } X \leq 3\alpha_{n+1}$ , then  $\text{diam}[X, X] \leq \alpha_n$ .

(b) If  $\text{diam}\{w, x, y, z\} \leq 3\alpha_2$ , then

$$[x, z] = [x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] = [[x, w], [y, z]].$$

*Proof.* (a). Suppose  $w, x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $[w, x] \in W_{\alpha_n/3}(w) \subset B_{\alpha_n/3}(w)$  since  $d(w, x) \leq \epsilon(\alpha_n/3)$ . Similarly  $[y, z] \in B_{\alpha_n/3}(y)$ . As  $d(w, y) \leq \alpha_n/3$ ,  $d([w, x], [y, z]) \leq \alpha_n$ .

(b). Now  $[y, z] \in W_{\alpha_1^u}(z)$ . Since  $d(x, [y, z]) = d([x, x], [y, z]) \leq \alpha_1$ ,  $[x, [y, z]] \in W_{\gamma/3^s}(x) \cap W_{\gamma/3^u}([y, z])$ . Because

$$W_{\gamma/3^u}([y, z]) \subset W_{\gamma/3^u}W_{\alpha_1^u}(z) \subset W_{\gamma^u}(z),$$

we have  $[x, [y, z]] \in W_{\gamma^s}(x) \cap W_{\gamma^u}(z) = [x, z]$ .

The other parts are similar.

We now recall a result of Smale on the existence of “canonical coordinates.” Manfred Denker has shown us how to prove this directly from fact 1; this is relevant to the problem mentioned earlier.

LEMMA 7 (Smale [25]). If  $U \subset W_{\alpha_2^u}(x)$  is open in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x)$  and  $V \subset W_{\alpha_2^s}(x)$  is open in  $W_{\gamma^s}(x)$ , then  $[U, V]$  is open in  $\Omega_s$  and

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: U \times V \rightarrow [U, V]$$

is a homeomorphism.

LEMMA 8. Suppose  $d(x, y) \leq \alpha_3$  and  $U \subset W_{\alpha_2^u}(x)$  is open in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x)$ . Then  $[U, y]$  is open in  $W_{\gamma^u}(y)$  and  $[\cdot, y]: U \rightarrow [U, y]$  is a homeomorphism. The corresponding statement for  $W^s$ 's is likewise true.

*Proof.* By 6(a),  $z = [x, y] \in W_{1/3\alpha_2^s}(y)$ . Let  $V \subset W_{\alpha_2^s}(x)$  be an open neighborhood of  $z$  in  $W_{\gamma^s}(x)$ . Using Lemma 7 then,

$$W = [U, V] \cap W_{\gamma^u}(y) \text{ is open in } W_{\gamma^u}(y).$$

Since  $[U, y] \subset W_{\gamma^u}(y)$ ,  $W \supset [U, y]$ . Furthermore, if  $w = [u, v] \in W$ , then  $[w, y] = W_{\gamma^s}(w) \cap W_{\gamma^u}(y) = w$  because  $w \in W_{\gamma^u}(y)$ . Hence, by Lemma 6(b),

$$w = [w, y] = [[u, v], y] = [u, y] \in [U, y].$$

Thus  $W = [U, y]$ .

Using 6(b) one checks that the continuous map  $[\cdot, y]: [U, y] \rightarrow U$  is the inverse of  $[\cdot, y]: U \rightarrow [U, y]$ .

*Definition.* A nonempty set  $A \subset \Omega_s$  is a *rectangle* if  $\text{diam } A \leq \alpha_3$ ,  $A = \overline{\text{int } A}$  and  $[x, y] \in A$  whenever  $x, y \in A$ . For each  $x \in A$  we define

$$W^s(x, A) = W_{\gamma^s}(x) \cap A \subset W_{\alpha_3^s}(x)$$

and

$$W^u(x, A) = W_{\gamma^u}(x) \cap A \subset W_{\alpha_3^u}(x).$$

Let

$$\partial^s A = \{x \in A : x \notin \text{int } W^u(x, A) \text{ in } W_{\gamma^u}(x)\}$$

$$\partial^u A = \{x \in A : x \notin \text{int } W^s(x, A) \text{ in } W_{\gamma^s}(x)\}.$$

*Remark.*  $A = \overline{\text{int } A}$  implies that  $\text{int } \partial A = \emptyset$  ( $\partial A$  denotes the boundary of  $A$ ).

LEMMA 9.  $\partial A = \partial^s A \cup \partial^u A$ . If  $x \in A$ , then  $W^u(x, A)$  has dense interior in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x)$  and  $W^s(x, A)$  has dense interior in  $W_{\gamma^s}(x)$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in \text{int } A$ , then  $W^u(x, A) = A \cap W_{\gamma^u}(x)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x)$  since  $W_{\gamma^u}$  has the subspace topology. Thus  $x \notin \partial^s A$ ; simi-

larly  $x \notin \partial^u A$ . If  $x \notin \partial^s A \cup \partial^u A$ , let  $U \subset W^u(x, A)$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $W_\gamma^u(x)$  and  $V \subset W^s(x, A)$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $W_\gamma^s(x)$ . As  $A$  is a rectangle,  $[U, V] \subset A$ ; by Lemma 7,  $[U, V]$  is open. Hence  $x = [x, x] \in \text{int } A$ . Thus  $\partial A = A \setminus \text{int } A = \partial^s A \cup \partial^u A$ .

Now  $[W^u(x, A), W^s(x, A)] \subset A$  since  $A$  is a rectangle. If  $z \in A$ , then

$$[x, z] \in W_\gamma^s(x) \cap A = W^s(x, A)$$

and

$$[z, x] \in W_\gamma^u(x) \cap A = W^u(x, A).$$

By 6(b),  $z = [[z, x], [x, z]]$ ; so  $A = [W^u(x, A), W^s(x, A)]$ . Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $W^u(x, A)$  in  $W_{\alpha_2}^u(x)$  and let  $V$  be an open neighborhood of  $W^s(x, A)$  in  $W_{\alpha_2}^s(x)$ . Since  $\overline{\text{int } A} = A$ , Lemma 7 shows that  $W^u(x, A) \times W^s(x, A)$  has dense interior as a subset of  $U \times V$ . From this it follows that  $W^u(x, A)$  has dense interior in  $U$  and  $W^s(x, A)$  has dense interior in  $V$ .

**LEMMA 10.** Suppose  $C \subset W_{\alpha_4}^u(x)$  with  $C = \overline{\text{int } C}$  in  $W_\gamma^u(x)$  and  $D \subset W_{\alpha_4}^s(x)$  with  $D = \overline{\text{int } D}$  in  $W_\gamma^s(x)$ . Then  $A = [C, D]$  is a rectangle with  $\partial^s A = [\partial C, D]$  and  $\partial^u A = [C, \partial D]$ . For  $x \in A$ ,  $W^u(x, A) = [C, x]$  and  $W^s(x, A) = [x, D]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is continuous,  $[C, D] = \overline{[\text{int } C, \text{int } D]}$ ; hence  $A = \overline{\text{int } A}$  as  $[\text{int } C, \text{int } D] \subset \text{int } A$  by 7. Suppose  $x = [c_1, d_1]$ ,  $y = [c_2, d_2]$  with  $c_i \in C$ ,  $d_i \in D$ . Then

$$[x, y] = [[c_1, d_1], [c_2, d_2]] = [c_1, d_2] \in A$$

by 6(b).  $\text{diam } A \leq \alpha_3$  by 6(a) since  $\text{diam } C \cup D \leq 2\alpha_4$ . Thus  $A$  is a rectangle. The boundary statements follow from 8.

