



UNIVERSITY OF ASIA PACIFIC

Course Code : BUS 401

Course Title: Business and Entrepreneurship

Assignment - 01

Topic - Time Value of Money

Submitted by :

Rashik Rahman

Section : A

Reg. No : 17201012

Submitted to :

M A Kashem

Professor, Dept. of MIS, DU

Topic no.1

Time value money: The time value of money is the concept that a sum of money is worth more now than the same sum will be at a future date due to its earnings potential in the interim. The time value of money is also referred to as present discounted value.

For example, say I have the chance of receiving 10,000 Tk. now or 10,000 Tk. two years from now. Despite the equal face value of 10,000 Tk. today has more value than it will two years from now due to the opportunity costs associated with the delay. In other words we can say, a payment delayed is an opportunity missed.

The rationale for incorporating time value of money in financial decisions:

The recognition of the time value of money is extremely significant in financial decision making because most of financial decisions such as the acquisition of assets or procurement of funds affects firm's cash flows in different time periods, for example if a fixed asset is purchased, it will require an immediate cash outlays and will affect cash flows during many future periods.

Similarly if the firm borrows funds from a bank or firm from other sources, it receives cash now and commits an obligation

(2)

to pay interest and return principle sum in future. While taking decisions on these matters, the financial management must keep the time factor in mind. If the timing of cash is not considered, the firm may make decisions which may fatten & falter its objective of maximizing the owner's welfare.

Techniques of compounding and discounting:-

If the interest is compounded that means the interest which is earned at the end of ~~the~~ year, will be added to principal and will go on till the end of time. Future values are calculated by using this compounding interest. As interest rates increases, compounding interest also increases that means if you want large sum of money, interest rates must be high. So, when investors were investing, they should look for higher interest rate to get high returns in this method.

General Formula: $FV_n = PV(1+r)^n$

Hence,

$1+r$ = future value interest factor

PV = initial cash flow

r = rate of interest

n = number of years.

This formula also used in discounting. Now I will discuss about discounting techniques.

During

Discounting is the process of determining present value of a series of future cash flows. Present value of a future cash flow is the current worth of a future sum of money or stream of cash flow given a specified rate of return. Present value is also called discounted value. Interest rate used for discounting cash flows is also called the discount rate.

Topic no. 02

PS-4:

$$\text{Future value } \oplus, FV = PV(1+R)^n$$

Here,

PV = initial cash flow

n = number of years

, r = rate of interest

For, A \Rightarrow

$$FV = 200 \times (1 + 0.05)^{20} \\ = 530.66 \$$$

For, B \Rightarrow

$$FV = 4500 (1 + 0.08)^7 \\ = 7712.21 \$$$

For, C \Rightarrow

$$FV = 10000 (1 + 0.09)^{10} \\ = 23673.64 \$$$

(7)

$$D \Rightarrow FV = 25000(1+0.1)^{12} \\ = 78460.71 \$$$

$$E \Rightarrow FV = 37000(1+0.11)^5 \\ = 62347.15 \$$$

$$F \Rightarrow FV = 40000(1+0.12)^9 \\ = 110923.15 \$$$

PS - 6.

a) Can value on price after 5 years if inflation is,

① 2% then, $FV = 14000(1+0.02)^5 = 15457.13 \$$

② 4% then, $FV = 14000(1+0.04)^5 = 17033.14 \$$

b) The can will cost $1576.02 \$$ more with a 4% inflation

rate than rate with 2%. This increase is ~~10.2%~~ more than would be paid with only a 2% rate of inflation.

c) Can price if inflation is 2% for next 2 years

$$FV = PV(1+r)^n = 14000(1+0.02)^2 = 14565.60 \$$$

and 4% for 3 years after that. Price rise at the end of 5th year, $FV = 14565.60(1+0.04)^3 = 16384.32 \$$

(5)

PS-8:

1) River bank's saving account with an interest rate of 10.8%, compounded monthly.

