CHAPTER – IX

WATER TREATMENT PLANTS AND DESALINATION PLANTS (MODIFIED)

9.1. Fresh water sources

Surface Sources:

- 1. Poondi Lake
- 2. Red Hills Lake
- 3. Chembarambakkam Lake
- 4. Cholavaram Lake
- 5. Veeranam Lake

In addition to the above surface sources the following DSP sources are available:

- 1. Minjur DSP
- 2. Nemmeli DSP

The details on the existing WTPs located at Kilpauk, Redhills, Chembarambakkam and Veeranam & DSPs located at Minur and Nemmeli have been furnished in the Chapter 6



Figure 9 - 1 Fresh Water Sources

9.2. Water Demand and Required Additional Capacity of WTP

In this Chapter zone wise water demand projections for various planning years have been arrived at. Seven water systems planned to cater to City area, Extended Area and CMA are shown in Drawing No. STP-MP-WS-004.

Abstract of demand projections and capacity additions required in the existing locations of the treatment plants, DSPs and new capacity creation required and their proposed sites etcare given in Table 9.1 below

9.3. WATER SUPPLY MANAGEMENT IN THE PROJECT AREA

The potential yield & present level of water supply from the existing sources are given in the Table below:

Table 9 - 1 Existing Supply

| SI. No. | Particulars | Potential Yield (in MLD) | Available in good years (in MLD) | Present availability (in MLD) (as on October 2015) | |
|------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1. | SURFACE WATER SOURCES | | | | |
| | Poondi, Red hills, Cholavaram &Chembarambakkam lakes (surface sources) | 200 | 125 | 75 | |
| | Telugu-Ganga Project | 930 | 400 | 200 | |
| | Veeranam Lake Source | 180 | 100 | 100 | |
| 2. | GROUND WATER/Sub Surface water | | | | |
| | Northern well field /Southern Coastal aquifer | 100 | 25 | 25 | |
| | Sub Surface water sources in Rest of CMA | 32 | 32 | 32 | |
| 3. | SEA WATER DESALINATION | | | | |
| | Minjur DSP | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| | Nemmeli DSP | 100 | 100 | 100 | |
| | Total | 1642 | 882 | 632 | |

Table 9 - 2 Sources Proposed / Suggested for augmentation

| SI. No. | Description of sources | Existing Yield (mld) | Augumented Quantity | Total Yield that will be available after Augmentation (mld) |
|------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|
| | A) Surface Water Sources:- | | | |
| 1 | Local 4 Lake Sources (Internal) | 125 | 75 | 200 |
| 2 | Veeranam Lake Source | 100 | 80 | 180 |
| 3 | Telugu Ganga Project | 400 | 530 | 930 |
| 5 | New Mettur Project | | 700 | 700 |
| 6 | External Sources | | | |
| | a) Madurantagam Lake | | 25 | 25 |
| | b) Chengalpattu Lake | | 35 | 35 |
| | c) Abandoned Quarries | | 10 | 10 |
| | d) New surface storage projects | | 20 | 20 |
| | e) Palar Source | | 30 | 30 |
| 7 | Northern well fields | 25 | 75 | 100 |
| 8 | By Harvesting Rain Water in Off Stream Lakes | | 30 | 30 |
| 9 | Existing Water sources in CMA (As per data collected) | 32 | | 32 |
| | Total Fresh water from surface sources | 682 | 1610 | 2292 |
| | B) SEA WATER DESALINATION | | | |
| 1 | Minjur DSP | 100 | | 100 |
| 2 | Nemmeli DSP | 100 | 150 | 250 |
| 3 | Peru DSP | | 400 | 400 |
| | Total DSP supply | 200 | 550 | 750 |
| | Total Fresh water Supply | 882 | 2160 | 3042 |

Table 9 - 3 Augmentation of Local Ground water Sources

| S.No | Description of sources | Existing Yield (mld) | Augmented Quantity* (at the end of 2050) | Total Yield that will be available after Augmentation (mld) |
|------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| | LOCAL SOURCES | | | |
| 1 | Source in Individual Dwellings (Bore | 110 | 100 | 210 |
| | wells & Open wells) in Chennai City | 110 | 100 | 210 |
| 2 | Source in Individual Dwellings (Bore | 100 | 100 | 200 |
| | wells & Open wells) in Rest of CMA | 100 | 100 | 200 |
| | Total Ground Water sources | 210 | 200 | 410 |

^{*}Augmentation of the ground water sources by various artificial recharge techniques have been given in the main report.