**LEMMA 11.** (a). If  $d(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq \alpha_2$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , then  $f^m[x, y] = [f^m(x), f^m(y)]$ .

(b). Let  $g = f^m$ ,  $m > 0$ . Suppose  $V \subset W_{\alpha_3}^u(z)$ ,  $y \in W_{\alpha_3}^s(z)$  and  $g(V) = \bigcup_k V_k$  where  $V_k \subset W_{\alpha_3}^u(g(z_k))$  and  $z_k \in W_{\alpha_3}^s(z)$ . Then

$$g[V, y] = \bigcup_k [V_k, g([z_k, y])].$$

*Proof.* (a). Let  $w_j = [f^j(x), f^j(y)]$ . Then  $w_j \in W_{\alpha_1}^u(f^j(x)) \cap W_{\alpha_1}^s(f^j(y))$ . Clearly  $f(w_j) \in W_{\gamma^s}(f^{j+1}(x))$ . Since  $d(w_j, f^j(y)) < \alpha_1$ ,  $d(f(w_j), f^{j+1}(x)) < \gamma$ ;

hence  $f(w_j)$  is in  $W_{\gamma^u}(f^{j+1}(y))$ . Thus

$$f(w_j) \in W_{\gamma^s}(f^{j+1}(x)) \cap W_{\gamma^u}(f^{j+1}(y)) = w_{j+1}.$$

Inductively,  $f^j(w_0) = w_j$ .

(b). Since  $[V, y] = \bigcup_k [g^{-1}(V_k), y]$ , it is enough to show that

$$g[g^{-1}(V_k), y] = [V_k, g[z_k, y]].$$

For  $w \in V_k$ , we show

$$g[g^{-1}(w), y] = [w, g([z_k, y])].$$

First,  $[g^{-1}(w), y] = [g^{-1}(w), [z_k, y]]$  by 6(b). As  $w \in W_{\alpha_s^u}(g(z_k))$ ,

$$d(f^j(g^{-1}(w)), f^j(z_k)) \leq \alpha_3 < \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ ; since  $d(z_k, y) \leq 2\alpha_3 < \epsilon(\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2)$ ,  $[z_k, y] \in W_{1/3\alpha_2^s}(z_k)$  and

$$d(f^j(z_k), f^j([z_k, y])) \leq \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Thus  $d(f^j(g^{-1}(w)), f^j([z_k, y])) < \alpha_2$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ ; by 11(a),

$$[w, g([z_k, y])] = g[g^{-1}(w), [z_k, y]].$$

### 3. Constructing Markov partitions.

*Definition.* A finite cover  $\mathcal{B} = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$  of  $\Omega_s$  by rectangles is a *rectangle partition* provided that  $A_i \cap A_j \subset \partial A_i \cap \partial A_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  is a *Markov partition* if, in addition,

$$fW^u(x, A_i) \supset W^u(f(x), A_j) \text{ and } fW^s(x, A_i) \subset W^s(f(x), A_j)$$

whenever  $x \in \text{int } A_i \cap f^{-1} \text{int } A_j$ .

We spend this section giving a proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 12.**  *$f$  has Markov partitions.*

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1^0, \dots, A_r^0\}$  be a family of rectangles whose interiors cover  $\Omega_s$ . For this we use Lemma 10, taking  $A_i^0 = [C_i^0, D_i^0]$  where  $C_i^0 \subset W_{\alpha_\tau^u}(x_i)$  with  $C_i^0 = \text{int } C_i^0$  in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x_i)$ ,  $D_i^0 \subset W_{\alpha_\tau^u}(x_i)$  with  $D_i^0 = \text{int } D_i^0$  in  $W_{\gamma^s}(x_i)$  and  $x_i \in A_i^0$ . The proof of Lemma 10 shows that  $\text{diam } A_i^0 < \frac{1}{2}\alpha$  where  $\alpha = \alpha_5$  throughout this section.

**LEMMA 13.** *There is an  $a > 0$  and a map  $F: \Omega_s \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$  so that*



$x \in A_{F(x)}^0$ ,  $W_a^s(z) \subset A_{F(x)}^0$  for all  $z \in W^u(x, A_{F(x)}^0)$ , and  $W_a^u(y) \subset A_{F(x)}^0$  for all  $y \in W^s(x, A_{F(x)}^0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $b > 0$  be a Lebesgue number for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is continuous, choose  $a$  so small that

$$d([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2]) < b$$

whenever  $\max(d(x_1, x_2), d(y_1, y_2)) \leq a$ . For  $x \in \Omega_s$  choose  $F(x)$  so that  $B_b(x) \subset A_{F(x)}^0$ . Suppose  $z \in W^u(x, A_{F(x)}^0)$  and  $w \in W_a^s(z)$ . Then

$$d([x, w], x) = d([x, w], [x, z]) < b,$$

so  $[x, w] \in A_{F(x)}^0$ . As  $A_{F(x)}^0$  is a rectangle, 6(b) gives

$$w = [z, [x, w]] \in A_{F(x)}^0.$$

Now choose  $m$  so large that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{mj} < \min(1, a/\gamma) = a/\gamma.$$

Set  $g = f^m: \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$  and  $\beta = \lambda^m$ .

LEMMA 14. Let  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . We can find points  $y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,s_i}$  in  $W_{\alpha}^u(x_i)$  and integers  $T_{i,j} \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that

$$(a) \quad g(y_{i,j}) \in W^s(x_{T_{i,j}}, A_{T_{i,j}}^0) = D_{T_{i,j}}^0$$

$$(b) \quad g(C_i^0) \cap [C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g(y_{i,j})] \neq \emptyset$$

$$(c) \quad g(C_i^0) \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} [C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g(y_{i,j})]$$

and

$$(d) \quad W_a^s(z) \subset A_{T_{i,j}}^0 \text{ for all } z \in W^u(g(y_{i,j}), A_{T_{i,j}}^0).$$

*Proof.* For each  $z \in C_i^0$  let

$$Y_z = W^u(g(x), A_{F(g(z))}^0) = [C_{F(g(z))}^0, g(z)].$$

Then  $\{g^{-1}Y_z: z \in C_i^0\}$  is a family of closed subsets of  $W_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}^u W_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}^u(x_i) \subset W_{\alpha}^u(x_i)$  whose interiors cover  $C_i^0$ . Let  $g^{-1}Y_{z_{i,1}}, \dots, g^{-1}Y_{z_{i,s_i}}$  cover  $C_i^0$ . Set

$$y_{i,j} = g^{-1}[x_{F(g(z_j))}, g(z_j)].$$

Then  $[C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g(y_{i,j})] = Y_{z_j}$  where  $T_{i,j} = F(g(z_j))$ . Since  $\text{diam } A_i^0 < \frac{1}{2}\alpha$ , Lemma 2(c) gives  $z_j \in W_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}^u(x_i)$ ,  $g(y_{i,j}) \in W_{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}^u(g(z_j))$ ; from 2(e) and 2(a) one obtains  $y_{i,j} \in W_{\alpha}^u(x_i)$ . Statements (a)-(d) are all clear from the choice of the  $y_{i,j}$ .