$$r = 10.8\% = \frac{0.108}{12}$$

$$FV = 70000\text{\$}$$

$$PV = 3000\text{\$}$$

$$FV = PV(1+r)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow 70000 = 3000 \left(1 + \frac{0.108}{12}\right)^{12n}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1.009^{12n} = \frac{70000}{3000}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1.009^{12n} = 23.333$$

$$\Rightarrow 12n \ln 1.009 = \ln 23.33$$

$$\therefore n = 29.3 \text{ years.}$$

2) First state bank's with 11.5% interest compounded annually

$$r = 0.115,$$

$$\therefore FV = PV(1+r)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow 70000 = 3000 (1 + 0.115)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow 1.115^n = 23.33$$

$$\Rightarrow n \ln 1.115 = \ln 23.33$$

$$\therefore n = 28.94 \text{ years.}$$

(6)

3)

Union bank's with 9.3% interest rate compounded weakly

$$n = \frac{0.093}{52}$$

$$70000 = 3000 \left(1 + \frac{0.093}{52}\right)^{52n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1.1001788^{52n}}{1.1001788} = 23.33$$

$$\Rightarrow 52n \ln 1.1001788 = \ln 23.33$$

$$\Rightarrow 52n = \frac{\ln 23.33}{\ln 1.0017}$$

$$\Rightarrow n = 33.9 \text{ years}$$

PS-10:

Present value calculation,

Present value of $I\delta = PV$

$$PV = \frac{1}{(1+n)^n}$$

for A,

$$PV = \frac{1}{(1+0.02)^4} = 0.9238 \text{ $}$$

B,

$$PV = \frac{1}{(1+0.1)^2} = 0.8638 \text{ $}$$

C,

$$PV = \frac{1}{(1+0.05)^3} = 0.8638 \text{ $}$$

D,

$$PV = \frac{1}{(1+0.13)^4} = 0.7831 \text{ $}$$

PS-15:

a) The last least I will sell my claim:

$$1) n=10, r=0.06, FV=1000000 \$$$

$$PV = \frac{FV}{(1+r)^n} = \frac{1000000}{(1+0.06)^{10}} = 558394.78 \$$$

$$2) r=0.09$$

$$PV = \frac{1000000}{(1+0.09)^{10}} = 422410.81 \$$$

$$3) r=0.12$$

$$PV = \frac{1000000}{(1+0.12)^{10}} = 321973.24 \$$$

b) same as for 15 years period:

$$1) n=15, r=0.06; FV=1000000 \$$$

$$PV = \frac{1000000}{(1+0.06)^{15}} = 417265.06 \$$$

$$2) r=0.09$$

$$PV = \frac{1000000}{(1+0.09)^{15}} = 274538.04 \$$$

$$3) r=0.12$$

$$PV = \frac{1000000}{(1+0.12)^{15}} = 182696.26 \$$$

c) As the discount rate increases the present value ~~also~~ becomes smaller. This decrease is due to the higher opportunity cost associated with the higher rate.

Also the longer the time until the lottery payment is collected, the less the present value due to the greater time over which the opportunity cost applies. In other words, the larger the discount rate and the longer the time until the money is received, the smaller will be the present value of a future payment.

P5-14:

$$PV = 30000\$, n = 4, r = 15\% = 0.15$$

$$FV = 30(1 + 0.15)^4 = 52470.19\$\text{}$$

$$\therefore \text{So interest received} = (52470.19 - 30000) = 22470.19\$$$

Amount required, 210000\\$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So future value} &= 210000 - 52470.19 \\ &= 157529.81\$ \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{For, } r = 0.15, n = 5, FV = 157529.81\$$$

$$\therefore PV = \frac{FV}{(1+r)^n} = \frac{157529.81}{(1+0.15)^5} = 78319.75\$$$

\therefore Present value is 78319.75\$

(9)

P5-17:

A) $n = 5; r = 10\% = 0.1; PV = 30000 \$$

$$PV = \frac{30000}{(1+0.1)^5} = 18627.64 \$$$

B) $n = 20; r = 0.1; FV = 3000 \$$

$$PV = \frac{30000}{(1+0.1)^{20}} = 445.93 \$$$

C) $n = 10, r = 0.1, FV = 10000 \$$

$$PV = \frac{10000}{(1+0.1)^{10}} = 3855.93 \$$$

D) $n = 40; r = 0.1; FV = 15000 \$$

$$PV = \frac{15000}{(1+0.1)^{40}} = 331.42 \$$$

Tom should purchase option A and C and shouldn't purchase option B and D.