Supply management to meet out the future fresh water demand and augumentation of the sources have already been discussed in Tables 6.8 and 6.9 under Chapter 6. However the Tables are furnished for reference.

The following Table shows the Year wise Demand and supply for the design periods:

STC

Table 9 - 4 Supply management to meet out future fresh water demand-entire CMA

| Quant | ity in ML | D | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|-----------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|---------|---------|--|--|
| | | | ipply dur | | ses | | | | | | | Capacity o | f Sourc | es to b | e Developed | | | | | | | | |
| | nand | | | | Sources | | | | | | | | | | SP | | | | | Surface | Sources | | |
| Year | Fresh Water Demand | Surfa ce | DSP | Total | Cumulative Oty. of S Developed | Total Sourc es availa ble | Excess | Nemmeli | Perur | Telugu Ganga | Veeranam Sub Surface | By Harvesting Rain Water in Off Stream Lakes | New Mettur Project | OWN Sources Local 4 Lake Sources (Internal) | External Sources (Maduranthagam/Ch engalpattu/Abanded Quarries/New surface storage projects/Palar source) | Northern well fields | Total | | | | | | |
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. | 15. | 16. | 17. | 18. | | | | | | |
| | | | | (3+4) | col.16 + value above | (5+6) | (7-2) | | | | | | | | | | Add. Col.9 to 17 | | | | | | |
| 2020 | 1259 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 410 | 1292 | 83 | 150 | | 150 | 80 | | | 75 | | 25 | 460 | | | | | | |
| 2025 | 1341 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 835 | 1717 | 426 | | 400 | | | | | | | 25 | 425 | | | | | | |
| 2030 | 1718 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 885 | 1767 | 99 | | | | | | | | 25* | 25 | 50 | | | | | | |
| 2035 | 1870 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 1150 | 2032 | 182 | | | 180 | | | | | 35* | | 235 | | | | | | |
| 2040 | 2041 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 1545 | 2427 | 406 | | | | | 15* | 350 | | 30* | | 395 | | | | | | |
| 2045 | 2577 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 1930 | 2812 | 255 | | | | | 15* | 350 | | 20* | | 385 | | | | | | |
| 2050 | 2844 | 682 | 200 | 882 | 2160 | 3042 | 198 | | | 200 | | | | | 10* | | 210 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | TOTAL | 150 | 400 | 530 | 80 | 30 | 700 | 75 | 120 | 75 | 2160 | | | | | | |
| | # Since Perur DSP will start producing 400 MLD Desal. water Supply from Telugu Ganga r be restricted to 150 MLD, during 2025-2030. | | | | | | | | Total including existing sources | | | | 3042 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | * | Additional sources to supplement the own source/DSP/TG/Mettur shall be developed as | | | | | | | | | alance available over demand 198 | | | 198 | | | | | | | | | |

The Total projected Fresh Water Demand during 2050 is 2844 MLD.

Table 9 - 5 Suggested commissioning schedule of the proposed projects to meet the Additional & Fresh Water Demand

| SI. No. | Source | Quantity to be Augmented in MLD | Probable Year of commissioning |
|---------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | DSP sources | | ' |
| 1 | Nemmeli | 150 | 2020 |
| 2 | Perur | 400 | 2025 |
| | Surface/Ground water sources | | |
| 3 | Telugu Ganga | 530 | 2020, 2035, 2050 |
| 4 | Veeranm sub surface (Cauvery sub surface) | 80 | 2020 |
| 5 | By harvesting rain water in off stream lakes | 30 | 2040, 2045 |
| 6 | New Mettur project | 700 | 2040, 2045 |
| 7 | Improvements in own 4 lake sources | 75 | 2020 |
| 8 | External sources (Madurantagam lake, Chengalpattu lake, Abandoned quarries, new surface storage projects and Palar source.) | 120 | 2030, 2035, 2040, 2045, 2050 |
| 9 | Improving Northern well fields | 75 | 2020, 2025, 2030 |
| | Total | 2160 | |

The above quantity of supply (except the external sources in item.8) has been allotted for each source.