We now define  $C_i^1 = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} g^{-1}[C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g(y_{i,j})]$  and recursively

$$C_i^n = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} g^{-1}[C_{T_{i,j}}^{n-1}, g(y_{i,j})].$$

One thing the next lemma shows is that this definition make sense, i.e.  $C_{T_{i,j}}^{n-1} \subset B_\epsilon(g(y_{i,j}))$ .

LEMMA 15. For  $n \geq 1$  and  $y \in W_{2\alpha^s}(x_i)$ ,  $[C_i^n, y]$  has dense interior in  $W_{\gamma^u}(y)$  and

$$[C_i^{n-1}, y] \subset [C_i^n, y] \subset W_{\beta^n \alpha^u}[C_i^{n-1}, y] \subset W_{(1+\dots+\beta^n)\alpha^u}(y).$$

*Proof.* We check this first for  $n=1$ .  $gC_i^0 \subset gC_i^1$  is just 14(c). Hence  $C_i^0 \subset C_i^1$  and  $[C_i^0, y] \subset [C_i^1, y]$ . Since  $[y_{i,j}, y] \in W_{\gamma^s}(y_{i,j})$ ,

$$g[y_{i,j}, y] \in W_{\beta\gamma^s}(y_{i,j}) \subset W_{\alpha^s}(y_{i,j}) \subset W^s(x_{T_{i,j}}, A_{T_{i,j}}^0)$$

because of the choice of  $m$ , 14(d) and 14(a). By Lemma 11(b),

$$[C_i^1, y] = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} g^{-1}[C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g([y_{i,j}, y])].$$

By 14(b) there is a  $g(z) \in gC_i^0 \cap [C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g(y_{i,j})]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} v = g([z, y]) &= g([z, [y_{i,j}, y]]) = [g(z), g([y_{i,j}, y])] \\ &\in g[C_i^0, y] \cap [C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g([y_{i,j}, y])]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{diam } A_{T_{i,j}}^0 < \alpha$ , Lemma 2(c) shows

$$[C_{T_{i,j}}^0, g([y_{i,j}, y])] = [C_{T_{i,j}}^0, v] \subset W_{\alpha^u}(v) \subset W_{\alpha^u}(g[C_i^0, y]).$$

Varying  $j$ ,

$$g[C_i^1, y] \subset W_{\alpha^u}(g([C_i^0, y])).$$

Lemma 2(e) gives  $[C_i^1, y] \subset W_{\beta\alpha^u}[C_i^0, y]$ . Since  $[C_i^0, y] \subset W_{\alpha^u}(y)$ ,  $W_{\beta\alpha^u}[C_i^0, y] \subset W_{(1+\beta)\alpha^u}(y)$  by 2(a).

We now consider  $n \geq 2$  and assume the result for  $n-1$ . Since  $g[y_{i,j}, y] \in W_{\alpha^s}(x_{T_{i,j}})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [C_{T_{i,j}}^{n-2}, g([y_{i,j}, y])] &\subset [C_{T_{i,j}}^{n-1}, g([y_{i,j}, y])] \\ &\subset W_{\beta^{n-1}\alpha^u}[C_{T_{i,j}}^{n-2}, g([y_{i,j}, y])] \subset W_{(1+\dots+\beta^{n-1})\alpha^u}(y) \\ &\subset W_{2\alpha^u}(y) \subset W_\epsilon^u(y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11(b) shows

$$\begin{aligned} g[C_i^n, y] &= \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]] \\ g[C_i^{n-1}, y] &= \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$g[C_i^{n-1}, y] \subset g[C_i^n, y] \subset W_{\beta^n \alpha} g[C_i^{n-1}, y].$$

Applying  $g^{-1}$  and using 2(e),

$$[C_i^{n-1}, y] \subset [C_i^n, y] \subset W_{\beta^n \alpha^u} [C_i^{n-1}, y].$$

Since  $[C_i^{n-1}, y] \subset W_{(1+\dots+\beta^{n+1})\alpha^u}(y)$ , 2(a) gives

$$W_{\beta^n \alpha^u} [C_i^{n-1}, y] \subset W_{(1+\dots+\beta^n)\alpha^u}(y).$$

Now consider the property of having dense interior. It is true for the  $C_i^0$  because they were so chosen; for  $[C_i^0, y]$  it follows by Lemma 8. As dense interior is preserved by taking finite union and application of  $g^{-1}$ , inductively one sees that  $[C_i^n, y]$  has dense interior.

Define  $C_i = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \overline{C_i^n} \subset W_{2\alpha^u}(x_i)$ . Notice that  $C_i = \overline{\text{int } C_i}$  in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x_i)$ .

LEMMA 16. If  $z \in [C_i, W_{2\alpha^s}(x_i)]$ , then, for some  $[C_j, D_j^0]$  containing  $g(z)$ ,

$$g[C_i, z] \supset [C_j, g(z)].$$

*Proof.* Let  $y = [x_i, z] \in W_{2\alpha^s}(x_i)$ ;  $[C_i, z] = [C_i, y]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g[C_i, y] &= \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} g[C_i^n, y]} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]]} \\ &= \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 0} [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]]} \\ &= \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s_i} [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]] \end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 8 justifies the last step. Since  $z \in [C_i, y]$ , for some  $j$ ,

$$g(z) \in [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]] \subset [C_{T_{i,j}}, D_j^0].$$

Then

$$g[C_i, z] = g[C_i, y] \supset [C_{T_{i,j}}, g[y_{i,j}, y]] = [C_{T_{i,j}}, g(z)].$$

Similarly, working with  $g^{-1}$  and the  $D_i^0$ 's we can find  $D_i \subset W_{2\alpha^s}(x_i)$  with  $D_i^0 \subset D_i = \overline{\text{int } D_i}$  and

LEMMA 17. If  $z \in [W_{2\alpha^u}(x_i), D_i]$ , then, for some  $[C_j^0, D_j]$  containing  $g^{-1}(z)$ ,

$$g^{-1}[z, D_j] \supset [g^{-1}(z), D_j].$$

Set  $A_i = [C_i, D_i]$ , a rectangle by Lemma 10 since  $2\alpha = 2\alpha_5 < \alpha_4$ . Lemmas 16 and 17 combine to give:

LEMMA 18. Let  $z \in A_i$ . Then, for some  $A_j$  containing  $g(z)$ ,  $g[C_i, z] \supset [C_j, g(z)]$ . For some  $A_k$  containing  $g^{-1}(z)$ ,  $g^{-1}[z, D_i] \supset [g^{-1}(z), D_k]$ .

LEMMA 19. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  be closed with dense interior. Then so is  $\bar{V}$  where  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \text{int } U_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=k+1}^m U_i$ . Also  $\text{int } \bar{V} = V$ .

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is open,  $V \subset \text{int } \bar{V}$ . We need only to show that  $\text{int } \bar{V} \subset V$ . Since  $V \subset \text{int } U_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$\text{int } \bar{V} \subset \overline{\text{int } \text{int } U_i} = \text{int } U_i.$$

We have left to show that  $x \in \text{int } \bar{V}$  lies in no  $U_i$  with  $k < i \leq m$ . Suppose otherwise. Since  $\text{int } U_i \cap V = \emptyset$ , we have  $\text{int } U_i \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\text{int } U_i \cap \text{int } \bar{V} = \emptyset$ ; but this is impossible since  $\text{int } \bar{V}$  is an open set containing  $x$  and  $x \in U_i = \text{int } U_i$ .