P5-20:

a) present value of an ordinary annuity vs. annuity due

1) Ordinary annuity

$\cdot PMT = \text{Annuity payment}$

$r = \text{interest rate}$

$n = \text{number of years}$

$$PV = PMT \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+r)^n} \right) / r \right]$$

2) Annuity due

A) $n = 3, r = 0.07, PMT = 12000 \text{ \$}$

$$PV = 12000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.07)^3}}{0.07} \right] = 31491.79 \text{ \$}$$

$$\text{annuity due} = 31491.79 \times 1.07 = 33696.22 \text{ \$}$$

B) $n = 15, r = 0.12, PMT = 55000 \text{ \$}$

$$PV = 55000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.12)^{15}}}{0.12} \right] = 374597.55 \text{ \$}$$

$$\text{annuity due} = 374597.55 \times 1.12 = 419549.25 \text{ \$}$$

C) $n = 9, r = 0.2, PMT = 700 \text{ \$}$

$$PV = 700 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.2)^9}}{0.2} \right] = 2821.68 \text{ \$}$$

$$\text{annuity due} = 2821.68 \times 1.2 = 3386.02 \text{ \$}$$

D) $n = 7, r = 0.05, PMT = 140000$

$$PV = 140000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.05)^7}}{0.05} \right] = 810092.28 \text{ \$}$$

$$\text{annuity due} = 810092.28 \times 1.05 = 850596.89 \text{ \$}$$

E) $n = 5, r = 0.1, PMT = 22500 \text{ \$}$

$$PV = 22500 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.1)^5}}{0.1} \right] = 85292.70 \text{ \$}$$

$$\text{annuity due} = 85292.70 \times 1.1 = 93821.97 \text{ \$}$$

b) The annuity due results in a greater present value in each case. By depositing the payment at the beginning rather than at the end of the year, it has ~~one~~ one less year to discount back.

PS-22:

a) $n=40, r=10\% = 0.1, PMT = 2000 \$$

$$PV = \frac{PMT}{r} \cdot [(1+r)^n - 1]$$

$$= \frac{2000}{0.1} \left[(1+0.1)^{40} - 1 \right] = 885185.11 \$$$

b) $n=30, r=0.1, PMT = 2000 \$$

$$PV = \frac{2000}{0.1} \left[(1+0.1)^{30} - 1 \right] = 328988.05 \$$$

c) By delaying the deposits by 10 years the total opportunity cost is 556.197 \$. The difference is due to both the ~~last~~ lost deposits of ~~20000\$~~ 20000 \$(2000 \$ \times 10 years) and the lost compounding of interest on all of the money for 10 years.

d) Annuity due for

a) $885185.11 \times 1.1 = 973703.62 \$$

b) $328988.05 \times 1.1 = 361886.85 \$$

Both deposits increased due to the extra year of compounding from the beginning of the year deposits instead of the end of year deposits. However, the incremental change in the 40 year annuity is much larger than the incremental compounding on 30 year deposits, due to the larger sum on which the last year of compounding occurs.

P5-2f:

a) $n = 30; r = 0.11; PMT = 20000\text{\$}$

$$PV = 20000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.11)^{30}}}{0.11} \right] = 173875.84\text{\$}$$

b) $n = 20; r = 0.09; FV = 173875.84\text{\$}$

$$PV = \frac{FV}{(1+r)^n} = \frac{173875.84}{(1+0.09)^{20}} = 31024.82\text{\$}$$

c) Both values would be lower. In other words we can say a smaller sum would be needed in 20 years for the annuity and a smaller amount would have to be put away today to accumulate the needed future sum.

d) $n = 30, r = 0.1, PMT = 20000\text{\$}$

$$PV = 20000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.1)^{30}}}{0.1} \right] = 188538.29\text{\$}$$

then $PV = 188538.29\text{\$}$

$$PV = \frac{188538.29}{(1+0.1)^{30}} = 28025.02\text{\$}$$

More money will be required to support the $20000\text{\$}$ annuity in retirement, because initial amount will earn 1% less per year. However, more less money will have to be deposited during the next 20 years, because the return on saved funds will be at 1% higher.