The year wise allotment is given in the Table below:

Table 9 - 6 Allocation of water from each source for the design periods - in MLD

| | SOURCE | WISE ALL | OCATIO | V | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|--------|------------|------|------|------|
| Description | | | Wate | er Allocat | ion | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| OWN SOURCE - 4 lakes | | | | | | | |
| Supply | 125 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Addl.Qty that will be recd. | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cumulative Total Qty.Recd | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Allocation | | | | | | | |
| Poondi+Redhills Lake | 100 | 176 | 141 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Poondi+Sholavaram Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poondi+Chembarambakkam lake | 100 | 24 | 59 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| TELUGU-GANGA PROJECT | | | | | | | |
| Supply | 400 | 550 | 550 | 550 | 730 | 730 | 730 |
| Addl.Qty Recd at poondi | 150 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| Cumulative total Recd at poondi | 550 | 550 | 550 | 730 | 730 | 730 | 930 |
| Allocation | | | | | | | |
| Poondi to RH | 72 | 21 | 150 | 230 | 75 | 60 | 172 |
| Poondi-RH-KPS | 333 | 338 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 | 362 |
| Poondi-Chem | 145 | 191 | 41 | 137 | 282 | 293 | 366 |



| | SOURCE | WISE ALL | OCATION | V | | | |
|---|--------|----------|---------|------------|------|------|------|
| D | | | Wate | er Allocat | ion | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| Poondi-Sholavaram | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 30 |
| Total TG Supply | 550 | 550 | 550 | 730 | 730 | 730 | 930 |
| METTUR SOURCE | | | | | | | |
| Addl.Qty Recd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 350 | 0 |
| Total Cum.Qty.Recd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 700 | 700 |
| Allocation | | | | | | | |
| Mettur-Poondi-RH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 350 | 300 |
| Mettur-Poondi-RH-KPS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mettur-Poondi-Sholavaram | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mettur-Poondi-Chem | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Mettur-Chem | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 150 | 350 | 350 |
| Total-Mettur Supply | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 700 | 700 |
| VEERANAM SOURCE | | | | | | | |
| Veeranam WTP | 100 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| Addl.Qty Recd | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Veeranam | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| DESALINATION PLANTS | | | | | | | |
| Minjur | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Addl.Qty Recd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Minjur | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Nemmeli | 100 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Addl.Qty Recd | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Nemmeli | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| Perur | 0 | 0 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Addl.Qty Recd | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0* | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Perur | 0 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Total from DSPs | 350 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 |
| OTHER SOURCES | | | | | | | |
| Well Fields | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Addl.Qty | 25 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cum.qty | 50 | 75 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| From Rest of CMA | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Addl.Qty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cum.qty | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| By Harvesting Rain Water in Off Stream Lakes (will be connected to the 4 lakes of | | | | | | | |
| Chennai Water source) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| Cum.qty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 30 |
| Allocation | | | | | | | |
| Redhills Lake | | | | | 5 | 10 | 10 |



| | SOURCE | WISE ALL | OCATIO | V | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------|--------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| Description | Water Allocation | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 | | | |
| Sholavaram Lake | | | | | 5 | 10 | 10 | | | |
| Chembarambakkam lake | | | | | 5 | 10 | 10 | | | |
| Total from Others | 82 | 107 | 132 | 132 | 147 | 162 | 162 | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 1362 | 1787 | 1812 | 1992 | 2357 | 2722 | 2922 | | | |
| External Sources (Maduranthagam / Chengalpattu / Abanded Quarries / New surface storage projects / Palar source) | 0 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 10 | | | |
| Sub total | 0 | 0 | 25 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 10 | | | |
| Cumulative from Ext.Sources | 0 | 0 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 110 | 120 | | | |
| ULTIMATE SOURCE QTY. | 1362 | 1787 | 1837 | 2052 | 2447 | 2832 | 3042 | | | |

If the external sources are developed during the design period these sources will contribute the required demand to each system and there will be no need to supplement from DSP sources to other systems, as given in the table above.

Table 9 - 7 Allocation of water from each system for the design periods- in MLD

| | S | YSTEM WIS | E ALLOCAT | ION | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Decembelon | | | Wate | r Allocatio | n | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| KILPAUK SYSTEM | | | | | | | |
| DEMAND | 317 | 322 | 327 | 331 | 336 | 340 | 344 |
| ADD 5% Treatment loss of above | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Total Demand | 333 | 338 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 | 362 |
| SUPPLY | 333 | 338 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 | 362 |
| BALANCE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WTP CAP REQD | 333 | 338 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 | 362 |
| WTP CAP AVAILABLE | 225 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP REQD | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP PROP | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total WTP Cap avilable at the end of the year | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| If any shortfall, Supply From | Minjur DSP |
| Excess Qty. Avialble | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 7 | 0 |
| Supply to KPS System from Minjur# | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Balance available from Minjur system# | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 7 | 0 |
| For shortfall Supply From | - | | | | | | |
| REDHILLS SYSTEM | | | | | | | · |
| DEMAND | 222 | 241 | 314 | 347 | 385 | 492 | 555 |