For each  $A_i$  define  $R_i = \{j : A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $V_i = \bigcup_{j \in R_i} A_j$ . For  $y \in \Omega_s$  let  $R(y) = \bigcup_{A_i \ni y} R_i$ . Notice that  $j \in R(y)$  if and only if  $y \in V_j$ .

Now  $\text{diam } A_j < \alpha_4$ . Thus, if  $j \in R_i$ , then  $A_j \subset B_{\alpha_4}(A_i) \subset B_{2\alpha_4}(x_i)$ . For  $y \in V_i$ ,  $d(y, x_i) < 2\alpha_4$  and so

$$[x_i, y] \in W_{1/3\alpha_3^s}(x_i) \text{ and } [y, x_i] \in W_{1/3\alpha_3^u}(x_i).$$

By 6(b),  $y = [[y, x_i], [x_i, y]] \in [W_{1/3\alpha_3^u}(x_i), W_{1/3\alpha_3^s}(x_i)]$ . Find  $W_i$  so that  $\overline{W_{1/3\alpha_3^u}(x_i)} \subset W_i \subset W_{2/3\alpha_3^u}(x_i)$  and  $W_i$  is open in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x_i)$ . Let  $C_i^* = W_i \setminus C_i$ . Then, using Lemma 19,  $C_i^* = \text{int } C_i^*$  in  $W_{\gamma^u}(x_i)$ ,  $\text{int } C_i^* \cap \text{int } C_i = \emptyset$  and  $C_i \cup C_i^* \supset W_{1/3\alpha_3^u}(x_i)$ . Similarly, find  $D_i^* \subset W_{2/3\alpha_3^s}(x_i)$  so that  $D_i^* = \text{int } D_i^*$  in  $W_{\gamma^s}(x_i)$ ,  $\text{int } D_i^* \cap \text{int } D_i = \emptyset$  and  $D_i \cup D_i^* \supset W_{1/3\alpha_3^s}(x_i)$ . Using Lemmas 10 and 7, we see that  $\mathcal{D}_i = \{[C_i, D_i], [C_i^*, D_i^*], [C_i, D_i^*], [C_i^*, D_i]\}$  is a family of rectangles which intersect each other only in their boundaries.

$$V_i \subset [C_i \cup C_i^*, D_i \cup D_i^*] = \bigcup \mathcal{D}_i.$$

Let  $Z = \Omega_s \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \mathcal{D}_i \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \partial K$ . Since rectangles have nowhere dense boundaries,  $Z$  is

a dense open set. For  $z \in Z \cap U_i$  let  $K_i(z)$  be the unique member of  $\mathcal{D}_i$  containing  $z$ ;  $z \in \text{int } K_i(z)$ . For  $z \in Z$  let  $B(z) = \bigcap_{i \in R(z)} \text{int } K_i(z)$ .

LEMMA 20. (a). If  $z \in Z$ , then  $B(z)$  is a rectangle.

(b). If  $y, z \in Z$  and  $B(y) \cap B(z) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B(y) = B(z)$ .

*Proof.* (a). As  $z \in B(z)$ ,  $B(z) \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 19,  $B(z) = \overline{\text{int } B(z)}$ . We must show that  $[x, y] \in B(z)$  whenever  $x, y \in \overline{B(z)}$ . As  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is continuous, it is enough to check this for  $x, y \in B(z)$ . Then, for each  $i \in R(z)$ ,  $x, y \in \text{int } K_i(z)$ . As  $K_i(z)$  is a rectangle, it follows that  $[x, y] \in \text{int } K_i(z)$  (using Lemmas 7, 8 and 9). Hence  $[x, y] \in B(z)$ .

(b). Since  $B(z)$  and  $B(y)$  are open and  $Z$  is dense, if  $B(y) \cap B(z) \neq \emptyset$  then there is a  $w \in B(y) \cap B(z) \cap Z$ . If  $z \in A_i$ , then  $i \in R(z)$  and  $K_i(z) = A_i$ ; hence  $B(z) \subset K_i(z) \subset A_i$  and  $w \in A_i$ . Pick  $A_{i_0} \ni z$ . If  $w \in A_i$ , then

$$w \in A_i \cap B(z) \subset A_i \cap A_{i_0};$$

so  $i \in R_{i_0} \subset R(z)$ . If we had  $z \notin A_i$ , then  $A_i \cap \text{int } K_i(z) = \emptyset$ ;

$$w \in A_i \cap B(z) \subset A_i \cap \text{int } K_i(z) = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. We have shown that  $w$  and  $z$  belong to the same  $A_i$ 's; it follows that  $R(w) = R(z)$ . For  $i \in R(z)$ ,  $w \in B(z) \subset K_i(z)$  and so  $K_i(w) = K_i(z)$ . From this one obtains  $B(w) = B(z)$ . Similarly,  $B(w) = B(y)$ .

LEMMA 21.  $\mathcal{B} = \{\overline{B(z)} : z \in Z\}$  is a rectangle partition.

*Proof.* Since  $B(z)$  is determined by  $R(z)$  and the  $K_i(z)$ , there can be only finitely many different  $B(z)$ . Since  $Z$  is covered by  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $Z$  is dense in  $\Omega_s$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  covers  $\Omega_s$ .  $\overline{B(z)}$  is a rectangle by 20(a). Finally, if

$$B(y) \cap B(z) = \text{int } \overline{B(y)} \cap \text{int } \overline{B(z)} \neq \emptyset,$$

then  $B(y) = B(z)$  by 20(b); hence  $\overline{B(y)} \cap \overline{B(z)} \subset \partial \overline{B(y)} \cap \partial \overline{B(z)}$  if  $B(y) \neq B(z)$ .

LEMMA 22. Suppose  $z, g(z) \in Z$ . Then

$$gW^u(z, \overline{B(z)}) \supset W^u(g(z), \overline{B(g(z))})$$

and

$$gW^s(z, \overline{B(z)}) \subset W^s(g(z), \overline{B(g(z))}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $u \in W_{\gamma^s}(v)$ . Then, by 2(e).

$$gW_{\gamma^s}(v) \subset W_{\beta\gamma^s}(g(v)) \subset W_{\alpha^s}(g(v))$$

and by 13 there is an

$$A_{F(g(v))} \supset A_{F(g(v))}^0 \supset \{g(u), g(v)\}.$$

Hence, if  $g(u) \in A_j$ , then  $j \in R_{F(g(v))} \subset R(g(v))$ .