(13)

PS-25:

a) $n = 25, r = 0.05, PMT = 40000\text{\$}$

$$PV = 40000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.05)^{25}}}{0.05} \right] = 563757.78\text{\$}$$

at 5% taking award as annuity is better I think, because the present value is 563760\\$ compared to receiving 50000\\$ as future value. One has to live at least 23.5 years to benefit more from the annuity stream of payments.

b) $r = 0.07$

$$PV = 40000 \left[\frac{1 - \frac{1}{(1+0.07)^{25}}}{0.07} \right] = 466143.33\text{\$}$$

at 7% compounded sum is better. This is why my decision will be changed and also hence the present value of the annuity is only \\$ 466143.33 \\$.

c) As an investment \$500000\\$ to get a 25 years annuity of 40000\\$. The discount rate,

$$n = 25, PV = 500000\text{\$}, PMT = 40000\text{\$}$$

$$\therefore \text{IRR} = 6.24\%$$

PS-26:

Present value of each percentage

<u>Case</u>	<u>Formula</u>	<u>Present value</u>
A	$\frac{\text{annual amount}}{\text{discount rate}} = \frac{20000}{0.08}$	250000\$
B	$\frac{100000}{0.1}$	1000000\$
C	$\frac{3000}{0.06}$	50000\$
D	$\frac{60000}{0.05}$	1200000\$

PS-27%

$$\text{a) } PV = \frac{CF}{n}$$

here, PV = Present value of cash flow CF = annual cash flow = 600\$ n = discount rate = ~~6%~~ 6% = 0.06

$$PV = \frac{600}{0.06} = 10000\text{\$}$$

for 3 students marla's parents required to make ~~the~~ the payments of ~~30000~~ 30000\$.

$$\text{b) } PV = \frac{600}{0.09} = 6666.67\text{\$} \quad n = 0.09$$

For 3 students marla's parents required to make the payments of 20000\$.

P5-31:

a) PV of a mixed stream cash flow of A: Here $i = 0.15$

$$\begin{aligned} PV &= C_1(1+i)^{-1} + C_2(1+i)^{-2} + C_3(1+i)^{-3} + C_4(1+i)^{-4} + C_5(1+i)^{-5} \\ &= 50000(1+0.15)^{-1} + 40000(1+0.15)^{-2} + 30000(1+0.15)^{-3} \\ &\quad + 20000(1+0.15)^{-4} + 10000(1+0.15)^{-5} \\ &= 109856.33\$ \end{aligned}$$

b) for B,

$$\begin{aligned} PV &= 10000(1+0.15)^{-1} + 20000(1+0.15)^{-2} + 30000(1+0.15)^{-3} \\ &\quad + 40000(1+0.15)^{-4} + 50000(1+0.15)^{-5} \\ &= 91272.98\$ \end{aligned}$$

b) Cashflow stream A with a present value of 109856.33\\$ is higher than cash flow stream B's present value of 91272.98\\$ because the larger cash flow occurs in A in the early years when their present value is greater, while the smaller cash flows are received further in the future.

P5-33:

a) $i = 8\% = 0.08$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV of mixed stream} &= 5000(1+0.08)^{-1} + 4000(1+0.08)^{-2} \\ &\quad + 6000(1+0.08)^{-3} + 10000(1+0.08)^{-4} + 3000(1+0.08)^{-5} \\ &= 22214.03\$ \text{ this amount of deposit.} \end{aligned}$$

is needed to fund the shortfall.

b) An increase in the earnings rate would reduce the amount calculated in part a. The higher rate would have to a larger interest income being earned each year on the investment. The larger interest amounts will

will permit a decrease in the initial investment to obtain the same future value available for covering the shortfall.

PS-37:

(a) Compounding frequency

for A, $n=10, r=0.03, PV=2500$ \$

$$FV = PV(1+r)^n = 2500(1+0.03)^{10} = 3359.79$$

B, $n=18, r=0.02, PV=50000$ \$

$$FV = 50000(1+0.02)^{18} = 71412.31$$

C, $n=10, r=0.05, PV=1000$ \$

$$FV = 1000(1+0.05)^{10} = 1628.89$$

D, $n=24, r=0.04, PV=20000$ \$

$$FV = 20000(1+0.04)^{24} = 51226.08$$

(b)

Effective interest rate, $i_{eff} = \left(1 + \frac{r}{m}\right)^m - 1$

$$\text{for A, } i_{eff} = \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{2}\right)^2 - 1 = 6\%$$

$$\text{for B, } i_{eff} = \left(1 + \frac{0.12}{6}\right)^6 - 1 = 12.6\%$$

$$\text{for C, } i_{eff} = \left(1 + \frac{0.05}{1}\right)^1 - 1 = 5\%$$

$$\text{for D, } i_{eff} = \left(1 + \frac{0.16}{4}\right)^4 - 1 = 17\%$$

(c) The effective rates of interest rise relative to the stated nominal rate with increasing compounding frequency.