| | S | STEM WIS | E ALLOCATI | ON | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | , | Wate | r Allocation | า | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| ADD 5% Treatment loss of above | 11 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 25 | 28 |
| Total Demand | 233 | 254 | 330 | 364 | 404 | 516 | 582 |
| SUPPLY | 172 | 197 | 291 | 330 | 380 | 520 | 582 |
| BALANCE | -61 | -57 | -39 | -34 | -24 | 4 | 0 |
| WTP CAP REQD | 233 | 254 | 330 | 364 | 404 | 516 | 582 |
| WTP CAP AVAILABLE | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 450 | 450 | 600 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP REQD | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 4 | | 6 6 | (|
| ADDL.WTP CAP PROP | 0 | 0 | 0* | 150 | 0 | 150 | |
| Total WTP Cap avilable at the end of the year | 300 | 300 | 300 | 450 | 450 | 600 | 600 |
| If any shortfall, Supply From | Minjur DSP+ Veeranam | Minjur DSP+ Veerana m | Minjur DSP+ Veeranam | Minjur DSP+ Veerana m | Minjur DSP+ Veeran am | Minjur DSP+ Veerana m | Minjur DSP+ Veerana m |
| Excess Qty. Avialble Minjur | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 7 | 0 |
| Excess Qty. Availble - Veeranam | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 35 | 17 | 6 |
| Total Excess aailable under Minjur & Veeranamm | 129 | 122 | 87 | 76 | 64 | 24 | 6 |
| Shortage in RH system | -61 | -57 | -39 | -34 | -24 | 4 | 0 |
| Supply to KPS System from Minjur# | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Supply to RH System from Minjur# | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Supply to RH system from Veeranam | 0 | 0 | 48 | 42 | 35 | 0 | 6 |
| Balance available after sparing to RH system# | 0 | 2 | 49 | 42 | 40 | 28 | 6 |
| Balance available after sparing to Chem. system# | 368 | 364 | 348 | 342 | 485 | 467 | 606 |
| *Addl Requiremnt of 30 MLI | | lemented fro | m Minjur DSP | P. Hence no | need for A | ddl.WTP | |
| CHEMBARAMBAKKAM SYS | STEM | | | T | | 1 | |
| DEMAND | 260 | 283 | 416 | 467 | 524 | 716 | 804 |
| Deduct for 32 mld from CMA | 228 | 251 | 384 | 435 | 492 | 684 | 772 |
| ADD 5% Treatment loss of above | 11 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 34 | 36 |
| Total Demand | 239 | 264 | 403 | 457 | 517 | 718 | 808 |
| SUPPLY | 277 | 247 | 132 | 269 | 569 | 785 | 958 |
| BALANCE | 6 | -49 | -303 | -220 | 20 | 35 | 118 |
| WTP CAP REQD | 271 | 296 | 435 | 489 | 549 | 750 | 840 |
| WTP CAP AVAILABLE | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 730 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP REQD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 78 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP PROP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 100 |
| Total WTP Cap avilable at | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 730 | 830 |



