# Limitations, Risks, and Potential Improvements of the Model

# 1. Potential Risks and Drawbacks of the Model

While the model provides valuable insights into how price and product characteristics influence sales, there are several risks and limitations:

### 1. Limited Scope (Only Mueller Products)

- The model was built solely on Mueller-branded products, which means it ignores competitive dynamics in the yogurt market.
- Price elasticity is not just about how Mueller prices impact Mueller sales, but also how competitor prices impact Mueller's demand.
- Potential Risk: Ignoring competitors may lead to overestimated or underestimated elasticity, as customers may switch to Oikos or Alnatura when Mueller's prices rise.

## 2. Assumption of Constant Elasticity (Log-Log Model)

- The model assumes that price elasticity is constant across all price points, meaning a 1% price increase always results in the same % drop in units sold.
- In reality, price sensitivity might change depending on price levels, seasonality, promotions, or competitor activity.
- Potential Risk: Non-linear consumer behavior might not be captured, leading to suboptimal pricing strategies.

#### 3. Lack of Competitor Influence & Cross-Price Elasticity

- The model only considers Mueller prices, but real-world sales depend on how competitors price their products.
- Cross-price elasticity should be analyzed:
- Example: If Alnatura drops prices, does it reduce Mueller's sales?
- If Mueller increases prices, do sales shift to Oikos?
- Potential Risk: Price recommendations might be flawed if they do not consider competitor pricing responses.

#### 4. Missing External Factors (Seasonality, Promotions, Demand Shocks)

• The model does not consider holidays, promotions, advertising, or external factors.

### Example:

- Sales may be higher on weekends due to increased shopping.
- Seasonal demand shifts could impact pricing decisions.
- Potential Risk: Underestimating demand fluctuations, leading to inaccurate forecasting.
- 5. Day of the Week as a Categorical Variable
- While some day-of-week effects were observed, they may be correlated with external demand factors, not just consumer behavior.
- A better alternative might be incorporating moving averages (e.g., sales trends over the past 7/30 days) rather than assuming days of the week have fixed effects.

# **How the Model Could Be Improved**

To enhance the accuracy and practical business value, the model can be improved in several ways:

## 1. Incorporate Competitive Pricing Data

- Expand the model to include Oikos and Alnatura prices to capture market dynamics.
- Alternative Model: Cross-price elasticity analysis
- Estimate how price changes for competitor products impact Mueller's sales.

#### 2. Use a More Flexible Demand Model

- Instead of assuming a constant log-log relationship, explore:
- Non-linear models (e.g., polynomial regression) for price elasticity that varies across price ranges.
- Time series models (e.g., ARIMA, Prophet) to account for seasonality.

## 3. Introduce Promotions & Marketing Variables

- If data is available, incorporate:
- Discounts and promotions to see how temporary price reductions affect demand.
- Advertising campaigns to measure their impact on sales.
- Alternative Model: Time series models that incorporate event-based predictors.

#### 4. Segment by Customer Behavior

- Different consumer groups may react differently to pricing.
- Use clustering (K-Means, DBSCAN) to identify customer segments based on price sensitivity.

## **5. Predict Cross-Brand Switching Behavior**

- Use market basket analysis or choice models to estimate:
- If Mueller prices rise, what % of customers will switch to Oikos?
- If Oikos prices rise, what % of sales will shift to Mueller?

# Using Only Mueller Data?

Since the task was Mueller Price Optimization, it makes sense to focus on Mueller's products. However, excluding competitor data is a limitation.

A more holistic pricing strategy would involve analyzing all brands together to ensure that pricing recommendations are made in the context of the broader yogurt market.

## Better Approach:

- Keep the Mueller-focused model for internal pricing decisions.
- Develop an alternative model with competitor prices included to understand market-wide dynamics.

## Final Takeaway

- The model provides basic price elasticity insights, but lacks competitive pricing, seasonality, and non-linear effects.
- Improvements: Add competitor prices, promotions, and consumer behavior modeling.
- Alternative approaches: Use cross-price elasticity, choice models, or time series forecasting.
- Next Steps: Extend analysis to all yogurt brands and build a market-wide demand model.