PS-41:

(a) ① annual:

$$n = 10, r = 0.08, PMT = 300\text{\$}$$

$$FV = \frac{PMT}{r} [(1+r)^n - 1] = \frac{300}{0.08} [(1+0.08)^{10} - 1] \\ = 4345.97\text{\$}$$

② Semi-annual:

$$n = 10 \times 2 = 20, r = 0.04, PMT = 150\text{\$}$$

$$FV = \frac{150}{0.04} [(1+0.04)^{20} - 1] = 4966.71\text{\$}$$

③ Quarterly Quarterly:

$$n = 10 \times 4, r = 0.02, PMT = 75\text{\$}$$

$$FV = \frac{75}{0.02} [(1+0.02)^{40} - 1] = 4530.15\text{\$}$$

(b) The earlier a deposit is made the earlier the funds will be available to earn interest and contribute to compounding. Thus the earlier the deposit and the more frequent the compounding, the larger the future sum will be.

PS-43:

The annual deposit must be,

(a) $n = 42, r = 0.08, FV = 220000\text{\$}$

$$PMT = \frac{FV \times r}{(1+r)^n - 1} = \frac{220000 \times 0.08}{(1+0.08)^{42} - 1} = 723.10\text{\$}$$

(b) $n = 42, r = 0.08, PMT = 600\text{\$}$

$$PV = \frac{PMT}{r} [(1+r)^n - 1] = \frac{600}{0.08} [(1+0.08)^{42} - 1] = 182546.11\text{\$}$$

This amount have accumulated by the end of the 42th year.

PS-44:

② Given loan = 21600\$, PV

$$r = 0.1$$

$$n = 1$$

$$FV = PV(1+0.1)^1 = 21600(1+0.1)^1 = 23760\text{ $}$$

My friend gave me 21600\$ by 10% and if so I have to pay 23760\$ but asked me to pay 24000\$. So he hasn't changed 10% interest.

③ Annual interest rate;

Hence,

$$PV = 21600\text{ $ given money}$$

$$FV = 24000\text{ $ have to pay after 1 year}$$

$$n = 1$$

So,

$$FV = PV(1+r)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow (1+r)^n = \frac{FV}{PV}$$

$$\Rightarrow r = \left(\frac{FV}{PV}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow r = \left(\frac{24000}{21600}\right)^{\frac{1}{1}} - 1$$

$$\therefore r = 0.1111 = 11.11\%$$

So the interest my friend charged is 11.11%.

PS-46:

$$\textcircled{a} \ n = 25, r = 0.05, PV = 200000 \text{ \$}$$

$$FV = PV(1+n)^n = 200000(1+0.05)^{25} = 677270.99 \text{ \$}$$

$$\textcircled{b} \ n = 25, r = 0.09, FV = 677270.99 \text{ \$}$$

$$\therefore PMT = \frac{FV \times n}{(1+r)^n - 1} = \frac{677270.99 \times 0.09}{(1+0.09)^{25} - 1} = 7996.03 \text{ \$}$$

\textcircled{c} Since John will have an additional year on which to earn interest at the end of the 25 years his annuity due deposit will be smaller each year. To determine the annuity amount John will first discount back the 677270.99\\$ one period.

$$n=1, r=0.09, FV = 677270.99 \text{ \$}$$

$$\therefore PV = \frac{FV}{(1+r)} = 621349.53 \text{ \$}$$

This is the amount John must accumulate over the 25 years. John can solve for his annuity amount using the same calculation as in part b.

$$n = 25, r = 0.09, FV = 621349.53 \text{ \$}$$

$$\therefore PMT = \frac{FV \times n}{(1+r)^n - 1} = 7335.80 \text{ \$}$$

To check this value, multiply the annual amount payment by 1 plus the 9% discount rate.

$$\therefore 7335.80 \times 1.09 = 7996.03 \text{ \$}$$

DZ

PS-56:

① Defendants interest rate assumption

here, $n = 25$, $PV = 2000000\$, PMT = 156000\$$

$$\text{formula, } PV = PMT \left[\frac{1 - (1+i)^{-n}}{i} \right]$$

$$\therefore i = 5.97\%$$

② $n = 25$, $PV = 2000000\$, PMT = 255000\$$

solve for $i = 12\%$.