| | S | STEM WIS | E ALLOCATI | ON | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Daniel II | | | Wate | r Allocation | n | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| the end of the year | | | | | | | |
| If any shortfall, then Supply From | Nemmeli DSP+ Veeranam | Nemmeli DSP+ Veerana m | Nemmeli DSP+ Veeranam | Nemmeli DSP+ Veerana m | Nemme li DSP+ Veeran am | Nemmeli DSP+ Veerana m | Nemmel i DSP+ Veerana m |
| Excess Qty. Availble - Veeranam | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 35 | 17 | 6 |
| Excess Qty. Availble- Nemmeli DSP | 1 | 379 | 283 | 245 | 202 | 71 | 1 |
| Total Excess Qty. Availble | 69 | 443 | 331 | 287 | 237 | 88 | 7 |
| Supply to Chembarambakkam system | 68 | 60 | 283 | 245 | 202 | 71 | 1 |
| From Veeranam | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| From Nemmeli | 0 | 1 | 255 | 178 | 0 | 18 | 118 |
| Balance available after sparing to RH system# | 69 | 394 | 28 | 67 | 237 | 88 | 7 |
| CHOLAVARAM SYSTEM | | | | | | | |
| DEMAND | 22 | 23 | 48 | 58 | 69 | 115 | 133 |
| Deduct for supply from Well Fields | -3 | -52 | -52 | -42 | -31 | 15 | 33 |
| ADD 5% Treatment loss of above | 0 | -3 | -3 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 2 |
| Total Demand | 22 | 21 | 45 | 56 | 68 | 116 | 134 |
| SUPPLY FROM OWN + POONDI | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 40 |
| Supply from Well Fields | 25 | 75 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 25 | 75 | 115 | 115 | 125 | 130 | 140 |
| BALANCE | 3 | 54 | 70 | 59 | 57 | 14 | 6 |
| Bal.For which WTP Reqd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 34 |
| WTP CAP. REQD | 22 | 21 | 45 | 56 | 68 | 116 | 134 |
| WTP CAP. AVAILABLE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP. REQD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 |
| ADDL.WTP CAP. PROP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Total WTP Cap avilable at the end of the year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25+ | 50 |
| VEERANAM (PORUR WDS |) | | | | | | |
| DEMAND | 112 | 116 | 132 | 138 | 145 | 163 | 174 |
| SUPPLY | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| BALANCE | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 35 | 17 | 6 |
| For shortfall Supply From | | | | | | | |
| Excess Qty. Availble from the respectine system | | | | | | | |
| MINJUR DSP-SYSTEM | | | | | | | |
| DEMAND | 39 | 42 | 61 | 66 | 71 | 93 | 100 |
| SUPPLY | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |



| | SYSTEM WISE ALLOCATION | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description | Water Allocation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 | | | | | |
| BALANCE | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 7 | 0 | | | | | |
| For shortfall Supply From | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Excess Qty. Availble | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NEMMELI DSP-SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEMAND | 249 | 271 | 367 | 405 | 448 | 579 | 649 | | | | | |
| SUPPLY | 250 | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 | | | | | |
| BALANCE | 1 | 379 | 283 | 245 | 202 | 71 | 1 | | | | | |
| For shortfall Supply From | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Excess Qty. Availble | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL DEMAND | 1258 | 1336 | 1714 | 1865 | 2037 | 2575 | 2841 | | | | | |
| TOTAL SUPPLY | 1337 | 1787 | 1812 | 1992 | 2357 | 2722 | 2972 | | | | | |
| BALANCE | 79 | 451 | 98 | 127 | 320 | 147 | 131 | | | | | |

Table 9 - 8 Additional Capacity of WTP Requirement

| | Existing 5 | | to be out | capacity lable | New WTP Proposed | | | | WTP | Cumulative WTP/DSP Capacity in MLD | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------|------|------|------|------------------------------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SI. No. | /Proposed Treatment Plant Site | Existing Capacity WTP in MLD | Capacity to b phased out | Capacity to phased ou Balance capa | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 | Total New V | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
| 1 | Kilapuk | 270 | 45 | 225 | 135 | | | | | | | 135 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 360 |
| 2 | Redhills | 300 | 0 | 300 | | | | 150 | | 450 | | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 450 | 450 | 600 | 600 |
| 3 | Surapet | 14 | 14 | 0 | | | | 150 | | 150 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Chembaramba kkam | 530 | 0 | 530 | | | | | | 200 | 100 | 300 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 530 | 730 | 830 |
| 5 | Cholavaram | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25* | | | 50* | | 70* | | 145 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 75 | 75 | 145 | 145 |
| 6 | Veeranam (Vadakuthu) | 180 | 0 | 180 | | | | | | | | 0 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 |
| | Total WTP | 1294 | 59 | 1235 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 200 | | 420 | 100 | 880 | 1395 | 1395 | 1395 | 1595 | 1595 | 2015 | 2115 |
| | Desalina | tion Plan | ts | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Minjur DSP | 100 | 0 | 100 | | | | | | | | - | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 8 | Nemmeli DSP | 100 | 0 | 100 | 150 | | | | | | | 150 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| 9 | Perur | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | | | | | 100 | 200 | 400 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 200 | 400 |
| | Total DSP | 200 | 0 | 200 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 200 | 550 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 550 | 750 |
| | Total | 1494 | 59 | 1435 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 520 | 300 | 1470 | 1845 | 1845 | 1845 | 2045 | 2045 | 2565 | 2865 |

*WTP is optional for Cholavaram system, if the well Field water is not available. When well field water is not available, required raw water may be diverted from Poondi to Cholvaram lake and accordingly the allocation for Redhills and Chembarambakkam lakes may be revised. Based on the raw water flow the capacity of WTP may be proposed.