We now proceed to prove the first statement; the second statement can be proved similarly. Consider  $i \in R(z)$  such that  $K_i(z) = [C_i, D_i]$  or  $[C_i, D_i^*]$ , i. e.  $z \in [C_i, z]$ . Let  $y = [z, x_i] \in [[C_i, z], x_i] = C_i$ . By Lemma 18 pick  $j$  so that  $g(y) \in A_j$  and

$$g(C_i) = g[C_i, y] \supset [C_j, g(y)].$$

By the first paragraph of this proof, since  $y \in W_{\gamma^s}(z)$ ,  $j \in R(g(z))$ . Since

$$g(z) = [g(y), g(z)] \in [[C_j, g(y)], g(z)] = [C_j, g(z)],$$

we must have  $W^u(g(z), K_j(z)) = [C_j, g(z)]$ . Now  $d(z, x_i) < 2\alpha_4$  because  $z \in V_i$  (as was shown earlier, where  $V_i$  was defined). Hence  $z \in W_{\alpha_s}(y)$  and 11(b) gives

$$\begin{aligned} gW^u(z, K_i(z)) &= g[C_i, z] \supset g[g^{-1}[C_j, g(y)], z] \\ &= [[C_j, g(y)], g(z)] = [C_j, g(z)] = W^u(g(z), K_j(g(z))). \end{aligned}$$

Taking interiors and intersecting:

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\bigcap_{\substack{i \in R(z) \\ z \in [C_i, z]}} \text{int } W^u(z, K_i(z))\right) &\supset \bigcap_{j \in R(g(z))} \text{int } W^u(g(z), K_j(g(z))) \\ &\supset \text{int } W^u(g(z), \overline{B(z)}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we did *not* in fact have

$$gW^u(z, \overline{B(z)}) \supset W^u(g(z), \overline{B(g(z))}).$$

Then, for some  $g(w) \in \text{int } W^u(g(z), \overline{B(g(z))})$  and some  $i \in R(z)$  with  $z \notin [C_i, z]$  we have  $w \notin \text{int } W^u(z, K_i(z))$ . Let  $A_{i_0} z$ . By the preceding paragraph,  $w \in W^u(A_{i_0}, z)$ . As  $z \notin [C_i, z]$ ,  $W^u(z, K_i(z)) = [C_i^*, z]$ . Since  $w \in W^u(A_{i_0}, z) \setminus [C_i^*, z] \subset [C_i, z]$ ,

$$v = [w, x_i] \in [[C_i, z], x_i] = [C_i, x_i] = C_i \subset A_i.$$

By Lemma 18,  $gC_i = g[C_i, v] \supset [C_j, g(v)]$  for some  $A_j \ni g(v)$ . Since  $v \in W_{\gamma^s}(w)$ , the first paragraph of this proof shows  $j \in R(g(w))$ . Since

$g(w) \in B(g(z))$  the proof of 21(b) shows  $R(g(w)) = R(g(z))$ ; hence  $j \in R(g(z))$ . Lemma 11(b) gives us  $g[C_i, w] \supset [C_j, g(w)]$ . Since  $z \notin [C_i, z] = [C_i, w]$ ,

$$g(z) \notin [C_j, g(w)] = [C_j, g(z)].$$

Hence  $K_j(g(z))$  is  $[C_j^*, D_j]$  or  $[C_j^*, D_j^*]$ ; in either case,  $g(w) \in [C_j, g(w)]$  cannot lie in  $K_j(z)$ —a contradiction.

For  $z_0, \dots, z_{m-1} \in Z$  set  $E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{m-1} f^k B(z_k)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \overline{\{E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) : E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) \neq \emptyset\}}.$$

LEMMA 23.  $\mathcal{E}$  is a rectangle partition.

*Proof.* As there are only finitely many possibilities for  $B(z_0), \dots, B(z_{m-1})$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is finite. Because  $\bigcup_{z \in Z} B(z)$  is open and dense, one sees that

$$\bigcup E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})$$

is also open and dense; hence  $\mathcal{E}$  covers  $\Omega_s$ . Since two  $B(z)$ 's are disjoint or equal, one sees that two  $E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})$ 's are also disjoint or equal. By Lemma 19,  $\text{int } E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) = E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})$ ; so members of  $\mathcal{E}$  have dense interior. Now, either

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})} \cap \overline{E(z'_0, \dots, z'_{m-1})} \\ & \subset \partial E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) \cap \partial E(z'_0, \dots, z'_{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) \cap E(z'_0, \dots, z'_{m-1}) \\ & = \text{int } \overline{E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})} \cap \text{int } \overline{E(z'_0, \dots, z'_{m-1})} \neq \emptyset; \end{aligned}$$

in this second case  $E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1}) = E(z'_0, \dots, z'_{m-1})$ .

Finally we check that  $[x, y] \in \overline{E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})}$  whenever

$$x, y \in \overline{E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})}.$$

As  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is continuous, we may assume  $x, y \in E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})$ . Then

$$f^k(x), f^k(y) \in B(z_k).$$

By 20(a)  $B(z_k)$  is the interior of the rectangle  $B(z_k)$ , and so (using Lemmas 8 and 9)  $[f^k(x), f^k(y)] \in B(z_k)$ . By Lemma 11(a),  $f^k[x, y] = [f^k(x), f^k(y)]$ ; so  $[x, y] \in E(z_0, \dots, z_{m-1})$ .

LEMMA 24. Suppose  $E, F \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $z \in \text{int } E \cap f^{-1} \text{int } F$  and  $z, f(z), \dots, f^m(z) \in Z$ . Then  $fW^u(z, E) \supset W^u(f(z), F)$  and  $fW^s(z, E) \subset W^s(f(z), F)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $z \in \text{int } E \cap E(z, f(z), \dots, f^{m-1}(z))$  and the interiors of the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  are disjoint,

$$E = \overline{E(z, f(z), \dots, f^{m-1}(z))}.$$

Similarly,

$$F = \overline{E(f(z), f^2(z), \dots, f^m(z))}.$$

Now  $\text{int } W^u(z, E) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{-k} \text{int } W^u(f^k(z), B(f^k(z)))$  by Lemma 19; as well

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int } W^u(f(z), F) &= \bigcap_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{-k} \text{int } W^u(f^{k+1}(z), B(f^{k+1}(z))) \\ &= \bigcap_{k=1}^m f^{-k+1} \text{int } W^u(f^k(z), B(f^k(z))). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$f \text{int } W^u(z, E) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{m-1} f^{-k+1} \text{int } W^u(f^k(z), B(f^k(z))).$$

We will have  $f \text{int } W^u(z, E) \supset \text{int } W^u(f(z), F)$  (and so be done) provided that

$$f \text{int } W^u(z, B(z)) \supset f^{-m+1} \text{int } W^u(f^m(z), B(f^m(z))).$$

Applying  $f^{m-1}$ , we need

$$f^m \text{int } W^u(z, B(z)) \supset \text{int } W^u(f^m(z), B(f^m(z))),$$

but this comes from Lemma 22 (remember  $g = f^m$ ).

Since  $z, f(z), \dots, f^m(z) \in Z$  is true for an open dense set of  $z$ , that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Markov partition (and hence Theorem 12) follows from 24 and the following:

LEMMA 25. Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are rectangles and for some  $x \in A \cap f^{-1}B$  we have  $fW^u(x, A) \supset W^u(f(x), B)$  and  $fW^s(x, A) \subset W^s(f(x), B)$ . Then this is true for all  $x \in A \cap f^{-1}B$ .

*Proof.* Suppose it is true for  $x_0 \in A \cap f^{-1}B$ . Consider  $x \in A \cap f^{-1}B$  and  $f(v) \in W^u(f(x), B)$ . Then

$$[f(v), f(x_0)] \in W^u(f(x_0), B) \subset fW^u(x_0, A).$$

By Lemma 11(a),  $f[v, x_0] = [f(v), f(x_0)]$ ; so  $[v, x_0] \in W^u(x_0, A)$ . As  $x \in A$  and  $A$  is a rectangle,



$$v = [v, x] = [[v, x_0], x] \in W_{\gamma^u}(x) \cap A = W^u(x, A).$$

Hence  $fW^u(x, A) \supset W^u(f(x), B)$ .