\therefore Prosecution interest rate is 12% .

③ $n = 25$, $i = 0.09$, $PV = 2000000\$$

$$\text{then, } PMT = \frac{PV}{\frac{1 - (1+i)^{-n}}{i}} = \frac{2000000}{\frac{1 - (1+0.09)^{-25}}{0.09}} = 203612.50\$,$$



PS-61:

① here given, $i = 12\% = 0.12$

$$PV = 14000\$$$

$$PMT = 2450\$$$

using $PV = PMT \left[\frac{1 - (1+i)^{-n}}{i} \right]$ this formula we
find $n = 10.21$ years.

① $i = 0.09$ then $n = 8.38$ years

② $i = 0.15$ then $n = 13.92$ years

(d) The higher the interest rate, the greater the amount of time periods needed to repay the loan fully.

P5-62%

"For next Page"

2 Spreadsheet Exercise

At the end of 2015, Uma Corporation is considering undertaking a major long-term project in an effort to remain competitive in its industry. The production and sales departments have determined the potential annual cash flow savings that could accrue to the firm if it acts soon. Specifically, they estimate that a mixed stream of future cash flow savings will occur at the end of the years 2016 through 2021. The years 2022 through 2026 will see consecutive and equal cash flow savings at the end of each year. The firm estimates that its discount rate over the first 6 years will be 7%. The expected discount rate over the years 2022 through 2026 will be 11%. The project managers will find the project acceptable if it results in present cash flow savings of at least \$860,000. The following cash flow savings data are supplied to the finance department for analysis.

1 - End of year	Cash flow savings
2016	\$ 110,000.00
2017	120,000.00
2018	130,000.00
2019	150,000.00
2020	160,000.00
2021	150,000.00
2022	90,000.00
2023	90,000.00
2024	90,000.00
2025	90,000.00
2026	90,000.00

0 To Do

1 Create spreadsheets similar to Table 5.2, and then answer the following questions.

- 2 a. Determine the value (at the beginning of 2016) of the future cash flow savings expected to be generated by this project.
- 3 b. Based solely on the one criterion set by management, should the firm undertake this specific project? Explain.
- 4 c. What is the "interest rate risk," and how might it influence the recommendation made in part b? Explain.

0 Discount rate for years 2016 - 2021	7%
1 Discount rate for years 2022 - 2026	11%

4 End of	5 Year	Year (n)	Cash	Present	Present
			Flow	Value End of Year 2021	Value Beg of Year 2016
6 2016	7 2017	1 2	\$110,000 120,000	\$ 102,803.74	104,812.65
8 2018	9 2019	3 4	130,000 150,000	106,118.72	114,434.28
0 2020	1 2021	5 6	160,000 150,000	114,077.79	99,951.33
2 2022	3 2023	7 8	90,000 90,000	81,081.08	54,027.75
4 2024	5 2025	9 10	90,000 90,000	73,046.02	48,673.65
6 2026		11	90,000	65,807.22	43,850.13
				59,285.79	39,504.62
				53,410.62	35,589.75
					\$ 863,844.42

1 (a) First, we will discount the cash flows at the end of years 2022 through 2026 at 11% back to the end of year 2021. Next, we will discount the cash flows at the end of years 2016 through 2021 (don't forget the present values found in the first step are now at the end of year 2021) at 7% back to the end of year 2015. The end of year 2015 is the beginning of year 2016, which is when we want the present value of the cash flow savings. By discounting cash flows for at the end of years 2016 through 2021 at 7%, we get PV of \$863,844.42.

9 (b) Based solely on the criteria set by management, the firm should 0 undertake this project as the present value of the expected future 1 saving total \$863,844.42 which exceeds the \$860,000 hurdle.

3 (c) The concept of interest-rate risk states that changes in the interest 4 rates will affect the present value of future cash flows. For this 5 problem, if the interest rates were to rise just 1 percentage point, the 6 present value of the expected savings would fall below the required 7 \$860,000 limit set by management.