9.4. Balancing the System deficit by other systems:

1. Kilpauk system

Suffcient Raw Water will be supplied from Redhills lake to meet out the Demands for all the design periods. Hence there will be no deficits during the design periods.

2. Redhills system:

After supplying to KPS the balance raw water will be urtilised to serve the demand of Redhills system. However during the periods 2020 to 2040 there will be shortage of supply from surface water sources. During these periods the surplus water available from Minjur and Veeranam systems will be supplemented to Redhills system as shown in the table below.

| REDHILLS SYSTEM | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| DEMAND | 222 | 241 | 314 | 347 | 385 | 492 | 555 |
| ADD 5% Treatment loss of above | 11 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 25 | 28 |
| Total Demand | 233 | 254 | 330 | 364 | 404 | 516 | 582 |
| SUPPLY | 172 | 197 | 291 | 330 | 380 | 520 | 582 |
| Excess/Shortage | -61 | -57 | -39 | -34 | -24 | 4 | 0 |
| If any shortfall, Supply From | Minjur DSP+ Veeranam |
| Excess Qty. Avialble Minjur | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 7 | 0 |
| Excess Qty. Availble - Veeranam | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 35 | 17 | 6 |
| Shortage in RH system | -61 | -57 | -39 | -34 | -24 | 4 | 0 |
| Supply to RH System from Minjur# | 61 | 58 | 39 | 34 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Supply to RH system from Veeranam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

3. Chembarambakkam system:

There is shortage of supply during the periods 2025 to 2035 in Chembarambakkam system. During these periods the surplus water available from Nemmeli and Veeranam systems will be supplemented to Chembarambakkam system as shown in the table below.

| CHEMBARAMBAKKAM SYSTEM | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| DEMAND | 260 | 283 | 416 | 467 | 524 | 716 | 804 |
| Deduct for 32 mld from CMA | 228 | 251 | 384 | 435 | 492 | 684 | 772 |
| ADD 5% Treatment loss of above | 11 | 13 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 34 | 36 |
| Total Demand | 271 | 296 | 435 | 489 | 549 | 750 | 840 |
| SUPPLY | 277 | 247 | 132 | 269 | 569 | 785 | 958 |
| Excess/Shortage | 6 | -49 | -303 | -220 | 20 | 35 | 118 |

| CHEMBARAMBAKKAM SYSTEM | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 | 2035 | 2040 | 2045 | 2050 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| If any shortfall, then Supply From | Nemmeli DSP+ Veeranam |
| Excess Qty. Availble - Veeranam | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 35 | 17 | 6 |
| Excess Qty. Availble- Nemmeli DSP | 1 | 379 | 283 | 245 | 202 | 71 | 1 |
| Total Excess Qty. Availble | 69 | 443 | 331 | 287 | 237 | 88 | 7 |
| Supply to Chembarambakkam system | 68 | 60 | 283 | 245 | 202 | 71 | 1 |
| From Veeranam | 68 | 64 | 48 | 42 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
| From Nemmeli | 0 | 1 | 255 | 178 | 0 | 18 | 118 |

4. Cholavaram system

From Northern well Fields the existing and augumented quantity will be made available throughout the design period. For balance quantity Raw Water will be supplied from Poondi lake to meet out the Demands for all the design periods

5. Veeranam System (Porur WDS)

For 2020 the Demand is 116 MLD and the supply is 100 MLD. However upto 180 MLD the supply can be effected. There will be no shortage in supply as another 80 MLD will be made available from the Sub surface sources of river Cauvery at the down stream of Coleroon.

6. Minjur system

The Demand is less than the regular supply of 100 MLD up to the Ultimate stage (2050). Therefore there is no need to supplement from other systems

7. Nemmeli system

Since the total quantity from DSP sources that will be available after commissiong of the 2 plants., viz., 150mld at Nemmeli (2020) & 400 mld at Perur (2025) will be 650mld during 2025, there will be no shortage in the system.

The excess quantity that will be available during the intial design periods, will serve as supplemental sources to other systems., viz Chembarambakkam, Redhills etc.