**4. Symbolic representation.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be any Markov partition for  $f$ . For  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$  define

$$t(E, F) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(\text{int } E) \cap \text{int } F \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathcal{E})$  be the set of all doubly-infinite sequences  $\mathbf{E} = (E_i)_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that

$$t(E_i, E_{i+1}) = 1 \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Giving  $\mathcal{E}$  the discrete topology  $\prod_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}$  is a compact space; it has a metric defined by

$$d(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|i|} \omega(E_i, F_i)$$

where

$$\omega(E_i, F_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E_i = F_i \\ 1 & \text{if } E_i \neq F_i. \end{cases}$$

Now  $\Sigma$  is a closed subset of this compact metric space and one has the shift homeomorphism  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  defined by  $(\sigma(\mathbf{E}))_i = E_{i+1}$ . Soon (Proposition 30) we show that  $\sigma$  is topologically transitive;  $\sigma$  is then called a subshift of finite type (see [25, p. 787]). One can check that  $\sigma$  satisfies fact 1 given earlier; actually any subshift of finite type occurs as  $\Omega$  for some “local” Axiom A diffeomorphism [6]. We restate Lemma 25:

**LEMMA 25(a).** *If  $x \in E \cap f^{-1}F$  with  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $t(E, F) = 1$ , then  $fW^s(x, E) \subset W^s(f(x), F)$  and  $fW^u(x, E) \supset W^u(f(x), F)$ .*

**Definition.** Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are rectangles.  $A$  is a  $u$ -subrectangle of  $B$  if  $A \subset B$  and  $W^u(x, B) \subset A$  whenever  $x \in A$ .

**LEMMA 26.** *Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$  with  $t(E, F) = 1$ . If  $A$  is a  $u$ -subrectangle of  $E$ , then  $f(A) \cap F$  is a  $u$ -subrectangle of  $F$ .*

**Proof.** Choose  $w \in \text{int } E \cap f^{-1} \text{int } F$ . Let  $D = W^s(w, E) \cap A$ . Since  $A$  is a  $u$ -subrectangle of  $E$ ,

$$A = \bigcup_{z \in D} W^u(z, E) = [W^u(w, E), D].$$

Since  $A$  is a rectangle,  $D = \overline{\text{int } D}$  in  $W_{\gamma^u}(w)$  and  $D \neq \emptyset$ . We claim

$f(A) \cap F = [W^u(f(w), F), f(D)]$ . Since  $D \subset W^s(w, E)$  and  $fW^s(w, E) \subset F$ ,  $f(D) \subset F$ . If  $z \in D$ , then by 25(a)

$$f(A) \supset fW^u(z, E) \supset W^u(f(z), F) = [W^u(f(w), F), f(z)].$$

Thus  $f(A) \cap F \supset [W^u(f(w), F), f(D)]$ . On the other hand, if  $f(y) \in f(A) \cap F$ , then  $[w, y] \in D$  and

$$f(y) \in W_\gamma^u(f([w, y])) \cap F = W^u(f([w, y]), F) \subset [W^u(f(w), F), f(D)].$$

Since  $f$  maps  $W_\gamma^s(w)$  homeomorphically into  $W_\gamma^s(f(w))$ ,  $f(D) \neq \emptyset$  has dense interior in  $W_\gamma^s(f(w))$ . Thus Lemma 10 shows that  $f(A) \cap F$  is a rectangle. It is clearly a  $u$ -subrectangle of  $F$ .

LEMMA 27. If  $\mathbf{E} = (E_i)_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \in \Sigma$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in Z} f^{-i}E_i$  consists of a single point.

*Proof.* Let  $A_n = \bigcap_{i=-n}^n f^{-i}E_i$  and  $B_{n,k} = \bigcap_{i=-n}^{n+k} f^{k-i-n}E_i$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 2n$ . Since  $B_{n,k+1} = f(B_{n,k}) \cap E_{k+1-n}$ , one uses the preceding lemma to see inductively that  $B_{n,k}$  is a  $u$ -subrectangle of  $E_{k-n}$ . In particular  $f^n(A_n) = B_{n,2n}$  is nonempty. Hence  $A_n$  is nonempty. Since  $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \cdots$  is a decreasing sequence of compact sets,  $\bigcap_{i \in Z} f^{-i}E_i = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} A_n$  is nonempty.

Suppose  $x, y$  are both in this set. Then  $f^i(x), f^i(y) \in E_i$  and  $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < \gamma$  for all  $i \in Z$ . By Lemma 3,  $x = y$ .

*Definition.* The map  $\pi: \Sigma \rightarrow \Omega_s$  is given by

$$\pi(\mathbf{E}) = \bigcap_{i \in Z} f^{-i}E_i.$$

THEOREM 28.  $\pi$  is a continuous surjective map and  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$ .

*Proof.* For  $\xi > 0$  let  $D(\xi)$  be as in Lemma 4. If  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  agree in places  $-D(\xi)$  to  $+D(\xi)$ , then, for  $|n| \leq D(\xi)$ ,

$$f^n(\pi(\mathbf{E})), f^n(\pi(\mathbf{F})) \in E_n = F_n$$

and

$$d(f^n(\pi(\mathbf{E})), f^n(\pi(\mathbf{F}))) \leq \gamma;$$

so  $d(\pi(\mathbf{E}), \pi(\mathbf{F})) < \xi$ . This shows that  $\pi$  is continuous.

Since  $Y = \bigcup \{\text{int } E : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$  is an open dense set,  $X = \bigcap_{i \in Z} f^{-i}Y$  is dense in  $\Omega_s$ . If  $x \in X$ , define  $E_i(x) \in \mathcal{E}$  by  $f^i(x) \in E_i(x)$ . Then

$$f^i(x) \in \text{int } E_i(x) \cap f^{-1} \text{int } E_{i+1}(x),$$

and so  $\mathbf{E}(x) = (E_i(x)) \in \Sigma$ . Since  $x \in \bigcap f^i E_i(x)$ ,  $x = \pi(\mathbf{E}(x))$ . Thus the compact set  $\pi(\Sigma)$  contains the dense set  $X$ ; hence  $\pi(\Sigma) = \Omega_s$ .

Finally,  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$  is clear from the definitions of  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ .

LEMMA 29. *If  $x = \pi(\mathbf{E})$  and  $f^i(x) \in \text{int } F$ , then  $E_i = F$ .*

*Proof.*  $f^i(x) \in E_i \cap \text{int } F$ ; but  $E_i = F$  or  $E_i \cap F \subset \partial F$ .

