

# Data Wrangling Report

## Introduction

The dataset that I will be wrangling ,analyzing and visualizing is the tweet archive of Twitter user @dog\_rates, also known as WeRateDogs. WeRateDogs is a Twitter account that rates people's dogs with a humorous comment about the dog.

**The takes of this project are as follow:**

- Data Gathering
- Data Assessing
- Data Cleaning

## Data Gathering

Gather each of the three pieces of data as described below in a Jupyter Notebook titled `Wrangle_act.ipynb`.

1. The WeRateDogs Twitter archive:

This file called `twitter_archive_enhanced.csv`.

The tweet image predictions, i.e., what breed of dog (or other object, animal, etc.) is present in each tweet according to a neural network. This file called `image_predictions.tsv`.

2. Each tweet's retweet count and favorite ("like") count at minimum, and any additional data you find interesting. Using the tweet IDs in the WeRateDogs Twitter archive, query the Twitter API for each tweet's JSON data using Python's Tweepy library and store each tweet's entire set of JSON data in a file called `tweet_json.txt` file.

## Data Assessing

In Data Assessing step, we will analyze

### Quality of the data:

Quality of the data include the issues such as if there any missing , duplicated , incorrect entries in the data set.

## **Tidiness of the data:**

Checking the structure of the data set .

## **In the dataset that I use , I found these issues**

### **Quality Issues:**

- 1-Tweet\_id is an integer.It has to be a string.
- 2-Erroneous dog names starting with lowercase characters (e.g. a, an, actually, by).
- 3-Data type should be datetime for retweeted\_status\_timestamp,timestamp insted of string.
- 4-Severla columns have empty values, like in\_reply\_to\_status, in\_reply\_to\_user\_id, retweeted\_status\_id, retweeted\_status\_user\_id, retweeted\_status\_timestamp.
- 5- Incorrect values in rating numerators .
- 6-Found an instance of a name being "O" instead of "O'Malley".
- 7-Name has values that are the string "None" instead of NaN.
- 8-343rd entry is not a dog rating.
- 9-A lot of columns that won't be used for analysis.

### **Tidiness Issues:**

- 1- Doggo, floofer, pupper and puppo columns in twitter\_archive table should be merged into one column named "stage".
- 2- Three data frames twitter\_archive, image\_predictions, and df\_tweet\_info should be one (combined table)

## **Data Cleaning**

### **Quality Issues:**

- 1-Change 'tweet\_id' to a string.
- 2- Replace all names that start with a lowercase letter with a NaN.
- 3- Convert timestamp to datetime object.

- 4- Remove 'retweeted\_status\_id', 'retweeted\_status\_user\_id' and 'retweeted\_status\_timestamp' columns
- 5- Calculate the value of 'rating'.
- 6- Replace the name 'O' with "O'Malley".
- 7- Replace all 'None's with a NaN.
- 8- Drop 343rd entry that is not a dog rating.
- 9- Delete columns that won't be used for analysis.

### **Tidiness Issues:**

- 1- Merger doggo, floofer, pupper and puppo columns in twitter\_archive data set into one column named "stage"
- 2- Three data frames twitter\_archive, image\_predictions, and df\_tweet\_info should be one (combined table)