9.4.1. Additional WTP Construction Phasing

For Planning Year 2020

Following works related to WTP to be included for 2020

- 1) Creation of Backwash water recycling system at following existing plant
 - ✓ Kilpauk 135 and 90 MLD Plant
 - ✓ Redhills 300 MLD Capacity Plant
 - √ Veeranam 180 MLD capacity Plant
- 2) Creation of New Water treatment Plants and Desalination plants at following Locations
 - √ 135 MLD capacity WTP at Kilpauk PS
 - ✓ 25 MLD WTP at Cholavaram (Optional)
 - √ 150 MLD DSP at Nemmeli
- 3) Replacement of open sludge drying with sludge drying beds by mechanical sludge drying at existing & proposed WTP.

For Planning Year 2025

Creation of Desalination plants at following locations

√ 400 MLD DSP at Perur

For Planning year 2035

Creation of WTP capacity at following sites

- √ 50 MLD WTP capacity at Sholavaram.
- √ 150 MLD WTP capacity at Redhills

For Planning year 2045

- √ 150 MLD WTP Plant capacity at Redhills
- ✓ 200 MLD WTP Plant capacity at Chembarambakkam.
- √ 70 MLD WTP capacity at Cholavaram.

Total capacities of WTP at the end of 2050 will be 2115 (1235(E)+880(P)) MLD and total capacitiy DSP will be 750 MLD (200(E)+550(P)).

9.5. Technology adopted in Water Treatment Plants

9.5.1. Process

The basic process adopted for treatment of surface water is the conventional process adopted in most of the cities in India and abroad and the will remain the same, as used in most of the existing plants. The Process comprises of the following unit process.

- i. Aeration
- ii. Pre Chlorination
- iii. Coagulation Flocculation and solid liquid separation
- iv. Filtration
- v. Disinfection

Several combinations of these Unit operations for the above treatment steps are available. Appropriate configuration shall be selected based on the raw water quality and other requirement of the site. Technology selection shall be done considering the following requirements

- Delivery of the desired treated water quality standards.
- Least space requirement
- · Least manpower requirement
- Least water wastage
- Optimum power consumption.

9.6. Design Norms

Design Norms for the treatment plants are listed in Table 9.6

Table 9 - 9 Design Norms

| S. No | Treatment Plant Unit and design Parameter | Range Given in Manual | Value recommended for use | Reference |
|----------|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Chlorine Contact Tank (CCT) Pre-chlorination to be carried out in contact tank by injecting Chlorine in solution from by Vacuum Type Chlorinator a) Contact Time b) Dose | 15 to 30 minute | 20 minute 2 to 4 PPM or Based on chlorine demand of raw water | CPHEEO manual for Water Supply and Treatment –Chapter-7 |
| 2 | Flash Mixing Tank HRT | 60 Sec | 60 Sec at normal flow and 45 Sec during overload conditions | CPHEEO manual for Water Supply and Treatment –Chapter-7 |



