Machine Learning and Programming in Python Lecture for Master and PhD students

Chair of Data Science in Economics

Ruhr University Bochum

Winter semester 2023/24

Lecture 13 Study materials from 15.12.2023

Natural Language Processing/ Text data analysis

- Natural Language Processing, abbreviated NLP
- The manipulation of human languages by computers
- Includes both text and speech
- Vast amounts of text and speech recorded
 - Historical data (such as speeches, parliamentary records, reports, books, court records, radio and tv programmes)
 - Evolving data (such as web-based text, social media, twitter, email, google searches
 - Large amounts of information inherent in these texts





Applications of Natural Language Processing

- Information retrieval, retrieve documents that are relevant to a query or research, web-based, newspapers, parliamentary records, etc.
- Translation, translate documents from one language to another
- User content moderation, filter inappropriate content Email/Spam, etc.
- Automatic recommendation, targeted advertising, Amazon, Netflix, etc.
- Chatbots and virtual assistants, ChatGPT, Siri, Alexa, etc.

Difference between numerical data and Big Data

- In Econometrics we are used to using numerical data
- These data typically come from survey data and have a relatively orderly structure (i.e. we have a cross section sample of individuals and measures of their wage and demographic characteristics
- Big Data: high-dimensional numerical data; high-dimensional, unstructured text data

- Development in technologies in recent years have made available vast amounts of digital text that is increasingly used in social science research
- All can be used as a rich complement to more standard data
- For example:
 - Text from social media and newspapers has been used to measure policy uncertainty (Baker, Bloom, Davis, 2016, Quarterly Journal of Economics)
 - ► Transcripts of politicians speeches has been used to study political slant in the media (Gentzkow and Shapiro, 2010, Econometrica)

Baker, Bloom and Davis 2016 - Measuring Economic Policy Uncertainty

- Scrape newspaper text searching for certain phrases to measure economic policy uncertainty
- Build an index of uncertainty over time for the United States
- Add other countries to develop a worldwide index
- Show correlations with "real" economic outcomes over time and across states of the US

Gentzkow and Shapiro 2010 - What drives media slant?

- Examine text from us newspapers to ascertain the political slant of the paper
- Search for certain words or phrases to indicate left/right balance of newspaper
- Build a dictionary of these words by analyzing speeches by politicians
- Categorize politicians as left/right wing according to their voting record
- Then find common words used by left wing versus right wing politicians

TABLE I

Most Partisan Phrases From the 2005 Congressional Record^a

Panel A: Phrases Used More Often by Democrats

Two-Word Phrases
private accounts
trade agreement
American people
tax breaks
trade deficit
oil companies
credit card
nuclear option
war in Iraq
middle class
Three-Word Phrases

veterans health care
congressional black caucus
VA health care
billion in tax cuts
credit card companies
security trust fund
social security trust
privatize social security
American free trade
central American free

Rosa Parks
President budget
Republican party
change the rules
minimum wage
budget deficit
Republican senators
privatization plan
wildlife refuge
card companies

corporation for public

broadcasting additional tax cuts pay for tax cuts tax cuts for people oil and gas companies prescription drug bill caliber sniper rifles increase in the minimum wage system of checks and balances middle class families workers rights poor people Republican leader Arctic refuge cut funding American workers living in poverty Senate Republicans fuel efficiency national wildlife

cut health care civil rights movement cuts to child support drilling in the Arctic National victims of gun violence solvency of social security Voting Rights Act war in Iraq and Afghanistan civil rights protections credit card debt

TABLE I—Continued

Panel B: Phrases Used More Often by Republicans

Two-Word Phrases stem cell

natural gas
death tax
illegal aliens
class action
war on terror
embryonic stem
tax relief
illegal immigration
date the time
Three-Word Phrases
embryonic stem cell
hate crimes legislation
actust stem cells

hate crimes legislation adult stem cells oil for food program personal retirement accounts energy and natural resources global war on terror hate crimes law change hearts and minds

global war on terrorism

personal accounts Saddam Hussein pass the bill private property border security President announces human life Chief Justice human embryos increase taxes