PROPOSITION 30.  *$\sigma$  is topologically transitive. If  $f: \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$  is topologically mixing, then so is  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U, V \subset \Sigma$  be nonempty open sets. For some  $n$  and some strings  $(F_{-n}, \dots, F_n)$  and  $(G_{-n}, \dots, G_n)$  of elements of one has

$$U \supset U_1 = \{\mathbf{E} \in \Sigma: E_i = F_i \text{ for all } |i| \leq n\} \neq \emptyset$$

and

$$V \supset V_1 = \{\mathbf{E} \in \Sigma: E_i = G_i \text{ for all } |i| \leq n\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $U_2 = \bigcap_{k=-n}^n f^{-k} \text{int } F_k$  and  $V_2 = \bigcap_{k=-n}^n f^{-k} \text{int } G_k$ , open sets in  $\Omega_s$ . Using Lemma 26 as we did in the proof of Lemma 27, one can see that  $W = \bigcap_{k=-n}^n f^{-k} F_k$  is a rectangle; since  $W = \overline{\text{int } W}$  and  $W \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\emptyset \neq \text{int } W \subset \bigcap_{k=-n}^n f^{-k} \text{int } F_k = U_2$ . Similarly,  $V_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

Using Lemma 29,  $\pi^{-1}(U_2) \subset U_1$  and  $\pi^{-1}(V_2) \subset V_1$ . For any  $m$  then,

$$\sigma^m U \cap V \supset \sigma^m U_1 \cap V_1 \supset \sigma^m(\pi^{-1} U_2) \cap \pi^{-1} V_2 \supset \pi^{-1}(f^m U_2 \cap V_2).$$

Since  $f$  is topologically transitive (Smale's Spectral Decomposition Theorem [25]),  $f^m U_2 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for some  $m$ . Then  $\sigma^m U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $\sigma$  is topologically transitive.

$f$  topologically mixing means that  $f^m U_2 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$  for all sufficiently large  $m$ ; this implies  $\sigma^m U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for these  $m$  also.

*Definition.*  $\partial^s \mathcal{L} = \bigcup \{\partial^s E: E \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and  $\partial^u \mathcal{L} = \bigcup \{\partial^u E: E \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .

PROPOSITION 31.  *$f(\partial^s \mathcal{L}) \subset \partial^s \mathcal{L}$  and  $f^{-1}(\partial^u \mathcal{L}) \subset \partial^u \mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $x \in \partial^s F$ ,  $F \in \mathcal{L}$ . By Lemma 29

$$U_1 = \{\mathbf{E} \in \Sigma: E_0 = F\} \supset \pi^{-1}(\text{int } F).$$

Since  $\pi(U_1)$  is compact and  $\pi(U_1) \supset \text{int } F$ ,  $\pi(U_1) \supset F$ . Thus let  $x = \pi(\mathbf{E})$

with  $E_0 = F$ . Since  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $t(F, E_1) = 1$  and Lemma 25(a) gives  $fW^u(x, F) \supset W^u(f(x), E_1)$ . If we had  $f(x) \notin \partial^s E_1$ , then  $W^u(f(x), E_1)$  would be a neighborhood of  $f(x)$  in  $W_\gamma^u(f(x))$  and so  $W^u(x, F) \supset f^{-1}W^u(f(x), E_1)$  would be a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $W_\gamma^u(x)$ , contradicting  $x \in \partial^s F$ . Hence  $f(x) \in \partial^s E_1 \subset \partial^s \mathcal{L}$ .

**5. Entropy and measures.** Let  $N_n(f)$  be the number of fixed points of  $f^n: \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$ . The topological entropy (defined in [1]) of  $f$  is given by the formula

$$h(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(f),$$

as was shown in [6] and [7]. Since  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfies the same hypotheses,

$$h(\sigma) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(\sigma).$$

We recall Theorem 4.7 of [7]:

**LEMMA 32.** *Suppose  $A \subset \Omega_s$  is closed,  $f(A) \subset A$  and  $A \neq \Omega_s$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(f|A) \leq h(f|A) < h(f).$$

**THEOREM 33.**  $h(f) = h(\sigma)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $f: \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$  is a quotient of  $\sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ ,  $h(f) \leq h(\sigma)$  (see [1]). Let  $Y = \bigcup \{\text{int } E : E \in \mathcal{L}\}$  and  $X = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f^i Y$ . Then  $f(X) = X$  and  $\pi$  gives a bijection between  $\pi^{-1}(X)$  and  $X$ ; for  $\pi$  is surjective and Lemma 29 shows that  $x \in X$  can have only one inverse image under  $\pi$ . Since  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$ , it follows that  $N_n(f|X) = N_n(\sigma|\pi^{-1}(X))$ . Let  $A_s = \pi^{-1}(\partial^s \mathcal{L})$  and  $A_u = \pi^{-1}(\partial^u \mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\sigma(A_s) \subset A_s$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(A_u) \subset A_u$ . Since  $\partial \mathcal{L} = \partial^s \mathcal{L} \cup \partial^u \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$\Omega_s = X \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f^i(\partial^s \mathcal{L}) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f^i(\partial^u \mathcal{L}).$$

From this one gets

$$\Sigma = \pi^{-1}(X) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(A_s) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(A_u).$$

Suppose that  $p \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sigma^i(A_s)$  is periodic. Then  $\sigma^n(p) \in A_s$  for some  $n$ , and for some  $m > 0$

$$p = \sigma^{n+m}(p) \in \sigma^m(A_s) \subset A_s.$$

If  $p \in \bigcup \sigma^i(A_u)$  is periodic, then  $\sigma^n(p) \in A_u$  for some  $n$ , and for some  $m > 0$

$$p = \sigma^{n-m}(p) \in \sigma^{-m}(A_u) \subset A_u.$$

Thus the periodic points of  $\sigma$  lie in  $\pi^{-1}(X) \cup A_s \cup A_u$ .

$$N_n(\sigma \mid \pi^{-1}(X)) \geq N_n(\sigma) - N_n(\sigma \mid A_s) - N_n(\sigma^{-1} \mid A_u)$$

Applying Lemma 32 to  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$ :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(\sigma \mid A_s) < h(\sigma)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(\sigma^{-1} \mid A_u) < h(\sigma^{-1}) = h(\sigma).$$

Since

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(\sigma) = h(\sigma),$$

we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(\sigma \mid \pi^{-1}(X)) \geq h(\sigma).$$

As  $N_n(f) \geq N_n(f \mid X) = N_n(\sigma \mid \pi^{-1}(X))$ ,

$$h(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log N_n(f) \geq h(\sigma).$$

We now recall theorems of W. Parry and L. Goodwyn.

**THEOREM (Parry).**  $\sigma$  has a unique normalized invariant Borel measure  $\mu_\sigma$  such that  $h_{\mu_\sigma}(\sigma) = h(\sigma)$ .  $(\sigma, \mu_\sigma)$  is a finite ergodic Markov chain.

*Proof.* [19], because  $\sigma$  is a subshift of finite type.

**THEOREM (Goodwyn).** Let  $g: W \rightarrow W$  be a homeomorphism of a compact space and  $\rho$  a  $g$ -invariant normalized Borel measure on  $W$ . Then  $h_\rho(g) \leq h(g)$ .

We now generalize Parry's theorem. Let  $\mu_f = \pi^*(\mu_\sigma)$ , i.e.  $\mu_f$  is the measure on  $\Omega_s$  given by  $\mu_f(S) = \mu_\sigma(\pi^{-1}(S))$  for Borel sets  $S$ .