| S. | Treatment Plant Unit | Danier Obere to Manage | Value recommended for | Deference |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| No | and design Parameter | Range Given in Manual | use | Reference |
| | Velocity Gradient | 300 | 300 | |
| | Net Power Input | 72 watts/m ³ | 72 watts/m ³ | |
| 3 | Flocculation Unit | | | |
| | Mechanical Type HRT | 10 to 40 min | 25 min for normal flow 20 min during overload | CPHEEO manual for Water Supply and |
| | Depth | 3 to 4.5 m | 3 to 4.5 | Treatment –Chapter-7 |
| | Velocity Gradient | 10 to 70 S ⁻¹ | 70 S ⁻¹ | The same of tapes of |
| 4 | Clarifier Horizontal Flow | | | |
| | Circular Type | | | |
| | HRT | 2 to 2.5 Hours | 2.5 Hours for Normal flow | CPHEEO manual for Water Supply and |
| | Surface Loading Rate | 30 to 40 m ³ /m ² /d | 35 m ³ /m ² /d | Treatment -Chapter-7 |
| | Weir Loading Rate | 300 m ³ /m/d | 300 m ³ /m/d | |
| | Mechanism Type | | Centrally Driven | |
| | 9.6.1. Sludge Draw | | Telescopic Arrangement | |
| | off | | | |
| 5) | Lamella Clarifier HRT | 1. F. bassina | 1 F haven | |
| | Loading Rate | 1.5 hours 90m/d | 1.5 hours 90m/d | |
| 6 | Rapid Gravity Sand Filter | 9011/u | 9011/u | |
| | (Single Media) | | | |
| | Declining rate Constant | | | |
| | Head Type | | | |
| | Filtration Rate | 4.8 to 6 m/hour | 6m/hour Normal flow | CPHEEO manual for |
| | | | 6.35/ hour when one filter is taken out | (Water supply and |
| | Backwash Rate | 15 to 25m/hour | 20 m/hour | Treatment) |
| | Air Scour rate | 40 to 55 m /hour | 55 m/hour | |
| | Sand Size | | 00 1111110 21 | |
| | Uniformity Co efficient Operation | 0.45 to 0.7 mm | 0.6 mm | |
| | Backwash Water Injection | 1.7 to 1.3 | 1.5 | |
| | - | | Automatic PLC Based | |
| | | | Directly by pump / | |
| | Oblasias Cautast Taul | | Overhead Tank | |
| 7 | Chlorine Contact Tank HRT | 20 to 30 min | 30 min | |
| | Dose | 20 (0 30 111111 | 2 to 3 PPM or based on | |
| | 5000 | | Chlorine Demand | |
| 8 | Chlorination Equipment | | Gas Chlorinator | |
| | | | Vacuum type for all plant | |
| | | | above 5 MLD capacity | |
| 9 | Clear Water Sump HRT | 30 to 60 min | 60 min | |
| 10 | Water Wastage | 1 to 5 % of throughput | Shall be restricted to below | Wastewater to be |
| | | volume | 1.5 % | recycled after |
| | | | | recovery, loss shall not |
| | | | | exceed 1.5% of |
| | | | | Throughput volume |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



| S. | Treatment Plant Unit | Range Given in Manual | Value recommended for | Reference |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------|
| No | and design Parameter | Kange Given in Mandai | use | Reference |
| 11 | Capacity of Filter Back wash | | This Shall be equal to | |
| | collection Sump | | volume of two filter | |
| | | | backwash | |
| 12 | Lamella Clarifier For | | | |
| | Processing Filter Backwash | | | |
| | water HRT | 1.5 hours | 1.5 hours | |
| | Loading Rate | 90m/d | 90m/d | |
| 13 | Sludge Thickener | 701174 | 70111/4 | |
| ' | For Thickening Sludge | | | |
| | Solid Loading | | 50 kg/day/m ² | |
| | | | 3 3 | |
| 14 | Chemical for Coagulation | | | |
| | a)Chemical | | Poly Aluminum Chloride / | |
| | | | Aluminum Sulfate (Ferric | |
| | | | Alum) | |
| | | | Cufficient for 24 hours | |
| | b)Capacity for Day Tank | | Sufficient for 24 hour dosing at Max dose | |
| | b) outputity for Buy Funk | | condition in one or more | |
| | | | tank | |
| | | | turik | |
| | | | One Month's negriting meant | |
| | | | One Month's requirement at Max dose | |
| | c)Storage Capacity | | at wax dose | |
| 15 | Chlorination | | | |
| | Chemical | | Liquified Chlorine Gas | |
| | Draw Off | | Not more than 7 E kg / | |
| | Draw On | | Not more than 7.5 kg / hour / tonner (based on | |
| | | | ambient temperature) | |
| | | | ambient temperature) | |
| | Storage to be provided | | One Month's requirement | |
| 16 | Disposal of Waste from WTP | | Conforming to | |
| | | | Environment Act | |
| | | | Handling storage and | |
| | | | disposal of hazardous | |
| | | | waste | |

9.7. Wastewater recovery

Each plant, existing as well as proposed, shall have arrangement for recycling of the Dirty backwash water. It is proposed that plant shall be designed for separate collection of dirty backwash water and clariflocculators sludge. Dirty backwash water and sludge shall be separately treated for removal of solids and pathogens and recycled into the plant before pre-chlorination stage.

A typical flow sheet for wastewater recovery system is given in Drawing NoSTC-MP-SW-009.

9.8. Sludge Drying and Disposal

It is proposed that the sludge produced in all the treatment plant shall be properly dried and disposed off in environmentally safe manner. For drying, mechanical sludge drying is recommended. Mechanical sludge drying is carried out in filter press or solid bowl centrifuge. This process requires less land and manpower for sludge handling. Water treatment Plant sludge is classified as hazardous waste and disposal is required to be carried out as per norms stipulated for disposal of hazardous waste. Since sludge content alumina and organic matter it can be best disposed off for brick manufacturing.