Circuit Court of Appeals death tax repeal housing and urban affairs million jobs created national flood insurance oil for food scandal private property rights temporary worker program class action reform Chief Justice Rehnquist retirement accounts government spending national forest minority leader urge support cell lines cord blood action lawsuits economic growth food program

Tongass national forest pluripotent stem cells Supreme Court of Texas Justice Priscilla Owen Justice Janice Rogers American Bar Association growth and job creation natural gas natural Grand Ole Opry reform social security

Text as Data

- The first challenge we face in using text in our quantitative models is that text is very clearly not quantitative data
- As such we need to find ways to convert text for use in such models
- Text is very high dimensional
- Places restrictions on how text can be used as quantitative data

- Imagine a sentence with 15 words. Will yield a very large number of possible combinations of words in this sentence (15! = 1307674368000, if each word is unique)
- In how many ways can the letters in the word MISSISSIPPI be arranged? From the number of letters (1x M / 4x I / 4x S / 2x P) follows: $\frac{11!}{1!4!4!2!} = 34650 \Rightarrow$ There are 34650 potential combinations to arrange the letters of the word MISSISSIPPI

Does complexity matter?

- "Time flies like a bird."
- "Fruit flies like a banana."
- The complexity in this sentence is crucial to inferring the meaning
- Quantitative techniques make simplifications that map the text to a numerical array that in general ignores the complexity and interdependence between words
- For example we might just count up the occurrence of key words ⇒
 Flies 2 like 2 a 2 bird 1 fruit 1 banana 1 time 1

The steps to quantitative text analysis

- 1. We seek to represent the raw text T as a numerical array X (a matrix or vector)
- 2. We then seek to map X to the predicted values ŷ of some unknown outcome y
- 3. We then use \hat{y} in some descriptive or causal analysis

Step 1: Dimension reduction/ pre-processing

- Here we impose some restrictions to reduce the dimensions of the text
 T to be more manageable
- In mapping from text T to a numerical form X it is common to carry out a range of pre-processing steps
- These commonly involve dropping punctuation, very common or very uncommon words, "stop" words (a, and, the, etc.), names, numbers.
- Counting of words or counts of combinations of words or n-grams

Step 2 Prediction of \hat{y}

- In this step we use our high dimensional Machine Learning methods to predict \hat{y} given our numerical representation of the text X
- For example, email spam filters will use the text to predict whether the email should be classified as spam or not
- In this step we are less interested in the relationship between \hat{y} and X but just that X can predict \hat{y}
- In the spam email example we don't really care why certain words are more likely to appear in a spam email, just that they help us predict whether an email is spam or not.
- Here one can use the usual Machine Learning techniques, but also non-Machine Learning type approaches, e.g. dictionary methods

Step 3 Causal inference

- In many applications of text analysis the prediction step is the goal
- However, increasingly in the social sciences the outcome measures can be taken a step further and used in a causal analysis

Some definitions

- A Corpus is a collection or body of structured text, i.e. a collection of Facebook posts, a collection of newspaper reports
- A Document is a unit of the corpus; how we define a document will be specific to the research question. i.e. to detect spam emails we might define each document to be an email
- A Token is any word in the document

Pre-processing

- In order to generate something more manageable from our corpus a common first step is the strip away from the raw text anything other than words.
- Remove punctuation, make lowercase, remove names and any HTML tags, symbols (#) etc.
- Remove very common or "stop words"
- Stop words might be important to the grammatical structure of the text but generally convey little meaning
- E.g. the frequency of the word "a" is not likely to be diagnostic of whether an email is spam or not
- Again this step will depend on the research task to hand
- For example in author identification the stop word count might contain important information

Stop words

https://www.ranks.nl/stopwords

• In Python the module we will be using NLTK has a dictionary of stor

• For various lists of stop words in different languages see:

 In Python the module we will be using NLTK has a dictionary of stop words

Stopwords from NLTK

• ['i', 'me', 'my', 'myself', 'we', 'our', 'ours', 'ourselves', 'you', "you're", "you've", "you'll", "you'd", 'your', 'yours', 'yourself', 'yourselves', 'he', 'him', 'his', 'himself', 'she', "she's", 'her', 'hers', 'herself', 'it', "it's", 'its', 'itself', 'they', 'them', 'their', 'theirs', 'themselves', 'what', 'which', 'who', 'whom', 'this', 'that', "that'll", 'these', 'those', 'am', 'is', 'are', 'was', 'were', 'be', 'been', 'being', 'have', 'has', 'had', 'having', 'do', 'does', 'did', 'doing', 'a', 'an', 'the', 'and', 'but', 'if', 'or', 'because', 'as'. 'until', 'while', 'of', 'at', 'by', 'for', 'with', 'about', 'against', 'between', 'into', 'through', 'during', 'before', 'after', 'above', 'below', 'to', 'from', 'up', 'down', 'in', 'out', 'on', 'off', 'over', 'under', 'again', 'further', 'then', 'once', 'here', 'there', 'when', 'where', 'why', 'how', 'all', 'any', 'both', 'each', 'few', 'more', 'most', 'other', 'some', 'such', 'no', 'nor', 'not', 'only', 'own', 'same', 'so', 'than', 'too', 'very', 's', 't', 'can', 'will', 'just', 'don', "don't", 'should', "should've", 'now', 'd', 'll', 'm', 'o', 're', 've', 'y', 'ain', 'aren', "aren't", 'couldn', "couldn't", 'didn', "didn't", 'doesn', "doesn't", 'hadn', "hadn't", 'hasn', "hasn't", 'haven', "haven't", 'isn', "isn't", 'ma', 'mightn', "mightn't", 'mustn', "mustn't", 'needn', "needn't", 'shan', "shan't", 'shouldn', "shouldn't", 'wasn', "wasn't", 'weren', "weren't", 'won', "won't", 'wouldn', "wouldn't"]

Stemming words

- It is also common to stem words or take them back to their root
- For example, economic, economics, economically replaced by the stem economic
- This reduces further the number of words in a document but retains the basic concept in each word
- The Porter (1980) stemmer is the standard tool used in English and this is present in Python's NLTK
- Essentially removes word endings; ly , ed, ing , ment
- The stemmer can be wrong: E.g. policy and police have the same stem but clearly very different meanings

Stemming

A few examples from Pride and Prejudice (using NLTK)

affect		amus		close	
	affect		amuse		close
	affectation		amused		closed
	affected		amusement		closely
	affecting		amusements		closing
	affection		amusing	grate	
	affections affects				grate grateful gratefully

- Stopwords removal eliminates very common words that we think add little meaning to the text (and, the)
- It is also common to remove rare or infrequent words
- Rare words can add meaning but the added computational costs from including rare words often exceeds their diagnostic value
- One approach to this is to filter both very rare and very common words using "term frequency inverse document frequency (Tf-idf)"

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	13
good	114	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
wit	20	15	2	3

Figure 6.2 The term-document matrix for four words in four Shakespeare plays. Each cell contains the number of times the (row) word occurs in the (column) document.

- Here a document is represented as a vector of word counts
- The matrix below reports frequencies of the words (each row) in each document (each column)

Term frequency

- Here we count the frequency of word j in document i: tfij
- More frequent terms may be more important and contain more information about a document
- But high frequency words (a, and, the) are not always discriminating
- E.g. "Good" appears regularly in all of the plays above

Inverse Document frequency

- Terms or words which occur in fewer documents may be more important
- The term "battle" is unevenly distributed across the plays
- Inverse document frequency: $idf_j = log(\frac{N}{d_j})$
- \bullet d_i is the number of documents where term occurs
- N is the total number of documents

Term frequency inverse document frequency

- tf-idf= tf_{ij} * idf_j
- Very rare words will have low tf-idf scores because tf_{ij} will be low
- Very common words that appear in most or all documents will have low tf-idf scores because idf_j will be low
- Better than excluding words that occur frequently because it will keep words that occur frequently in some documents but do not appear in others
- these often provide useful information
- Common practice to keep only the words within each document i with tf-idf scores above some cutoff

Jurafsky, D.; Martin, J. (2023), Speech and Language Processing: An Introduction to Natural Language Processing, Computational Linguistics, and Speech Recognition