**THEOREM 34.**  $\mu_f$  is the unique normalized  $f$ -invariant Borel measure on  $\Omega_s$  with entropy  $h(f)$ .  $(f, \mu_f)$  is measure theoretically isomorphic to the Markov chain  $(\sigma, \mu_\sigma)$ . If  $f: \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$  is  $C$ -dense, then  $(f, \mu_f)$  is a  $K$ -automorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $X, A_s, A_u$  be as in the proof of Theorem 33. Assume  $\rho$  is  $f$ -invariant on  $\Omega_s$  with  $h_\rho(f) = h(f)$ . Suppose  $\rho(\partial^s \mathcal{L}) = a > 0$ . As  $\rho$  is  $f$ -invariant and countably additive, setting  $W = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n(\partial^s \mathcal{L})$  we find that  $\rho(W) = a$  and  $\rho(\bigcup f^i(\partial \mathcal{L}) \setminus W) = 0$ . Define  $\mu_1$  on  $W$  by

$$\mu_1(S) = \frac{1}{a} \rho(S) \text{ for } S \subset W,$$

and  $\mu_2$  on  $\Omega_s$  by

$$\mu_2(T) = \frac{1}{1-a} \rho(T \setminus W).$$

Then  $\rho = a\mu_1 + (1-a)\mu_2$ ;  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are  $f$ -invariant and have disjoint support. From the definition of entropy (see [20])

$$h_\rho(f) = ah_{\mu_1}(f) + (1-a)h_{\mu_2}(f).$$

By Goodwyn's theorem,  $h_{\mu_1}(f) \leq h(f)$  and  $h_{\mu_2}(f) \leq h(f|W) < h(f)$  (by Lemma 32). Hence  $h_\rho(f) < h(f)$ , a contradiction. Thus we must have  $\rho(\partial^s \mathcal{E}) = 0$ ; likewise  $\rho(\partial^u \mathcal{E}) = 0$ .

This proof applied to  $\sigma$ ,  $A_s$  and  $A_u$  instead shows that  $\mu_\sigma(A_s) = \mu_\sigma(A_u) = 0$ . Hence  $\mu_\sigma(\pi^{-1}(X)) = 1$ . Since  $\pi$  is injective on  $\pi^{-1}(X)$  it follows that  $(\sigma, \mu_\sigma)$  and  $(f, \mu_f) = (f, \pi^* \mu_\sigma)$  are measure theoretically isomorphic. In particular

$$h_{\mu_f}(f) = h_{\mu_\sigma}(\sigma) = h(\sigma) = h(f).$$

If  $\rho$  is any invariant measure on  $\Omega_s$  with  $h_\rho(f) = h(f)$ , we saw that  $\rho(X) = 1$ . Define  $\nu$  on  $\Sigma$  by  $\nu(\Sigma \setminus \pi^{-1}(X)) = 0$  and  $\nu(S) = \rho(\pi(S))$  for  $S \subset \pi^{-1}(X)$ . The  $(\sigma, \nu)$  and  $(f, \rho)$  are measure theoretically isomorphic; in particular

$$h_\nu(\sigma) = h_\rho(f) = h(f) = h(\sigma)$$

and Parry's theorem says that  $\nu = \mu_\sigma$ . One now sees that

$$\rho = \pi^* \nu = \pi^* \mu_\sigma = \mu_f.$$

If  $f: \Omega_s \rightarrow \Omega_s$  is  $C$ -dense, then  $f$  is topologically mixing (see [7]). By Proposition 30,  $\sigma$  is also topologically mixing. This implies (see [19]) that  $(\sigma, \mu_\sigma)$  is a mixing Markov chain, and hence a  $K$ -automorphism.

*Remark.* In [7] we showed that the periodic points of  $f$  were equidistributed with respect to an invariant measure and that this measure had entropy  $h(f)$ . The above theorem shows that this measure is  $\mu_f$ . In [7] we proved some ergodic properties and the  $K$ -automorphism statement was conjectured.

**COROLLARY 35.** *If  $f$  is a hyperbolic automorphism of a nilmanifold  $N$ , then  $\mu_f$  equals Haar measure  $\mu_N$  on  $N$ .*

*Proof.* This was proved in [7] (remember the remark above). Another

proof is given by seeing directly that  $h(f) = h_{\mu_N}(f)$ . This was done in [8]. The  $K$ -automorphism statement for this example is well-known [3].

**COROLLARY 36.** *Let  $f_1, f_2$  be hyperbolic automorphisms of nilmanifolds  $N_1, N_2$ . Then  $(f_1, \mu_{N_1})$  is measure theoretically isomorphic to  $(f_2, \mu_{N_1})$  if and only if they have the same entropy.*

*Proof.* Since  $N_i$  is connected,  $f_i$  is  $C$ -dense by the  $C$ -density decomposition theorem of [7]. Hence  $(f_i, \mu_{N_i})$  is a mixing Markov chain. Friedman and Ornstein [29] have shown that entropy is a complete invariant for these.

---

#### REFERENCES.

---

- [1] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim and M. H. McAndrew, "Topological entropy," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 114 (1965), pp. 309-319.
- [2] R. L. Adler and B. Weiss, "Entropy, a complete metric invariant for automorphisms of the torus," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, vol. 57 (1967), pp. 1573-1576.
- [3] D. V. Anosov and Ya. G. Sinai, "Some smooth ergodic theorems," *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, vol. 22 (1967), No. 5, pp. 103-167.
- [4] K. Berg, "Convolutions of measures, maximal entropy," to appear.
- [5] G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*, New York, 1927.
- [6] R. Bowen, "Topological entropy and Axiom A," *Global Analysis: Proceedings of the 1968 AMS Summer Institute*.
- [7] ———, "Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, to appear.
- [8] ———, "Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, to appear.
- [9] L. W. Goodwyn, "Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy," to appear.
- [10] W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, "Topological Dynamics," *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, vol. 36, Providence.
- [11] J. Guckenheimer, "Endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere," *Global Analysis*.
- [12] J. Hadamard, "Les surfaces à courbures opposées et leur lignes géodésiques," *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, vol. 4 (1898), pp. 27-73.
- [13] G. A. Hedlund and M. Morse, "Symbolic dynamics," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 60 (1938), pp. 815-866.
- [14] M. W. Hirsch, "Expanding maps and transformation groups," *Global Analysis*.
- [15] M. W. Hirsch and C. C. Pugh, "Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets," *Global Analysis*.
- [16] M. Morse, "Representation of geodesics," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43 (1921), pp. 33-51.

- [17] ———, "Recurrent geodesics on a surface of negative curvature," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 22 (1921), pp. 84-110.
- [18] ———, "Symbolic Dynamics" (lecture notes), Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton.
- [19] W. Parry, "Intrinsic Markov chains," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 112 (1964), pp. 55-66.
- [20] ———, *Entropy and generators in ergodic theory*, Benjamin, 1969.
- [21] ———, "Ergodic properties of affine transformations and flows on nilmanifolds," *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 91 (1969), pp. 757-771.
- [22] Ya. G. Sinai, "Markov partitions and  $C$ -diffeomorphisms," *Func. Anal. and its Appl.*, vol. 2 (1968), no. 1, pp. 64-89.
- [23] ———, "Construction of Markov partitions," *Func. Anal. and its Appl.*
- [24] S. Smale, "Diffeomorphisms with many periodic points," *Differential and Combinatorial Topology*, Princeton, 1965, pp. 63-80.
- [25] ———, "Differentiable dynamical systems," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73 (1967), pp. 747-817.
- [26] R. F. Williams, "One-dimensional non-wandering sets," *Topology*, vol. 6 (1967), pp. 473-487.
- [27] ———, "Classification of one-dimensional attractors," *Global Analysis*.
- [28] H. Keynes and J. Robertson, "Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness," *Math. Systems Theory*, vol. 3 (1969), pp. 51-59.
- [29] N. A. Friedman and D. S. Ornstein, "On isomorphism of weak Bernoulli transformations," *Advances in Mathematics*, to appear.